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## Tab 1. Presentation



## Introduction

- Presenter Introduction
- 1 day training
- Morning Exercise
- Participants introduction:
(1)
- Name
- In two sentences where do you work and what do you do?
- One Fun Fact about yourself (i.e. hobby, talent, travel, etc.)


## Housekeeping

- Set phones to vibrate/silent
- Questions- ask lots of them at any time!
- Breaks
- Lunch
- On your own


## Presentation Icons



This will represent quick exercises

This will represent example problems

This will represent Case Studies

## Training Objectives

- To understand how to use the Site Impact Applications Guide for reviewing developments
- To understand FDOT's guidance for reviewing various documents
- To demonstrate concepts discussed in the Transportation Site Impact Handbook through realworld examples
- To understand the thought process behind the decisions that go into a traffic study



## Agenda Overview

### 1.5 DAYS THAT WILL INCLUDE TRANSPORTATION SITE IMPACT HANDBOOK REVIEW, SITE IMPACT APPLICATION GUIDE OVERVIEW \& HANDS ON APPLICATION

- Introduction
- Training Overview and Agenda
- Transportation Site Impact Handbook Overview
- Methodology Development
- Study Area Requirements
- Analysis Year
- Traffic Analysis Periods
- Forecasting Methodology
- Existing Conditions Analysis
- Data Collection
- Future Conditions Analysis
- Background Traffic
- Trip Generation
- Trip Distribution
- Multimodal Evaluation
- Assignment of Trips to Network
- Mitigation Analysis
- LOS Analysis
- Overview
- Additional Case Study Group Exercise
- Recap




## About this Handbook

Introduction

- Background
- Purpose of the Handbook
- About the Handbook
- Updates to the Handbook

- Provide guidance to transportation partners at all levels of government to enhance coordination in the existing review process




Transportation

Site Impact
Process

Step 1: Methodology Development

- Step 2: Existing Conditions Analysis
- Step 3: Future Conditions Analysis
- Step 4: Mitigation Analysis



## Methodology Development

- Make sure everyone is on the same page
- Developer, Consultant Agencies
- How we analyze the impacts of the development
- Avoid wasted time and effort
- Agree on critical features of the study
- Study Area
- Time Horizon
- General Transportation

Example Traffic Impact Area
 Factors



## Example 1

Sunshine Palm Inc is planning a mixed use development that will include an high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window.

- High-turnover restaurant- 2,500 ft² GFA
- Coffee/donut shop with drive-through- $2,100 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$
- Brainstorming Activity: What questions should be addressed during the methodology?


Take out Workbook Example for Presentation located in Page 2-1. This example will continue throughout the presentation.


## Study Area Requirements

- Determining the "traffic impact area" or simply the "impact area"
- Local, regional, or state critical
- What is needed:
- Site map
- Initial trip generation
- Maximum Service Volume and existing volume of surrounding facilities
- Maximum Service Volume is the maximum volume a roadway segment can support before the LOS target is exceeded



## Study Area Requirements

- Project Traffic vs Max Service Volume
- Overview
- Comparison of project traffic to thresholds of the percentage of the maximum service flow rate at an established LOS target (ex: 5\%)




## Example 1

Sunshine Palm Inc is planning a mixed use development that will include an high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window.

- High-turnover restaurant- 2,500 ft² GFA
- Coffee/donut shop with drive-through- 2,100 ft² GFA

Study area- Decision by City Staff. We will be looking at two intersections and two access driveways for this example.

- Existing Conditions (2017)
- Background Conditions (No-Build) (2019)
- Build Out Conditions (2019)


Example located in Page 2-1.



## Other Items to Consider

Methodology
Step 1

- Overview
- Methodology Requirements
- Study Area Requirements
- Analysis Years
- Traffic Analysis Periods
- Forecasting Methods - Other Issues

- Other major committed developments should be considered in any site impact analysis
- Is this a redevelopment? How to account for existing or previously approved or allowed traffic?
- "Discounted"?
- Time of vacancy
- Existing Conditions
- Use of travel demand forecasting models
- Multimodal consideration


## Example 1: Methodology

Sunshine Palm Inc is planning a mixed use development that will include a high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window.

- High-turnover restaurant- 2,500 ft² GFA
- Coffee/donut shop with drive-through- 2,100 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ GFA

Study area- Decision by city staff. We will be looking at two intersections and two access driveways for this example.

- Existing Conditions (2017)
- Background Conditions (No-Build) (2019)
- Build Out Conditions (2019)
- Analysis Period
- AM


Example located in Page 2-1.





FDOT




Example located in Page 2-2.



## Example Using the Online Too

- Activity Traffic Data Online
- Pull 5 years of historical AADTs near the proposed development site

1. FDOT Traffic Data
2. Under Traffic Data select Florida Traffic Online
3. Navigate to location of interest and turn on layer for Portable Traffic Monitoring Sites
4. Click on Site
$\frac{\text { Portable Tratic Moniterino. Site }}{\text { Road Name WAHNSSH WAY }}$
Road Name
Site 555122
Descripton WAHNISH WAY - 400 N OF
SR 373 OORANGE AVE SR 373 (ORANGE AVE)
Section 55000012 ) Section 55000012
Miepoint 0076
Lathong 30.41367, -84 28977
AADT 7900
Site Type Portabie
Class Data Nio
Class Data No
KFactor 9
DFactor 64.8
TFactor: 3.5
TRAFFIC REPORTS:
Leon Countr:
Annual Average Dall Iratics
SITE 555122
Intancal AaOI
Synoesis 555122
5. Select Historical AADT


Let's explore the website together


Examples of LOS By Mode for Urban Roadways



Source 2013 FDOT LOS Handbook




## Example 1

Let's discuss the information below

| Delay and LOS Table |  |  |  | 2017 Existing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Control | Analysis Level | Time | Delay LOS | LOS |
| Cypress Creek Road \& Powerline Road | Signal | Intersection | AM | 73.4 | E |
| Cypress Creek Road \& NW $6^{\text {th }}$ Way | Signal | Intersection | AM | 37.4 | D |
| Powerline Road \& Bank Driveway | Stop | Westbound Approach | AM | 17.9 | C |
| Cypress Creek Road | Stop | Northbound Approach | AM | 25.7 | D |
|  |  | Westbound Left | AM | < 1.0 | A |

Example located in Page 2-3.


## Future Conditions

What will the traffic conditions be in the future with and without the development?

- Background traffic
- Development traffic projections without development
- Trip generation
- Trip distribution
- Multimodal evaluation
- Assignment of trips to network





## Linear Growth

Future
Conditions
Background Traffic

- Overview
- Manual Method

Growth rate/
trend analysis

- Build-up methods Model Methods

- Based on a straight line developed from historic traffic growth
- Assumes constant growth per year
- Does not consider capacity restraint
- Constant land use growth over time




## Decaying Exponential

- Based on declining rate of growth over analysis period
- Dense urbanized area
- Remember: even fast growth areas eventually slow (build out)





## Build-Up Method

Future
Conditions
Background Traffic

- Overview
- Manual Method
- Growth rate/ trend analysis
Build-up
methods
- Model Methods


Build-Up Method = approved development + background through traffic

- Access impacts of committed system improvements
- Work with local and state agency staff to identify a subarea
- Identify committed transportation projects and probable travel pattern changes within the subarea
- Identify and add approved development traffic
- Confirm committed projects
- Obtain trip assignment



## Future Conditions

What will the traffic conditions be in the future with and without the development?

- Background traffic
- Development traffic projections without development
- Trip generation
- Trip distribution
- Multimodal evaluation
- Assignment of trips to network




## How are trip generation rates determined?

Future
Conditions
Trip Generation

- Overview

Trip Generation
Manual

- Internal Capture
- Pass-By Trips
- Diverted Trips

- Traffic is counted at each entrance of a certain land use
- Traffic is then studied in relation to the size of certain "independent
 variables"
- Dwelling units, 1,000 square feet, employees, students, fueling positions, rooms, etc.




## Simple Trip Generation Example

- Daily trip generation rate for a single family home development $=X$ trips
- 10 homes being built (known as "Dwelling Units")
- Dwelling Units are the "Independent Variable"
- How many weekday trips (i.e. trip ends) do we project?



## Simple Trip Generation Example

Future
Conditions
Trip Generation
Overview
Trip Generation
Manual

- Internal Capture
- Pass-By Trips
- Diverted Trips

- Rate vs Equation



## EXAMPLE 3: Rate Vs Equation Examples

For the following examples use the flow chart from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook to determine for each case study if the fitted curve (equation) or average rate should be used to estimate trips, or if local data should be collected. Then calculate the trips.

1. Estimate the trip generation for Land Use Code 140 (Manufacturing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area (GFA). Assume the site will have 800,000 sq. ft. of GFA. Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
2. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 310 (Hotel) on weekday during the PM peak hour of the adjacent street traffic as a function of employees. For this example, assume the hotel will have 100 employees. Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
3. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 813 (Free-Standing Discount Superstore) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area. For this example, assume the store size will be 180,000 sq. ft. of GFA. Method: $\qquad$ Answer:
4. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Dwelling Units. For this example, assume the number of units is 300 . Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
5. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 090 (Park-and-Ride Lot with Bus or Light Rail Service) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Parking Spaces. For this example, assume the number of spaces to be 50 . Method: $\qquad$ Answer:
6. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 445 (Multiplex Movie Theater) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Screens. For this example, assume the number of screens to be 20 . Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$

## What's Peak Hour?

Future
Conditions
Trip Generation

- Overview

Trip Generation Manual

- Internal Capture
- Pass-By Trips
- Diverted Trips

- Any 4 consecutive 15 -minute periods that equal the highest 1-hour volume
- There are usually morning and evening peaks
- Some lunch time peaks are important
- We are usually using peak hour of Adjacent Street Traffic
- Highest volume on roadway including site traffic


## Example 1

For our restaurant and coffee shop example we will use the rate. In your workbook you will find the ITE Land Use sheets for each of these land uses. Fill out the remaining spaces.

| Trip Generation AM Peak Period Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent Variable | Average Rate | Total Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting Trips |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 932 | $2,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  | 25 |  |  |
| Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive- <br> Through Window | 937 | $2,100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 88.99 |  | 92 |  |

Example located in Page 2-4.




