



Multimodal Access Management Applications Guide

June 2024



STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION OFFICE 605 Suwannee Street, MS 19 • Tallahassee, FL 32399 www.fdot.gov/planning



Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Driveways	2
	SR 44 (West Main Street) Driveway Closure	5
	US 27 Driveway Relocation	7
	SR 820 Driveway Consolidation and Cross Access	9
	US 301 Driveway Relocation and Cross Access	.11
	SR 45 (US 41) Driveway Relocation and Length	.13
	SR 716 Driveway with On-Site Queuing and Cross Access	.15
	US 19 with Adequate On-Site Queuing and Cross Access	.17
	SR 572 Emergency Only Access	.19
	SR 52 Backage Road	.21
3.	Median Openings	.23
	US 41 at 8th Avenue Closure of Median Opening	.25
	US 92 Driveway Median Opening Modification	.27
	US 98 at Hoffman Drive Closure of Directional Median Opening	.29
4.	Corridor Access Management Plan (CAMP)	.31
	SR 438 (Princeton Street) CAMP	.33
	SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) CAMP	.37
	US 1 CAMP	.41
	SR 44 (Dixie Avenue) CAMP	.45

Figures

Figure 1. Driveway Consolidation	. 3
Figure 2. Improper Driveway Length	. 3
Figure 3. Left-Turn Lane to Remove Left-Turn Vehicles from the Through Traffic Lanes	24

List of Acronyms

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
CAMP	Corridor Access Management Plan
CAR	Crash Analysis Reporting
CMF	Crash Modification Factor
F.A.C.	Florida Administrative Code
FDM	FDOT Design Manual
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
F.S.	Florida Statute
LOS	Level of Service
MAMG	Multimodal Access Management Guidebook
MOE	Measures of Effectiveness
OBT	Orange Blossom Trail
ORB	Official Record Book
PD&E	Project Development and Environment
RCUT	Restricted Crossing U-Turn
RIRO	Right-In Right-Out
RRR	Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation
SHS	State Highway System
TWLTL	Two-Way Left Turn Lane
TWSC	Two-Way Stop Control
V/C	Volume to Capacity Ratio

1. Introduction

Purpose of the Guide

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) *Multimodal Access Management Applications Guide* serves as a companion document to the FDOT <u>Multimodal Access Management Guidebook (MAMG)</u>. The <u>MAMG</u> explains FDOT rules and standards developed in various FDOT documents and manuals related to access management which should be followed in developing and designing access to state transportation facilities. This *Applications Guide* demonstrates the multimodal access management concepts outlined in the <u>MAMG</u> through best practices from real world situations.

Document Organization

This *Applications Guide* provides case study examples for the following multimodal access management elements:

- Driveways
- Median Openings
- Corridor Access Management Plans (CAMPs)

Several key topics are addressed by multiple case study examples in this Applications Guide including:

- ✓ Driveway Closure
- ✓ Driveway Relocation
- ✓ Driveway Near Interchange
- ✓ Driveway Spacing
- ✓ Non-Conforming Driveway
- ✓ Driveway Consolidation
- ✓ Driveway Length
- ✓ On-Site Queuing
- ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross-Access Connection

- ✓ Pedestrian Access Walkway (Sidewalk Connection)
- ✓ Side Street (Local Street) Connection
- ✓ Emergency Only Access Connection
- ✓ Frontage Road/Backage Road Connection
- ✓ Site Frontage Improvement
- ✓ Closure of Median Opening
- ✓ Median Modification
- ✓ Median Opening Spacing
- ✓ Turn Lane/U-turn Accommodation

These case studies are intended to demonstrate best practices related to particular access management elements. The case studies may not address all issues related to access management at the particular site. The concepts addressed in this guide are not intended to set standards or requirements; standards and requirements can be found in the <u>MAMG</u>, <u>FDOT Design Manual (FDM)</u>, <u>Rule 14-96</u>, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), <u>Rule 14-97</u>, F.A.C., and other relevant documents. The case studies identify relevant spacing standards and spacing distances provided. These distances are highlighted in red where they either did not comply or have sought a variation to the standards.

2. Driveways

Overview

Driveways provide a physical connection between a property and the abutting roadway and are one of the most common roadway design elements. *Florida Statute (F.S.)* 335.182(3)(a) defines a roadway connection as "driveways, streets, turnouts, or other means of providing for the right of reasonable access to or from the State Highway System." Driveways should be located and designed to minimize impacts on roadway traffic while providing safe access to and from developments. The location and design of each connection must consider the characteristics of the roadway, the geographic site, context classification, and the potential users.

This chapter provides case studies for the following topics related to driveways:

- Closure or Relocation of Driveways
- Driveway Length and On-Site Queuing
- Emergency Access Connections
- Frontage / Backage Roads

Closure or Relocation of Driveways

A driveway constructed too close to another connection could negatively impact roadway safety and traffic flow. As discussed in the <u>MAMG</u>, the standards for determining the spacing requirements for driveways are set by <u>Rule 14-97.003</u>, F.A.C. These spacing standards and the distances from other connections based upon the roadway speed limit and roadway access classification are provided in the <u>MAMG</u>. Section 2.6 of the <u>MAMG</u> includes a detailed discussion of driveway spacing considerations.

If significant land use changes have occurred, FDOT requires that a permit be obtained in accordance with <u>*Rule 14-96.005(2)*</u> F.A.C. According to <u>*F.S. 335.182(3)(b)*</u>, a significant change is defined as a "change in the use of the property, including land, structures or facilities, or an expansion of the size of the structures or facilities causing an increase in the trip generation of the property exceeding 25 percent more trip generation (either peak hour or daily) and exceeding 100 vehicles per day more than the existing use". Pursuant to the provisions of <u>*F.S. 335.187(1)*</u>, FDOT will modify or close an unpermitted connection if such modification or closure is determined to be necessary because the connection would jeopardize the safety of the public or would have a negative impact on the operational characteristics of the State Highway System (SHS). Cross access and joint access can be encouraged to consolidate and remove driveways, as shown in **Figure 1**.



Source: "Managing Corridor Development, A Municipal Handbook," Center for Urban Transportation Research, University of South Florida, October 1996. Williams, Kristine M. and Marshall, Margaret A.

