SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study SR A1A Over Sebastian Inlet – Bridge 880005 Bridge Replacement Indian River County and Brevard County, Florida

> Financial Project ID: 445618-1-22-02 Federal Aid Number: D420 075B ETDM Number: 14433

> > PREPARED FOR



Florida Department of Transportation District Four 3400 West Commercial Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022, and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.

November 2022



Table of Contents

1.0	SAFETY ANALYSIS	. 2
1.1	CRASH DATA	. 2
1.2	CRASH SUMMARY	. 2
1.3	FATAL CRASHES	. 7
1.4	CRASH RATES AND SAFETY RATIO	. 9
1.5	QUANTITATIVE SAFETY ANALYSIS	10

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 SR A1A Crash Summary Statistics Histograms	6
Figure 1-2 Crash Locations within Study Area	8

List of Tables

Table 1-1 SR A1A Crash Summary Statistics	3
Table 1-2 Crash Rates and Safety Ratios	9
Table 1-3 Expected Crash Prediction	.10

List of Appendices

Appendix A - Crash Data

Appendix B - HSM Predictive Analysis



1.0 SAFETY ANALYSIS

Safety analysis was performed along SR A1A over the Sebastian Inlet roadway segment within the study area using the latest available 5 years of crash data to identify crash patterns, contributing causes, countermeasures, and provide recommendations for further studies, if needed. The following sections summarize the safety analysis performed.

1.1 CRASH DATA

Crash data from 2016 to 2020 for SR A1A between the southern and northern access points to Sebastian Inlet State Park was obtained from the FDOT State Safety Office GIS (SSOGis) Query Tool on the Traffic Safety Web Portal. The data includes environmental and driver characteristics that were existent at the time of each crash and provides the basis for the crash data analysis (See **Appendix A**).

1.2 CRASH SUMMARY

Based on the crash analysis, a total of six crashes occurred on the SR A1A mainline within the study area from 2016 to 2020. Four crashes occurred in 2016, and one crash each in 2018 and 2020. Off-road crashes were the predominant crash type with overturn/rollover crashes accounting for 3 (50%) of the total crashes. Others included, one bicycle crash, one crash with utility pole, and one fire/explosion crash. 5 of the 6 of the crashes occurred under daylight and dry weather conditions.

One (1) fatal crash occurred within the study limits during the five-year period under wet surface conditions. Property Damage Only (PDO) crashes accounted for 2 crashes and the remaining 3 crashes resulted in injury. Among the contributing causes documented in the crash data, 'carelessness or negligent manner' (2 crashes) resulted in the most crashes. Other contributing causes included 'improper turn' (1 crash), 'failed to keep in proper lane' (1 crash), 'ran off roadway' (1 crash), and 'other contributing action' (1 crash). **Table 1-1** and **Figure 1-1** show the crash summary along SR A1A mainline within the study area.



Table 1-1 SR A1A Crash Summary Statistics											
			Numb	er of C	rashes		E Voor	Mean			
SR A1A Seb	astian Inlet State Park			Year			Total	Crashes	%		
	Bridge	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Crashes	Per Year			
	Rear End	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Head On	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Angle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Left-turn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Right-turn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Sideswipe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Backed Into	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Bicycle	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	Fixed Object	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	16.7%		
	Impact Attenuator/Crash Cushion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Bridge Overhead Structure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Bridge Pier or Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Bridge Rail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Culvert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Curb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Ditch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Embankment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Guardrail Face	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Guardrail End	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Cable Barrier	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Concrete Traffic Barrier	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Other Traffic Barrier	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
CRASH TYPE	Tree (Standing)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Utility Pole/Light Support	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	16.7%		
	Traffic Sign Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Traffic Signal Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Fence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Mailbox	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Other Fixed Object	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Other Non-Fixed Obiect Collisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Railway Vehicle (Train, Engine)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Motor Vehicle in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Parked Motor Vehicle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Work Zone/Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00/		
	Equip. Struck by Falling/Shifting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Cargo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
		3	0	1	0	0	4	1	66.7%		
		2	0	1	0	0	<u>র</u>	1	00.0%		
		1	0	0	0	0		0	10.7%		
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
		i U	i U	i U	i U	U U			0.070		



Table 1-1 SR A1A Crash Summary Statistics										
			Numb	er of C	rashes		5 Voor	Moon		
SR A1A Seb	astian Inlet State Park			Year			Total	Crashes	%	
	Bridge	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Crashes	Per Year		
	Cargo/Equipment Loss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Fell/Jumped from Motor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Vehicle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Thrown or Falling Object	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Ran into Water/Canal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Total Crashes	4	0	1	0	1	6	1	100.0%	
	PDO Crashes	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	33.3%	
SEVERITY	Fatal Crashes	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	Injury Crashes	2	0	1	0	0	3	1	50.0%	
	Daylight	4	0	1	0	1	6	1	100.0%	
	Dusk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Dawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Combinionio	Dark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Dry	4	0	1	0	1	6	1	100.0%	
	Wet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
CONDITIONS	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	January	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	33.3%	
	February	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	April	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	Мау	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
MONTH	June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
OF YEAR	July	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	October	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	16.7%	
	November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
DAY	wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
OF WEEK	Thursday	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	Friday	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	16.7%	
	Saturday	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	33.3%	
	Sunday	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%	
	00:00-06:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
	00:00-09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
UF DAY	09:00-11:00	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	33.3%	
1	11:00-13:00	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	33.3%	



