

#### FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Lane Repurposing VIRTUAL TRAINING



# Lane Repurposing Training

Module 1: Introduction to

Lane Repurposing

- Lane Repurposing Guidebook
- Background
- Configurations and Considerations
- Benefits
- Project Description
- Purpose, Location, Area of Influence, and Existing Conditions

Module 2: Lane Repurposing Analysis

- Proposed Modifications
- Design Variations and Exceptions
- Access Management
- Driveways
- Median Improvements
- Retrofit Considerations and RRR
- Conflict and Non-motorized Users
- Non-Motorized Connections
- Proposed Modifications
- Environmental Requirements
- Traffic Analysis
- Transit Considerations
- On-Street Parking and Delivery Zones
- Safety Analysis
- Public Involvement

### Module 3:

Application Process, Best Practices, and Resources

#### • FDOT Application Process

- Best Practices
- Examples
- Resources



#### **OUR VALUES**

#### **One FDOT**

We are one agency, one team.

#### INTEGRITY

We always do what is right.

#### RESPECT

We value diversity, talent and ideas.

#### COMMITMENT

We do what we say we are going to do.

#### TRUST

We are open and fair.

#### **CUSTOMER DRIVEN**

We listen to our customers.

### OUR MISSION

The department will provide a safe transportation system that ensures the mobility of people and goods, enhances economic prosperity, and preserves the quality of our environment and communities.

### **OUR VISION**

As one FDOT team, we serve the people of Florida by providing a transportation network that is well planned, supports economic growth, and has the goal of being congestion and fatality free.

### VITAL FEW

- Improve Safety
- Enhance Mobility
- Inspire Innovation

### **Contact Information**



#### Jenna Bowman, PE

Systems Management Administrator Systems Implementation Office



#### Gina Bonyani

Senior Transportation Planner Systems Implementation Office







# Module 1

### Introduction to Lane Repurposing



# Lane Repurposing Guidebook

### Released in August 2020

- Lane Repurposing Background
- FDOT Application Process
- Concept Report
  - Considerations and Analysis
- Public Involvement
- Florida Lane Repurposing Examples
- Forms and Templates
- This document replaced FDOT's Lane
  Elimination Phase 1 and 2





# Background

- Previously referred to as a Road Diets, Lane Reduction, or Lane Elimination.
- Lane Repurposing is a way to reassign roadway space to achieve other purposes such as economic development, safety and mobility for all users.

LRG Page



# Configurations

- Reconfiguring the use of road space by reducing the number of travel lanes to provide space for other purposes such as:
  - Continues Raised Median
  - Two-Way Left Turn Lane (TWLTL)
  - Median Islands
  - Bicycle Lanes
  - On-street Parking
  - Bus Pull-outs
  - Delivery Zones
  - Wider sidewalks





# Configurations







### **Benefits**

- Speed reductions
- Impacts total crashes
- Provide facilities for all modes
- Economic Development





### **Considerations**

Four-lane undivided roadways with AADT  $\leq$  20,000 are typically good candidates for a lane repurposing (e.g., converting to a two-lane, twoway road with a center-leftturn lane). However, projects are evaluated for lane repurposing feasibility on a case-by-case basis.





LRG Page 15

### **Project Description**





#### PURPOSE

Typically, the purpose of the projects involves:

- Safety Improvements
- Reconfigure existing cross section to enhance other uses and travel modes
- Traffic Operations Improvements
- Complete Streets
- Community needs

Lane repurposing projects often contribute to the economic development, livability, and vitality of a community.



#### PURPOSE

Other characteristics to be considered when providing justification that a candidate project should be advanced for future consideration:

- Access Management
- Functional Classification
- Right-of-way
- Safety

### Why Repurpose?

- Reduce crashes
- Rebalance the service among travel modes
- Support economic enhancement goals
- Support community goals to improve quality and health



#### LOCATION

- Four-lane undivided roadways with AADT of ≤ 20,000.
- Areas with a robust local roadway network which can absorb some of the diverted traffic from the repurposing project.
- Nearby roads, land uses and other relevant information to aid reviewers in understanding the context of the proposed project.
- Regional connectivity needs for traffic circulation.
- Evacuation and Freight Routes





#### LOCATION

### **Context Classification and Complete Streets**





### AREA OF INFLUENCE

 The area of influence defines how the lane repurposing project may impact surrounding roadways and features during and after its construction.





LRG Page 19

### **Project Description**

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

| Roadway<br>Functional<br>Classification      | FDOT Context<br>Classification | Evacuation<br>Route         | SIS Designation                     |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Posted Speed<br>Limits and<br>Average Speeds | Traffic Data<br>Collection     | Signalized<br>Intersections | Existing Levels<br>of Service (LOS) |



#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

### Methods to collect Data

- Field Visits
- Access Management Plans, Transit Development Plans, Parks and Recreation Plans, Local Agency Parking/Downtown Circulation Plans.





### EXISTING CONDITIONS

Typical Sections-provide detailed cross section depictions of the principal roadway elements that are standard between certain station or milepost limits.

Review as-built plans, design documents, and field investigations for:

- Signage
- Pavement Markings
- On-Street Parking
- Signals
- Project Limits





### EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### **Roadway Functional Classification**

 Changes could affect continuity, connectivity planning, funding, traffic analysis, project prioritizations, and state and federal reporting requirements.

#### **Access Management Plans**

 May need to include access management plans that eliminate, consolidate, and/ or relocate driveways to reduce conflict points





#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

#### **Regional Connectivity**

 Any omission of regional network could potentially omit a critical stakeholder, the best methods for collecting this data are to review FDOT and local transportation plans.





