

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By: Test Lab, Inc.

Coring Completion Date: 6/23/21-6/25/21

Typical Section: _____

W.P.I. No.:		Name:	USB 41/SR 685				Lanes:	3			
Fin. Proj. ID:	443665-1	From:	USB41/SR 685/Florida Ave				Shoulder Type and Condition:				
F.A. Project No.:		Roadway ID:	10 020 101		To:	SR 60 E Jackson		Inside: Paved			
County:	Hillsborough	SR No.:	685		Beg MP:	0.000	End MP:	3.245	Length:	3.245	Outside: Paved
Overall Pavement Condition (from DMO field review):			Fair		Median Curbed (Y/N):		Paved	Lawn	Other:		Curb & Gutter: N

All Cores

CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.)								TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	BASE					STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	CRACK			RUT DEPTH - RWP (IN.)	CROSS SLOPE (%) ⁴	COMMENTS				
					FC3	FC9.5	SP9.5	S	WC	S2	T1	BIND				SHEL	LR	ABC-2		BRCK	RCA	CONC				DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	
1	0.010	TL	LR	Y		0.8	0.5	1.0				1.0		3.3	13.2									3.3	A	III			LRTL 2ND; On Joint
2	0.032	S	L1	N		0.9	1.5	0.6						3.0	10.5									1.7	B	III			Wide Gore
3	0.086	S	IL	N		1.1	1.9					0.5		3.5	11.0								3.5	C	III			Base Crack	
4	0.130	TL	LL	Y			3.0							3.0	9.7							0.0						LLTL 1ST	
5	0.162	SS	L2	N		0.6	1.4					1.1		3.1		18.0							1.9	B	II			N. Highland Avenue	
6	0.162	SS	L2	N		0.9		1.3	0.3			0.5		3.0	14.5								3.0	B	III			W. Violet St.	
7	0.183	S	OL	N		1.2	1.3					1.9		4.4	10.7							0.0							
8	0.200	ML	L2	Y			1.3	0.7						2.0	14.5														
9	0.261	TL	LL	Y			2.0					1.5		3.5	12.2													LLTL 1ST; Bottom Up Cracking	
10	0.274	TL	LR	Y			2.3	8.6						10.9	4.6													LRTL 1ST	
11	0.285	SS	L1	N		1.0	1.7	0.8						3.5		10.8												Osborne Avenue	
12	0.305	ML	L2	N		1.0	1.1	2.4						4.5	12.0								4.5	C	III			Core Fell Apart	
13	0.458	ML	L1	Y		0.5	1.4			1.5				3.4		10.6						10.0	3.4	A	II			Base Crack	
14	0.567	S	L1	N		0.9	2.2							3.1		10.3							1.6	A	III			Wide Gore; Core separated at SP Layer	
15	0.610	ML	L2	Y		0.6	1.2	0.8						2.6	10.8								2.6	A	IB				
16	0.662	S	L1	N		1.5	1.4							2.9		8.9												Wide Gore	
17	0.766	TL	LR	Y		0.8	1.4					1.3		3.5	12.0								1.8	C	III			LRTL 1ST	
18	0.772	TL	LL	Y		1.1	1.2							2.3		10.5												LLTL 1ST	
19	0.789	SS	L3	Y		1.0	1.6					1.0		3.6		10.0												W DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.	
20	0.787	SS	L1	Y		1.0	2.2					1.0		4.2		11.0												W DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.	
21	0.857	SS	L1	Y		1.1	1.7					1.2		4.0	14.0								4.0	B	III			TAMPA ST.; Base Crack	
22	1.024	ML	L2	Y		1.0	2.8							3.8	7.2								3.8	A	III			Core Fell Apart	
23	1.111	ML	L1	Y		1.2	1.1	1.2						3.5				2.4					3.5	B	II			Asphalt Brick	
24	1.184	S	OL	N		1.0	1.7	1.9						4.6				2.8										Gore; Asphalt Brick	
25	1.193	SS	L1	N		1.2	1.7							2.9				2.8					2.9	B	III			E. WOODLAWN AVE.; Asphalt Brick	
26	1.351	ML	L2	Y		1.1	1.7							2.8	9.0								2.8	A	II			Base Crack	
27	1.377	SS	L3	Y		1.0	0.9							1.9				2.3					1.9	A	III			W. PLYMOUTH ST.; Asphalt Brick	
28	1.439	SS	L1	N		0.9	3.2							4.1					16.0									E. ADELEE ST.	
29	1.511	S	OL	N		0.8	1.8	1.0						3.6				2.5										Base is brick/ABC (6.7 in.)	
30	1.516	ML	L2	Y		1.0	2.2	0.9						4.1		12.0							4.1	B	III				
31	1.519	ML	L2	Y		1.2	2.2							3.4	7.1								3.4	A	II			Depression; Measured in Hole; Partial core delivered	

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By: Test Lab, Inc.