|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | WEEKDAY |  |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
|  | From OFFICE | To Retail | 28\% | 20\% |
|  |  | To Restaurant | 63\% | 4\% |
|  |  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% |
| Future |  | To Residential | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  | To Hotel | 0\% | 0\% |
| diti | From RETAIL | To Office | 29\% | 2\% |
| Conditions |  | To Restaurant | 13\% | 29\% |
| Trip Generation |  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 4\% |
| - Overview |  | To Residential | 14\% | 26\% |
|  |  | To Hotel | 0\% | 5\% |
| Trip Generation Manual | From RESTAURANT | To Office | 31\% | 3\% |
|  |  | To Retail | 14\% | 41\% |
|  |  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 8\% |
| - Internal Capture <br> - Pass-By Trips <br> - Diverted Trips |  | To Residential | 4\% | 18\% |
|  |  | To Hotel | 3\% | 7\% |
|  | From CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | To Office | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  | To Retail | 0\% | 21\% |
|  |  | To Restaurant | 0\% | 31\% |
|  |  | To Residential | 0\% | 8\% |
|  |  | To Hotel | 0\% | 2\% |
|  | From RESIDENTIAL | To Office | 2\% | 4\% |
|  |  | To Retail | 1\% | 42\% |
|  |  | To Restaurant | 20\% | 21\% |
|  |  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | To Hotel | 0\% | 3\% |
|  | From HOTEL | To Office | 75\% | 0\% |
|  |  | To Retail | 14\% | 16\% |
|  |  | To Restaurant | 9\% | 68\% |
| $\square \mathrm{e}$ |  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | To Residential | 0\% | 2\% |
|  | Source: Bochner, B., K. Hooper, B. Sperry, and R. Dunphy. NCHRP Report 884: Enhanoing Internal Tip Capture Eotimation for Mixed-Use Developments. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board, Tables 99 and 100, 2011. |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weekday |  |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
|  | To OFFICE | From Retail | 4\% | 31\% |
|  |  | From Restaurant | 14\% | 30\% |
|  |  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 6\% |
|  |  | From Residential | 3\% | 57\% |
|  |  | From Hotel | 3\% | 0\% |
| - Overview <br> - Trip Generation Manual <br> - Internal Capture <br> - Pass-By Trips <br> - Diverted Trips | To RETAIL | From Office | 32\% | 8\% |
|  |  | From Restaurant | 8\% | 50\% |
|  |  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 4\% |
|  |  | From Residential | 17\% | 10\% |
|  |  | From Hotel | 4\% | 2\% |
|  | To RESTAURANT | From Office | 23\% | 2\% |
|  |  | From Retail | 50\% | 29\% |
|  |  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 3\% |
|  |  | From Residential | 20\% | 14\% |
|  |  | From Hotel | 6\% | 5\% |
|  | To CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | From Office | 0\% | 1\% |
|  |  | From Retail | 0\% | 26\% |
|  |  | From Restaurant | 0\% | 32\% |
|  |  | From Residential | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | From Hotel | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | To RESIDENTIAL | From Office | 0\% | 4\% |
|  |  | From Retail | 2\% | 46\% |
|  |  | From Restaurant | 5\% | 16\% |
|  |  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 4\% |
|  |  | From Hotel | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | To HOTEL | From Office | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  | From Retail | 0\% | 17\% |
|  |  | From Restaurant | 4\% | 71\% |
|  |  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 1\% |
| $2$ |  | From Residential | 0\% | 12\% |
|  | Source: Bochner. B., K. Hooper, B. Sperry, and R. Dunphy. NCHRP Report 884: Enhancing intemal Tinp Capture Estimation for Mixed-Use Developments. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board, Tables 101 and 102, 2011. |  |  |  |










## Example 8: Pass-By-Trips

For the following examples use the provided pass-by pages from the ITE handbook to determine the pass-by percentage.

Future
Conditions
Trip Generation

- Overview
- Trip Generation

Manual

- Internal Capture
- Pass-By Trips
- Diverted Trips


1. Land Use Code 813 - Free Standing Discount Superstore, Saturday, Mid-Day Peak Period. Answer:
2. Land Use Code 853 - Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps, Weekday, PM Peak Period Answer:
3. Land Use Code 934 - Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive - Through Window, Weekday, PM Peak Period. Answer: $\qquad$
4. Land Use Code 945 - Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market, Weekday, PM Peak Period.
$\qquad$

For the following example apply pass by. The land use is a fast-food restaurant with a drive through window. The PM peak hour od adjacent street traffic is being analyzed. Fill in the blank:

| Land Use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average <br> Rate | Total Trip | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with <br> Drive-Through | 934 | $1,200 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 32.67 |  |  |  |
| Pass By |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External Trips New to the System |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Let's practice with pass by in Tab 6

## Pass-By Reasonableness Checks

- FDOT Guidelines: The number of pass-by trips should not exceed 10 percent of the adjacent street traffic during peak hour
- Strong justification must be provided to document pass-by rates greater than 25 percent of the total external trip generation of the development's retail portion
- Ensure proposed development displays characteristics to generate pass-by trips





| External Trips New to the System Example |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apartment | Retail | Office | TOTAL |
| Total Trip Generation | 310 | 3740 | 745 | 4795 |
| Exiting Internal Capture | 26 | 43 | 62 | 131 |
| Entering Internal Capture | 52 | 66 | 13 | 131 |
| - Total Internal Capture | 78 | 109 | 75 | 262 |
| External Trips | 232 | 3631 | 670 | 4533 |
| Pass-by Percent | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% |  |
| - Pass-by Trips | 0 | 726 | 0 | 726 |
| External Trips New to System | 232 | 2905 | 670 | 3807 |



## Diverted Trip

Future
Conditions
Trip Generation

- Overview
- Trip Generation Manual
- Internal Capture
- Pass-By Trips
- Diverted Trips

- In most cases, attempting to account for diverted trips presents an unnecessary complication in the analysis.
- For cases which a heavily traveled corridor is the following things accounting for diverted trips may be useful:
- 1. Within the study area,
- 2. Not immediately adjacent to the site, and
- 3. Expected to serve as the source for a number of retail trips
- In most cases, separating diverted trips from new trips is not necessary


## Example 1



Example located in Page 2-5.

## Future Conditions

What will the traffic conditions be in the future with and without the development?

- Background traffic
- Development traffic projections without development
- Trip generation
- Trip distribution
- Multimodal evaluation
- Assignment of trips to network





## Future Conditions

What will the traffic conditions be in the future with and without the development?

- Background traffic
- Development traffic projections without development
- Trip generation
- Trip distribution
- Multimodal evaluation
- Assignment of trips to network


## Multimodal Evaluation

- Estimating the number of travelers between zones that are anticipated to use modes other than automobiles in the TIA (transit, bicycle, walking, etc.)
- Provide justification on any transit, bicycle, or pedestrian adjustment reducing vehicle trips
- FDOT's Transit Office has developed the transit analysis tool TBST (The Transit Boarding Estimation and Simulation Tool) used in transit assessment




## Manual Methods

- Manual trip assignment assigns traffic based on existing or anticipated future turning and through movement percentages
- Trips may be added and subtracted to the roadway network between major intersections and corridors to reflect local area origins and destinations
- Assigned trips such as primary, pass-by, and diverted trips are distinguishable and can be easily reviewed



## Example 1

Assign the percentages to the movements


Example located in Page 2-7.

## Example 1

Is there only ONE answer? Let's discuss


Example located in Page 2-8.

## Example 1

Apply your volumes to the percentages. Remember there are two volumes.... What are they?


Example located in Page 2-8.



## LOS Analysis

- There are several tools that are available for LOS analysis for a particular location
- Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)

LOS and
Mitigation
LOS Analysis

- Mitigation
- Overview
- Highway Capacity Software (HCS)
- FDOT Quality/Level of Service (Q/LOS)

Handbook

- Generalized Service Volume Tables



## Bicycle and Pedestrian LOS

- Pedestrian LOS based on four variables:
- Existence of a sidewalk
- Lateral separation of pedestrians from motorized vehicles
- Motorized vehicle volumes
- Motorized vehicle speeds



## LOS Overview

- Each local and state government establishes a LOS standard for each public facility
- Establishing the comparison of existing and future (for all analysis years) estimated LOS of the study area is critical


## Example 1



| Delay and LOS Table |  |  |  | 2017 Existing |  | 2019 No Build |  | 2019 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Contr ol | Analysis Level | Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay LOS | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { LOS } \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Cypress Creek Road \& Powerline Road | Signal | Intersection | AM | 73.4 | E | 80.9 | F | 85.2 | F |
| Cypress Creek Road \& NW 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Way | Signal | Intersection | AM | 37.4 | D | 37.3 | D | 37.4 | D |
| Powerline Road \& Bank Driveway | Stop | Westbound Approach | AM | 17.9 | C | 18.3 | C | 26.3 | D |
| Cypress Creek Road \& |  | Northbound <br> Approach | AM | 25.7 | D | 26.7 | D | 38.3 | E |
| Bank Driveway | Stop | Westbound Left | AM | < 1.0 | A | < 1.0 | A | 3.9 | A |

Example located in Page 2-10.

## Mitigation

- If LOS is found to be unacceptable, improvements should be suggested and modeled to show the improvements needed to accommodate the proposed development traffic
- Planned improvements should be vetted with plans and programs from any applicable MPO and transportation authority, as well as the State Transportation Plan and applicable FDOT Work Program



## Mitigation-Proportionate Share Contribution

Number of trips from the proposed development expected to reach roadways during the peak hour from the stage or phase being approved<br>Proportionate<br>Construction cost of the improvement to maintain or achieve the adopted LOS<br>\(x \quad \begin{gathered}Change in the peak hour<br>maximum service volume of\end{gathered}\) roadways resultin adways resulting fro construction of an improvement necessary to maintain or achieve the adopted LOS



## Mitigation- Case Studies \#1

A traffic study performed for the City for a major development in the Central Business District (CBD) with access to non-State roadways has identified impact to a nearby Interstate off-ramp. The developer's traffic engineer has identified improvements to the ramp that the developer is willing to make to you (FDOT Traffic Operations Engineer). The intersection LOS analysis indicates that the improvement will mitigate the project's impacts, but the interchange intersection will continue to operate at a poor LOS. FDOT has an ongoing PD\&E Study at the interchange currently, but it will not be completed soon. As an FDOT Traffic Operations Engineer, you are not clear what authority you have in this situation? How do you proceed?




Tab 7: Additional Exercises


## Tab 2. Example Problems

## Workbook Example for Presentation

## PRESENTATION EXAMPLE 1

Sunshine Palm Inc is planning a development that will include a high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant and a coffee/donut shop with a drive-through window.
$2,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
2,100 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## METHODOLOGY

## Study Area Determination

For this example, the study area was determined and will include 2 intersections and 2 access driveways.

## Scenarios

Existing Conditions (2017)
Background Conditions (no-build) (2019)
Buildout Conditions (2019)
Analysis Period
AM
Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

## Data Collection



Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Analysis of Existing Conditions

| Delay and LOS Table |  |  |  | 2017 Existing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Control | Analysis Level | Time | Delay LOS | LOS |
| Cypress Creek Road \& Powerline Road | Signal | Intersection | AM | 73.4 | E |
| Cypress Creek Road \& NW $6^{\text {th }}$ Way | Signal | Intersection | AM | 37.4 | D |
| Powerline Road \& Bank Driveway | Stop | Westbound Approach | AM | 17.9 | C |
| Cypress Creek Road \& Bank Driveway | Stop | Northbound Approach | AM | 25.7 | D |
| \& Bank Driveway |  | Westbound Left | AM | < 1.0 | A |

## Notes:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## FUTURE CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

Growth Rate- For this analysis we will use a $1 \%$ growth rate

## Trip Generation

Attached are the Trip Generation Tables.

| Trip Generation AM Peak Period Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land <br> Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average Rate | Total Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting Trips |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant <br> Coffee/Donut Shop with <br> Drive-Through Window | 932 | $2,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | 937 | $2,100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 88.99 |  | 95 | 92 |  |

Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Pass-by is not available for these land uses in the AM peak period. For this example, we will use the passby of $50 \%$ for the restaurant and $50 \%$ for the coffee/donut shop.