#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Evacuation is a special transportation circumstance that can be planned for in areas especially prone to disasters, such as coastal areas during hurricanes and locations with specific security threats SIS is a high priority network of facilities which are allocated a significant portion of FDOT resources. These facilities contribute to the economic growth and mobility of the State of Florida.

### Evacuation Route



### SIS Designation





# Module 2

### Lane Repurposing Analysis





Design and **Posted Speed** Limits

with Local Plans

Variations and **Exceptions** 



#### CONCEPTUAL DESIGN



 Proposed Typical Sections within the project limits based on the analyses that are conducted for the project.

 Changes such as, reduced widths, shorter crossing distances, improved sight distances, parking removal, Bike and Ped phasing, corner Clearance, or other Design elements should be included within the concept plans.



#### DESIGN AND POSTED SPEED



CHANGES IN DESIGN AND POSTED SPEED LIMITS

- Traffic and safety analysis can lead to design and posted changes.
- Reductions in speed should be noted within the Concept Report.
- There is also a potential need for spotspeed studies to justify these changes because of frequent violations of the posted speed.



#### LOCAL PLANS



CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL PLANS





#### LOCAL PLANS



CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL PLANS

### Programmed funds

- Five Year Work Program, Capital Improvement Program (CIP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
- Funding commitments any established funding priorities



#### Local Plans



CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL PLANS

Lane repurposing projects can be incorporated into other programmed project types to achieve cost and time savings such as:

- Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (RRR)
- Reconstruction
- Restriping (signage and pavement markings)
- New or widened sidewalk
- Addition of transit accommodations



#### Local Plans



CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL PLANS  Other potential funding sources for lane repurposing projects include Federal Transit Administration (FTA), other transit funding sources, grants, local option sales tax revenue, tax increment funding, etc.



### **DESIGN VARIATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS**



- Potential design exceptions and design variations will be required for cross-section elements that do not meet design criteria to ensure the safety of proposed improvements.
- There may also be a need to request a design variation or exception along the roadway(s) due to changes in standards over the years or roadway limitations.z



# **Design Variations and Exceptions**

- The Department's design criteria and standards contained in the FDOT Design Manual are usually within the desirable ranges established by AASHTO.
- When it becomes necessary to deviate from the Department's criteria, early documentation and approval are required. There are two approval processes used by designers: Design Exceptions and Design Variations.
- A Design Exception or Design Variation is required when the Department's criteria are not met. This requirement applies to all entities affecting planning, design, construction, and maintenance.

| Topic #625<br>FDOT Des                                   | -000-002<br>gn Manual  | January 1, 2021  |
|--|--|--|
|  | 122 Design Exceptions and  | d Design Variations  |
| 122.1  | General  |  |
| The Depa<br>are usual<br>been acc<br>process.<br>documen | artment's design criteria and standards<br>by within the desirable ranges establishe<br>peted by the Federal Highway Adminis<br>When it becomes necessary to deviat<br>tation and approval are required. Ther<br>Cossion Excentions and Design Variati | contained in the FDOT Design Manual<br>d by AASHTO. The values given have<br>tration (FHWA) and govern the design<br>e from the Department's criteria, early<br>e are two approval processes used by<br>ons. |

A Design Exception or Design Variation is required when the Department's criteria are not met. This requirement applies to all entities affecting planning, design, construction, and maintenance.

#### 122.1.1 Safety Projects

For projects using safety funds and developed to improve specific safety problems, only the elements identified under the scope of work for the safety improvement project are subject to these approval processes. Existing non-compliant features, within the limits of a safety improvement project do not require approval to remain, if the project does not create a non-compliant condition. The Safety Study must identify all applicable Variations and Exceptions required based on the proposed scope. For these projects, all applicable Design Variations and Design Exceptions must be approved prior to the beginning of the design phase.

#### 122.1.2 Drainage Projects

For drainage projects, only elements identified in the scope of services for the drainage project are subject to these approval processes. The existing features, within the limits of the drainage project that do not meet design criteria, do not require approval to remain (if the project does not create a nonconforming condition).

#### 122.1.3 Maintenance Projects

Maintenance Resurfacing, Ride Only (a.k.a., Ride Rehabilitation) and Skid Hazard Projects do not require Design Exceptions or Design Variations other than for ADA curb ramp requirements. If compliance with ADA curb ramp requirements is determined to be technically infeasible, documentation as a Design Variation is required. Maintenance

122 – Design Exceptions and Design Variations



# **Design Variations and Exceptions**

Design Exceptions are required when existing or proposed design elements do not meet both the Department's governing criteria and AASHTO's new construction criteria for the Controlling Design Elements.

- Design Speed
- Lane Width
- Shoulder Width
- Horizontal Curve Radius
- Superelevation Rate
- Stopping Sight Distance

- Maximum Grade
- Cross Slope
- Vertical Clearance
- Design Loading Structural Capacity



# **Design Variations and Exceptions**

Design Variations are required when existing or proposed design elements do not meet the Department's criteria.

- Clear Zone
- Sight Distance
- American with Disabilities Act (ADA)
- Design elements requiring signature by individual or office noted in FDM 122.7.4.



### **Access Management Considerations**



### DRIVEWAYS

• Add, remove, or consolidate



### **MEDIANS**

• Improvements



PEDESTRIANS, BIKES, TRANSIT ACCOMMODATIONS

• Signs, Transition


## **Driveways**

#### ACCESS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

It is critical to locate the driveways in areas where they will not interfere with other elements.

Some areas where driveways should be restricted are at

- Intersection functional area
- Signalized intersections
- Limited access interchange ramps
- Other driveways and median openings
- Roundabouts.