Figure 1. Driveway Consolidation

The following case studies demonstrate closing or relocation of driveways:

- SR 44 (West Main Street) Driveway Closure
- US 27 Driveway Relocation
- SR 820 Driveway Consolidation and Cross Access
- US 301 Driveway Relocation and Cross Access

Driveway Length and On-Site Queuing

As discussed in Section 4.2.10 of the <u>MAMG</u>, sufficient driveway length (or throat length) helps make a driveway operate more efficiently. **Figure 2** illustrates a schematic of a site with a driveway length that is too short and creates conflicts and backups onto the SHS. An uninterrupted area (driveway length) before the first conflict point on site is an important element. The appropriate method for measuring a driveway's length is to begin from the edge of the traveled way to the first "conflict point." The recommended minimum driveway lengths for major entrances provided in the <u>MAMG</u> can be used for unsignalized driveways or as a first estimate of driveway length.



Figure 2. Improper Driveway Length

For sites with features that create queues, including but not limited to drive-throughs, gates, and pickup/drop off areas (e.g., schools), knowledge of the expected queues is important when developing site design including driveway length. These queues should be stored away from the area of the driveway used for driveway length. Land-use types that produce a significant number of vehicle trips that also include a drive-through should be studied carefully to not impact the other users on the SHS.

The following case studies demonstrate driveway length and on-site queuing issues:

- SR 45 (US 41) Driveway Relocation and Length
- SR 716 Driveway with On-Site Queuing and Cross Access
- US 19 with Adequate On-Site Queuing and Cross Access

Emergency Only Access Connections

Emergency only access connections should be discussed at the driveway connection permit preapplication meeting. Since an emergency only access driveway on the SHS would not be used regularly by vehicles, it does not have to meet the access spacing requirements of <u>Rule 14-97</u>, F.A.C. The driveway design should accommodate the turning movements of the largest expected emergency vehicle. It is required that the local government record the access in the development order as "Emergency Access Only" to assist in preventing improper use in the future. See Section 2.8 of the <u>MAMG</u> for further discussion on Emergency Only Access Connections.

The following case study demonstrates an emergency access that was permitted:

SR 572 Emergency Only Access

Frontage / Backage Roads

As discussed in the <u>MAMG</u>, a frontage road or reverse frontage road (backage road) can be constructed to minimize the number of connections to the SHS and facilitate the associated traffic operational and safety benefits. Frontage roads are a type of shared access that can reduce the number of existing or future driveways that have direct access to the SHS. Frontage and backage roads can also encourage traffic circulation within adjacent land uses. Shared access, as provided by frontage and reverse frontage roads (backage roads), is an effective tool to improve access management practices on the SHS and should be promoted where possible.

The following case study provides an example of a backage road:

SR 52 Backage Road

SR 44 (West Main Street) Driveway Closure (?) **Key Topics** i (☑) Setting ✓ Driveway Closure Background **Characteristics** \checkmark **Driveway Spacing** Number of Lanes 4 Description Driveway/connection ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross-Access Access Classification permit review for a fast-6 Connection **Context Classification** C3C food development. ✓ Pedestrian Access Walkway **Posted Speed Limit** 45 Location Southwest quadrant of (Sidewalk Connection) SR 44 (West Main Street) ✓ Side Street (Local Street) and Heights Avenue in Connection Citrus County, FL.

Before





🥃 Issues	Solutions and	Outcomes
 Existing non-conforming driveway Median striping on SR 44 at driveway could not be modified to allow left turns due to the proximity to nearby intersections 	 The existing SR 44 non-conforming sp accommodate me turns. A shared access co property west of t 	access driveway was closed due to bacing and the inability to dian striping modifications for left onnection was constructed to the he site.
Before Connection SpacingDistance from Previous (West)100 ftDistance from Next (East)180 ftMinimum Connection Spacing Standard245 ft	 Adequate access to streets Heights Ave A direct pedestriar closed SR 44 drives 	o the site is available via the side enue and Pleasant Grove Road. n access walkway is provided at the way location.
After		





9 US 27 Driveway Relocation

Key Topics

- ✓ Driveway Relocation
- Driveway Near Interchange
- ✓ Driveway Spacing
- ✓ Driveway Consolidation
- ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross-Access Connection

i Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	6
Access Classification	2
Context Classification	C3F
Posted Speed Limit	55

Background

Description	Driveway/connection permit review for a
	multifamily residential development.
1 +!	Courthouset and deput of the LIC 27 Q LIC 10

Location Southwest quadrant of the US 27 & US 192 interchange (north of an existing hotel) in Polk County, FL.

🚔 Issues

The existing development driveway was located too close to the system ramp between westbound US 192 and southbound US 27, which did not meet spacing requirements.

Before Connection Spacing

Distance from Interchange (North)	1,000 ft
Minimum Connection Spacing from	1,320 ft
Interchange Standard	



Polk County, FL



8

Solutions and Outcomes

÷Q:

1

2

3

4

The existing driveway connection on US 27 will be relocated approximately 400 feet to the south of its existing location to the neighboring property.

The relocated driveway design will allow for an acceleration lane for the US 192 ramp with taper, improving safety.

The relocated driveway design will allow for a dedicated right-turn lane into the site.

A cross-access agreement with the adjacent property owners was recorded at the Court of Polk County.

After Connection Spacing

Distance from Interchange	1,400 ft
(North)	
Minimum Connection Spacing	1,320 ft
from Interchange Standard	

Relocated Driveway



SR 820 Driveway Consolidation and Cross Access					
🗵 Key Topics	i Setting				
 ✓ Driveway Spacing ✓ Driveway Consolidation ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross- Access Connection ✓ Median Modification 	Characteristics Number of Lanes Access Classification Context Classification Posted Speed Limit	6 3 C3C 45	Background Description Location	Driveway/connection permit review for a multifamily resic development. South side of SR 820, west of Avenue in Pembroke Pines, F	lential 184 th L.
😅 Issues					
 With the current configuration of the existing driveways, a new driveway at the proposed development would not have met driveway spacing standards. There was an elevated rate of crashes due to the placement of the right-in/right-out driveway serving the parcel to the west in relation to the 		Before Me Distance fro Distance fro Minimum Di Before Con	dian Opening m Previous Me m Next Median irectional Medi mection Spaci	Spacing dian Opening (West) Opening (East) an Opening Spacing Standard ng	800 ft 900 ft 1,320 ft
placement of the directional median opening. Many illegal left turns from the property were indicated by the crash data.		Distance fro Distance fro Minimum Co	m Previous Driv m Next Drivewa onnection Spac	veway (West) ay (East) ing Standard	600 ft 350 ft 440 ft