1. $10 \%$ Rule

Look back on our data collection

- North-Side Roadway: $1,396+1,153=2,549$
- East-West Roadway: 1,186+1,793 = 2,979
- Adjustment Shared Volume: $122+137=259$
- $2,549+2,979-259=5,269$
- $5,269 \times 0.01=530$

2. Calculate pass by and New External Trips

| Trip Generation AM Peak Period Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land Use Code | Independent Variable | Average Rate | Total Trips | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 932 | 2,500 ft ${ }^{2}$ | 9.94 | 25 | 14 | 11 |
|  | 937 | 2,100 ft ${ }^{2}$ | 88.99 | 187 | 95 | 92 |
|  |  |  | Total | 212 | 109 | 103 |
| Pass by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant (50\% AM Pass By) Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Widow (50\% AM Pass By) |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  |
| *Total Pass by Trips |  |  |  | 106 | 53 | 53 |
| External Trips New to the System |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Pass by Calculated is 106 which is less than the $10 \%$ cap of 530
Because this is not a mixed-use development internal capture is not considered.

Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Trip Distribution

Distribution of trips to and from the site was determined manually, based on knowledge of the local network, current traffic volumes, and discussion with City staff. The following general assumptions were made:


Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Trip Assignment

## Consider:

- Driver tendencies and local behavior (such as the percentage of drivers who choose the first available driveway when multiple options exist, and whether the use will draw local, daily users or regional drivers who are not likely to be familiar with the network)
- Internal circulation design (outbound trips tend to be more evenly distributed amount multiple exits comparted to inbound trips).
- Congestion and travel times by time of day (drivers familiarity with the area may consider avoid a congested left turn, for example).
- Planned network improvements that could modify assignment in one or more horizon years.
- One-way street or other factors that would lead to different inbound and outbound paths.



Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Calculate the project volume for each movement using the distribution and the trip generation.


## Notes:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Analysis of Future Conditions

| Delay and LOS Table |  |  |  | 2017 Existing |  | 2019 No Build |  | 2019 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Control | Analysis Level | Time | Delay LOS | LOS | Delay LOS | LOS | Delay LOS | LOS |
| Cypress Creek Road \& Powerline Road | Signal | Intersection | AM | 73.4 | E | 80.9 | F | 85.2 | F |
| Cypress Creek Road \& NW $6^{\text {th }}$ Way | Signal | Intersection | AM | 37.4 | D | 37.3 | D | 37.4 | D |
| Powerline Road \& Bank Driveway | Stop | Westbound Approach | AM | 17.9 | C | 18.3 | C | 26.3 | D |
|  <br> Bank Driveway | Stop | Northbound Approach | AM | 25.7 | D | 26.7 | D | 38.3 | E |
| Bank Driveway |  | Westbound Left | AM | < 1.0 | A | < 1.0 | A | 3.9 | A |

Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## MITIGATION

- Mitigation is required at locations that are found to operate unacceptable. Agencies set their own criteria for unacceptable operations, and these may vary by agency type and geographic location.
- Typically, individual turning movements or overall intersections operating at LOS E or LOS F are considered to operate unacceptably, and require mitigations.
- Mitigation strategies for locations that are determined to operate unacceptably should be discussed with the review agency.
- When trips from a proposed development cause a deficiency, the proportionate share contribution shall be calculated using the formula below.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Construction } \\
\text { cost of the } \\
\text { improvement } \\
\text { to maintain } \\
\text { or achieve } \\
\text { Share } \\
\text { the adopted } \\
\text { LOS }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{c}Number of trips from the proposed <br>
development expected to reach <br>
roadways during the peak hour from <br>

the stage or phase being approved\end{array}\right\}\)| Change in the peak hour maximum |
| :---: |
| service volume or roadways resulting |
| from construction of an improvement |
| necessary to maintain or achieve the |
| adopted LOS |

If the road is determined to have a deficiency without the project traffic, the improvements necessary to correct the deficiency is the funding responsibility of the entity which maintains the roadway, and the costs to correct that deficiency shall be removed from the project's proportionate-share calculation. The development's proportionate share is then based only on the needed transportation improvements that are greater than that identified deficiency with the necessary improvements in place.

Results of Case Study

In this case study, although LOS F operations were identified at one intersection, it was determined that the deficiencies of this intersection will be addressed as part of the County's Transit Oriented Concurrency system.
Additionally, although LOS E can be expected for each driveway during at least one peak period, this was deemed acceptable as queuing would be contained on site.
No mitigation measures were recommended as part of the study.

## Tab 3. FDOT Generalized Tables

## Example 2: Applying FDOT Generalized Tables

For the following examples use the 12/18/12 FDOT Generalized Service Volume Tables to determine the LOS along the roadway segments.

1. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 30,000. The roadway is a 4-lane divided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph .
Answer: $\qquad$
2. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 37,900. The roadway is a 4-lane undivided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph with exclusive left lanes.
Answer: $\qquad$
3. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 65,100. The roadway is a 6-lane freeway in a transition area with auxiliary lanes present in both directions. Answer: $\qquad$
4. What is the LOS of a roadway that has a Peak Hour directional volume of 1,530 . The roadway is a 4-lane divided Highway located in a Rural Undeveloped Area.
Answer: $\qquad$
5. What is the LOS of a roadway that has a Peak Hour Two-Way volume of 2,500 . The roadway is a 4-lane divided Non-State Signalized Roadway with a posted speed limit of 30 mph located in a transition area.
Answer: $\qquad$
6. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 45,000. The roadway is a 6-lane divided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph .
Answer: $\qquad$


TABLE 1 (continued)

Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's
Urbanized Areas

| INPUT VALUE <br> ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeways | Core <br> Freeways | Highways | Class I | Clase Arterials I | Bicycle | Pedestrian |

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Area type (u,lu) | lu | lu | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-10 | 4-12 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-8 | 2 | 4-8 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 65 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 70 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary Lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) | n | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  |  | n | r | n | r | n | r | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact (n, y) |  |  | [ n ] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.085 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.547 | 0.547 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.565 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Signal type $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$ |  |  |  |  | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  |  | 120 | 150 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width (n, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |
| Pavement condition (d, t, u) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |
| On-street parking ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation(a, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Two-Lan | Multilane | Class I | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | > 31 mph | $>22 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | > 17 mph | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | <3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | $>15 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>10 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

$\% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent free flow speed ats $=$ Average travel speed


TABLE 2 (continued)

Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's Transitioning and
Areas Over 5,000 Not In Urbanized Areas
12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | State Arterials |  |  |  | Class I |  |
|  | Freeways | Highways |  | Class I |  | Class II |  | Bicycle | Pedestrian |
| ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area type (t,uo) | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-10 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) | n | n | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | y | n | y | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact (n, y) |  | [ n ] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 8 | 5 | 5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.555 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.565 | 0.570 | 0.570 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 9.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  | 120 | 150 | 120 | 150 | 120 | 120 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |
| Pavement condition (d, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |
| On-street parking (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n | n |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation (a, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Two-Lane | Multilane | Class I | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | $>31 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>22 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>17 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | < 3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | $>15 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>10 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

[^0]TABLE 3
Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's Rural Undeveloped Areas and Developed Areas Less Than 5,000 Population ${ }^{1}$

12/18/12

| INTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  | UNINTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE SIGNALIZED ARTERIALS |  |  |  |  | FREEWAYS |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | B | C | D | E | Lanes | B | C | D | E |
| 2 | * | 12,900 | 14,200 | ** | 4 | 28,800 | 43,000 | 52,300 | 60,000 |
| 4 | * | 29,300 | 30,400 | ** | 6 | 43,000 | 64,000 | 78,300 | 92,500 |
| 6 | * | 45,200 | 45,800 | ** | 8 | 57,500 | 85,400 | 104,400 | 123,500 |
| Non-State Signalized Roadway Adjustments <br> (Alter corresponding state volumes <br> by the indicated percent.) <br> Non-State Signalized Roadways - 10\% |  |  |  |  | Freeway Adjustments Auxiliary Lanes Present in Both Directions $+20,000$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Lanes } \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ \text { Multi } \\ \text { Multi } \end{gathered}$ | Median \& Turn Lane Adjustments |  |  |  | UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Median Left Lan | Right Lanes |  | Factors $+5 \%$ | Rural Undeveloped |  |  |  |  |
|  | No | No |  | -20\% | Lanes | Median |  | D | E |
|  | Yes | No |  |  | 2 | Undivided | 4,700 | 14,300 | 28,600 |
|  | No | No |  | -52\% |  | Divided | 25,700 | -51,000 | 57,900 |
|  | - | Ye |  | +5\% | 6 | Divided | 38,800 | 0 76,700 | 86,800 |
|  | One-Way Facility Adjustment <br> Multiply the corresponding two-directional volumes in this table by 0.6 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lanes } \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Developed Areas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Undivided | 8,700 | 0 23,100 | 31,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Divided | 25,900 | -52,400 | 59,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Divided | 38,800 | 0 78,400 | 89,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Passing Lane Adjustments <br> Alter LOS B-D volumes in proportion to the passing lane length to the highway segment length |  |  |  |  |
| BICYCLE MODE ${ }^{2}$ <br> (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lanes | Median | Exclusive left | s Adjustm | ent factors |
| Rural Undeveloped |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Divided | Yes |  | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Multi | Undivided | Yes |  |  |
| Shoul |  |  |  |  | Multi | Undivided | No |  | 5\% |
| Lane | B | C | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 1,300 | 2,000 | 3,200 | ${ }^{1}$ Values shown are presented as two-way annual average daily volumes for levels of service and are for the automobile/truck modes unless specifically stated. This table |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,000 | 2,100 | 3,200 | 10,600 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { service a } \\ & \text { does not } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { are for the auto } \\ & \text { nstitute a stand } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ile/truck modes } \\ & \text { nd should be us } \end{aligned}$ | specifically stated for general planni | ng |
|  | 2,600 | 3,900 | 18,500 | >18,500 | applicat more spe | . The compute ic planning ap | dels from which ions. The table | ble is derived shou iving computer mo | ld be used for dels should |
|  | Developed Areas |  |  |  | not be used for corridor or intersection design, where more refined techniques exist. Calculations are based on planning applications of the Highway Capacity Manual and the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoulder/Bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | B | C | D | E | ${ }^{2}$ Level of service for the bicycle and pedestrian modes in this table is based on number of motorized vehicles, not number of bic yclists or pedestrians using the facility. |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 2,300 | 4,900 | 15,600 | * Cannot be achieved using table input value defaults. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,700 | 4,500 | 13,300 | 18,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,900 | 18,500 | 18,500 |  | ** Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. For the auto mobile mode, volumes greater than level of service D become F because intersection capacities have been reached. For the bic ycle mode, the level of service letter grade (including F) is not achievable because there is no maximum vehicle volume threshold using table input value defaults. |  |  |  |  |
| (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewa | B | C | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | * | 2,700 | 9,200 | Source: |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | 1,500 | 8,400 | 14,900 | Florida Department of Transportation Systems Planning Office |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,600 | 10,200 | 16,700 | >19,200 | www.dot.state.fl. us/planning/systems/sm/los/default.shtm |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3 (continued)