## **Example: Multiple Driveways, One Property**





## **Median Improvements**

#### ACCESS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

#### Median opening length is governed by the:

- Turning or control radii
- Side street geometrics
- Median (traffic separator) width
- Intersection skews
- Intersection legs





## **Retrofit Considerations**

#### ACCESS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Assessing a Median Opening Guidance



Determination of Major Cross Streets and Major Driveway Locations



**Data Collection** 

Analysis



#### **Recommendations**

Closing a Median Opening 
Altering a Median Opening



### **Retrofit Considerations and RRR Projects**

#### ACCESS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Considerations for Resurfacing, Restauration, and Rehabilitation (RRR):

- Radius improvements at side road driveways due to evidence of off-tracking
- Close abandoned driveway in urban/curb & gutter section to improve ADA accessibility/sidewalk
- Correct driveways that do not meet design standards
- Construct new transit/bus amenities
- Construct new turn lanes to meet projected need
- Lengthen/revise existing turn lanes at signalized intersections due to documented operational issues
  - Any intersection could be revised as needed based on verified crash history



## **Conflict Points and Non-motorized Users**







## **Non-Motorized Connections**

- For design purposes, bicyclists are considered vehicles when traveling within the roadway.
- All users of the roadway benefit from improved safety and operations when conflict points are well managed as part of a comprehensive approach Medians have benefits for vehicular operations and pedestrians.
- Pedestrians are permitted to travel along all non-limited access facilities.
- Installing raised medians or pedestrian crossing islands can help improve safety.





## **Proposed Modifications**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS



If the project has a PD&E phase, the requirements of the FDM 126 are followed during the PD&E study prior to the selection of a preferred alternative.



Coordination with District Office of Environmental Management is needed.



See Part 1, Chapter 2 of the PD&E Manual for additional information.



Traffic Forecasting Methodology LOS Analysis of Building Alternative Vs. No-build Alternative

Delays, Volumes, Queues Analysis Transportation Network/ Corridor Impacts



#### TRAFFIC FORECASTING



TRAFFIC FORECASTING METHODOLOGY

- Preparing a traffic forecast allows for a comparison between the Build and No-Build scenarios for existing and future conditions.
- Size of the area under study and the Level of accuracy needed should be considered to determine the intensity of the data collection and process.





#### LOS ANALYSIS



 The Level-of-service (LOS) analysis should be performed in accordance with the most recent versions of the FDOT Quality/Level-of-Service (QLOS) Handbook and Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology

#### LOS ANALYSIS OF BUILDING ALTERNATIVE VS. NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

 Existing base year data and future year volumes are both important in decisionmaking processes



#### LOS ANALYSIS



 Determinations should be made based not only on the Build Year of the project but may also consider long-range planning goals and objectives depending on the project.

#### LOS ANALYSIS OF BUILDING ALTERNATIVE VS. NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE



#### DELAYS, VOLUMES, QUEUES



#### DELAYS, VOLUMES, QUEUES ANALYSIS

- Volumes are used to measure congestion and estimate traffic flow
- Delay is the criteria used to categorize LOS and is oriented to the driver experience
- Queuing is the result of the interaction of traffic delay and volume and is another way to express the impact to the traffic system while also highlighting the secondary impact to connectivity





#### **TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS**



- Lane repurposing projects may alter the capacity of a corridor by reducing the number of lanes.
- In some corridors, lane repurposing may have a minimal impact to adjacent roadways and alternative parallel routes may not exist.
- The effects of lane repurposing may also have an effect on the transportation network by rerouting traffic to parallel corridors where similar destinations are served.



#### **TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS**



#### TRANSPORTATION NETWORK/ CORRIDOR IMPACTS

- In most cases the purpose of a proposed project includes reallocating roadway space for improvements to bicycle, pedestrian, and transit modes.
- The analysis should consider
- Existing and proposed pedestrian circulation,
- Mid-block and signalized intersection crossings
- Transit connectivity and crash data
- The need for dedicated bicycle facilities and/or shared use paths
- Data on existing, nearby and future on-street parking
- Service providers such as e-scooter, dockless bicycles and other modes that lend themselves to first/last mile service.



#### **TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS**



TRANSPORTATION NETWORK/ CORRIDOR IMPACTS  FHWA has published the Guidebook for Developing Pedestrian and Bicycle Performance Measures which includes a matrix of 30 measures which are tied to many potential project's goals such as:





FDOT

#### **TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS**



**CORRIDOR IMPACTS** 

#### If transit is present or proposed on a lane repurposing project, it is important to have the transit agency represented in the project planning process.

 If the lane repurposing is being championed by a transit agency to accommodate BRT or other premium transit service, then it is important for the lane repurposing process and the transit planning process to be connected.







## **Operational Considerations**

- Number of access Points
- Intersection and Midblock capacity
- Turn Lane Reallocation
- Re-evaluate traffic signal phasing and timing
- Quantify and compare additional delays and queues



## **Transit Considerations**

By going to a single-lane in each direction, frequent transit stops may cause additional delay

- Reassess bus stop location and spacing
- Consider bus pullouts





## **On-street Parking**

#### Consider:

- Impact on parking maneuvers
- Parking spot design (parallel vs diagonal)
- Interactions between bicyclist and parking vehicles





## **Delivery Zones**

Consider the current and future needs for delivery zones and loading areas. Removal or relocation of delivery zones may impact truck access to businesses. Where there is only one through lane per direction, trucks that stop for deliveries are likely to block auto traffic.