Before



800 ft* 900 ft* 1,320 ft

750 ft 500 ft 440 ft

1	Cross-access was required by FDOT to the adjacent properties immediately east and west of the site, and the existing driveways to those adjacent properties are to be removed. The proposed shared access driveway will remove the right-in/right-out driveway to the west of the property, shift access to the east, and address the existing safety concern with illegal left turns from the driveway.	After Median Opening Spacing Distance from Previous Median Opening (West) Distance from Next Median Opening (East) Minimum Directional Median Opening Spacing Standard * Non-conforming, Variance Required
3	A minimum driveway length of 100 feet, as measured from the ultimate right-of-way line to the first conflict, was required. A minimum driveway length of 100 ft to the call box and/or gate house and a turnaround area before the gate will be required if a gate is proposed.	After Connection Spacing Distance from Previous Driveway (West) Distance from Next Driveway (East) Minimum Connection Spacing Standard
5	A Joint-Access Agreement between adjacent property owners was required. The joint-access agreement was required to be included on the site plan with the Official Record Book (ORB) and page number of instrument number on the plan sheet.	



÷Q:

Solutions and Outcomes

• US 301 Driveway Relocation and Cross Access

Key Topics

- ✓ Driveway Relocation
- ✓ Driveway Spacing
- ✓ Non-Conforming Driveway
- ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross-Access Connection
- Median Modification

i Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	6
Access Classification	3
Context Classification	C3R
Posted Speed Limit	55

Background

Description	Driveway/connection permit
	review for the
	redevelopment of a parcel
	into an automobile care
	center.
Location	The west side of US 301
	south of Tucker Jones Road
	in Hillsborough County, FL.

😤 Issues

- The parcel had an existing nonconforming driveway on US 301 located too close to the public street intersection to the north.
- The existing driveway did not effectively prevent drivers from exiting the site and crossing three lanes into the southbound left-turn lane.

Before Driveway Spacing

Distance from Previous	250 ft
(North)	
Distance from Next (South)	780 ft
Minimum Connection	660 ft
Spacing Standard	

Before



Hillsborough County, FL



Solutions and Outcomes

1

FDOT required relocating the existing driveway southward, as close to the creek as possible.

A cross-access easement was required for potential future redevelopment of the neighboring property to the north (and possibly the rear property per the County) due to this being considered a nonconforming connection as well as the proximity to the intersection.

FDOT required a median wing extension to prevent drivers exiting the site from using the southbound left-turn lane to make illegal left turns out of the site.

A sidewalk connection to the state system was required.

Lighting of sidewalks and/or shared paths was required to meet current standards (FDM section 231).

After Connection Spacing

Distance from Previous	440 ft*
(North)	
Distance from Next (South)	630 ft*
Minimum Connection Spacing	660 ft
Standard	

*Non-conforming and subject to future closure

SR 45 (US 41) Driveway Relocation and Length					
🗵 Key Topics	i Setting				
 ✓ Driveway Relocation ✓ Driveway Consolidation ✓ Driveway Length ✓ Pedestrian Access Walkway (Sidewalk Connection) ✓ Site Frontage Improvement 	Characteristics Number of Lanes Access Classification Context Classification Posted Speed Limit	4 3 C3R 55	Background Description Location	Driveway/connection pe for a new medical cente The southeast side of SF north of Mirabay Boule city of Apollo Beach, FL.	ermit review er. R 45 (US 41) vard in the
😅 Issues					
The existing driveway does not align with the directional median opening located to the southwest.		Before Connection SpacingDistance from Previous Driveway (Southwest)975 ftDistance from Next Driveway (Northeast)1,800 ftMinimum Connection Spacing Standard660 ft			975 ft 1,800 ft 660 ft

Before



Apollo Beach, FL

Ç.	Solutions and Outcomes			
1	The existing driveway access was relocated align with the directional median opening t southbound left turns into the site.	l south to to allow	5	FDOT noted that no other access would be allowed for the parcel in the future and that all future development would be required to use the permitted
2	FDOT requested the developer to extend the length.	ne driveway	6	driveway. A right-turn lane was recommended at the driveway
3	FDOT required a sidewalk to be constructed site's frontage.	d along the		tor safety reasons given the increase in traffic volume in the area combined with the higher speed limit of the readway
4	FDOT requested that the pedestrian access as reasonably possible to connect the site t (US 41) (grading issues were present).	be as short o SR 45		the roadway.
	After Connection Spacing			
	Distance from Previous Driveway (South)	725 ft		
	Distance from Next Driveway (North)	2,050 ft		
	Minimum Connection Spacing Standard	660 ft		





SR 716 Driveway with On-Site Queuing and Cross Access				
☑ Key Topics	i Setting			
 Shared Driveway / Cross- 	Characteristics		Background	
Access Connection	Number of Lanes	6	Description	Driveway/connection permit review
 On-Site Queuing Pedestrian Access Walkway 	Access Classification	5		for a drive-through coffee shop site
(Sidewalk Connection)	Context Classification	C4		development.
	Posted Speed Limit	45	Location	The north side of SR 716 (Port St.
				Street in the City of Port St. Lucie, FL.
😅 Issues				
Adequate on-site storage is needed to prevent the Before Connection Spacing				

internal queues from backing up onto SR 716 and creating safety and operational issues.

Distance from Previous Driveway (East)	375 ft
Distance from Next Driveway (West)	110 ft
Minimum Connection Spacing Standard	245 ft

Before



Port St. Lucie, FL



A stacking distance of at least 300 feet was required for After Connection Spacing the proposed Drive-Through to accommodate queues on-site; the revised site plan provided 312 feet of onsite storage.

Cross-access agreements with the adjacent properties to the east and west were required.

External pedestrian access and internal circulation were provided to both premises.

Distance from Previous Driveway (East)	375 ft
Distance from Next Driveway (West)	275 ft
Minimum Connection Spacing Standard	245 ft

After

2

3



VS 19 with Adequate On-Site Queuing and Cross Access			
🗵 Key Topics	i Setting		
 ✓ Non-Conforming Driveway ✓ Shared Driveway / Cross- Access Connection ✓ On-Site Queuing ✓ Site Frontage Improvement 	CharacteristicsNumber of Lanes8Access Classification3Context ClassificationC3Posted Speed Limit55	Background Description	Driveway/connection permit review for a carwash site development. The west side of US 19 between Tampa Road and Nebraska Avenue in Pinellas County, FL.
😅 Issues			
 The existing driveway provide to the adjacent driveway to The site proposes a car wash needed to accommodate que 	des only 50 feet of spacing the south. n, and adequate storage is leues on-site.	Before Connection Distance from Prevention Distance from Next	ious Driveway (North) 380 ft t Driveway (South) 50 ft

Minimum Connection Spacing Standard

660 ft

Before



Solutions and Outcomes

1

3

4

The driveway was relocated approximately 150 feet to the north of its existing location to provide increased spacing between driveways.