Generalized Annual Average Daily Volumes for Florida's
Rural Undeveloped Areas and
Developed Areas Less Than 5,000 Population
12/18/12


[^1]

TABLE 4 (continued)

Generalized Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes for Florida's Urbanized Areas

12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | State Arterials |  | Class I |  |
|  | Freeways | Highways | Class I | Class II | Bicycle | Pedestrian |

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Area type (lu, u) | lu | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-12 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-8 | 2 | 4-8 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes (n,y) | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | r | n | r | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [ n ] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.547 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.565 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  | 120 | 150 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ | t |
| Pavement condition (d, t, u) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |  |
| On-street parking ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n | n |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation (a, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Two-Lane | Multilane | Class I | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | $>31 \mathrm{mph}$ | > 22 mph | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>17 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | $>66.7$ | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | < 3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | > 15 mph | > 10 mph | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

[^2]| INTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE SIGNALIZED ARTERIALS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class I (40 mph or higher posted speed limit) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| 2 | Undivided | * | 1,300 | 1,460 | ** |
| 4 | Divided | * | 3,060 | 3,200 | ** |
| 6 | Divided | * | 4,690 | 4,820 | ** |
| Class II ( 35 mph or slower posted speed limit) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| 2 | Undivided | * | 580 | 1,200 | 1,280 |
| 4 | Divided | * | 890 | 2,590 | - 2,850 |
| 6 | Divided | * | 1,440 | 4,040 | - 4,280 |
| Non-State Signalized Roadway Adjustments <br> (Alter corresponding state volumes by the indicated percent.) <br> Non-State Signalized Roadways -10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median \& Turn Lane Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Exclusive | Exclu |  | Adjustment |
| Lanes | Median | Left Lanes | Right L |  | Factors |
| 2 | Divided | Yes | No |  | +5\% |
| 2 | Undivided | No | No |  | -20\% |
| Multi | Undivided | Yes | No |  | -5\% |
| Multi | Undivided | No | No |  | -25\% |
| - | - | - | Ye |  | +5\% |
| One-Way Facility Adjustment <br> Multiply the corresponding two-directional volumes in this table by 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |


| UNINTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes | B | FREEWAYS |  |  |
| 4 | 3,970 | C | D | E |
| 6 | 5,860 | 7,710 | 6,200 | 6,460 |
| 8 | 7,660 | 10,230 | 12,190 | 9,990 |
| 10 | 9,550 | 12,750 | 15,190 | 13,500 |
|  |  |  | 17,010 |  |

## Freeway Adjustments

```
    Auxiliary Lanes
    Present in Both Directions
    +1,800 +5%
```

UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS

| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Undivided | 820 | 1,550 | 2,190 | 2,990 |
| 4 | Divided | 3,170 | 4,460 | 5,660 | 6,260 |
| 6 | Divided | 4,750 | 6,700 | 8,480 | 9,400 |

Uninterrupted Flow Highway Adjustments

| Lanes | Median | Exclusive left lanes | Adjustment factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Divided | Yes | $+5 \%$ |
| Multi | Undivided | Yes | $-5 \%$ |
| Multi | Undivided | No | $-25 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Values shown are presented as peak hour two-way volumes for levels of service and
are for the automobile/truck modes unless specific ally stated. This table does not
constitute a standard and should be used only for general planning applications. The
computer models from which this table is derived should be used for more specific
planning applications. The table and deriving computer models should not be used for
corridor or intersection design, where more refined techniques exist. Calculations are
based on planning applications of the Highway Capacity Manual and the Transit
Capacity and Quality of Service Manual.
${ }^{2}$ Level of service for the bic ycle and pedestrian modes in this table is based on number
of motorized vehicles, not number of bic yc lists or pedestrians using the facility.
${ }^{3}$ Buses per hour shown are only for the peak hour in the single direction of the higher traffic
flow.
${ }^{*}$ Cannot be achieved using table input value defaults.
** Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. For the auto mobile mode,
volumes greater than level of service D become F because intersection capacities have
been reached. For the bicycle mode, the level of service letter grade (including F) is not
achievable because there is no maximum vehicle volume threshold using table input
value defaults.

[^3]TABLE 5
(continued)

Generalized Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes for Florida's Transitioning Areas and
Areas Over 5,000 Not In Urbanized Areas
12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | State Arterials |  |  |  | Class I |  |
|  | Freeways | Highways |  | Class I |  | Class II |  | Bicycle | Pedestrian |
| ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area type (t,uo) | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-10 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes (n,y) | n | n | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | y | n | y | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [ n ] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 8 | 5 | 5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.555 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.565 | 0.570 | 0.570 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 9.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  | 120 | 150 | 120 | 150 | 120 | 120 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ | n |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w})$ |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |  |  |
| Pavement condition (d, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u})$ |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |
| On-street parking $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | n | n |  |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ |  |  |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Two-Lane | Multilane | Class I | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | $>31 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>22 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>17 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | <3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | > 15 mph | > 10 mph | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

$\% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent free flow speed ats $=$ Average travel speed


TABLE 6 (continued)

Generalized Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes for Florida's
Rural Undeveloped Areas and
Developed Areas Less Than 5,000 Population
12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE <br> ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeways | Highways | Arterials | Bicycle | Pedestrian |

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Area type (ru, rd) | rural | ru | ru | rd | rd | rd | rd | ru | rd | rd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-8 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 55 | 65 | 50 | 55 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 60 | 70 | 55 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes (n,y) | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | r | n | r | r | r | n |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 20 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [n] | y | [n] | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 14 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.105 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.555 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.550 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 2,200 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 12.0 | 5.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.97 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 12 |

## CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  |  |  | c | c | a | a | a |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 | 60 | 90 | 90 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n,50\%,y | n,50\%, y | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width (n, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t | t |
| Pavement condition (d, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |  |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n,50\%, y |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation(a, t,w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Two-Lane ru |  | Two-Lane rd | Multilane ru | Multilane rd |
|  | Density | \%tsf | ats | \%ffs | Density | Density |
| B | $\leq 14$ | $\leq 50$ | $\leq 55$ | >83.3 | $\leq 14$ | $\leq 14$ |
| C | $\leq 22$ | $\leq 65$ | $\leq 50$ | $>75.0$ | $\leq 22$ | $\leq 22$ |
| D | $\leq 29$ | $\leq 80$ | $\leq 45$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 29$ | $\leq 29$ |
| E | $\leq 36$ | >80 | $\leq 40$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 34$ | $\leq 34$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of | Art |  |  | Bicycle |  | trian |
| Service | Major C | (ats) |  | Score |  |  |
| B | >3 |  |  | $\leq 2.75$ |  |  |
| C | $>23$ |  |  | $\leq 3.50$ |  |  |
| D | $>18$ |  |  | $\leq 4.25$ |  |  |
| E | > 1 |  |  | $\leq 5.00$ |  |  |

[^4]

TABLE 7 (continued)

## INPUT VALUE ASSUMPTIONS

Generalized Peak Hour Directional Volumes for Florida's
Urbanized Areas
12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE <br> ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeways | Highways | State Arterials |  | Class I |  |
|  |  | Class II | Bicycle | Pedestrian |  |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Area type (lu, u) | lu | u | u | u | u | u | u | u | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-12 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-8 | 2 | 4-8 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes (n,y) | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | r | n | r | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [n] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.547 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.560 | 0.565 | 0.565 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS


MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width (n, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |
| Pavement condition (d, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |
| On-street parking (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n | n |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation (a, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Two-Lane | Multilane | Class I | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | > 31 mph | $>22 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>17 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | <3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | > 15 mph | $>10 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

$\% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent free flow speed ats = Average travel speed


TABLE 8 (continued)

Generalized Peak Hour Directional Volumes for Florida's
Transitioning and
Areas Over 5,000 Not In Urbanized Areas

| INPUT VALUE ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | State Arterials |  |  |  | Class I |  |
|  | Freeways | Highways |  | Class I |  | Class II |  | Bicycle | Pedestrian |
| ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area type (t,uo) | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t | t |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-10 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) | n | n | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | y | n | , | r | r |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lane impact ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [ n ] | y | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 8 | 5 | 5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.555 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.565 | 0.570 | 0.570 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 9.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of signals |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  | 120 | 150 | 120 | 150 | 120 | 120 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y | n |
| Outside lane width ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |
| Pavement condition ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |
| On-street parking ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n | n |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n, 50\%, y |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation (a, t, w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $n$ |
| LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  | Arterials |  |  | Bicycle | Ped | Bus |
|  | Density | Two-Lane | Multilane | Class I |  | Class II | Score | Score | Buses/hr. |
|  |  | \%ffs | Density | ats |  | ats |  |  |  |
| B | $\leq 17$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 17$ | > 31 mph | $>22 \mathrm{mph}$ |  | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 2.75$ | $\leq 6$ |
| C | $\leq 24$ | > 75.0 | $\leq 24$ | $>23 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>17 \mathrm{mph}$ |  | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 3.50$ | $\leq 4$ |
| D | $\leq 31$ | > 66.7 | $\leq 31$ | $>18 \mathrm{mph}$ | $>13 \mathrm{mph}$ |  | $\leq 4.25$ | $\leq 4.25$ | < 3 |
| E | $\leq 39$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 35$ | > 15 mph |  | mph | $\leq 5.00$ | $\leq 5.00$ | <2 |

\% ffs = Percent free flow speed ats = Average travel speed

| INTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE SIGNALIZED ARTERIALS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | Undivided | * | 670 |  | 0 ** |
|  | Divided | * | 1,530 | 1,580 | ** |
| 3 | Divided | * | 2,360 | 2,400 | (** |
|  | Non-State Signalized Roadway Adjustments (Alter corresponding state volumes <br> by the indicated percent.) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-State Signalized Roadways |  |  | 10\% |  |
| Median \& Turn Lane Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{\text {Lanes }}$ | Median | Exclusive <br> Left Lanes | ExclusiveRight Lanes |  | Adjustment |
|  |  |  |  |  | Factors |
|  | Divided | Yes | N |  | +5\% |
| 1 | Undivided | No | N |  | -20\% |
| Multi | Undivided | Yes | N |  | -5\% |
| Multi | Undivided | No | N |  | -25\% |
|  | - | - | Y |  | +5\% |
| One-Way Facility Adjustment Multiply the corresponding directional volumes in this table by 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

## BICYCLE MODE ${ }^{2}$

(Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.)