## **Safety Analysis**

- High crash numbers and rates
- High crash locations by type
- Rear-end crashes from leftturning vehicles
- Left-turning vehicles stopped in the inside travel lane
- Sideswipe and angle crashes due to lane changes

- Pedestrian and bicycle crashes
- Wide crossing distances for pedestrians and bicyclists
- High differential in speeds in travel lanes



SAFETY AND OPERATIONAL IMPACTS



CRASH DATA ANALYSIS



## **Safety Analysis**

#### SAFETY AND OPERATIONAL



SAFETY AND OPERATIONAL IMPACTS

- Safety and operational considerations and evaluation metrics will be agreed upon between the district Review Team and the applicant in the Initial Meeting.
- These may be key considerations in identifying the goals for a lane repurposing project.



## **Safety Analysis**

#### **CRASH DATA**



- Applicants should conduct a 5-year crash analysis of the corridor to determine the specific types of crashes.
- The district will want the applicant to identify the high crash segment/intersection locations by crash type and check to see if the project is on or close to an identified high crash location. These are the segments and locations on the SHS with the highest number of crashes by district.



### Non-Motorized Connections Safety Countermeasures

- Medians and Pedestrian Refuge Islands Improve Pedestrian Midblock Crossings
- Nighttime Conditions
  - Raised medians and refuge islands provide a space to install improved lighting at pedestrian crossing locations.
  - Improved lighting has been shown to reduce the nighttime pedestrian fatalities at crossings by 78%.
- Delay Reduction
  - Raised medians and refuge also reduce the amount of delay incurred by pedestrians waiting for a gap in traffic to cross.





### Non-Motorized Connections Safety Countermeasures

- Midblock Crossing Locations
- Consider medians or pedestrian crossing islands in curbed sections of urban and sub-urban multi-lane roadways.
  - Midblock areas
  - Approaches to multi-lane intersections
  - Areas near transit stops or other pedestrian focused sites
- Providing raised medians or pedestrian refuge areas at marked crosswalks has demonstrated a 46 and 56 percent reduction in pedestrian crashes, respectively



## Non-motorized Connections | Safety

- Other important design considerations for pedestrian refuge islands:
- Include a vertical element (such as landscaping, bollard, or other) on pedestrian refuges to ensure visibility to motorists;
- Use the "z crossing" or angled crossing design for the pedestrian refuge to ensure that pedestrians are facing oncoming traffic





## Safe Transportation for Every Pedestrian (STEP)- Spectacular 7



Crosswalk Visibility Enhancements



Raised Crosswalks



Pedestrian Refuge Islands



Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon



Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB)



Road Diets



Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)



## Safe Transportation for Every Pedestrian (STEP) | FHWA EDC-4

- Benefits
- Improved Safety. Countermeasures are available that offer proven solutions for reducing pedestrian fatalities at uncontrolled crossing locations.
- Targeted Investment. By focusing on uncontrolled locations, agencies can address a significant national pedestrian safety problem.
- Enhanced Quality of Life. Improving crossing opportunities boosts quality of life for pedestrians of all ages and abilities.



# Safe Transportation for Every Pedestrian (STEP)



Federal Highway Administration



## Safe Transportation for Every Pedestrian (STEP)



- Support by the local community is crucial to the long-term success of a lane repurposing project.
- To assist with understanding the public involvement and decisionmaking process for FDOT projects on the SHS, the <u>FDOT Public</u> <u>Involvement Handbook</u> should be referenced throughout the project process.



https://www.fdot.gov/planning/policy/publicinvolvement/index



- Public involvement is a key component of a successful lane repurposing project.
- It is important to balance the needs of the local residents of the project corridor, as well as those who utilize it for commuting or other purposes.
- Documenting public involvement for lane repurposing projects is not only a best practice but is required in the concept report.
- Applicants must lead all public involvement during the planning phases of a lane repurposing projects. Once a project involves design or construction, then FDOT will become involved.





Requirements of <u>F.S. 335.199</u> should be followed when the proposed project will divide a state highway, erect median barriers modifying currently available turning movements, or have the effect of closing or modifying an existing access to an abutting property owner. Such as:

- When FDOT is the applicant of the proposed project
- During resurfacing, restoration and rehabilitation (RRR) projects that include the Lane Repurposing with FDOT funding
- If the local government is proposing with FDOT funding or if FDOT participates financially in the funding of any Lane Repurposing project on a State Highway requested by the local Government



- Any Lane Repurposing project on a State Highway funded solely by the local government, that does not: divide a state highway, erect median barriers modifying currently available turning movements, or have the effect of closing or modifying an existing access to an abutting property owner, the Department recommends that the local government provide notice and an opportunity for public meeting and public comment.
- More resources and information in FDM 104, Public Involvement as well as the FDOT Access Management Guidebook.



- There are multiple tools available to assess and/or build community support for a lane repurposing project. These include the following:
- Polls
- Media
- Workshops
- Virtual Meetings







## Module 3

Application Process, Best Practices, Project Examples, and Resources




# **FDOT Application Process**



### Who can be an Applicant?







LRG Page 13

### **Review Teams**





### **District Team**

Planning Office Environmental Management Office Modal Development Office Design Office Traffic Operations Office

### **Central Office Team**

Systems Implementation Office Roadway Design Office Traffic Engineering and Operations Office Chief Planner Chief Engineer



The application process consists of three main steps:

- Coordination between Applicant and the District
- A preliminary review and approval by District
- The final review and approval by Central Office (CO).