A recorded cross-access easement was required so the parcel to the north could later modify the driveway (which is still non-conforming), if necessary.

FDOT required the sidewalk to be realigned closer to the road and replaced along the entire frontage of the state road at the driveway location with a minimum sidewalk width of six feet per <u>FDM</u>.

Adequate on-site storage was required to accommodate queued vehicles.

After Connection Spacing

Distance from Previous Driveway (North)	230 ft*
Distance from Next Driveway (South)	200 ft*
Minimum Connection Spacing Standard	660 ft
*Non-conforming and subject to future closu	ure



SR 572 Emergency Only Access

Key Topics

- Driveway Spacing
- Non-Conforming Driveway
 Pedestrian Access Walkway
- (Sidewalk Connection)✓ Side Street (Local Street) Connection
- ✓ Emergency Only Access Connection

i Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	2
Access Classification	4
Context Classification	C3C
Posted Speed Limit	50

Background

Description	Driveway/connection permit review
	for a multifamily residential
	development.
Location	The southwest quadrant of the

SR 572 (Airport Road) and Carillon Boulevard signalized intersection in the city of Lakeland, FL.

😅 Issues

The developer initially proposed a right-in/right-out driveway on SR 572 but that driveway did not meet the required spacing standards. If this driveway were to be constructed, FDOT would require the developer to provide a cross-access easement to the site to the south.

Before Carillon Blvd SR 572 Site



Solutions and Outcomes

÷Ų:

1

2

3

The developer opted to convert the proposed driveway to an emergency access only to avoid the cross-access easement requirement. It was issued as a non-conforming connection permit since it does not meet spacing standards. The driveway was required to be constructed as a maintenance driveway, grassed and 12 feet wide. FDOT required written documentation from the Fire Marshall for this access, including how will it be maintained as emergency only ("Knox Box").

General access to the residential development will be provided via the side street, Carillon Boulevard.

Pedestrian access via a direct sidewalk connection was provided near the emergency access.

After Connection Spacing

Distance from Previous (North)	520 ft*
Distance from Next (South)	1,000 ft
Minimum Connection Spacing	660 ft
Standard	

*Non-conforming and subject to future closure

Provide Solution SR 52 Backage Road

Key Topics

- ✓ Side Street (Local Street) Connection
- ✓ Frontage Road/Backage Road Connection
- ✓ Site Frontage Improvement

i Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	6
Access Classification	5
Context Classification	C3C
Posted Speed Limit	50

Background

Description Driveway/connection permit review for a car wash site. Location The southwest quadrant of the SR 52 and Moon Lake Road intersection in Pasco County, FL.

😅 Issues

Future developments are expected along Moon Lake Road adjacent to the SR 52 signalized intersection. Developing the backage road/frontage road with the first development within the undeveloped area will provide consolidated access to the development sites and avoid multiple driveways closer to the intersection. Before



After



Solutions and Outcomes

1

2

- FDOT requested the developer to provide a shared access reverse frontage road (backage road).
- FDOT requested the backage road connection to Moon Lake Road (side street) to be located further away (approximately 670 feet) from the SR 52 and the Moon Lake Road signalized intersection.
- 3 As part of the driveway permit application, the developer agreed to repave SR 52 where the access driveway is located.
- The left-turn lane at the access driveway and the U-turn lane at the SR 52 and Moon Lake Road intersection are proposed to be extended and repaved.

After Connection Spacing

Distance from Previous (West)	1,000+ f
Distance from Next (East)	500 ft
Minimum Connection Spacing	440 ft
Standard	440 H

3. Median Openings

Overview

A median is a traffic control feature or device that separates vehicular traffic traveling in opposite directions on a roadway. A restrictive median physically separates vehicular traffic traveling in opposite directions. A non-restrictive median is a flush median or painted centerline that does not provide a physical barrier between center traffic turning lanes or traffic lanes traveling in opposite directions.

Restrictive medians and well-designed median openings are a key component of access management. Raised or restrictive medians can be paved or landscaped areas that separate vehicular traffic. There are two main types of restrictive median openings: full and directional. Full median openings allow for all available movements (left-turn, through, right-turn) to and from the driveway or intersecting street. Directional median openings only allow for specific movements to and from the driveway or intersecting street. Most commonly these specific movements are left-turns from the SHS into the driveway/street. Both provide specific benefits but should be installed depending on the local roadway conditions.

Closing or Modification of Median Openings

Median openings provide access into or out of a site using left-turn or through movements. These types of openings can pose an elevated risk of severe traffic crashes due to the nature of the crossing conflicts. Issues can also occur when critical components of the opening are not designed appropriately or inadequate spacing exists between the median openings. Other potential median-related issues include the following:

- Inadequate space for left-turn deceleration and storage.
- Through traffic queue from the downstream signalized intersection that extends past the median opening.
- Traffic operational issues such as high volume of left-out movements onto the SHS.
- Safety concerns such as high percentage of angle and/or left-turn crashes involving the left-out turning movement.
- Increased multimodal conflicts such as high volumes of bicycles or pedestrians crossing the crossstreet or driveway, or in locations with a history of pedestrian/bicycle crashes.

Additional discussion of the median opening issues is provided in the MAMG.

The location and type of median openings can be reviewed based on the following evaluation criteria:

- Compliance with minimum access spacing standards per *Rule 14-97*, F.A.C. of *F.S. 335.181*.
- Identification of historical crash patterns to verify or modify the median plan.
- Identification of traffic operational deficiencies caused by either undue vehicular delay for unsignalized traffic movements or vehicle queues from a nearby signalized intersection spilling back and blocking access to an unsignalized driveway.
- Identification of other potential issues and concerns, such as weave distance, sight distance, curves, superelevation, etc.

Adequate storage length should be provided to accommodate left-turn demand at median openings and to remove left-turn traffic from the through lanes (**Figure 3**). If this is not provided, left-turn queues can extend into the through lanes and create safety issues. The spacing between successive median openings in opposite directions of travel should be sufficient to accommodate the deceleration and storage needs of back-to-back left-turn lanes, if applicable. In some cases, a median opening may need to be closed or modified. In these scenarios, it is necessary to provide an appropriate place for the displaced left-turns or through movements to make downstream U-turns.