Table 1-1 SR A1A Crash Summary Statistics											
			Numb	er of C	rashes		5 Year	Mean			
SR A1A Seba	astian Inlet State Park			Year			Total	Crashes	%		
	Bhage	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Crashes	Per Year			
	13:00-15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	15:00-18:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	18:00-24:00	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	No Contributing Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Careless or Negligent Manner	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	33.3%		
	Failed to Yield Right of way	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Improper Backing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Improper Turn	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	Followed too Closely	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Ran Red Light	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Drove too Fast for Conditions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Ran Stop Sign	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Improper Passing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
CAUSES	Exceed Posted Speed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
(VEHICLE ONLY	Wrong Side or Wrong Way	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Failed to Keep in Proper Lane	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	Ran Off Roadway	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	Disregarded Other Traffic Sign	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Disregarded Road Markings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Over- Correcting/Steering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Swerved or avoided	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Erratic, Reckless or Aggressive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Other Contributing Action	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	16.7%		
	Clear	3	0	1	0	1	5	1	83.3%		
	Cloudy	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	16.7%		
	Rain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
WEATHER	Fog, Smog, Smoke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
CONDITIONS	Sleet/Hail/Freezing Rain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Blowing Sand, Soil, Dirt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Severe Crosswinds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%		









1.3 FATAL CRASHES

Fatal crashes are a major concern in roadway safety analysis. Based on the crash data, there was 1 fatal crash within the study area along SR A1A. The police report for this crash was obtained from the FDOT and reviewed to identify specific contributing factors that may have caused or influenced this fatal crash. The description of the fatal crash, as obtained from the crash report, is presented below.

On 07/03/2016, a vehicle traveling southbound failed to keep in the proper lane and overturned/rolled over. The driver of this vehicle who died, was alone in the vehicle and found to have been under the influence of drugs at the time of the incident. This crash occurred under wet surface conditions and during the daytime. **Figure 1-2** shows the fatal crash location identified within the study area.









1.4 **CRASH RATES AND SAFETY RATIO**

Crash Rates, Safety Ratios and Confidence Levels were calculated for the SR A1A project corridor for the analysis years 2016 to 2020 and summarized in Table 1-2. Average crash rates for a rural 2-3 lane 2-way undivided roadway segment were utilized in computing the safety ratios and confidence levels. A safety ratio greater than one indicates that the roadway segment presents abnormally high crash occurrences. Safety ratios less than one translate into random occurrences of crashes within normal ranges based on the safety ratio analysis.

Based on the analysis, except for 2016 which recorded 4 crashes, all the remaining years recorded only one or no crashes. This results in a statistically significant crash occurrence for 2016 with calculated safety ratio of 3.018 for 2016 which is greater than one. The calculated overall 5-year safety ratios and confidence levels as shown in Table 1-2 suggests that the crash rates at this location were not abnormally high.

	Table 1-2 Crash Rates and Safety Ratios													
Year	Num of Crashes	ADT	Actual Crash Rate (ACR)	District 4 Average Crash Rate (A)	Average Vehicle Exposure (M)	Critical Crash Rate (CCR)	Safety Ratio	Statistical Significance	Confidence Level					
2016	4	3023	3.251	0.469	1.230	1.077	3.018	5.167	99.99%					
2017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
2018	1	3149	0.780	0.469	1.282	1.073	0.727	1.161	85.00%					
2019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
2020	1	3125	0.786	0.469	1.272	1.074	0.732	1.171	85.00%					
Overall	6	3099	0.951	0.469	1.261	1.075	0.885	1.442	90.00%					
Notes:														

Μ

ADT - Average Daily Traffic

ACR - Actual Crash Rate = No. of crashes in a year / Average Vehicle Exposure (M)

– Average Vehicle Exposure (million vehicles or million vehicles miles) = [(ADT * 365 * L) / 1,000,000]

- Average Crash Rate

A CCR - Critical Crash Rate

Safety Ratio = ACR/CCR

Level of statistical significance = (ACR - A + (1/2M))/SQRT(A/M)

Confidence Level = Percent probability that the crash rate is abnormally high for the location under study, using the district-wide average as a basis.



1.5 QUANTITATIVE SAFETY ANALYSIS

A future crash prediction analysis for the SR A1A corridor within the project limits was performed as part of the safety study. The Highway Safety Manual (HSM) Predictive Method for Rural Two-Lane, Two-Way Roads Analysis Spreadsheet Tool was used for the predictive safety analysis. The crash prediction analysis follows the methodology outlined in the Highway Safety Manual.

Expected crashes were predicted for the No-Build and Build Alternative for the 2025 opening year and the 2045 design year using the HSM Predictive Spreadsheet Tool. The crash prediction spreadsheet tool implements the Empirical Bayes Analysis Methodology which combines the predicted crashes from the safety performance functions with the historical crash data to obtain the expected crashes.

The geometric, cross sectional and traffic operation characteristics as well as the historic crash data for the No-Build and Build Alternative were input into the HSM Predictive Spreadsheet Tool to obtain the expected crashes for the analysis years. The Build Alternative provides wider shoulders as well as a shared use path and auxiliary lanes to enhance safety within the project limits. The HSM Spreadsheets are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 1-3 Expected Crash Prediction											
		Crash Fr	% Change from No- Build								
Crash Severity	20	025	20	045							
	No-Build	Build Alternative	Build Iternative No-Build Build Alternative		2025	2045					
Fatal & Injury	0.35	0.24	0.41	0.28	-31.4%	-31.7%					
Property Damage Only	0.74	0.50	0.88	0.60	-32.4%	-31.8%					
Total	1.08	0.74	1.29	0.88	-31.5%	-31.8%					

Table 1-3 shows the average predicted crash frequency along the SR A1A corridor within the projectlimits. The detailed crash prediction analyses are provided in the Project Traffic Forecast Analysis Reporton file with FDOT District Four.