### Step 1: Project Initiation

- First communication between the Applicant and the District
- Resources (Forms, Handbooks, Guidance)
- Initial Meeting (Form 126-A)
- Notification to Central Office (Form 126-B)





### Initial Meeting

- Scheduled by the District
- Purpose: Discuss the proposed Project with the District Team
- Applicant should provide a complete Form 126-A to the DLRC prior to the meeting

|  |              | Form 126-A  |
|--|--------------|---|
| Initial Meeting and  | Me           | ethodology Checklist  |
| The Applicant should prepare the following list of items to dis<br>require the Applicant to address these items in the Concept F | cus:<br>Repo | s at the initial meeting. The District Review Team may<br>ort.  |
| Project Information  |              |   |
| Project Location   |              | Jurisdiction(s) in which the Project is Located   |
| Project Limits   |              | Proposed Change in Lane Configuration   |
| Project Length   |              | Project Schedule  |
| Project Purpose  |              | Context Classification  |
| Conceptual plan (including transitions to<br>and from the lane repurposing section)  |              | Public Involvement, agency outreach and<br>endorsement.   |
| Existing and long-range future AADT  |              | Existing design and posted speeds   |
| (the latter based on historical growth   |              | Existing and future typical section   |
| and the regional travel demand model)  |              | Target speed with anticipated changes   |
| the applicable Long-Range Transportation<br>Plan (LRTP), Transportation Improvement  |              | in posted speed limits and design speeds  |
| Program (TIP), Transit Development Plan<br>(TDP), comprehensive plan, master plans,<br>visione and Complete Streacts initiating  |              | Need for design variations<br>or design exceptions  |
| Status of the roadway as an Evacuation Route, freight mute, and part of the  |              | Plan for obtaining input and review from<br>businesses, residents, and other<br>stakeholders                                  |
| Strategic Intermodal System (SIS)  |              | Plan for receiving endorsement from   |
| Status of the roadway as a major transit   |              | elected officials   |
| corridor per the LRTP or TDP   |              | Funding source and cost estimates   |
| Proposed use(s) for the right-of-way after<br>lanes are eliminated (e.g., widened<br>sidewalks, bioxical lanes                   |              | Size of impact area-parallel and cross<br>streets   |
| landscaping, on-street parking, transit<br>lanes)  |              | Potential implementation strategy and<br>partner commitments  |
| Impact on bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure<br>and connectivity  |              | Impact on School crossing locations and<br>midblock crossing  |
| Impact on parking  |              | Need to add, remove, or modify traffic  |
| Impact on transit routes, stop<br>locations (including appropriateness of turn   |              | signals   |
| radii and lane widths), include total<br>number of stops and routes in the area.   |              | Near and long-range multimodal level of<br>service (LOS) and queuing analysis for<br>intersections and segments in the impact |
| Existing right-of-way width and any<br>proposed changes to the right-of-way width  | _            | area under build and no-build scenario  |
| Anticipated changes in jurisdictional<br>responsibility for ownership or<br>maintenance of the roadway                           |              | Mitigation to address the significant adverse<br>impact on state roads and regional<br>transportation system                  |
| Anticipated changes in functional<br>classification and access management<br>classification                                      |              | Crash data summary and analysis for the<br>segments and intersections within the<br>project limits                            |
|  |              | Case-specific special considerations to<br>be determined (e.g., railroad crossing   |

improvements)



### • Form 126-B Initial Notice to Central Office

- Project Description
- District Concurrence
- Notification to Central Office
  - Systems Implementation Office

| To:   | inistrator From:   | ane Elimination Coordinator | e:               |
|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------|
| The intent of this notion<br>request for lane repur | e is to inform Central Office<br>posing on the State Highway | that District<br>y System.  | has received a   |
| PROJECT INFORMA                                     | TION   |                             |                  |
| State Road and Project                              | x Location   |                             |                  |
| Roadway ID:   | Project Limits (MP) From                                     | nto                         |                  |
| Roadway ID:   | Project Limits (MP) From                                     | n to                        |                  |
| Context Classification:                             |  |                             |                  |
| Applicant:  |  |                             |                  |
| Project Description:                                |  |                             |                  |
| Proposed Change in C                                | Cross Section: From  | lanes to                    | lanes            |
| ACTIONS AND OUTO                                    | OMES TO DATE:  |                             |                  |
|   | ed in a meeting with   |                             | process. At that |

#### NEXT STEPS:

The Applicant will submit a Draft Concept Report (containing a proposed typical section) as the lane repurposing review process proceeds. If the District reviewers find the Draft Concept Report acceptable, the Applicant submits a formal Application Package (including the Final Concept Report) to the District. If the Application Package is complete and acceptable, the lane repurposing request will be approved at the District level. The Final Application Package along with signed Form-C will be sent to Central Office for final approval.

#### Concurrences

|   | Date: |  |
|---|-------|--|
| District Planning and Environmental Administrator |       |  |
|   | Date: |  |
| District Design Engineer                          |       |  |
|   | Date: |  |
| District Traffic Operations Engineer              |       |  |



### Step 2: District Preliminary Review

- Concept Report and typical sections are reviewed at the district level
- After District final approval, Form 126-C will be submitted to Central Office