## Rural Undeveloped

Paved Shoulder/Bicycle

| Lane Coverage | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-49 \%$ | $*$ | 70 | 110 | 170 |
| $50-84 \%$ | 60 | 120 | 180 | 580 |
| $85-100 \%$ | 140 | 210 | 1,000 | $>1,000$ |

Developed Areas
Paved Shoulder/Bicycle

| Lane Coverage | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $0-49 \%$ | $*$ | 120 | 260 | 840 |
| $50-84 \%$ | 100 | 240 | 720 | 1,000 |
| $85-100 \%$ | 320 | 1,000 | $>1,000$ | $* *$ |

## PEDESTRIAN MODE ${ }^{2}$

(Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.)

| Sidewalk Coverage | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $0-49 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ | 120 | 460 |
| $50-84 \%$ | $*$ | 80 | 430 | 770 |
| $85-100 \%$ | 180 | 520 | 860 | $>1,000$ |

FREEWAYS

| Lanes | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1,680 | 2,500 | 3,040 | 3,500 |
| 3 | 2,500 | 3,720 | 4,560 | 5,400 |
| 4 | 3,360 | 4,980 | 6,080 | 7,200 |

Freeway Adjustments
Auxiliary Lanes
Present in Both Directions

$$
+1,000
$$

UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS

| Rural Undeveloped |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | Undivided | 240 | 430 | 740 | 1,490 |
| 2 | Divided | 1,340 | 2,100 | 2,660 | 3,020 |
| 3 | Divided | 2,020 | 3,150 | 4,000 | 4,530 |
|  |  | Developed Areas |  |  |  |
| Lanes | Median | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | Undivided | 450 | 850 | 1,200 | 1,640 |
| 2 | Divided | 1,350 | 2,120 | 2,730 | 3,110 |
| 3 | Divided | 2,020 | 3,180 | 4,090 | 4,670 |

## Passing Lane Adjustments

Alter LOS B-D volumes in proportion to the passing lane length to the highway segment length

## Uninterrupted Flow Highway Adjustments

Lanes
Median
Exclusive left lanes
Adjustment factors
1

Multi
Yes
Yes
$+5 \%$
No - $-5 \%$ ${ }^{1}$ Values shown are presented as peak hour directional volumes for levels of service and
are for the automobile/truck modes unless specifically stated. This table does not
constitute a standard and should be used only for general planning applications. The
computer models from which this table is derived should be used for more specific
planning applications. The table and deriving computer models should not be used for
corridor or intersection design, where more refined techniques exist. Calculations are
based on planning applications of the Highway Capacity Manual and the Transit
Capacity and Quality of Service Manual.
${ }^{2}$ Level of service for the bic ycle and pedestrian modes in this table is based on number
of motorized vehicles, not number of bic yclists or pedestrians using the facility.

* Cannot be achieved using table input value defaults.
** Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. For the automobile mode,
volumes greater than level of service D become F because intersection capacities have
been reached. For the bicycle mode, the level of service letter grade (including F) is not
achievable because there is no maximum vehicle volume threshold using table input
value defaults.
Source:
Florida Department of Transportation
Systems Planning Office
www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/systems/sm/los/default.shtm

TABLE 9 (continued)

Generalized Peak Hour Directional Volumes for Florida's Rural Undeveloped Areas and Developed Areas Less Than 5,000 Population

12/18/12

| INPUT VALUE <br> ASSUMPTIONS | Uninterrupted Flow Facilities |  |  | Interrupted Flow Facilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Freeways | Highways | Arterials | Bicycle | Pedestrian |  |

## ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

| Area type (ru, rd) | rural | ru | ru | rd | rd | rd | rd | ru | rd | rd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of through lanes (both dir.) | 4-8 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 2 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Posted speed (mph) | 70 | 55 | 65 | 50 | 55 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 45 | 45 |
| Free flow speed (mph) | 75 | 60 | 70 | 55 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 50 | 50 |
| Auxiliary lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (n, nr, r) |  | n | r | n | r | n | r | r | r | n |
| Terrain (1,r) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \% no passing zone |  | 20 |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusive left turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  | [n] | y | [n] | y | y | y | y | y | y |
| Exclusive right turn lanes ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | n | n | n | n | n |
| Facility length (mi) | 14 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of basic segments | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning analysis hour factor (K) | 0.105 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 |
| Directional distribution factor (D) | 0.555 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.550 | 0.570 | 0.570 | 0.550 |
| Peak hour factor (PHF) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Base saturation flow rate (pcphpl) |  | 1,700 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 2,200 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Heavy vehicle percent | 12.0 | 5.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Local adjustment factor | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.97 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% left turns |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 12 |
| \% right turns |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 12 |

## CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS

| Number of signals |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrival type (1-6) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Signal type (a, c, p) |  |  |  |  |  | c | c | a | a | a |
| Cycle length (C) |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 90 | 60 | 90 | 90 |
| Effective green ratio (g/C) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

## MULTIMODAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Paved shoulder/bicycle lane (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{n}, 50 \%, \mathrm{y}$ | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outside lane width (n, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w})$ |  |  |  |  |  | t | t |  |  |
| Pavement condition (d, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u})$ |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk/roadway separation(a, t,w) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk protective barrier (n, y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS

| LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Freeways | Highways |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Two-Lane ru |  | Two-Lane rd | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Multilane ru } \\ \hline \text { Density } \end{gathered}$ | Multilane rd Density |
|  | Density | \%tsf | ats | \%ffs |  |  |
| B | $\leq 14$ | $\leq 50$ | $\leq 55$ | > 83.3 | $\leq 14$ | $\leq 14$ |
| C | $\leq 22$ | $\leq 65$ | <50 | $>75.0$ | $\leq 22$ | $\leq 22$ |
| D | $\leq 29$ | $\leq 80$ | <45 | > 66.7 | $\leq 29$ | $\leq 29$ |
| E | $\leq 36$ | > 80 | $\leq 40$ | > 58.3 | $\leq 34$ | $\leq 34$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of | Art |  |  | Bicycle |  | rian |
| Service | Major Cit | (ats) |  | Score |  |  |
| B | $>31$ |  |  | $\leq 2.75$ |  |  |
| C | $>23$ |  |  | $\leq 3.50$ |  |  |
| D | $>18$ |  |  | $\leq 4.25$ |  |  |
| E | > 15 |  |  | $\leq 5.00$ |  |  |

[^5]
## Tab 4. Rate vs Equation

## Process for Selecting Average Rate or Equation in Trip Generation Manual Data (ITE Trip Generation Handbook $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition)



## Example 3: Rate Vs Equation Examples

For the following examples use the flow chart from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook to determine for each case study if the fitted curve (equation) or average rate should be used to estimate trips, or if local data should be collected. Then calculate the trips.

1. Estimate the trip generation for Land Use Code 140 (Manufacturing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area (GFA). Assume the site will have 800,000 sq. ft. of GFA.
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
2. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 310 (Hotel) on weekday during the PM peak hour of the adjacent street traffic as a function of employees. For this example, assume the hotel will have 100 employees.
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
3. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 813 (Free-Standing Discount Superstore) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area. For this example, assume the store size will be $180,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of GFA.
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
4. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Dwelling Units. For this example, assume the number of units is 300 .
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
5. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 090 (Park-and-Ride Lot with Bus or Light Rail Service) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Parking Spaces. For this example, assume the number of spaces to be 50.
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$
6. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 445 (Multiplex Movie Theater) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Screens. For this example, assume the number of screens to be 20.
Method: $\qquad$ Answer: $\qquad$

## Brief Math Lesson

Defining Variables

- T=Trips
- X= Independent Variable

Using Rate

- Example: Average Rate is 1.16
- Calculate the estimated number of trips by multiplying the average rate by the independent variable. $\mathrm{T}=1.16$ ( X )

Using Fitted Curve Equation

- $\mathrm{T}=0.94(\mathrm{X})+26.49$
- Solve this equation by simply replacing $X$ with your variable.
- $\quad \operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.95 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+0.36$
- Steps for solving natural log equations

1. Take the exponential of both sides of the equations (Assume $X=10$ )

- $\mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Ln}(T)=} \mathrm{e}^{\left(0.95^{*} \ln (10)+0.36\right)}$

2. The exponential of a natural log is 1 therefore:

- $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{e}^{\left(0.95^{*} \operatorname{Ln}(10)+0.36\right)}$
- $\mathrm{T}=13$ Trips


## Tab 5. Internal Captures

## Example 4: Internal Capture | 2 Land Uses

| GROSS TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 180 | 150 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  | 45 | 40 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 225 | 190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | INTERNAL TRIPS (Minimums) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Reduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 164 | 137 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  | 32 | 24 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Exit) Land Use | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Enter |  |  | 16 | 13 |  |  |  |  |

## Example 5: Internal Capture | 2 Land Uses

| GROSS TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  | 18 | 98 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  | 315 | 185 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total 333283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNAL TRIPS (Minimums) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55050 | Land Use | Dail |  | A.M. <br> Hou |  | P.M. <br> Ho |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Reduction |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |
| EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Dail |  | A.M. Hou |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P.M. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Z} \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example 6: Internal Capture |3 Land Uses



|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Y} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \underset{\Delta}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Exit) } \\ \text { Land Use } \end{gathered}$ | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example 7: Internal Capture | 3 Land Uses



|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |


| *** BASED ON EXIT ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Sigma}{4} \\ & \underset{\Delta}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Exit) } \\ \text { Land Use } \end{gathered}$ | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | Exit trips multiplied by the Origin percentages |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BASED | ENTER *** |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Exit) |  |  | (Ent | ) Land Use |  |  |  |
| $\geq$ | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
| 4 | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  | multiplied |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  | by the |
| $\geq$ | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  | Destination |
| 0 | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  | percentages |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| *** MINIMUM *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Z} \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tab 6. Pass-By-Trips

## Example 8: Pass-By-Trips

For the following examples use the provided pass-by pages from the ITE handbook to determine the passby percentage.

1. Land Use Code 813 - Free Standing Discount Superstore, Saturday, Mid-Day Peak Period.

Answer: $\qquad$
2. Land Use Code 853 - Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps, Weekday, PM Peak Period.

Answer: $\qquad$
3. Land Use Code 934 - Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive - Through Window, Weekday, PM Peak Period.