Based on the results shown in **Table 1-3**, the Build Alternative will result in a lower number of expected total crashes with an overall crash reduction of 31.5% for the 2025 opening year and 31.8% for the 2045 design year compared to the No-Build Alternative.

APPENDIX A

CRASH DATA

	State of Florida Department of Transportation														
						С	RASH SU	MMARY	,						
SECTION:			8807	0000						STA	TE ROUTE:			0	
ROADWAY	LIMITS:		MP					M.P.	0.000	то	1.115	ENGINEER:	GOAL Asso	ciates Inc.	
STUDY PERIOD: FROM 1/ 2016								то	12/	2016		COUNTY:	Indian Rive	er	
No.	MILE POST	DATE	DAY	TIME		CRASH TYPE		FATAL	INJURIES	PROP DAM	DAY / NIGHT	WET / DRY	CONT (\	FRIBUTING C	AUSE Y)
1	0.133	01/12/2016	Tue	1625	Fire/Explosion		0	0	1	Day	Dry	Ra	in Off Roadw	/ay	
2	0.205	04/02/2016	Sat	2100	Ove	erturn/Rollc	ver	0	2	0	Day	Dry	Careless	Careless or Negligent Mar	
3	0.210	07/03/2016	Sun	1250	Ove	erturn/Rollc	ver	1	0	0	Day	Wet	Failed To	Failed To Keep In Proper	
4	22.295	02/20/2016	Sat	1050		Pedalcycle		0	1	0	Day	Dry	l	mproper Tur	'n
										Backed			Fixed	Ran into	
Total No.	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Rear-End	Head-On	Angle	Left-Turn	Right-Turn	Sideswipe	Into	Ped/Bike	Parked Car	Object	Water	Other
4	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percent	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Contrib.					Careless		Improper	Ran Red	Exceeded	Improper	Disreg Cntl	Erratic/	Ran off		Wrong
Cause	Day	Night	Wet	Dry	Driving	FTYRW	Turn	Light	Speed	Passing	Dev	Aggress	Road	DUI	Way
Total	4	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent	100.00%	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	TOTAL ENTERING VEHICLES/ADT: 3,023 SEGMENT CRASH RATE: 3.251 CRASHES PER MILLION VEHICLE MILES														

	State of Florida Department of Transportation CRASH SUMMARY														
SECTION:			8807	0000						STA	TE ROUTE:			0	
ROADWAY LIMITS: MP								M.P.	0.000	то	1.115	ENGINEER:	GOAL Asso	ciates Inc.	
STUDY PERIOD: FROM 1/ 2018 TO 12/ 2018 COUNTY: Indian River															
NO. MILE POST DATE DAY TIME CRASH TYPE FATAL INJURIES PROP DAM DAY / NIGHT WET / DRY (VEHICLE ONLY) CONTRIBUTING CAUSI (VEHICLE ONLY)									AUSE Y)						
1	0.076	01/18/2018	Thu	1215	Overturn/Rollover			0	4	0	Day	Dry	Careless	t Manner	
										Backed			Fixed	Ran into	
Total No.	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Rear-End	Head-On	Angle	Left-Turn	Right-Turn	Sideswipe	Into	Ped/Bike	Parked Car	Object	Water	Other
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Contrib.					Careless		Improper	Ran Red	Exceeded	Improper	Disreg Cntl	Erratic/	Ran off		Wrong
Cause	Day	Night	Wet	Dry	Driving	FTYRW	Turn	Light	Speed	Passing	Dev	Aggress	Road	DUI	Way
Total	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	TOTAL ENTERING VEHICLES/ADT: 3,149 SEGMENT CRASH RATE: 0.780 CRASHES PER MILLION VEHICLE MILES														

						State	of Florid	a Denartn	nent of Tr	ansnortat	ion					
						Juic	CI		MMARY	/						
	SECTION			8807	20000						STA				0	
	ROADWAY	LIMITS:		MP	0000				M.P.	0.000	то	1.115	ENGINEER:	GOAL Asso	ciates Inc.	
	STUDY PER	IOD:		FROM	1/	2020			то	12/	2020		COUNTY: Indian River			
Crash Number	No	MILE	DATE	DAV	TIME			-	ΕΛΤΑΙ		PROP	DAY /	WET /	CONT	RIBUTING C	AUSE
Crash Number	NU.	POST	DATE	DAT	THVIE		CRASHITE		FATAL	INJUNES	DAM	NIGHT	DRY	(\	EHICLE ONL	.Y)
900014470	1	22.512	10/02/2020	Fri	1015	Utility Pole/Light Support			0	0	1	Day	Dry	Other 0	Other Contributing Action	
											Backed		Parked	Fixed	Ran into	
	Total No.	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Rear-End	Head-On	Angle	Left-Turn	Right-Turn	Sideswipe	Into	Ped/Bike	Car	Object	Water	Other
	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Contrib.					Careless		Improper	Ran Red	Exceeded	Improper	Disreg	Erratic/	Ran off		Wrong
	Cause	Day	Night	Wet	Dry	Driving	FTYRW	Turn	Light	Speed	Passing	Cntl Dev	Aggress	Road	DUI	Way
	Total	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Percent 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00						0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
					TOTAL EN	FERING VEH	IICLES/ADT:	3,149	SEGMENT CRASH RATE: 0.780 CRASHES PER MILLION VEHICLE MILES					ILES		