# Form 126-C Final Review and Approval Notice to Centra Office

- Project Description
- District Concurrence
- Chief Planner Concurrence
- Chief Engineer Approval

| completed the revie   | essage is to inform Cer<br>w for the following lane | ntral Office that<br>repurposing p | District<br>roject on the State H | has<br>ighway Syst |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| PROJECT INFORM  | IATION<br>oject Location:                           |                                    |                                   |                    |
| Roadway ID:   | Project Limits (M                                   | IP): From                          | to                                |                    |
| Roadway ID:   | Project Limits (N                                   | IP): From                          | to                                |                    |
| Context Classification  | on:A  | ccess Manager                      | ment Classification:              |                    |
| Target Speed:   | Design Spe  | ed:                                | Posted                            | Speed:             |
| Applicant:<br>Project Description:  |   |                                    |                                   |                    |
| Proposed Change ir  | n Cross Section: From                               |                                    | lanes to                          |                    |
| SIS IN<br>Attachments: C  | IS<br>Concept Report                                | Plan Views                         | Typical Section                   | ns                 |
| District Concurren  | ces:  |                                    |                                   |                    |
| District Planning and Enviro  | onmental Administrator                              | Date:                              |                                   | _                  |
|   |   | Date:                              |                                   | _                  |
| District Design Engineer  |   |                                    |                                   |                    |
| District Design Engineer<br>District Traffic Operations E                 | Ingineer  | Date:                              |                                   |                    |
| District Design Engineer District Traffic Operations E Central Office Con | Engineer<br>Currence:                               | Date:                              |                                   | -                  |



Step 3: Final Review and Approval

- Coordination between Applicant and the District
- A preliminary review and approval by District
- The final review and approval by Central Office (CO).





### **Required Forms FDM Chapter 103**

Form 126 A: Initial Meeting and Methodology Checklist Form 126 B: Lane Repurposing Initial Notice to Central Office

#### Form 126 C: Lane Repurposing Final Approval Notice to Central Office

| INITIAL MEETING AND METHODOLOGY   | Y CHECKLIST   | LANE REPURPOSING INITIAL NOTICE TO CENTRAL OFFICE   | Lane Repurposing Final Review and Approval Notice to Central Office   |
|---|---|---|---|
| This is a list of items that the Applicant should prepare to discuss at the in<br>Team may require the Applicant to address these items in the Concept F  | initial meeting and the District Review<br>I Report, as needed.   | To:Date:Date:   | The intent of this notice is to inform Central Office that Districthas completed review<br>for the following lane repurposing project on the State Highway System.  |
| Project Information       Jurisdiction         Project Limits       Proposed         Project Limits       Proposed         Project Length       Project Purpose         Conceptual plan (including transitions to and from<br>the lane repurposing section) that meet FDOT<br>Design Standards for all modes       Public In<br>endorsem         Existing and long-range future AADT (the latter<br>based on historical growth and the regional travel<br>demand model)       Target sps<br>speed limit         Consistency of the proposed project with the<br>applicable Long-Range Transportation (TIP),<br>Transportation Ingrovement Program<br>(RTP), Transportation Ingrovement Program<br>(RTP) or Tomes and othe Strategic Intermodal<br>System (SIS)       Size of img<br>Size of img<br>Size of img<br>(LoS) and the roadway as a major transit corridor<br>per the LRTP or TDP<br>Proposed use(s) for the right-of-way after lanse<br>(LoS) and number of stops and routes in the<br>area.       Need to at<br>(LoS) and<br>segments<br>build scen<br>ranget on transit routes, stop locations (including<br>appropriaterses of turn radi and lane witths),<br>include to tal number of stops and routes in the<br>area.       Crash da<br>segment a<br>segment a<br>segment and<br>changes to the right-of-way width and any proposed<br>changes to the right-of-way width and any proposed<br>chareages t | Involvement, agency outreach and<br>ment.<br>Classification<br>Involvement, agency outreach and<br>ment.<br>design and posted speeds<br>and future typical section<br>peed with anticipated changes in posted<br>mits and design speeds<br>and future typical section<br>peed with anticipated changes in posted<br>mits and design speeds<br>receiving endorsement from elected<br>source and cost estimates<br>mpact area-parallel and cross streets<br>i implementation strategy and partner<br>nents<br>on School crossing locations and<br>c crossing<br>add, remove, or modify traffic signals<br>d long range multimodal level of service<br>d queuing analysis for intersections and<br>c crossing<br>add, remove, or modify traffic signals<br>d long range multimodal level of service<br>d queuing analysis for intersections and<br>ts in the impact area under build and no-<br>mario.<br>n to address the significant adverse<br>on state roads and regional<br>tation system<br>data summary and analysis for the<br>tand intersections in the project limit.<br>ecific special considerations to be<br>level (e.g., railroad crossing<br>ments) | Typens Utwageneer/Administrator       District Leve Recordured Contends         The intent of this notice is to inform Central Office that District       has received a request for lane repurposing on the State Highway System.         PROJECT INFORMATION         State Road and Project Location: | PROJECT INFORMATION         State Road and Project Location:         Roadway ID:       Project Limits (MP): from         Roadway ID:       Project Lossification:         Context Classification:       Access Management Classification:         Target Speed:       Design Speed:       Posted Speed:         Transit facilities (stops and routes):       Yes       INO         Applicant:       Project Description:       Ianes to       Ianes         SIS       NHS       Ianes to       Ianes         Attachments:       Concept Report       Plan views       Typical sections         District Concurrences:       Date: |

FDOT

https://www.fdot.gov/roadway/fdm/default.shtm

### **Concept Reports**

- Concept report is required by the applicants for a lane repurposing application
- Reviewed by the District Team and Central Office Team
- The Concept report consists in various parts required for the review like
  - Project description,
  - Proposed modifications,
  - Traffic analysis,
  - Safety analysis,
  - Supporting documentation
    - Public Involvement
    - Simulations files
    - Other





FDOT

#### LRG Page 47

### **Best Practices**



Early Coordination with FDOT



Traffic Monitoring Best Practices



# **Project Examples**





Project 1 – SR A1A (North Fort Lauderdale Beach Boulevard)

- This corridor is extremely popular with beach visitors with onstreet parking and access points to the beach.
- Purpose of the project was to create a safer environment for pedestrians and bicyclist by reducing the vehicular speeds and improving the roadway features.





