STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By: Test Lat	0			Coring Completion Date:	5/28/21-6/1	<u>/21; 6/13/21</u>	1				Typical Section	I:
W.P.I. No.:				Name:		Lanes	s: 2					
Fin. Proj. ID: 443319-	1			From:								
F.A. Project No .:		Roadway ID:	10000622	To:		Inside	e: Paved					
County: Hillsboro	ugh	SR No.:		Beg MP:	ł	0.612	Length:	0.310	Outside	Paved		
Overall Pavemer	nt Condition (from DMO fi	eld review): Fair		Median Curbed (Y/N):		Curb & Gu	itter (Y/N)					
					All Co	<u>res - Mo</u>	<u>cIntosl</u>	h Rd.				
								105			0040%	

	All Cores - McIntosh Rd.																									
						1	1	P.	AVEMENT	LAYER (I	(N.)				BA	ISE .			CRA	4 <i>CK</i>						
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	FC9.5	SP12.5	SP9.5	S	BIND		TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	ABC-2	RCA	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	RUT DEPTH - LWP (IN.)	RUT DEPTH - RWP (IN.)	CROSS SLOPE (%) ⁴	COMMENTS
1	0.469	ML	R1	Y			1.3			1.3	1.0		3.6	10.0								F				Core Separated between Friction Course & S Layer
2	0.570	ML	R1	Y			1.3			0.5	1.0		2.8	8.0				2.8	А		S	Р				Patch; Core Disintegrated
3	0.604	ML	R1	Y			1.0		2.1				3.1			17.3						F				
4	0.492	ML	L1	Ν					1.8	0.8	1.5		4.1	8.0								F				Core Separated; Bottom Up Cracking
5	0.379	ML	L1	Y			2.6						2.6	6.0				2.6	А		М	Р				Pothole Patch
6	0.360	TL	LL	Y			1.2			5.0			6.2	7.0				2.1	В		L	F				LLTL 1ST; Bottom Up Cracking
7	0.451	TL	LL	Y			1.3			1.5	1.4		4.2	9.5			16.0	4.2	А		L	F				LTL; 1ST; Base Crack
8	0.515	TL	RL	Y			0.9			1.2	1.5		3.6	10.2				3.6	А		S	F				RLTL 1ST
9	0.588	TL	RL	Ν			1.1		2.0				3.1			12.5						F				RLTL 1ST
10	0.532	CO	CO	Ν			1.2				1.6		2.8	9.8				2.8	А		L	F				
11	0.431	CO	CO	Ν			1.0			0.7	1.0		2.7	11.1								F				
12	0.575	S	OR	Ν					1.8	0.5	1.2		3.5	8.8								F				
13	0.390	S	OR	Ν			1.5		0.6				2.1		0.5							F				
32	0.380	ML	L1	Y			1.1			1.6			2.7	10.8				2.7	А		S	Р				Possible Widening Crack
33	0.482	S	OR	Ν					4.2				4.2		6.3		12.0					F				
34	0.536	ML	R1	Y			0.6			1.0	1.4		3.0	9.5				3.0	А		S	Р				
AVERAGE							1.24		2.08	1.41	1.29		3.39	9.05	3.40	14.90	 14.00	2.98								
MAX							2.60		4.20	5.00	1.60		6.20	11.10	6.30	17.30	16.00	4.20								
MIN							0.60		0.60	0.50	1.00		2.10	6.00	0.50	12.50	12.00	2.10								
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20			0.18	0.16	0.18	0.08									

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

ed		
ed		
(/N): N		

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

	Cored By:	Test La	b								-	Coring (Completi	on Date:	5/28/21-6/1/21; 6/13/21									Typical Section:						
	W.P.I. No.:		Name: S										SR 400 (I-4) at McIntosh Road Interchange									Lanes: 2								
	- in. Proj. ID:										. ,			5																
	Project No.:					Ro	adway ID:	: 10190##	## ⁷					To:										Inside:	Paved					
	County:	Hillsbord	buah				SR No.:							Beg MP:	21.100		End MP:	21.600		Length:	0.500			Outside:						
	,		5	on (from	DMO fiel	ld review)						Me	dian Curb	0		Paved		Lawn		Other:					ter (Y/N):	N				
	e rerai	- aronio												, ou (1111)		. aroa		20111		00										
		All Cores - Ramps																												
		PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.)															SE				CRA	ICK								
																	2,					010	ion		1	đ	ď			
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	SP12.5	SP9.5	S	BIND					TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	ABC-2	RCA		STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	ТҮРЕ	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	RUT DEPTH - LWP (IN.)	RUT DEPTH - RWP (IN.)	CROSS SLOPE (%) ⁴	COMMENTS	
14	21.181	ML	L1	Y		1.4	3.8								5.2	11.0				6.7					F				108 WB ON McIntosh Rd.	
15	21.175	S	OL	Ν			2.6								2.6		3.8								F				108 WB ON McIntosh Rd.; Base Crack	
16	21.179	S	IL	Ν			6.0								6.0	6.0									F				108 WB ON McIntosh Rd.	
17	21.150	TL	RR	Y		1.4	2.0		0.3	1.8					5.5	11.0				6.5					F				109 EB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
18	21.147	S	OR	Ν			2.7								2.7		4.3								F				109 EB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
19	21.144	TL	RL	Y		1.4	1.5		0.8	1.4					5.1	12.3									F				109 EB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
20	21.139	S	IR	Ν			3.0								3.0		7.0								G				109 EB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
21	21.383	S	IL	Ν			1.8		3.2						5.0	13.0									F				110WB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
22	21.392	ML	L1	Y			4.2								4.2	11.0				14.7					F				110 WB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
23	21.401	S	OL	Ν			1.2		1.4						2.6	9.5									F				110 WB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
24	21.386	S	IR	Ν			2.5								2.5	6.3									F				111 EB ON McIntosh Rd.	
25	21.379	ML	R1	Ν			2.6		1.6						4.2	11.5				20.0					F				111 EB ON McIntosh Rd.	
26	21.369	S	OR	Ν			2.5								2.5	7.8									F				111 EB ON McIntosh Rd.	
29	21.586	ML	L1	Ν		1.6	5.1								6.7	14.2									F				110 WB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
30	21.184	S	GORE	Ν			4.1								4.1	10.0									F				108 WB ON McIntosh Rd.	
31	21.152	S	GORE	Ν			3.6			1.2					4.8	9.5									F				109 EB OFF McIntosh Rd.	
AVERAGE	-					1.45	3.08		1.46	1.47					4.17	10.24	5.03			11.98										
MAX	·					1.60	6.00		3.20	1.80					6.70	14.20	7.00			20.00										
MIN	1					1.40	1.20		0.30	1.20					2.50	6.00	3.80			6.50										
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.20						0.18	0.16	0.18		0.08										

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit. The mile posts on this table are in reference to SR 400 (I-4) and not the mile post for each ramp.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

7. Roadway ID for each ramp is provided in the comments.

ed	
ed	
(/N): N	