Figure 3. Left-Turn Lane to Store Turn Vehicles Outside the Through Traffic Lanes

The following case studies provide examples of median closures or modifications:

- US 41 at 8th Avenue Closure of Median Opening
- US 92 Driveway Median Opening Modification
- US 98 at Hoffman Drive Closure of Directional Median Opening

When the department proposes alterations to median access on the SHS, the public is required to be notified and provided with an opportunity to comment on the proposal. *F.S. 335.199* sets out the public involvement process, including the requirement for engagement with local governments and facilitation of a public meeting. These requirements are discussed further in Section 11.2.1 of the *MAMG*.

• US 41 at 8th Avenue Closure of Median Opening

Key Topics

- ✓ Closure of Median Opening
- ✓ Median Opening Spacing
- ✓ Turn Lane/U-turn Accommodation

1 Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	6
Access Classification	5
Context Classification	C30
Posted Speed Limit	45

Background

- Description Driveway/connection permit review for a convenience store and gasoline station development.
- Location The southeast corner of the US 41 and 6th Avenue intersection in Bradenton, FL.

😤 lssues

- The existing full median opening does not meet spacing standards.
- The existing full median opening has an elevated number of left-turn crashes including injury crashes.

Before Median Opening Spacing

Median Opening Type	Full
Distance from Previous (South)	420 ft
Distance from Next (North)	400 ft
Minimum Full Median Opening Spacing	1,320 ft
Standard	

5-Year Crash History at Median Opening Total Crashes 39 Fatal Crashes 0

	-
Injury Crashes	20
Left-Turn Crashes	26

permit review for a



Before

6th Avenue

After



26

Solutions and Outcomes

1

2

- FDOT required the closure of the full median opening at the US 41 at 8th Avenue as a condition of the connection permit.
- The southbound left-turn lane was extended at 9th Avenue to accommodate additional U-turn movements due to the closed median.

😢 US 92 Driveway Median Opening Modification					
🗵 Key Topics	i Setting				
 Median Modification Median Opening Spacing Turn Lane/U-turn Accommodation 	Characteristics Number of Lanes Access Classification Context Classification Posted Speed Limit	4 3 C3C 55	Background Description Location	Driveway/connection permit rev a planned hospital and planned use residential/commercial development. The northwest quadrant of the interchange of US 92 at SR 570 (Parkway) in Polk County, FL.	view for multi- (Polk
😅 Issues					
 The existing median opening provided full access with no directional turn lanes provided. The existing median opening did not meet spacing standards. 	g Before Median Op Median Opening Typ Distance from Interc Minimum Full Media	ening Sr be hange (Ea in Openir	bacing ast) ng Spacing From	n Interchange Standard	Full 1,500 ft 2,640 ft

Before



Solutions and Outcomes

3

FDOT required the median to be modified to a directional median opening as an unsignalized restricted crossing U-turn (RCUT) intersection.

With future construction phases, FDOT required the developer to conduct a signal warrant analysis and signalize the RCUT when warranted. The approved permit with stated conditions, including the legal description for all parcel(s) as shown in the approved site plan was required to be recorded with County Courthouse prior to final acceptance of the FDOT permit.

In order to meet the driveway spacing criteria for an interchange, it is recommended that the westbound merge lane from SR 570 southbound off-ramp should be replaced with a yield condition at the off-ramp intersection (the spacing should be measured from the end of the taper of the ramp furthest from the interchange).

After Connection Spacing

Median Opening Type	Directiona
Distance from Interchange (East)	1,500 ft
Minimum Directional Median Opening Spacing	1,320 ft
from Interchange Standard	

* Conversion to directional median (RCUT) as part of this site development meets the required spacing standard from the interchange ramp terminal to the east.



ONCOMPANIENTS OF CLOSURE OF DIRECTIONAL MEDIAN OPENING

Key Topics

- ✓ Closure of Median Opening
- ✓ Median Modification
- Median Opening Spacing
- ✓ Turn Lane/U-turn Accommodation

i Setting

Characteristics

Number of Lanes	6
Access Classification	5
Context Classification	C3C
Posted Speed Limit	35

Background

Description	Review of an existing directional		
	median opening.		

Location Hoffman Drive at US 98 in Gulf Breeze, FL.

😅 Issues

- There was a significant crash history at the directional median opening for southbound left turns at Hoffman Drive into the Gulf Breeze Shopping Center.
- Northbound vehicles routinely queued from the signal upstream past the directional median opening, creating a blocked condition with stacked vehicles and sight distance issues for vehicles attempting to turn left through the queue.
- The directional median opening did not meet spacing standards.

Before Median Opening Spacing

Median Opening Type	Directiona
Distance from Previous (North)	540 ft
Distance from Next (South)	800 ft
Minimum Directional Median	660 ft
Opening Spacing Standard	

5-Year Crash History at Median Opening

Total Crashes	66
Fatal Crashes	0
Injury Crashes	10
Left-Turn Crashes	66

Before



After



Solutions and Outcomes

2

The directional median opening was permanently closed.

A southbound U-turn lane was constructed approximately 850 feet to the south for vehicles completing a U-turn at the next median opening; thus providing an alternate route to access the shopping center. Vehicles are also able to access the shopping center via the signal upstream.

4. Corridor Access Management Plan (CAMP)

Overview

As noted in the <u>MAMG</u>, a CAMP is defined in <u>Rule 14-97</u>, F.A.C. as "a strategy defining site specific access management and traffic control features for a particular roadway segment, developed in coordination with the affected local government and adopted by the Department in cooperation with the affected local government (s)". A CAMP can be developed in a variety of ways and may not necessarily be a stand-alone corridor study or plan. For example, it could be created in conjunction with new development or redevelopment, or as an element of another project or study, such as a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, a Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (RRR) project, or a traffic operations or safety study. Existing CAMPs can be historical documents that are decades old, but are still valid and applicable for use.

This chapter provides several examples of CAMPs that were developed in various ways and with different purposes and focuses. Each case study provides the following elements:

- An overview and background, along with maps, aerials, and photos or concepts. Many aerial images and photos illustrate before and after conditions.
- Key corridor characteristics, including number of lanes, access management classification, context classification, and posted speed.
- A checklist of strategies and features that are frequently included in a CAMP, noting which specific strategies were proposed or implemented as part of a case study.
- A checklist of key CAMP elements based on the guidance in the <u>MAMG</u>, showing which specific elements were addressed as part of the CAMP. Since CAMPs vary widely as to what elements are included, this provides a comparison between different case studies to show how projects can be implemented and objectives achieved by different means.