APPENDIX B HSM PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS

Worksheet	t 1A General Information and Input Data	a for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments						
General Info	ormation		L	ocation Infor	mation			
Analyst	Daniel Torres	Roadway			SR A1A			
Agency or Company	GOAL Associates	Roadway Section		88070000 & 70060000				
Date Performed	08/23/21	Alternative		No-Build Alternative				
		Analysis Year			2025			
Input D	ata	Base Conditions		S	Site Conditions			
Length of segment, L (mi)					1.115			
AADT (veh/day)	AADT _{MAX} = 17,800 (veh/day)				3,100			
Lane width (ft)		12	12					
Shoulder width (ft)		6	Right Shld:	2	Left Shld: 2			
Shoulder type		Paved	Right Shld:	Paved	Left Shld: Paved			
Length of horizontal curve (mi)		0			0.0			
Radius of curvature (ft)		0			0			
Spiral transition curve (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present				
Superelevation variance (ft/ft)		< 0.01		0				
Grade (%)		0	3					
Driveway density (driveways/mile)		5			2.00			
Centerline rumble strips (present/not present)		Not Present			Not Present			
Passing lanes [present (1 lane) /present (2 lane) /	/ not present)]	Not Present			Not Present			
Two-way left-turn lane (present/not present)		Not Present			Not Present			
Roadside hazard rating (1-7 scale)		3		3				
Segment lighting (present/not present)		Not Present Not Present			Not Present			
Auto speed enforcement (present/not present)		Not Present	sent Not Present					
Calibration Factor, Cr		1 1.00			1.00			

	Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments											
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
CMF for Lane	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for Super-	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	Combined
Width	Shoulder Width	Horizontal	elevation	Grades	Driveway	Centerline	Passing	Two-Way	Roadside	Lighting	Automated	CMF
	and Type	Curves			Density	Rumble	Lanes	Left-Turn	Design		Speed	
						Strips		Lane			Enforcement	
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMR 5r	CMF 6r	CMF 7r	CMF 8r	CMF 9r	CMF 10r	CMF 11r	CMF 12r	CMF comb
from Equation	from Equation	from Equation	from Equations	from Table	from Equation	from	from	from	from Equation	from Equation	from Section	(1)x(2)x
10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14, 10-15,	10-11	10-17	Section	Section	Equation	10-20	10-21	10.7.1	
			or 10-16			10.7.1	10.7.1	10-18 & 10-				x(11)x(12)
								19				
1.00	1.17	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.172

	Worksheet 1C Roadway Segment Crashes for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments											
(1) (2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)					
Crash Severity Level	N spf rs	Overdispersion Parameter,	Crash Severity	N spf rs by Severity	Combined	Calibration	Predicted average					
		k	Distribution	Distribution	CMFs	Factor, Cr	crash frequency, N					
	from Equation 10-6	from Equation 10-7	from Table 10-3 (proportion)	(2)total x (4)	(13) from Worksheet 1B		(5)x(6)x(7)					
Total	0.923	0.21	1.000	0.923	1.17	1.00	1.083					
Fatal and Injury (FI)			0.321	0.296	1.17	1.00	0.347					
Property Damage Only (PDO)			0.679	0.627	1.17	1.00	0.735					

	Worksheet 1D -	- Crashes by Severity Level	and Collision Type for Ru	iral Two-Lane Two-Wa	y Roadway Segments	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(total)	N predicted rs (TOTAL) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	N predicted rs (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(PDO)	N predicted rs (PDO) (crashes/year)
	from Table 10-4	(8)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)⊧ı from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)PDO from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	1.083	1.000	0.347	1.000	0.735
		(2)x(3)total		(4)x(5)FI		(6)x(7)pdo
			SINGLE-VEHICLE			
Collision with animal	0.121	0.131	0.038	0.013	0.184	0.135
Collision with bicycle	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001
Collision with pedestrian	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.002	0.001	0.001
Overturned	0.025	0.027	0.037	0.013	0.015	0.011
Ran off road	0.521	0.564	0.545	0.189	0.505	0.371
Other single-vehicle collision	0.021	0.023	0.007	0.002	0.029	0.021
Total single-vehicle crashes	0.693	0.750	0.638	0.222	0.735	0.540
			MULTIPLE-VEHICLE			
Angle collision	0.085	0.092	0.100	0.035	0.072	0.053
Head-on collision	0.016	0.017	0.034	0.012	0.003	0.002
Rear-end collision	0.142	0.154	0.164	0.057	0.122	0.090
Sideswipe collision	0.037	0.040	0.038	0.013	0.038	0.028
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.027	0.029	0.026	0.009	0.030	0.022
Total multiple-vehicle crashes	0.307	0.332	0.362	0.126	0.265	0.195

Worksheet 1E Summary Results for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)						
Crash severity level	Crash Severity Distribution (proportion)	Predicted average crash frequency (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)						
	(4) from Worksheet 1C	(8) from Worksheet 1C		(3)/(4)						
Total	1.000	1.08	1.115	1.0						
Fatal and Injury (FI)	0.321	0.35	1.115	0.3						
Property Damage Only (PDO)	0.679	0.74	1.115	0.7						