Answer: $\qquad$
4. Land Use Code 945 - Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market, Weekday, PM Peak Period.
Answer: $\qquad$

For the following example apply pass by. The land use is a fast-food restaurant with a drive through window. The PM peak hour od adjacent street traffic is being analyzed. Fill in the blank:

| Land Use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average <br> Rate | Total Trip | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with <br> Drive-Through | 934 | $1,200 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 32.67 |  |  |  |
| Pass By |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tab 7. Final Exercises

## Workbook Example Analysis 1

## MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT ANALYSIS

Proposed Land uses:
Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (8 pumps)
General Office (100,000 square feet)
High-Turnover (Sit-Down) $(5,700)$
Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window $(7,500)$

## TRIP GENERATION

| Trip Generation PM Peak Period Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average Rate | Total Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting Trips |  |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps | 853 | 16 fueling <br> positions | 23.04 |  |  |  |  |
| General Office | 710 | $100,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 1.15 |  |  |  |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 932 | $5,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9.77 |  |  |  |  |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window | 934 | $7,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 32.67 |  |  |  |  |

## INTERNAL CAPTURE REDUCTION

Through the methodology meeting it was determined that the internal capture reduction would be capped at $15 \%$.

| Land use | Internal Capture Trips |  | External Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips | Total <br> Trips |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Office |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 55 | 63 | 310 | 356 | 666 |

## PASS-BY TRAFFIC

| Land use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use <br> Code | Pass-By Trip <br> Percentage | Total Pass-By <br> Trips | Pass-By <br> Entering <br> Trips | Pass-By <br> Exiting Trips |  |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps | 853 | $66 \%$ |  |  |  |
| General Office | 710 | - |  |  |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 932 | $43 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window | 934 | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Pass-By Check PM Peak:

North-South Roadway: $855+906=1,761$
East-West Roadway: $1,523+1,804=3,327$
Shared Volume: $319+272=591$
$1,761+3,327-591=4,497$
$10 \%$ of $4,497=450$
The calculated pass-by is less/more?


|  | Project Trip Summary |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trips | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Gross Total Trips | 784 | 365 | 419 |
| Internal Capture Reduction |  |  |  |
| External Trips |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net New External Trips |  |  |  |

## SEGMENT ANALYSIS

Segments that are significantly impacted by the proposed development will be analyzed. For this example, the roadways where the development traffic makes up 3\% or more of the maximum service volume at the adopted level-of-service target during the PM peak hour will be included in the analysis.

| Segment Study Area Determination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | PHPD Serv. Vol | Project Dist. |  | Project Dir. |  | New <br> Project <br> Trips |  | \% Significant |  | Study Segment |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NB/ } \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NB/ } \\ E B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { /EB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50/Colonial Drive to Story Road | 2 | 713 | 1\% | 1\% | Out | In |  |  |  |  |  |
| Story Road to SR 438/Plant Street | 2 | 713 | 3\% | 3\% | Out | In |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dillard Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beard Road to SR 50 | 4 | 1,530 | 11\% | 11\% | In | Out |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50 to Project Entrance | 4 | 1,530 | 15\% | 35\% | In | Out |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Entrance to SR 438 | 4 | 1,530 | 25\% | 25\% | Out | In |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 438 to Story Road | 4 | 1,530 | 15\% | 15\% | Out | In |  |  |  |  |  |
| Story Road to Book Street | 4 | 1,530 | 10\% | 10\% | Out | In |  |  |  |  |  |


| Segment Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | PHPDServ.Vol | $2020$ <br> Background. |  | New Project Trips |  | Total Trips |  | Deficiency |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB/ } \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | NB/EB | SB/WB |  |
| Dillard Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50 to Project Entrance | 4 | 1,530 | 1,000 | 1,021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Entrance to SR 438 | 4 | 1,530 | 1,100 | 1,021 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Workbook Example Analysis 2

## STUDY INFORMATION

## Land Uses:

High Rise Apartment - 464 Units
Retail (Shopping Center) - 7,000 square feet

## Analysis Period

AM Peak Hour
PM Peak Hour
Trip Generation
Fill in the table below and determine if you should use the equation or the rate.

| Available Trip Generation Average Rates and Equation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land <br> Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average Rate | Equation | $R^{2}$ | Method you <br> Should Use |  |
| $\sum \sum$ | High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Use the average rate for the completion of the table below.

| Trip Generation - Use Average Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM |  |  | PM |  |  |
| Land use | Land Use <br> Code | Size and Units | IN | OUT | Total | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment |  | 464 Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  | 7,000 ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Use attached Internal Capture Sheets

|  | AM Internal Trips |  | PM Internal Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |

## External Trips

| AM Trips | Trip Generation |  | Internal Trips |  | External Trips |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |  |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Trips | Trip Generation | Internal Trips |  | External Trips |  |  |  |  |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |  |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Multimodal Reduction

Within the Central Business District (CBD) where the project is proposed, the recommended transit reduction is approximately 23 percent, and the recommended pedestrian reduction is 10 percent. Taken together, a 33 percent multimodal reduction was applied to the estimated number of external trips during both the morning and evening peak hours.

| AM Trips | External Trips |  | Multimodal Trips |  | Net New External Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Trips | Exte | Trips | Multi | Irips | Net | Exter | Trips |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Workbook Example Analysis 2 | Internal Capture Sheets

| GROSS TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNAL TRIPS (Minimums) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Reduction |  |  | 2.3 |  | 14. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land Use | Dail |  | A.M. <br> Hou |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P.M. } \\ \mathrm{Ho} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 28\% | 63\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 29\% |  | 13\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 31\% | 14\% |  | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Residential | 2\% | 1\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 75\% | 14\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 32\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 4\% |  | 50\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 14\% | 8\% |  | 0\% | 5\% | 4\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Residential | 3\% | 17\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{4}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) Land Use | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tab 8. ITE Resources

## Tab 8 | Table of Contents ITE Trip Generation Manual Tables

|  | Land Use \\| ITE Code | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 090 | Park and Ride Lot with Bus or Light Rail Service | 8-1 |
| 140 | Manufacturing | 8-2 |
| 210 | Single Family Detached Housing | 8-3 |
| 222 | Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) One hour between 7 and 9am | 8-4 |
| 222 | Multifamily Housing (High- Rise) One hour between 4 and 6pm | 8-5 |
| 310 | Hotel | 8-6 |
| 445 | Multiplex Movie Theater | 8-7 |
| 520 | Elementary School | 8-8 |
| 710 | General Office Building | 8-9 |
| 813 | Free- Standing Discount Superstore | 8-10 |
| 820 | Shopping Center One hour between 7 and 9am | 8-11 |
| 820 | Shopping Center One hour between 4 and 6pm | 8-12 |
| 853 | Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps | 8-13 |
| 932 | High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant | 8-14 |
| 934 | Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Through Window | 8-15 |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window | 8-16 |
|  | ITE Pass By and Non-Pass By Trips | Page |
| 813 | Table E. 4 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Saturday, Mid-Day Peak Period Land Use Code 813- Free Standing Discount Superstore | 8-17 |
| 853 | Table E. 16 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 853-Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps | 8-18 |
| 934 | Table E. 32 Pass-By and Non-Pass By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 934-Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Through Window | 8-19 |
| 945 | Table E. 38 Pass-By and Non-Pass By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 945-Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market | 8-20 |

## Park-and-Ride Lot with Bus or Light Rail Service (090)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Parking Spaces
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 78
Avg. Num. of Parking Spaces: 538
Directional Distribution: 79\% entering, 21\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Parking Space

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.42 | $0.06-1.19$ | 0.26 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Manufacturing (140)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 52
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 152
Directional Distribution: $31 \%$ entering, $69 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.67 | $0.07-11.37$ | 0.94 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing

(210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 190
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 242
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.99 | $0.44-2.98$ | 0.31 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) <br> (222) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 25
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 372
Directional Distribution: 24\% entering, 76\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.31 | $0.18-0.48$ | 0.08 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) <br> (222) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 25
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 372
Directional Distribution: 61\% entering, 39\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.36 | $0.23-0.53$ | 0.06 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Hotel (310)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 1
Avg. Num. of Employees: 183
Directional Distribution: $54 \%$ entering, $46 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.89 | $0.52-1.67$ | 0.38 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Multiplex Movie Theater (445)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Movie Screens
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 5
Avg. Num. of Movie Screens: 17
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Movie Screen

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.73 | $9.38-23.69$ | 5.87 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Elementary School (520)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Employees
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 25
Avg. Num. of Employees: 61
Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Employee

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.78 | $0.45-3.98$ | 1.04 |

Data Plot and Equation


## General Office Building

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 32
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 114
Directional Distribution: 16\% entering, $84 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.15 | $0.47-3.23$ | 0.42 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Free-Standing Discount Superstore (813)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 70
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 194
Directional Distribution: 56\% entering, 44\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.85 | $0.81-3.86$ | 0.76 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Shopping Center (820)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 84
1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 351
Directional Distribution: 62\% entering, 38\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.94 | $0.18-23.74$ | 0.87 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Shopping Center (820)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 261
1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 327
Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.81 | $0.74-18.69$ | 2.04 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (853) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 69
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 6
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.04 | $5.75-57.80$ | 11.91 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 

(932)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 107
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 6
Directional Distribution: 62\% entering, 38\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.77 | $0.92-62.00$ | 7.37 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 185
1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 52\% entering, 48\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.67 | $8.17-117.22$ | 17.87 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

## (937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 61 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2<br>Directional Distribution: $51 \%$ entering, $49 \%$ exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 88.99 | $18.32-353.57$ | 48.19 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Table E. 4 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Saturday, Mid-Day Peak Period Land Use Code 813-Free-Standing Discount Superstore 

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIZE (1,000 } \\ & \text { SQ. FT. GFA) } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION | SURVEY DATE | NO. OF INTERVIEWS | TIME PERIOD | PASS-BY <br> TRIP (\%) | NON-PASS-BY TRIP (\%) |  |  | ADJ. STREET PEAK HOUR VOLUME | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | TOTAL |  |  |
| 205 | Louisville, KY | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 360 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 28 | - | - | 72 | 6,144 | 651-652 |
| 216 | Pasadena, TX | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 240 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 16 | - | - | 84 | 11,898 | 651-652 |
| 213 | Cedar Falls, IA | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 156 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 13 | - | - | 87 | 7,484 | 651-652 |
| 204 | Pueblo, CO | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 300 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 11 | - | - | 89 | 4,764 | 651-652 |
| 185 | Plano, IL | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 162 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 18 | - | - | 82 | 3,871 | 651-652 |
| 217 | Sheboygan, WI | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 441 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 22 | - | - | 78 | 8,256 | 651-652 |
| 213 | San Antonio, TX | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 748 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 28 | - | - | 72 | 12,332 | 651-652 |
| 226 | Colonial Heights, VA | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 270 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 26 | - | - | 74 | 12,995 | 651-652 |
| 220 | Milford, PA | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 123 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 26 | - | - | 74 | 7,024 | 651-652 |
| 222 | Marysville, CA | Sept.-Nov. 2007 | 810 | 12:00-4:00 p.m. | 25 | - | - | 75 | 5,429 | 651-652 |

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 21
"-" means no data were provided