Coring Completion Date: 6/23/21-6/25/21

Typical Section: _____

W.P.I. No.:		Name:	USB 41/SR 685			Lanes:	3
Fin. Proj. ID:	443665-1	From:	USB41/SR 685/Florida Ave			Shoulder Type and Condition:	
F.A. Project No.:		Roadway ID:	10 020 101	To:	SR 60 E Jackson	Inside:	Paved
County:	Hillsborough	SR No.:	685	Beg MP:	0.000	End MP:	3.245
Overall Pavement Condition (from DMO field review):		Fair		Median Curbed (Y/N):	Paved	Lawn:	
				Other:		Outside:	Paved
						Curb & Gutter:	N

All Cores

CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.)										TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	BASE					STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	CRACK			RUT DEPTH - RWP (IN.)	CROSS SLOPE (%) ⁴	COMMENTS		
					FC3	FC9.5	SP9.5	S	WC	S2	T1	BIND						SHEL	LR	ABC-2		BRCK	RCA	CONC				DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE
69	2.999	ML	L2	Y		1.2	1.3				0.5				3.0				3.0					3.0	C	III			
70	3.005	ML	L1	Y		1.3	1.3				1.2				3.8				2.7										
71	3.061	S	IL	N		0.9	1.8				1.5	1.0			5.2				3.1					0.9	A	IB			Wide Gore
72	3.061	S	OL	Y		1.3	3.0								4.3						unk								Bus Stop
73	3.077	SS	L1	N		1.0	2.7								3.7				3.3										E. CASS ST.
74	3.091	ML	L3	Y		1.0	1.0				0.8				2.8				1.6			0.0	2.8	B	III			Edge of Brick	
75	3.110	S	IL	N		1.3	1.3				0.8				3.4				3.1										Parallel Parking
76	3.131	SS	L1	N		1.2	2.9								4.1	16.4													E. MADISON ST.
77	3.151	S	IL	N		1.1	1.8		0.8	1.2	1.9				6.8				3.1			0.0							Parallel Parking
78	3.189	SS	L1	N		1.5	1.4				5.1				8.0	9.5													E. KENNEDY BLVD.
79	3.210	S	OL	N		1.0	1.9								2.9		unk												Utility Conflict
80	3.221	TL	LL	Y		1.5	0.7				1.4				3.6				3.0				3.6	C	III				LLTL 1ST
81	3.224	ML	L2	Y		1.2	1.5				0.8	1.5			5.0				3.0			0.0							
82	3.223	TL	LL	Y		1.2	0.9				0.5				2.6				3.1										LLTL 2ND
83	3.241	SS	L1	N		1.5	1.7				0.8				4.0				2.5										E. JACKSON ST.
84	1.516	ML	L2	Y		1.0	2.0				1.5				4.5				3.4			0.0							
85	0.086	TL	LL	N			1.5	1.2							2.7	10.5													LLTL (1ST)
AVERAGE						0.90	1.06	1.79	1.33	0.55	1.82	1.09	1.08		3.65	10.97	11.28	5.40	2.93	12.30		1.11	2.99						
MAX						0.90	2.00	5.40	8.60	0.80	5.10	2.00	1.90		10.90	17.70	18.00	7.40	3.50	16.00		10.00	5.00						
MIN						0.90	0.50	0.30	0.40	0.30	0.80	0.50	0.50		0.90	4.60	3.70	3.40	1.60	8.60		0.00	0.90						
LAYER COEF.						0.25	0.25	0.25	UNKW	0.25	0.23	0.20				0.18	0.18	0.16	UNKW	0.18	UNKW	0.08							

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.
2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.
3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.
4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.
5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.
6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.