Worksheet 3A Predicted and Observed Crashes b	Severity and Site Type Using the Site-Specific EB Me	ethod
		<i>7</i> (1) 0 0

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)					
Site type				Observed	Overdispersion	Weighted	Expected					
	Predicted	l average crash f	requency	crashes,	Parameter, k	adjustment, w	average crash					
		(crashes/year)		N _{observed}		_	frequency,					
	N predicted	N _{predicted} (FI)	N predicted	(crashes/year)		Equation A-5	Equation A-4					
	(TOTAL)		(PDO)			from Part C	from Part C					
						Appendix	Appendix					
ROADWAY SEGMENTS												
Segment_1	1.083	0.347	0.735	1.200	0.212	0.814	1.104					
Segment_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Segment Totals:	1.083	0.347	0.735	1.200			1.104					
			INTERSECTIO	NS								
Intersection_1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.540	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000					
Intersection Totals:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			0.000					
COMBINED (sum of column)	1.083	0.347	0.735	1.200			1.104					

Worksheet 3B Site-Specific EB Method Summary Results								
(1)	(2)	(3)						
Crash severity level	N predicted	N expected						
Total	(2) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(8) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A						
	1.083	1.104						
Fatal and Injury (FI)	(3) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{FI} / (2) _{TOTAL}						
	0.347	0.355						
Property Damage Only (PDO)	(4) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{PDO} / (2) _{TOTAL}						
	0.735	0.750						

Worksheet	1A General Information and Input Data	a for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments						
General Info	rmation		I	Location Infor	mation			
Analyst	Daniel Torres	Roadway			SR A1A			
Agency or Company	GOAL Associates	Roadway Section			88070000 & 700	60000		
Date Performed	08/23/21	Alternative			Build Alterna	tive		
		Analysis Year			2025			
Input D	ata	Base Conditions		Ş	Site Conditions			
Length of segment, L (mi)				1.115				
AADT (veh/day)	AADT _{MAX} = 17,800 (veh/day)		3,100					
Lane width (ft)	12	12						
Shoulder width (ft)	6	Right Shld:	8		Left Shld:	8		
Shoulder type		Paved	Right Shld:	Paved		Left Shld:	Paved	
Length of horizontal curve (mi)		0			0.0			
Radius of curvature (ft)		0		0				
Spiral transition curve (present/not present)		Not Present		Not Present				
Superelevation variance (ft/ft)		< 0.01		0				
Grade (%)		0		3				
Driveway density (driveways/mile)		5			2.00			
Centerline rumble strips (present/not present)		Not Present			Present			
Passing lanes [present (1 lane) /present (2 lane) /	not present)]	Not Present			Not Present			
Two-way left-turn lane (present/not present)	Not Present		Not Present					
Roadside hazard rating (1-7 scale)	3		3					
Segment lighting (present/not present)	Not Present		Present					
Auto speed enforcement (present/not present)		Not Present	Not Present					
Calibration Factor, Cr		1			1.00			

	Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments											
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
CMF for Lane	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for Super-	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	Combined
Width	Shoulder Width	Horizontal	elevation	Grades	Driveway	Centerline	Passing	Two-Way	Roadside	Lighting	Automated	CMF
	and Type	Curves			Density	Rumble	Lanes	Left-Turn	Design		Speed	
						Strips		Lane			Enforcement	
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMR 5r	CMF 6r	CMF 7r	CMF 8r	CMF 9r	CMF 10r	CMF 11r	CMF 12r	CMF comb
from Equation	from Equation	from Equation	from Equations	from Table	from Equation	from	from	from	from Equation	from Equation	from Section	(1)x(2)x
10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14, 10-15,	10-11	10-17	Section	Section	Equation	10-20	10-21	10.7.1	
			or 10-16			10.7.1	10.7.1	10-18 & 10-				x(11)x(12)
								19				
1.00	0.93	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.802

	Worksheet 1C Roadway Segment Crashes for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments											
(1) (2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)					
Crash Severity Level	N spf rs	Overdispersion Parameter,	Crash Severity	N spf rs by Severity	Combined	Calibration	Predicted average					
		k	Distribution	Distribution	CMFs	Factor, Cr	crash frequency, N					
	from Equation 10-6	from Equation 10-7	from Table 10-3 (proportion)	(2)total x (4)	(13) from Worksheet 1B		(5)x(6)x(7)					
Total	0.923	0.21	1.000	0.923	0.80	1.00	0.740					
Fatal and Injury (FI)			0.321	0.296	0.80	1.00	0.238					
Property Damage Only (PDO)			0.679	0.627	0.80	1.00	0.503					