Table E. 16 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 853-Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE }(1,000 \\ \text { SQ. FT. } \\ \text { GFA) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION | WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE | NO. OF INTERVIEWS | TIME PERIOD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PASS-BY } \\ & \text { TRIP (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | NON-PASS-BY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | ADJ. STREET PEAK HOUR VOLUME | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | TOTAL |  |  |
| 2.8 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 62 | 11 | 27 | 38 | 2,875 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 2.4 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 58 | 13 | 29 | 42 | 2,655 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 4.2 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | 61 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 58 | 26 | 16 | 42 | 2,300 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 2.6 | Crestwood, KY | 1993 | 68 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 67 | 15 | 18 | 33 | 950 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 3.7 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | 70 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 61 | 16 | 23 | 39 | 2,175 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 3.0 | New Albany, IN | 1993 | 80 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 65 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 1,165 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 2.3 | Louisville, KY | 1993 | 67 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 57 | 16 | 27 | 43 | 1,954 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 2.2 | New Albany, IN | 1993 | 115 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 48 | 16 | 36 | 52 | 820 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 3.6 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | 60 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 56 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 2,505 | Barton-Aschman Assoc. |
| 2.6 | Seminole Co., FL | 1989 | 82 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 73 | 20 | 7 | 27 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.6 | Seminole Co., FL | 1989 | 98 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 81 | 15 | 4 | 19 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.6 | Seminole Co., FL | 1989 | 115 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 69 | 16 | 15 | 31 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.6 | Volusia Co., FL | 1989 | 98 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 74 | 15 | 11 | 26 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.4 | Volusia Co., FL | 1989 | 38 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 74 | 24 | 2 | 26 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.7 | Volusia Co., FL | 1989 | 82 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 87 | 8 | 5 | 13 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.6 | Seminole Co., FL | 1989 | 99 | 2:00-4:00 p.m. | 64 | 28 | 8 | 36 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |
| 2.4 | Volusia Co., FL | 1989 | 38 | 2:00-4:00 p.m. | 68 | 21 | 11 | 32 | - | Tipton Associates Inc. |

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 66
"-" means no data were provided

Table E. 32 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 934-Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window

| SEATS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SIZE } \\ & (1,00 \\ & \text { SO. } \\ & \text { FT. } \\ & \text { GFA } \end{aligned}$ | LOCATION | WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE | NO. OF INTERVIEWS | TIME PERIOD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PASS- } \\ & \text { BY } \\ & \text { TRIP } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | NON-PASS-BY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ. } \\ & \text { STREET } \\ & \text { PEAK } \\ & \text { HOUR } \\ & \text { VOLUME } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | total |  |  |
| - | $\sim 2.6$ | Minn-St. <br> Paul, MN | 1987 | 50 | 3:00-7:00 p.m. | 25 | 27 | 48 | 75 | - | - |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 80 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 38 | - | - | 62 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 100 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 55 | - | - | 45 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 159 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 56 | - | - | 44 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 225 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 48 | - | - | 52 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 88 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 35 | - | - | 65 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| - | <5.0 | Chicago suburbs, IL | 1987 | 84 | 3:00-6:00 p.m. | 44 | - | - | 56 | - | Kenig, O'Hara, Humes, Flock |
| 88 | 1.3 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 68 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 2,055 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 120 | 1.9 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | 33 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 67 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 2,447 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 87 | 4.2 | New Albany, IN | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 56 | 25 | 19 | 44 | 1,632 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 150 | 3.0 | Louisville area, KY area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 31 | 31 | 38 | 69 | 4,250 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| - | 3.1 | $\underset{F L}{\text { Kissimmee, }}$ | 1995 | 28 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 71 | - | - | 29 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 3.1 | Apopka, FL | 1996 | 29 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 38 | - | - | 62 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 2.8 | Winter Springs, FL | 1995 | 47 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 66 | - | - | 34 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 4.3 | Longwood, FL | 1994 | 304 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 62 | - | - | 38 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 3.2 | Altamonte <br> Springs, FL | 1996 | 202 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 40 | 39 | 21 | 60 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 2.9 | Winter Park, <br> FL | 1996 | 271 | 2:00-6:00 p.m. | 41 | 41 | 18 | 59 | - | TPD Inc. |
| - | 3.3* | several | 1996 | varies | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 62 | - | - | 38 | - | Oracle Engineering |

*Average of several combined studies.
Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 50
"-" means no data were provided

Table E. 38 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, PM Peak Period Land Use Code 945-Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE (1,000 } \\ \text { SQ. FT. } \\ \text { GFA) } \end{gathered}$ | VEHICLE <br> FUELING POSITIONS | LOCATION | WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE | NO. OF INTERVIEWS | TIME PERIOD | PASS-BY <br> TRIP (\%) | NON-PASS-BY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | ADJ. STREET PEAK HOUR VOLUME | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | TOTAL |  |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | 83 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 52 | 8 | 40 | 48 | 4,965 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 0.6 | 8 | Louisville, KY | 1993 | 60 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 53 | 20 | 27 | 47 | 1,491 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 0.7 | 10 | Louisville, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 57 | 19 | 24 | 43 | 1,812 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 0.7 | 8 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 72 | 7 | 21 | 28 | 2,657 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 0.7 | 10 | Louisville area, KY | 1993 | - | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 55 | 16 | 29 | 45 | 2,657 | BartonAschman Assoc. |
| 0.8 | 8 | Silver Spring, MD | 1992 | 36 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 67 | 14 | 19 | 33 | 3,095 | RBA |
| 0.4 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Derwood, } \\ & \text { MD } \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | 46 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 46 | 11 | 43 | 54 | 3,770 | RBA |
| 2.1 | 8 | Kensington, MD | 1992 | 31 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 52 | 13 | 35 | 48 | 1,785 | RBA |
| 1 | 8 | Silver Spring, MD | 1992 | 35 | 4:00-6:00 p.m. | 54 | 3 | 43 | 46 | 7,080 | RBA |

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 56
"-" means no data were provided

## Tab 9. Answers

## Example 2: Applying FDOT Generalized Tables

For the following examples use the 12/18/12 FDOT Generalized Service Volume Tables to determine the LOS along the roadway segments.

1. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 30,000. The roadway is a 4-lane divided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph .
Answer: C
2. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 37,900. The roadway is a 4-lane undivided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph with exclusive left lanes.
Answer: F
3. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 65,100. The roadway is a 6-lane freeway in a transition area with auxiliary lanes present in both directions. Answer: B
4. What is the LOS of a roadway that has a Peak Hour directional volume of 1,530 . The roadway is a 4-lane divided Highway located in a Rural Undeveloped Area.
Answer: C
5. What is the LOS of a roadway that has a Peak Hour Two-Way volume of 2,500 . The roadway is a 4-lane divided Non-State Signalized Roadway with a posted speed limit of 30 mph located in a transition area.
Answer: E
6. What is the LOS of a roadway that has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 45,000. The roadway is a 6-lane divided state signalized arterial in an urbanized area with a posted speed limit of 50 mph .
Answer: C

## Example 3: Rate Vs Equation

For the following examples use the flow chart from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook to determine for each case study if the fitted curve (equation) or average rate should be used to estimate trips, or if local data should be collected. Then calculate the trips.

1. Estimate the trip generation for Land Use Code 140 (Manufacturing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area (GFA). Assume the site will have $800,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of GFA.
Method: Weighted Average Answer: $=\underline{800 * 0.67=536}$
2. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 310 (Hotel) on weekday during the PM peak hour of the adjacent street traffic as a function of employees. For this example, assume the hotel will have 100 employees.
Method: Weighted Average Answer: $\underline{100 * 0.89=89}$
3. Estimate the daily trip generation for Land Use Code 520 (Elementary School) on a weekday during the PM peak hour for adjacent street traffic as a function of employees. For this example, assume 70 employees.
Method: Weighted Average Answer: = $\underline{70 * 1.78=125}$
4. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 813 (Free-Standing Discount Superstore) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of gross floor area. For this example, assume the store size will be 180,000 sq. ft. of GFA.
Method: Weighted Average Answer: $=\underline{1.85 * 180=333}$
5. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Dwelling Units. For this example, assume the number of units is 300 .
Method: Fitted Curve Answer: $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+0.20=292$
6. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 090 (Park-and-Ride Lot with Bus or Light Rail Service) on a weekday during the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Parking Spaces. For this example, assume the number of spaces to be 50.
Method: Fitted Curve Answer: $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.85 \operatorname{Ln}(X)-0.07=26$
7. Estimate trip generation for Land Use Code 445 (Multiplex Movie Theater) on a weekday during the PM peak hour of adjacent street traffic as a function of Screens. For this example, assume the number of screens to be 20.
Method: Collect Local Data Answer: $\qquad$

## Example 4: Internal Capture | 2 Land Uses

 KEY

|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | Restaurant |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter |  | 16 | 13 |  |  |  |  |

## Example 5: Internal Capture | 2 Land Uses

KEY

| GROSS TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  | 18 | 98 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  | 315 | 185 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 333283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERNAL TRIPS (Minimums) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52050 | Land Use | Da |  | A.M. Hou |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.M. P } \\ & \text { Hol } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  | 2 | 7 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 |
|  | \% Reduction |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |
| EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  | 11 | 96 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Residential |  |  |  |  | 313 | 178 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Sigma}{4} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip <br> Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{Q} \\ & \underset{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) <br> Land Use | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |

## Example 6: Internal Capture | 3 Land Uses

 KEY

|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Sigma}{4} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip <br> Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Z} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \underset{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) <br> Land Use | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  | 15 |  |  | 6 |  | 21 |
|  | Retail | 4 |  |  |  | 46 |  | 50 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 4 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 23 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter | 8 | 34 |  |  | 52 |  |  |

## Example 7: Internal Capture | 3 Land Uses

 KEY

|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & \frac{Y}{4} \\ & \dot{Q} \\ & \dot{Q} \end{aligned}$ | Origin <br> Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Z} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \underset{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurant | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 5 |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 9 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 15 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Enter | 10 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  |  |

## Example 8: Pass-By-Trips

For the following examples use the provided pass-by pages from the ITE handbook to determine the pass-by percentage.

1. Land Use Code 813 - Free Standing Discount Superstore, Saturday, Mid-Day Peak Period.

Answer: 21\%
2. Land Use Code 853 - Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps, Weekday, PM Peak Period.