Project 1 – SR A1A (North Fort Lauderdale Beach Boulevard)

- SR A1A is an example of a four-lane facility being reduced to two lanes
- Wider sidewalks were created, bike lanes were added, the median was landscaped, pedestrian lighting was installed, and two signalized mid-block pedestrian crossings were added.





#### SR 693 | Pasadena Avenue

- This corridor is a part of a larger BRT Design Project that extends from Downtown St. Petersburg to St. Pete Beach.
  - This BRT project will use transit signal prioritization if the buses fall behind in schedule over 5 minutes and other transit related priority strategies.
- The Purpose of adding BAT lanes is to allow transit priority treatments for buses using the corridor.





#### SR 693 | Pasadena Avenue

#### Figure 4.1: Proposed Typical Section from Matthews Road/Shore Drive to Park Street



#### Figure 4.2: Proposed Typical Section from Park Street to Central Avenue



- While the overall intersection delays did increase, the intersections maintained a LOS D operation.
- To address the bicyclists infrastructure concerns, the outermost lanes will be used to allow bicyclist to share the roadway with buses and right turning vehicles only.





#### US 90 | SR 10 Monticello

- The purpose of this project is to increase safety by providing a refuge for vehicles making left turns, as well as separating bicyclists from vehicular traffic.
- The existing typical section is a four-lane corridor with sidewalks on each side with curb and gutter. The proposed typical section will be three lanes with one travel lane in each direction and a two-way center left turn lane and include bicycle lanes and on street parking in both directions.







### US 90 | SR 10 Monticello

- It was concluded that "while the repurposing of lanes in this area will slightly reduce level of service for the roadway segment, this reduction is still within an acceptable range for this sort of facility."
- The results of the Level of Service analysis using Highway Capacity Software (HCS) determined US 90 is experiencing LOS A with the existing typical section and would experience LOS B after the lane repurposing is implemented.



#### US 41B (SR 685) N. Florida Ave

- The alignment is proposed to be a dedicated transit lane.
- This segment is classified as C6 Urban Core with a posted speed of 35mph.
- Florida Ave is listed as truck route on the Hillsborough County Truck Route Plan.



### US 41B (SR 685) N. Florida Ave

- The concept plan incorporates a transit stop area, relocated parking, and transit only lane.
- Design Phase will also incorporate speed management strategies and techniques to achieve the target speed.





### US 41B (SR 685) N. Florida Ave

- Lane repurposing will not impact the existing transit routes
- Bike lane
  - Relocated on the adjacent roadway, Franklin St.
  - On Franklin Street, vehicles travel at a lower speed and in small scale context which provides bicycles safe, direct access to the adjacent business and commercial uses.





#### SR 5 South Dixie Highway

- The purpose is to improve safety, multi-modal function and aesthetic appeal of the corridor.
- Project supported by locals.
- This road segment is classified as C4.
- No adverse impact to adjacent corridors, transit, trucks and emergency vehicles





#### SR 5 South Dixie Highway

- The benefits of this project are to provided a safer access to walking and biking, improve crossing the street easier and safer.
- Also, will improve both aesthetic and economic benefits to the corridor, reduce vehicular travel speeds, and add on-street parking opportunities



### Projects between 2014-2020







### Projects between 2014-2020





### What does not constitute a LR Project









Narrowing Lanes

Add Exclusive Left/Right Turns lanes

Drop off Lanes

Operational Improvements





# Resources



### Lane Repurposing Guidebook



- Resources
- Process
- Forms and Templates
- Examples

https://www.fdot.gov/planning/systems/programs/sm/lanerepurposing/



### **SIO Website**

- Contacts
- Guidebook
- Other Resources
- Training and Webinars

https://www.fdot.gov/planning/systems/





### FDM Chapter 126

- General information
- Process
- Forms (Chapter 103)

https://www.fdot.gov/roadway/fdm/

| Topic #625-000-002<br>FDOT Design Manual    | January 1, 2021 |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 126 Lane Repurposing Projects               | 6               |  |  |  |  |
| Modification for Non-Conventional Projects: |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Delete <i>FDM</i> 126.                      |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 126.1 General                               |                 |  |  |  |  |

Lane repurposing projects (a.k.a., "road diets", "lane elimination", or "lane reduction") are intended to reduce the number of travel lanes to achieve systemic improvements. Generally, the purpose of these projects is to reconfigure the existing cross section to enhance other uses and travel modes. Lane repurposing projects typically contribute to the economic development, livability and vitality of a community. The recovered travel way can be used to accommodate other uses such as separated or buffered bicycle lanes, wider sidewalks, landscaping, on-street parking, bulb-outs, traffic calming, transit, and pedestrian refuge islands. Guidance on the development and review processes for repurposing lanes on the SHS is provided in the Department's *FDOT Lane Repurposing Guidebook.* 

A local government entity (e.g., municipality, county, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) or the Department can submit a request for the repurposing of travel lanes on the State Highway System (SHS)). A private entity may only submit a request through a local government entity. Proposed lane repurposing projects may be part of a larger community vision. With sufficient advanced planning, lane repurposing projects are often done in conjunction with Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (RRR) projects. It is preferred that lane repurposing projects be identified ahead of time through a planning exercise such as a district area wide multimodal mobility plan, community vision plan, or downtown redevelopment plan.