	Worksheet 1D -	- Crashes by Severity Level	and Collision Type for Ru	ral Two-Lane Two-Way	/ Roadway Segments	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(total)	N predicted rs (TOTAL) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	N predicted rs (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(PDO)	N predicted rs (PDO) (crashes/year)
	from Table 10-4	(8)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)⊧i from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)PDO from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	0.740	1.000	0.238	1.000	0.503
		(2)x(3)total		(4)x(5)FI		(6)x(7)pdo
			SINGLE-VEHICLE			
Collision with animal	0.121	0.090	0.038	0.009	0.184	0.092
Collision with bicycle	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001
Collision with pedestrian	0.003	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.001	0.001
Overturned	0.025	0.019	0.037	0.009	0.015	0.008
Ran off road	0.521	0.386	0.545	0.130	0.505	0.254
Other single-vehicle collision	0.021	0.016	0.007	0.002	0.029	0.015
Total single-vehicle crashes	0.693	0.513	0.638	0.152	0.735	0.369
			MULTIPLE-VEHICLE			
Angle collision	0.085	0.063	0.100	0.024	0.072	0.036
Head-on collision	0.016	0.012	0.034	0.008	0.003	0.002
Rear-end collision	0.142	0.105	0.164	0.039	0.122	0.061
Sideswipe collision	0.037	0.027	0.038	0.009	0.038	0.019
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.027	0.020	0.026	0.006	0.030	0.015
Total multiple-vehicle crashes	0.307	0.227	0.362	0.086	0.265	0.133

Worksheet 1E Summary Results for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)						
Crash severity level	Crash Severity Distribution (proportion)	Predicted average crash frequency (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)						
	(4) from Worksheet 1C	(8) from Worksheet 1C		(3)/(4)						
Total	1.000	0.74	1.115	0.7						
Fatal and Injury (FI)	0.321	0.24	1.115	0.2						
Property Damage Only (PDO)	0.679	0.50	1.115	0.5						

Worksheet 3A Predicted and Observed Crashes by Severity and Site Type Using the S	Site-Specific EB Method	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Site type				Observed	Overdispersion	Weighted	Expected
	Predicted	l average crash f	requency	crashes,	Parameter, k	adjustment, w	average crash
		(crashes/year)		N _{observed}		_	frequency,
	N predicted	N _{predicted} (FI)	N predicted	(crashes/year)		Equation A-5	Equation A-4
	(TOTAL)		(PDO)			from Part C	from Part C
						Appendix	Appendix
		R	OADWAY SEGN	IENTS			
Segment_1	0.740	0.238	0.503	1.200	0.212	0.865	0.803
Segment_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment Totals:	0.740	0.238	0.503	1.200			0.803
			INTERSECTIO	NS			
Intersection_1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.540	1.000	0.000
Intersection_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection Totals:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			0.000
COMBINED (sum of column)	0.740	0.238	0.503	1.200			0.803

Worksheet 3B	Site-Specific	EB Method	Summary Results	

(1)	(2)	(3)
Crash severity level	N predicted	N _{expected}
Total	(2) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(8) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A
	0.740	0.803
Fatal and Injury (FI)	(3) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{FI} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.238	0.258
Property Damage Only (PDO)	(4) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{PDO} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.503	0.545

Workshee	for Rural Two-Lane T	wo-Way Roa	dway Segmer	nts				
General Info	Location Information							
Analyst	Daniel Torres		Roadway		SR A1A			
Agency or Company	GOAL Associate	es	Roadway Section			88070000 & 70	0060000	
Date Performed	08/23/21		Alternative			No-Build Alte	rnative	
			Analysis Year			2045		
Input D	Data		Base Conditions		S	ite Conditions		
Length of segment, L (mi)						1.115		
AADT (veh/day)	AADT _{MAX} = 17,800	(veh/day)				3,700		
Lane width (ft)	8		12			12		
Shoulder width (ft)			6	Right Shld:	2		Left Shld:	2
Shoulder type			Paved	Right Shld:	Paved		Left Shld:	Paved
Length of horizontal curve (mi)			0			0.0		
Radius of curvature (ft)			0			0		
Spiral transition curve (present/not present)			Not Present	Not Present				
Superelevation variance (ft/ft)			< 0.01	0				
Grade (%)			0	3				
Driveway density (driveways/mile)			5		2.00			
Centerline rumble strips (present/not present)			Not Present		Not Present			
Passing lanes [present (1 lane) /present (2 lane) / not present)]			Not Present		Not Present			
Two-way left-turn lane (present/not present)			Not Present		Not Present			
Roadside hazard rating (1-7 scale)			3	3				
Segment lighting (present/not present)			Not Present	Not Present				
Auto speed enforcement (present/not present)			Not Present		Not Present			
Calibration Factor, Cr			1			1.00		

	Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments											
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
CMF for Lane	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for Super-	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	Combined
Width	Shoulder Width	Horizontal	elevation	Grades	Driveway	Centerline	Passing	Two-Way	Roadside	Lighting	Automated	CMF
	and Type	Curves			Density	Rumble	Lanes	Left-Turn	Design		Speed	
						Strips		Lane			Enforcement	
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMR 5r	CMF 6r	CMF 7r	CMF 8r	CMF 9r	CMF 10r	CMF 11r	CMF 12r	CMF comb
from Equation	from Equation	from Equation	from Equations	from Table	from Equation	from	from	from	from Equation	from Equation	from Section	(1)x(2)x
10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14, 10-15,	10-11	10-17	Section	Section	Equation	10-20	10-21	10.7.1	
			or 10-16			10.7.1	10.7.1	10-18 & 10-				x(11)x(12)
								19				
1.00	1.17	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.172

	Worksheet 1C Roadway Segment Crashes for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Crash Severity Level	N spf rs	Overdispersion Parameter,	Crash Severity	N spf rs by Severity	Combined	Calibration	Predicted average
		k	Distribution	Distribution	CMFs	Factor, Cr	crash frequency, N
	from Equation 10-6	from Equation 10-7	from Table 10-3 (proportion)	(2)TOTAL X (4)	(13) from Worksheet 1B		(5)x(6)x(7)
Total	1.102	0.21	1.000	1.102	1.17	1.00	1.292
Fatal and Injury (FI)			0.321	0.354	1.17	1.00	0.415
Property Damage Only (PDO)			0.679	0.748	1.17	1.00	0.877

