STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By: TEST LAB, INC.

Coring Completion Date: 12/11/2023

Typical Section: 1

| W.P.I. No.: | | | Name: | SR 574 / W. Dr. I | M.L.K. Jr. Blvd. | | | Lanes: | 4 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Fin. Proj. ID: 4 | 37304-1 | | From: | at Armenia Ave. | | | | Shoulder Type an | d Condition: |
| F.A. Project No.: | | Roadway ID: 10340000 | To: | | | | | Inside: | NONE |
| County: H | HILLSBOROUGH | SR No.: 574 | Beg MP: | 1.240 | End MP: | 1.290 | Length: 0.050 | Outside: | NONE |
| Overall | Pavement Condition (from DMO field | review): Fair | Median Curbed (Y/N): | Y Pa | aved | Lawn | Other: | Curb & Gut | ter (Y/N): Y |

| A | | | | | | | | | | All Core | All Cores | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|-------------|----------------------|--------|------|------|------|----------|-----------|--|------|--|------|-------|-------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | | PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.) | | | | | | | | BASE | | | | CRACK | | | | | | | | |
| CORE NO. | MILE POST ² | LANE TYPE | LANE | WP (Y/N) | FC12.5 | SP12.5 | s | T1 | wc | | | | | TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.) | LR | SHEL | ABC-2 | | STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³ | DEPTH (IN.) | Эdλ.l | CLASS | EXTENT | PAVEMENT CONDITION | COMMENTS |
| 1 | 1.247 | ML | R1 | N | 1.6 | 1.8 | | | | | | | | 3.4 | 9.6 | | | | 14.0 | | | | | F | |
| 2 | 1.243 | ML | R2 | Υ | 1.1 | 1.2 | | 8.0 | | | | | | 3.1 | 8.9 | | | | | 3.1 | В | III | L | F | |
| 3 | 1.259 | SS | NA | Υ | 0.7 | | 5.0 | | 0.7 | | | | | 6.4 | | 7.9 | | | | 6.4 | В | III | S | F | ML L2 Armenia Ave. Possible widening crack. |
| 4 | 1.261 | SS | NA | Υ | 1.1 | | 2.8 | | 0.6 | | | | | 4.5 | | 14.3 | | | | 3.9 | С | III | S | F | ML L1 Armenia Ave. |
| 5 | 1.265 | SS | NA | N | 0.6 | | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | | | | | 4.5 | | 11.5 | | | 16.0 | 4.5 | В | III | S | F | ML R1 Armenia Ave. |
| 6 | 1.267 | SS | NA | Υ | 1.3 | | 4.0 | | 0.5 | | | | | 5.8 | | 10.2 | | | | 1.4 | В | III | М | Р | ML R2 Armenia Ave. |
| 7 | 1.280 | ML | R2 | N | 0.8 | | 1.7 | | | | | | | 2.5 | | | 12.2 | | | 2.5 | В | III | М | F | |
| 8 | 1.283 | ML | R1 | Υ | 0.7 | | 2.2 | 0.9 | | | | | | 3.8 | | 8.7 | | | | 3.8 | С | II | L | F | |
| 9 | 1.277 | ML | L1 | N | 1.0 | | 4.3 | | | | | | | 5.3 | 9.7 | | | | 15.0 | 0.2 | Α | IB | L | F | |
| 10 | 1.278 | ML | L2 | Υ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.2 | | | | | | 6.1 | 8.9 | | | | | | | | | F | |
| 11 | 1.268 | SS | NA | N | 0.9 | | 5.1 | | 0.6 | | | | | 6.6 | | 10.2 | | | | 6.6 | В | III | М | F | ML R2 Armenia Ave. |
| 12 | 1.266 | SS | NA | N | 0.7 | | 3.7 | | 0.6 | | | | | 5.0 | | 7.0 | | | | 5.0 | С | III | S | F | ML R1 Armenia Ave. |
| 13 | 1.262 | SS | NA | Υ | 0.7 | | 4.7 | | 0.6 | | | | | 6.0 | | 11.0 | | | 13.0 | 6.0 | В | III | М | F | ML L1 Armenia Ave. |
| 14 | 1.260 | SS | NA | Υ | 0.5 | | 5.0 | | 0.7 | | | | | 6.2 | | 9.8 | | | | 6.2 | В | III | L | F | ML L1 Armenia Ave. |
| 15 | 1.249 | ML | L2 | N | 0.8 | | 2.0 | | | | | | | 2.8 | 8.7 | | | | | | | | | F | |
| 16 | 1.250 | ML | L1 | N | 0.8 | | 2.9 | | | | | | | 3.7 | 7.3 | | | | | | | | | F | |
| AVERAGE | | | | | 0.88 | 1.37 | 3.48 | 1.05 | 0.58 | | | | | 4.73 | 8.85 | 10.05 | 12.20 | | 14.50 | 4.13 | | | | | |
| MAX | | | | | 1.60 | 1.80 | 5.10 | 1.30 | 0.70 | | | | | 6.60 | 9.70 | 14.25 | 12.20 | | 16.00 | 6.60 | | | | | |
| MIN | | | | | 0.50 | 1.10 | 1.70 | 0.80 | 0.30 | | | | | 2.50 | 7.30 | 7.00 | 12.20 | | 13.00 | 0.20 | | | | | |
| LAYER COEF. | | | | | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.23 | UNKW | | | | | | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | | 0.08 | | | | | | |

Motoc:

- 1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.
- 2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.
- 3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.
- 4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.
- 5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.
- 6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

| CITE Malace Communication and | carrier od bat tire tetar tiriotarece mae net actornimea. | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Lane Designations - Decreasing MP | Lane Designations - Increasing MP | | Lane Type | Crack Type | Crack Rating | <u>Extent</u> | Pavement Condition |
| OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder | OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder | ML - Mainline | S - Shoulder | A - Alligator | Class IB - Hairline cracks that are ≤ 1/8 inch wide | L - Light | G - Good |
| L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline | R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline | TL - Turn Lane | SS - Side Street | B - Block | Class II - Cracks > than 1/8 inch and ≤ 1/4 inch | M - Moderate | F - Fair |
| LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane | RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane | CO - Crossover | BR - Bridge Approach/Departure | C - Combination | Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch | S - Severe | P - Poor |