If the project has a PD&E phase, the requirements of this chapter are followed during the PD&E study prior to the selection of a preferred alternative. See **Part 1, Chapter 2** of the <u>PD&E Manual</u> for additional information.

#### 126.2 Requirements

Lane repurposing projects must comply with AASHTO and Department design criteria. A Design Exception or Design Variation is required when an existing or proposed design element does not comply with the governing criteria. See *FDM* **122** for information on Design Exceptions and Design Variations.

126 Lane Repurposing Projects



### **ConnectPed Public Site**





https://www.fdot.gov/roadway/csi/default.shtm

### **Other Resources**





E-Updates | FL511 | Site Map | Translate



### **Public Involvement Resources**

Florida Statue 335.199

FDM 104- Public Involvement

Public Involvement Handbook

**Office of Policy Planning** 

| 73UUI                             | 663  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                                   |  |  | Ida Department of E-Updates   PL511   Site Map   Translates  |
|                                   |  | Home About   | FDOT Context Contact Us Maps & Data Offices Performance Projects   |
|                                   |  | Office of Policy Planning  |  |
|                                   |  | Office of Policy Planning  | Public Inschwerzent  |
|                                   |  | Public Involvement   |  |
|                                   |  | Introduction   |  |
| TOOT                              |  | The Florida Department of Transportatio<br>multiple opportunities to be involved in a<br>coolex-cutter approach to public involve<br>updated as a project progresses, should<br>the most appropriate looks for each add  | on has a long-standing commitment to identifying our stakeholders and giving them<br>our transportation delivery process, regardless of phase. We recognize that there is not a<br>ment activities, rather that all of our efforts is engage the public should be thethe and<br>be scaled to match the magnitude or complexity of the project, and should strive to use<br>ence. |
|                                   |  | Listen to FDOT Secretary Kevin Thibu<br>deliver transportation solutions for Florid  | ault discuss the Department's commitment to public involvement as we work together to<br>as a residents and visitors.  |
| Public Involvement                |  | Current Guidance   |  |
| Handbook                          |  |  | era. With a strong commitment to delivering responsive transportation solutions<br>other stakeholders, we are thinking outside the box. We hope you will use this<br>revertive solutions to public involvement. Please share your ideas for new<br>and best practice materials for your public involvement activities.   |
|                                   | Topic #625-000-002<br>FDOT Design Manual   | January 1, 2020  | anng Guidance Updatedl (October 6, 2020)   |
|                                   | 104 Pub  | olic Involvement   |  |
|                                   | 104.1 General  |  | Hearings Newl (October 6, 2020)  |
|                                   | FDOT's policy on Public Involvement  | t Opportunities, Topic No. 000-525-050 states:   | c Meeting Using GoToWebinar (Nice)   |
|                                   | "The Department recognizes the   | e importance of involving the public in information  | h Script   |
|                                   | exchange when providing trans<br>State's transportation needs. Th<br>of Transportation to promote p<br>exchange activities in all function<br>area condition and project renui   | sportation racillities and services to best meet the<br>neerfore, it is the policy of the Florida Department<br>public involvement opportunities and information<br>nal areas using various techniques adapted to local<br>rements."   | Public Meeting (Recorded Webinar) (July 23, 2020)<br>and Surveys in GoToWebinar (July 22, 2020)  |
| rida Department of Transportation | Detailed information on Public Involvement activities and requirements can be found in   |  | me 9, 2020)  |
| April 2018                        | the Project Development and Enviro<br>11 and the <u>Public Involvement Hand</u>  | onment Manual ( <u>PD&amp;E Manual</u> ) Part 1, Chapter<br><u>book</u> .  | or Virtual Meetings  |
|                                   | Typically, when a project reaches the design phase, many of the project commitments  |  | or the Practitioner  |
|                                   | and community issues have already t<br>design alternatives need to be reeval<br>commitments made in previous pha<br>responsible for carrying them out. If cc<br>affect FDOT's ability to meet commitme<br>the affected community. In such case | been identified. However, there are times when<br>uated to determine their community impacts. Any<br>isses are communicated to designers, who are<br>constraints arise that require design changes which<br>ents, then the process would require follow-up with<br>es, additional public involvement and community | Proficiency (June 30, 2020)<br>20)<br>20)<br>18)   |
|                                   | impact assessment may be necessary<br>Projects may have the following potent<br>the design phase:  | to address public concerns.<br>tial community impacts that are not identified until  |  |
|                                   | (1) Impacts on public safety, include  | ing people with disabilities   |  |
|                                   | <ul> <li>(2) School crossings or other areas</li> <li>(3) Aesthetic features such as land;</li> </ul>  | of high pedestrian activity<br>scaping or tree replacement   |  |
|                                   | (4) Medians or access changes  | souping of the replacement   |  |
|                                   | <ul> <li>(5) Intersections and driveways, inc.</li> <li>(6) Accessibility of corrider business</li> </ul>  | cluding audible signalized intersections   |  |
|                                   | <ul> <li>Accessibility or corridor business</li> <li>Significant improvements to bic</li> </ul>  | ses and neighborhoods<br>ycle, pedestrian and transit facilities   |  |
|                                   | <ul><li>(8) Lighting</li><li>(9) Maintenance of Traffic</li></ul>  |  |  |
|                                   | 104-Public Involvement   |  |  |
|                                   |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | 1  |  |
|                                   |  |  |  |





# Questions



Gina Bonyani Senior Transportation Planner Systems Implementation Office Gina.Bonyani@dot.state.fl.us (850)414-4707



Jenna Bowman, P.E Systems Management Administrator Systems Implementation Office Jenna.Bowman@dot.state.fl.us (850)-414-4909