The following key strategies and features are reviewed as a part of the CAMP case studies:

\checkmark	Reconfigure or Relocate Median Openings	\checkmark	Incorporate Auxiliary and Turn Lanes
~	Address Substandard Median Opening Spacing	✓	Eliminate Closely Spaced or Jogged Intersections
✓	Consolidate Driveways	✓	Change Traffic Control
✓	Reconfigure or Relocate Driveways	✓	Provide Multimodal Crossing Opportunities
✓	Narrow Wide Driveways	✓	Provide Multimodal Facilities & Connections
\checkmark	Provide Property Access via Side Streets	✓	Provide Frontage / Backage Road
~	Provide Joint Driveways or Cross Access Between Adjacent Properties	~	Install Medians in Place of Two-Way Left-turn Lanes

The following case studies provide examples of CAMPs:

- <u>SR 438 (Princeton Street) CAMP</u>
- <u>SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) CAMP</u>
- <u>US 1 CAMP</u>
- <u>SR 44 (Dixie Avenue) CAMP</u>

9 SR 4	438 (Princeton Street) CAMP			
 Key Strategies and Features Reconfigure or Relocate Median Openings Consolidate Driveways Provide Property Access via Side Streets Incorporate Auxiliary and Turn Lanes 		 ✓ Change Traffic Control ✓ Provide Multimodal Facilities & Connections ✓ Install Medians in Place of Two-Way Left-turn Lanes 		
i Setti	ing			
Description	The city of Orlando leveraged the 200-acre mixed-use private development of the Packing District to invest in roadway improvements and infrastructure. Princeton Street (SR 438) was redesigned as a Complete Street with features to promote walkability and a safer environment	1	Location	The Packing District along Princeton Street (SR 438) from SR 423 / John Young Pkwy to east of US 441 / Orange Blossom Trail (OBT) and N Orange Blossom Trail (SR 500) in Orlando, FL.
	for all modes. The redesigned roadway features four lanes with a raised median, improved access management, on-street parking, sidewalks, and separated bike lanes.		Length	0.73 mi

Project Limits



🔅 Study Findings & Plan Details

- Existing base year traffic (19,000 AADT) was substantially under the capacity of a six-lane roadway with volume-tocapacity (v/c) ratio of 0.30. With future background growth and the new trips associated with the Packing District redevelopment, the projected 2040 future traffic (21,200 AADT) was also anticipated to be substantially under capacity even with a lane repurposing to a fourlane section (future v/c ratio of 0.62 with the four-lane reconfiguration).
- One reason the lane repurposing was feasible was that no changes were made to the number of lanes or configuration at the John Young Parkway signal, and only minor lane assignment changes were made at the OBT signal. Therefore, the existing capacities of the two signalized intersections were not reduced.
- All movements for each intersection in the future conditions are projected to operate under capacity, and all intersections are projected to operate at LOS E or better.
- A partial two-lane roundabout was introduced in place of two-way stop control at a full median opening at the Texas Avenue intersection, with a fourth leg added on the south side supporting new development at the Packing District. A benefit-cost analysis concluded the roundabout would cost less than a signal at this location with superior safety and operations.
- Crash modification factors (CMFs) were used to estimate the anticipated reduction of crashes per year. In the absence of a CMF for reducing the number of lanes, the safety benefit was conservatively estimated using the CMF for the addition of a raised median.

- Two previously full median openings (Stanhome Way, Diversified Way) were reconfigured as directional median openings, and sections of continuous two-way center turn lane were replaced with median. On Princeton Street, U-turns are now prohibited at the two directional medians, but can be made downstream in either direction.
- Driveways on the south side of Princeton Street east of OBT were consolidated to a single right-in, right-out driveway, and an eastbound directional left access was provided to the parcel on the north side
- Similar access improvements were made along a 0.35mile section of OBT with medians, a new signal at a previous full median with TWSC (Cannery Way/Traylor Boulevard), one directional median opening, and several locations with driveway consolidation.
- Multimodal improvements included two-way separated bike lanes on the south side of Princeton Street and on the east side of OBT. On-street parking was added in some locations along Princeton Street and OBT.
- The narrower street section, Complete Street features, roundabout, on-street parking, and additional landscaping along Princeton Street embody the speed management principles of engagement, enclosure, and deflection and support the use of lower posted speeds, which were lowered for the majority of the corridor from 40 mph to 25 and 30 mph. Posted speeds between John Young Parkway and the roundabout at Texas Avenue were lowered from 45 mph to 35 mph.



Princeton Street at directional median opening at Diversified Way.



Princeton Street at Texas Avenue roundabout.

SR 438 (Princeton Street) CAMP (continued)

Before



After



😅 Characteristics

Before	After
6	4
3	3
C4	C4
40/45 mph	25/30/35 mph
	Before 6 3 C4 40/45 mph

Measures of Effectiveness

Vehicular LOS

•

- Vehicular Delay
- Reduction in Crashes
- Posted Speed
- Multimodal Enhancements (qualitative assessment)

Orlando, FL







CAMP Elements Included in Case Study

Steps Undertaken

₹

- Corridor Definition
- ✓ Data Collection
 - Crash & Safety Analysis
 - Existing Safety Analysis
 - X Observations & Issues / Road Safety Audit
 - Future Safety Analysis

x denotes that the CAMP did not include these study elements

- Traffic Operations Analysis
 - Existing Conditions Analysis
 - Traffic Forecast & Analysis Methodology
 - Projected Future No Build Traffic Volumes
 - ✓ Future Conditions Analysis
- Development of Access Alternatives
- X Evaluation of Alternatives
 - Recommended CAMP

 \checkmark

SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) CAMP

Key Strategies and Features

- Reconfigure or Relocate Median Openings
- Address Substandard Median Opening Spacing
- Provide Property Access via Side Streets
- Incorporate Auxiliary and Turn Lanes

i Setting

Description Broward MPO Transportation Planning Department conducted a proactive study on SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) from US 27 to SR A1A (Ocean Drive). The Hollywood/Pines Boulevard Multimodal Corridor Study was prepared in 2004 to improve transportation conditions for four primary modes of travel (pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and roadway).