Answer: 66\%
3. Land Use Code 934 - Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive - Through Window, Weekday, PM Peak Period.
Answer: 50\%
4. Land Use Code 945 - Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market, Weekday, PM Peak Period.
Answer: 56\%

For the following example apply pass by. The land use is a fast-food restaurant with a drive through window. The PM peak hour od adjacent street traffic is being analyzed. Fill in the blank:

| Land Use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average <br> Rate | Total Trip | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with <br> Drive-Through | 934 | $1,200 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 32.67 | 39 | 20 | 19 |
| Pass By |  |  |  |  | 20 | 10 |
| External Trips New to the System |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |

## Workbook Example Analysis 1

## MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT ANALYSIS <br> ANSWER KEY

Proposed Land uses:
Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (8 pumps)
General Office (100,000 square feet)
High-Turnover (Sit-Down) $(5,700)$
Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window $(7,500)$

## TRIP GENERATION

| Trip Generation PM Peak Period Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land Use <br> Code | Independent <br> Variable | Average Rate | Total Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting Trips |  |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps | 853 | 16 fueling <br> positions | 23.04 | 368 | 184 | 184 |  |
| General Office | 710 | $100,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 1.15 | 115 | 19 | 96 |  |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 932 | $5,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9.77 | 56 | 35 | 21 |  |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window | 934 | $7,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 32.67 | 245 | 127 | 118 |  |

## INTERNAL CAPTURE REDUCTION

Through the methodology meeting it was determined that the internal capture reduction would be capped at $15 \%$.

| Land use | Internal Capture Trips |  | External Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips | Entering <br> Trips | Exiting <br> Trips | Total <br> Trips |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps | 28 | 28 | 156 | 156 | 312 |
| General Office | 3 | 14 | 16 | 82 | 98 |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 5 | 3 | 30 | 18 | 48 |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window | 19 | 18 | 108 | 100 | 208 |
| Totals | 55 | 63 | 310 | 356 | 666 |

## PASS-BY TRAFFIC

| Pass-By Reduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | Land Use <br> Code | Pass-By Trip <br> Percentage | Total Pass-By <br> Trips | Pass-By <br> Entering <br> Trips | Pass-By <br> Exiting Trips |
| Convenience Market with <br> Gasoline Pumps | 853 | $66 \%$ | 206 | 103 | 103 |
| General Office | 710 | - | - | - | - |
| High-Turnover (Sit-Down) <br> Restaurant | 932 | $43 \%$ | 21 | 10 | 11 |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive- <br> Through Window | 934 | $50 \%$ | 104 | 52 | 52 |
| Total Calculated Pass-By |  |  |  |  |  |

## Pass-By Check PM Peak:

North-South Roadway: $855+906=1,761$
East-West Roadway: $1,523+1,804=3,327$
Shared Volume: $319+272=591$
$1,761+3,327-591=4,497$
$10 \%$ of $4,497=450$
The calculated pass-by is less/more?


| Project Trip Summary |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trips | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Gross Total Trips | 784 | 365 | 419 |
| Internal Capture Reduction | 118 | 55 | 63 |
| External Trips | $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ |
| Pass-By Reduction | 331 | 165 | 166 |
| Net New External Trips | $\mathbf{3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ |

## SEGMENT ANALYSIS

Segments that are significantly impacted by the proposed development will be analyzed. For this example, the roadways where the development traffic makes up 3\% or more of the maximum service volume at the adopted level-of-service standard during the PM peak hour will be included in the analysis.

| Segment Study Area Determination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | PHPD <br> Serv. <br> Vol | Project Dist. |  | Project Dir. |  | New Project Trips |  | \% <br> Significant |  | Study Segment |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50/Colonial Drive to Story Road | 2 | 713 | 1\% | 1\% | Out | In | 2 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% | No |
| Story Road to SR 438/Plant Street | 2 | 713 | 3\% | 3\% | Out | In | 6 | 4 | 1\% | 1\% | No |
| Dillard Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beard Road to SR 50 | 4 | 1,530 | 11\% | 11\% | In | Out | 16 | 21 | 1\% | 1\% | No |
| SR 50 to Project Entrance | 4 | 1,530 | 15\% | 35\% | In | Out | 22 | 67 | 1\% | 4\% | Yes |
| Project Entrance to SR 438 | 4 | 1,530 | 25\% | 25\% | Out | In | 48 | 36 | 3\% | 2\% | Yes |
| SR 438 to Story Road | 4 | 1,530 | 15\% | 15\% | Out | In | 29 | 22 | 2\% | 1\% | No |
| Story Road to Book Street | 4 | 1,530 | 10\% | 10\% | Out | In | 19 | 15 | 1\% | 1\% | No |


| Segment Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | No. of Lanes | PHPD <br> Serv. <br> Vol | $2020$ <br> Background. |  | New Project Trips |  | Total Trips |  | Deficiency |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NB} / \\ \mathrm{EB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB/ } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | NB/EB | SB/WB |  |
| Dillard Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 50 to Project Entrance | 4 | 1,530 | 1,000 | 1,021 | 22 | 67 | 1,022 | 1,088 | No |
| Project Entrance to SR 438 | 4 | 1,530 | 1,100 | 1,021 | 48 | 36 | 1,148 | 1,057 | No |

## Workbook Example Analysis 2

## KEY

## Land Uses:

High Rise Apartment - 464 Units
Retail (Shopping Center) - 7,000 square feet

## Analysis Period

AM Peak Hour
PM Peak Hour
Trip Generation
Fill in the table below and determine if you should use the equation or the rate and then calculate trip generation

|  | Available Trip Generation Average Rates and Equation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land use | Land Use Code | Independent Variable | Average Rate | Equation | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Method Used |
| $\underset{\gtrless}{\sum}$ | High-Rise Apartment | 222 | Units | 0.31 | $\mathrm{T}=0.28(\mathrm{X})+12.86$ | 0.90 | Equation |
|  | Retail (Shopping Center) | 820 | Square Feet | 0.94 | $\mathrm{T}=0.50(\mathrm{X})+151.78$ | 0.50 | Equation |
| $\sum_{2}$ | High-Rise Apartment | 222 | Units | 0.36 | $\mathrm{T}=0.34(\mathrm{X})+8.56$ | 0.96 | Equation |
|  | Retail (Shopping Center) | 820 | Square Feet | 3.81 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Ln}(T)= 0.74 \operatorname{LN}(X)+ \\ & 2.89 \end{aligned}$ | 0.82 | Equation |


| Trip Generation - Used Rate for these for simplicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM |  |  | PM |  |  |
| Land use | Land <br> Use <br> Code | Size and <br> Units | IN | OUT | Total | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment | 222 | 464 Units | 35 | 109 | 144 | 102 | 62 | 167 |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 820 | $7,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 4 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 27 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 39 | 112 | 151 | 115 | 79 |

## Use attached Internal Capture Sheets

|  | AM Internal Trips |  | PM Internal Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| High-Rise Apartment | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 1 | 1 | 14 | 5 |

## External Trips

| AM Trips | Trip Generation |  | Internal Trips |  | External Trips |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |  |
| High-Rise Apartment | 35 | 105 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 104 | - |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 19 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 11 | - |  |
| Totals | 54 | 117 | 2 | 2 | 52 | 115 | - |  |
| PM Trips | Trip Generation | Internal Trips | External Trips |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |  |
| High-Rise Apartment | 98 | 63 | 5 | 14 | 93 | 49 | - |  |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 48 | 53 | 14 | 5 | 34 | 48 | - |  |
| Totals | 146 | 116 | 18 | 18 | 127 | 97 | - |  |

## Multimodal Reduction

Within the Central Business District (CBD) where the project is proposed, the recommended transit reduction is approximately 23 percent, and the recommended pedestrian reduction is 10 percent. Taken together, a 33 percent multimodal reduction was applied to the estimated number of external trips during both the morning and evening peak hours.

| AM Trips | External Trips |  | Multimodal Trips |  | Net New External Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment | 34 | 104 | 11 | 34 | 23 | 70 | - |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 18 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 7 | - |
| Totals | 52 | 115 | 17 | 38 | 35 | 77 | - |
| PM Trips | External Trips | Multimodal Trips | Net New External Trips |  |  |  |  |
| Land use | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | Total |
| High-Rise Apartment | 93 | 49 | 31 | 16 | 62 | 33 | - |
| Retail (Shopping Center) | 34 | 48 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 32 | - |
| Totals | 127 | 97 | 42 | 32 | 85 | 65 | - |

## Workbook Example Analysis 2 | Internal Capture

| GROSS TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  | 19 | 12 | 48 | 53 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  | 35 | 105 | 98 | 63 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 54 | 117 | 146 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{2} \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | INTERNAL TRIPS (Minimums) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  | 1 | 1 | 14 | 5 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | \% Reduction |  |  | 2.3 |  | 14.5 |  |
| EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land Use | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  | 18 | 11 | 43 | 39 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  |  | 34 | 104 | 84 | 58 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 28\% | 63\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 29\% |  | 13\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 31\% | 14\% |  | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Residential | 2\% | 1\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 75\% | 14\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Y} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \dot{\Sigma} \\ & \dot{4} \end{aligned}$ | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 32\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 4\% |  | 50\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 14\% | 8\% |  | 0\% | 5\% | 4\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Residential | 3\% | 17\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |


| *** BASED ON EXIT ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Y} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \dot{\Sigma} \\ & \dot{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (Exit) } \\ \text { Land Use } \end{gathered}$ | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | Exit trips multiplied by the Origin percentages |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BASED O | ENTER *** |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Exit) |  |  | (Ent | ) Land Use |  |  |  |
| $\underline{~}$ | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | Retail |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | multiplied |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  | by the |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  | Destination |
| $\dot{\mathbb{L}}$ | Residential |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | percentages |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TotalEnter |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |


|  | Table 6.1 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Origins within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE Trip Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 20\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 2\% |  | 29\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 3\% | 41\% |  | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 2\% | 21\% | 31\% |  | 8\% | 2\% |  |
|  | Residential | 4\% | 42\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 3\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 16\% | 68\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |


|  | Table 6.2 Unconstrained Internal Person Trip Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for Trip Destinations within a Mixed-Use Development (P.M. Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Origin Land Use | Destination Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel | From ITE <br> Trip <br> Generation Handbook |
|  | Office |  | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  |
|  | Retail | 31\% |  | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 17\% |  |
|  | Restaurant | 30\% | 50\% |  | 32\% | 16\% | 71\% |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 1\% |  |
|  | Residential | 57\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |  | 12\% |  |
|  | Hotel | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |



| *** MINIMUM ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Exit) | (Enter) Land Use |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exit |
|  | Land Use | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Ent. | Residential | Hotel |  |
|  | Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 14 |
|  | Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TotalEnter |  | 5 |  |  | 14 |  |  |
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[^0]:    \% ffs = Percent free flow speed ats = Average travel speed

[^1]:    $\% \mathrm{tsf}=$ Percent time spent following $\quad \% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent of free flow speed $\quad$ ats $=$ Average travel speed $\mathrm{ru}=$ Rural undeveloped $\quad \mathrm{rd}=$ Rural developed

[^2]:    \% ffs = Percent free flow speed ats = Average travel speed

[^3]:    Source:
    Florida Department of Transportation
    Systems Planning Office
    www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/systems $/ \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{los} /$ default.shtm

[^4]:    \%tsf $=$ Percent time spent following $\quad \% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent of free flow speed $\quad$ ats $=$ Average travel speed $\quad$ ru $=$ Rural undeveloped $\quad$ rd $=$ Rural developed

[^5]:    $\% \mathrm{tsf}=$ Percent time spent following $\quad \% \mathrm{ffs}=$ Percent of free flow speed $\quad$ ats $=$ Average travel speed $\quad \mathrm{ru}=$ Rural undeveloped $\quad \mathrm{rd}=$ Rural developed