	Worksheet 1D -	- Crashes by Severity Level	and Collision Type for Ru	ral Two-Lane Two-Way	y Roadway Segments	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(TOTAL)	N predicted rs (TOTAL) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	N predicted rs (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(PDO)	N predicted rs (PDO) (crashes/year)
	from Table 10-4	(8)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)⊧ı from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)PDO from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	1.292	1.000	0.415	1.000	0.877
		(2)x(3)total		(4)x(5)FI		(6)x(7)pdo
			SINGLE-VEHICLE			
Collision with animal	0.121	0.156	0.038	0.016	0.184	0.161
Collision with bicycle	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001
Collision with pedestrian	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.003	0.001	0.001
Overturned	0.025	0.032	0.037	0.015	0.015	0.013
Ran off road	0.521	0.673	0.545	0.226	0.505	0.443
Other single-vehicle collision	0.021	0.027	0.007	0.003	0.029	0.025
Total single-vehicle crashes	0.693	0.895	0.638	0.265	0.735	0.645
			MULTIPLE-VEHICLE			
Angle collision	0.085	0.110	0.100	0.041	0.072	0.063
Head-on collision	0.016	0.021	0.034	0.014	0.003	0.003
Rear-end collision	0.142	0.183	0.164	0.068	0.122	0.107
Sideswipe collision	0.037	0.048	0.038	0.016	0.038	0.033
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.027	0.035	0.026	0.011	0.030	0.026
Total multiple-vehicle crashes	0.307	0.397	0.362	0.150	0.265	0.232

Worksheet 1E Summary Results for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments							
(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
Crash severity level Crash Severity Distribution (proportion)		Predicted average crash frequency (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)			
	(4) from Worksheet 1C	(8) from Worksheet 1C		(3)/(4)			
Total	1.000	1.29	1.115	1.2			
Fatal and Injury (FI)	0.321	0.41	1.115	0.4			
Property Damage Only (PDO)	0.679	0.88	1.115	0.8			

Warksheet 20 Dredicted and Observed Creebes b	, Coverity and Cite Type Heine the Cite Chesifie ED Method
Where the second states of the	# Soverny and she i voe lising the she-shering EB Weingg
	/ · · · · / · · · · · · · · · · · ·

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Site type				Observed	Overdispersion	Weighted	Expected
	Predicted	d average crash f	requency	crashes,	Parameter, k	adjustment, w	average crash
		(crashes/year)		N _{observed}		_	frequency,
	N predicted	N _{predicted} (FI)	N predicted	(crashes/year)		Equation A-5	Equation A-4
	(TOTAL)		(PDO)			from Part C	from Part C
						Appendix	Appendix
		R	OADWAY SEGN	IENTS			
Segment_1	1.292	0.415	0.877	1.200	0.212	0.785	1.272
Segment_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Segment Totals:	1.292	0.415	0.877	1.200			1.272
			INTERSECTIO	NS			
Intersection_1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.540	1.000	0.000
Intersection_2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_7	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection_8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Intersection Totals:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			0.000
COMBINED (sum of column)	1.292	0.415	0.877	1.200			1.272

	Worksheet 3B Site-Specific EB Method Summary R	Results
(1)	(2)	(3)
Crash severity level	N predicted	N _{expected}
Total	(2) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(8) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A
	1.292	1.272
Fatal and Injury (FI)	(3) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{FI} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.415	0.408
Property Damage Only (PDO)	(4) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{PDO} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.877	0.864

Worksheet 1A General Information and Input Data for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments									
General Information			Location Information						
Analyst	Daniel Torre	es	Roadway		SR A1A				
Agency or Company	GOAL Associa	ates	Roadway Section		88070000 & 70060000				
Date Performed	08/23/21		Alternative		Build Alternative				
			Analysis Year		2045				
Input D	Data		Base Conditions	Site Conditions					
Length of segment, L (mi)				1.115					
AADT (veh/day)	AADT _{MAX} = 17,800	(veh/day)				3,700			
Lane width (ft)	<u>-</u>		12	12					
Shoulder width (ft)			6	Right Shld:	8		Left Shld:	8	
Shoulder type			Paved	Right Shld:	Paved		Left Shld:	Paved	
Length of horizontal curve (mi)			0			0.0			
Radius of curvature (ft)			0	0					
Spiral transition curve (present/not present)			Not Present			Not Present			
Superelevation variance (ft/ft)			< 0.01	0					
Grade (%)			0	3					
Driveway density (driveways/mile)			5	2.00					
Centerline rumble strips (present/not present)			Not Present	Present					
Passing lanes [present (1 lane) /present (2 lane) / not present)]			Not Present	Not Present					
Two-way left-turn lane (present/not present)			Not Present	Not Present					
Roadside hazard rating (1-7 scale)			3	3					
Segment lighting (present/not present)			Not Present	Present					
Auto speed enforcement (present/not present)			Not Present	Not Present					
Calibration Factor, Cr			1			1.00			