The study created a mobility vision and recommended strategies including filling in gaps in the sidewalk network, providing a continuous bicycle trail, developing infrastructure at multimodal hubs (key transfer locations) for enhanced integration of transit, other alternative travel modes into the transportation network, and more. Location SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) from US 27 to SR A1A (Ocean Drive) in Pembroke Pines and Hollywood, FL. Length 19.7 mi

Project Limits



 \checkmark

Change Traffic Control

Provide Multimodal Crossing Opportunities

Provide Multimodal Facilities & Connections

✓ Install Medians in Place of Two-Way Left-turn Lanes

Study Findings & Plan Details

- The study findings were organized by mode:
 - Pedestrian: sections of discontinuous sidewalks were identified, particularly near US 27 and I-75, but sidewalk coverage was generally good. Pedestrian LOS was D or worse for 50% of the facility.
 - Bicycle: no bicycle lanes were provided on the corridor.
 Bicycle LOS ranged from D to F. *Note:* As per the QLOS Handbook, FDOT has now adopted LTS to evaluate bicycle and pedestrian quality of service.
 - Transit: bus travel time on the corridor was 76% higher than automobile travel time because of frequent stops. Many of the transit stops were not ADA accessible, with furniture blocking sidewalks or no sidewalk connections to the curb. Most stops did not have shelters.
 - Roadway: Intersection levels of service of E or F were measured at a number of intersections. Vehicle crashes were elevated between 64th Avenue and 28th Avenue and near US 27.
 - Access Management: 60 of the 83 median openings on the corridor were noncompliant with FDOT access spacing standards.
- A number of strategy recommendations were made along the corridor in addition to several targeted recommendations. Specific strategies included:
- Pedestrian: construct sidewalks to fill gaps near the I-75 interchange, between 96th Avenue and 91st Street. Improve school zone crossing near McArthur High School. Enhance street lighting from Dixie Highway to 28th Avenue.

- Bicycle: Construct a multipurpose path from US 27 to 155th Avenue and bicycle lanes from 155th Avenue to 83rd Avenue, 64th Way to US 441, and on perpendicular routes.
- Transit: Install benches, trash receptacles, shelters at strategic locations, provide ADA accessible bus stops at all locations, and convert stops to far-side stops.
- Roadway: Improve intersections at US 27, 155th
 Avenue, Hiatus Road, Palm Avenue, Park Road, and
 118th Street.
- Access Management: Four access management improvements were developed as part of the study:
 - Convert the full median opening at SW 63rd Terrace to a westbound directional median opening.
 - Remove the N/S 62nd Avenue traffic signal and close the median opening.
 - Convert the full median opening at North 61st Avenue to an eastbound directional median opening.
 - Add a raised median along Hollywood Boulevard from US 441 to Presidential Circle and conduct an access management study to determine locations for median openings.



Hollywood Boulevard at 24th Avenue with new median, bike lane, pedestrian crossing, and enhanced lighting.



Hollywood Boulevard at 10th Avenue with a restrictive raised median.

38

SR 820 (Hollywood/Pines Boulevard) CAMP (continued)

Before



After



Characteristics

	Before	After	Measures of Effectiveness			
Number of Lanes	6+TWLTL	6	• 95% Confidence Level Crash Rate			
Access Class	3/5/6	3/5/6	LOS (Multimodal)			
Context Class	C3C/C4	C3C/C4	Person Throughput			
Posted Speed	45/35 mph	45/35 mph	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Coverage			

 Deviation from Access Management Classification Standards

Pembroke Pines and Hollywood, FL

Before

After



 \checkmark

 \checkmark

√ √

SAMP Elements Included in Case Study

Steps Undertaken

- Corridor Definition
- Data Collection
- Crash & Safety Analysis
 - Existing Safety Analysis
 - Observations & Issues / Road Safety Audit
 - X Future Safety Analysis

x denotes that the CAMP did not include these study elements

- Traffic Operations Analysis
 - ✓ Existing Conditions Analysis
 - ✓ Traffic Forecast & Analysis Methodology
 - X Projected Future No Build Traffic Volumes
 - Future Conditions Analysis
- Development of Access Alternatives
- Evaluation of Alternatives
- Recommended CAMP

(9) **US1CAMP**

Key Strategies and Features (☑)

- \checkmark **Reconfigure or Relocate Median Openings**
- Address Substandard Median Opening Spacing
- \checkmark Incorporate Auxiliary and Turn Lanes

í Setting

Description FDOT commissioned a CAMP for US 1 in 2021 to study the seven-lane Location US 1 from University segment through Melbourne/Brevard County. Existing traffic Boulevard to Aurora conditions and crash history were analyzed, and the study Road in Melbourne. recommended conversion of the existing continuous two-way left-FL. turn lane to a raised 16.8-foot median with auxiliary 10-foot left-turn 11.56 mi Length lanes at directional or full median openings. The study found these improvements would reduce crashes substantially and have an undiscounted crash benefit-cost ratio of 7.0:1 and a net present value of over \$34 million. Because this study is relatively recent, the improvements have not yet been constructed.

 \checkmark

Change Traffic Control

Install Medians in Place of Two-Way Left-turn Lanes

Project Limits



😟 Study Findings & Plan Details

- US 1 is a north/south arterial that extends through Brevard County from the Indian River/Brevard County line, through Melbourne, to the Brevard/Volusia County line. US 1 is a seven-lane divided roadway with a center two-way left-turn lane, curb and gutter, and no paved shoulders. Traffic volumes on the corridor ranged from 37,000 AADT on the south end of the corridor to 55,000 AADT in the central portion of the corridor. This traffic volume did not lend itself to lane repurposing on the corridor.
- From 2013 to 2019, there were a total of 2,487 crashes along the study corridor. 18 of the crashes resulted in a death and 731 of the crashes resulted in an injury. The most common crash types were rear-end, sideswipe, and angle crashes. The fatal crash types were bicycle (5), off-road (5), pedestrian (4), head-on (2), and left-turn (2).
- If access management improvements are constructed, many of the left-turn and angle collisions at the unsignalized intersections and driveways should be reduced/eliminated.
- Turning volumes at unsignalized locations along the study corridor were low. Queues in the TWLTL were minimal to moderate (usually two left-turns) during the peak hour observations. Occasional friction was observed when drivers in opposing directions entered the TWLTL simultaneously and in advance of where they intended to turn (accessed the TWLTL prematurely).

- Pedestrians generally were observed to cross at traffic signals in compliance with pedestrian indications. Some pedestrians were observed crossing midblock at various locations throughout the corridor; although patterns and well-defined paths were not apparent. Most midblock pedestrians crossed when a gap was available in one direction of traffic and continued to walk within the TWLTL until a gap became available in the opposing direction.
- The proposed locations for directional and full median openings were identified for the corridor based on the operational and safety evaluations of the corridor while taking into consideration existing turning movement volumes and side street connectivity. Roadway access, crashes, left-turn volumes, U-turn opportunities, and types of vehicles to utilize the intersections/businesses were all considered when reviewing each location. Twelve full access openings were identified at traffic signals, and 20 directional median openings were identified.
- The proposed typical section shows the conversion of the existing 11-foot two-way left-turn lane to a 16.8foot maximum-width median with 10-foot auxiliary leftturn lanes, and the narrowing of the existing inside two lanes to 10 feet wide, with the outside lanes varying from 10 feet to 11 feet wide depending on the existing roadway width.
- Per the installation of a raised median along the study corridor, the US 1 study section should be reclassified to an access class 5 roadway. Per <u>Rule 14-97</u>, F.A.C., the full median opening spacing standard for an access class 5 facility with a posted speed of 45 mph or less is 1,320 feet and the spacing standard for directional median openings is 660 feet.



Current US 1 Cross-section in central portion of the corridor.

US 1 CAMP (continued)

Before



After



Characteristics

	Before	After	Measures of Effectiveness
Number of Lanes	6+TWLTL	6	Reduction in Crashes
Access Class	6	5	Crash Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
Context Class	C3C/C4	C3C/C4	Compliance with FDOT requirements
Posted Speed	35/40/45 mph	35/40/45 mph	Vehicular LOS
			Vehicular Delay



CAMP Elements Included in Case Study

Steps Undertaken

- Corridor Definition
- Data Collection
- Crash & Safety Analysis
 - Existing Safety Analysis
 - Observations & Issues / Road Safety Audit
 - Future Safety Analysis

- X Traffic Operations Analysis
 - X Existing Conditions Analysis
 - X Traffic Forecast & Analysis Methodology
 - X Projected Future No Build Traffic Volumes
 - X Future Conditions Analysis
- Development of Access Alternatives
- X Evaluation of Alternatives
 - Recommended CAMP
- x denotes that the CAMP did not include these study elements

44

SR 44 (Dixie Avenue) CAMP

Key Strategies and Features

- ✓ Provide Multimodal Crossing Opportunities
- ✓ Install Medians in Place of Two-Way Left-turn Lanes

i Setting

The city of Leesburg requested a feasibility study to assess the viability US 27 (14th Street) to Description Location of Complete Streets and traffic calming enhancements along SR 44 US 441, Leesburg, FL (Dixie Avenue) to reduce conflict points, improve vehicular safety, reduce travel speeds, establish pedestrian refuge areas, and visually improve the corridor. The concept plan included narrower travel lanes Length 2.2 mi and a series of landscaped medians and median islands, although the purpose of the medians and islands was less to manage corridor access and more to introduce enclosure for speed management. The improvements were incorporated into an FDOT Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (RRR) project for the corridor.

Project Limits



Study Findings & Plan Details

- This section of Dixie Avenue is heavily used by local and through traffic and serves as the truck bypass route around downtown Leesburg, with truck traffic accounting for more than 10% of the total traffic volume. However, the corridor also contains two significant and unique areas, including the city's signature park, Venetian Gardens, and a medical services area that includes the Leesburg Regional Medical Center.
- Speeding was a significant issue, with 35% of traffic on the western portion of the corridor traveling 5 mph or more above the posted 35-mph speed limit, and 62% traveling 5 mph or more above the 40-mph posted speed limit on the eastern portion of the corridor. The high speeds were a concern relative to the safety of pedestrians crossing to Venetian Gardens, the community pool, and the Pat Thomas baseball stadium, as well as for the high proportion of elderly visitors to the medical services area.
- Numerous typical section alternatives were evaluated, including an option with bike lanes; however, the preferred typical section included narrower lanes and a 14-foot center turn lane and medians/median islands. The width of the median allowed for incorporation of street trees to help enhance the enclosure effect to encourage slower speeds.
- A walking audit was completed with key stakeholders to gain insight into issues and help develop ideas for improvements.
- A limited traffic analysis was conducted for the SR 44 intersection at US 441 to justify a proposed restriping concept for the eastbound SR 44 approach to facilitate improved lane utilization and traffic operations.

- The islands and medians were configured to complement the city's existing street network and optimize traffic circulation patterns, but also limit the need for traffic to make U-turns (which are physically difficult on this corridor).
- Existing side street access was left largely unchanged, with only four minor streets experiencing additional access restrictions due to the new median. In each of those cases, redundant access was available via other city streets.
- Islands were generally placed to avoid access restrictions where possible and to provide a minimum of 50 feet of left-turn storage. Island placement also considered potential future access to undeveloped parcels along the corridor.
- All locations where access would be medianrestricted were verified to have redundant driveway access or access via simple circulation on the city's street network.
- The recommendations from the study were developed collaboratively with the city, MPO, and FDOT, and successfully incorporated into the FDOT RRR design.
- Landscaping was completed as a separate standalone project by the city; however, the RRR design incorporated the placement of landscape irrigation sleeves to facilitate maintenance of the landscaping once installed by the city.



Dixie Avenue adjacent to Venetian Gardens.



Dixie Avenue south of Main Street.

SR 44 (Dixie Avenue) (continued)

Before



After



Characteristics

Before	After
4+TWLTL	4
4/6	4/5
C4	C4
35/40 mph	35/40 mph
	Before 4+TWLTL 4/6 C4 35/40 mph

Measures of Effectiveness

- Vehicular LOS & Delay
- Multimodal Enhancements (qualitative assessment)
- Minimizing Access Restrictions and potential for U-turns (quantitative assessment)

Before



After



SAMP Elements Included in Case Study

Steps Undertaken

- Corridor Definition
- ✓ Data Collection
 - Crash & Safety Analysis
 - Existing Safety Analysis
 - ✓ Observations & Issues / Road Safety Audit
 - X Future Safety Analysis*

- X Traffic Operations Analysis
 - X Existing Conditions Analysis
 - X Traffic Forecast & Analysis Methodology
 - X Projected Future No Build Traffic Volumes
 - X Future Conditions Analysis
- Development of Access Alternatives
- X Evaluation of Alternatives
- Recommended CAMP

x denotes that the CAMP did not include these study elements

48





STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION OFFICE 605 Suwannee Street, MS 19 • Tallahassee, FL 32399 www.fdot.gov/planning