Worksheet 1B Crash Modification Factors for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments												
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
CMF for Lane	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for Super-	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	CMF for	Combined
Width	Shoulder Width	Horizontal	elevation	Grades	Driveway	Centerline	Passing	Two-Way	Roadside	Lighting	Automated	CMF
	and Type	Curves			Density	Rumble	Lanes	Left-Turn	Design		Speed	
						Strips		Lane	_		Enforcement	
CMF 1r	CMF 2r	CMF 3r	CMF 4r	CMR 5r	CMF 6r	CMF 7r	CMF 8r	CMF 9r	CMF 10r	CMF 11r	CMF 12r	CMF comb
from Equation	from Equation	from Equation	from Equations	from Table	from Equation	from	from	from	from Equation	from Equation	from Section	(1)x(2)x
10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14, 10-15,	10-11	10-17	Section	Section	Equation	10-20	10-21	10.7.1	
			or 10-16			10.7.1	10.7.1	10-18 & 10-				x(11)x(12)
								19				
1.00	0.93	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.802

Worksheet 1C Roadway Segment Crashes for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Crash Severity Level	N spf rs	Overdispersion Parameter,	Crash Severity	N spf rs by Severity	Combined	Calibration	Predicted average
		k	Distribution	Distribution	CMFs	Factor, Cr	crash frequency, N
	from Equation 10-6	from Equation 10-7	from Table 10-3 (proportion)	(2)TOTAL X (4)	(13) from Worksheet 1B		(5)x(6)x(7)
Total	1.102	0.21	1.000	1.102	0.80	1.00	0.884
Fatal and Injury (FI)			0.321	0.354	0.80	1.00	0.284
Property Damage Only (PDO)			0.679	0.748	0.80	1.00	0.600

Worksheet 1D Crashes by Severity Level and Collision Type for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Collision Type	Proportion of Collision Type(TOTAL)	N predicted rs (TOTAL) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(FI)	N predicted rs (FI) (crashes/year)	Proportion of Collision Type(PDO)	N predicted rs (PDO) (crashes/year)
	from Table 10-4	(8)TOTAL from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)⊧ı from Worksheet 1C	from Table 10-4	(8)⊧₀o from Worksheet 1C
Total	1.000	0.884	1.000	0.284	1.000	0.600
		(2)x(3)total		(4)x(5)FI		(6)x(7)PDO
			SINGLE-VEHICLE			
Collision with animal	0.121	0.107	0.038	0.011	0.184	0.110
Collision with bicycle	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001
Collision with pedestrian	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.002	0.001	0.001
Overturned	0.025	0.022	0.037	0.010	0.015	0.009
Ran off road	0.521	0.460	0.545	0.155	0.505	0.303
Other single-vehicle collision	0.021	0.019	0.007	0.002	0.029	0.017
Total single-vehicle crashes	0.693	0.612	0.638	0.181	0.735	0.441
			MULTIPLE-VEHICLE			
Angle collision	0.085	0.075	0.100	0.028	0.072	0.043
Head-on collision	0.016	0.014	0.034	0.010	0.003	0.002
Rear-end collision	0.142	0.125	0.164	0.047	0.122	0.073
Sideswipe collision	0.037	0.033	0.038	0.011	0.038	0.023
Other multiple-vehicle collision	0.027	0.024	0.026	0.007	0.030	0.018
Total multiple-vehicle crashes	0.307	0.271	0.362	0.103	0.265	0.159

Worksheet 1E Summary Results for Rural Two-Lane Two-Way Roadway Segments							
(1)	(2)	(4)	(5)				
Crash severity level	Crash Severity Distribution (proportion)	Predicted average crash frequency (crashes/year)	Roadway segment length (mi)	Crash rate (crashes/mi/year)			
	(4) from Worksheet 1C	(8) from Worksheet 1C		(3)/(4)			
Total	1.000	0.88	1.115	0.8			
Fatal and Injury (FI)	0.321	0.28	1.115	0.3			
Property Damage Only (PDO)	0.679	0.60	1.115	0.5			

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (8) (6) (7)Expected Site type Observed Overdispersion Weighted Predicted average crash frequency crashes. Parameter, k average crash adjustment, w (crashes/year) Nobserved frequency. Equation A-5 N predicted (FI) N predicted Equation A-4 N predicted (crashes/year) from Part C (TOTAL) (PDO) from Part C Appendix Appendix **ROADWAY SEGMENTS** 0.212 0.933 Segment 1 0.884 0.284 0.600 1.200 0.842 Segment 2 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Segment 3 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Segment 4 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Seament 5 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 Segment 6 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Segment 7 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 Segment 8 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.884 0.284 0.600 0.933 Segment Totals: 1.200 INTERSECTIONS 0.000 0.000 0.540 0.000 Intersection 1 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 Intersection 2 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 Intersection 3 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Intersection 4 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 Intersection 5 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Intersection 6 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 Intersection 7 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 Intersection 8 0.000 Intersection Totals: 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 COMBINED (sum of column) 0.884 0.284 0.600 1.200 0.933 -----

Worksheet 3A -- Predicted and Observed Crashes by Severity and Site Type Using the Site-Specific EB Method

	Worksheet 3B Site-Specific EB Method Summary F	Results
(1)	(2)	(3)
Crash severity level	N predicted	N expected
Total	(2) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(8) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A
	0.884	0.933
Fatal and Injury (FI)	(3) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{FI} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.284	0.300
Property Damage Only (PDO)	(4) _{COMB} from Worksheet 3A	(3) _{TOTAL} * (2) _{PDO} / (2) _{TOTAL}
	0.600	0.634