STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By:	H2R CORP	9/17/202	21						Typical Section:					
W.P.I. No.:				Name:	Interbay I	Boulevard						Lanes:	2	
Fin. Proj. ID:	446877-1			From:	West Sho	ore Boulevard					S	Shoulder Type and	d Condition:Lawn, good	
F.A. Project No.:		Roadway ID:		To:	Bayshore	Boulevard						Inside:		
County:	Hillsborough	SR No.:	N/A	Beg MP:	0.650		End MP:	3.315		Length: 2.66	65	Outside:		
Overal	Pavement Condition (from DMO field rev	view): Poor		Median Curbed (Y/N):	N/A	Paved	-		Lawn	Other:		Curb & Gutt	er (Y/N): Y	

	Side Street																														
							ſ	P	AVEMEN	T LAYER ('IN.)			T			T	B	BASE		T			CR	АСК	1					
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC3	FC9.5	SP95	s	\$2	T1	BIND	wc			TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	CONC	SAHM	SHEL	ABC-1	FDR	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	RUT DEPTH - LWP (IN.)	RUT DEPTH - RWP (IN.)	CROSS SLOPE (%) ⁴	COMMENTS
16	1.130	SS	L1	Y	0.8		7.1								7.9				UNK								Р				Manhattan Ave South
17	1.130	SS	R1	Y		1.3									1.3					1.7							G				Manhattan Ave North
19	2.030	SS	RL	Ν		1.1	3.9								5.0					8.5							G				Dale Mabry NB LTL onto Interbay
20	2.030	SS	LL	Y		1.0	3.5								4.5					8.0							G				Dale Mabry SB LTL onto Interbay
21	2.340	SS	L1	Ν		1.3	0.9								2.2					1.5							F				Himes St North
22	2.340	SS	R1	Ν		1.0	1.5	0.7				0.5			3.7			3.5				5.0	1.6	А	I	М	F				Himes St South
23	2.945	SS	L1	Y		1.1	1.3	2.1							4.5				7.0			25.0	4.5	А	III	М	Р				MacDill Ave South
24	2.945	SS	R1	Y		0.8	1.9								2.7					0.7							G				MacDill Ave North
AVERAGE	E				0.80	1.09	2.87	1.40				0.50			3.98			3.50	7.00	4.08		15.00	3.05								
МАХ					0.80	1.30	7.10	2.10				0.50			7.90			3.50	7.00	8.50		25.00	4.50					ļ			
MIN					0.80	0.80	0.90	0.70				0.50			1.30			3.50	7.00	0.70		5.00	1.60								
LAYER COEF					0.15	0.15	#N/A	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	UNKW				0.18	UNKW	0.08	0.18	0.10	0.20	0.08									

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		Lane Type	Crack Type	Crack Rating	
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are \leq 1/8 inch wide	1
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than 1/8 inch and \leq 1/4 inch	1
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	I

<u>Extent</u>	Pavement Condition
L - Light	G - Good
M - Moderate	F - Fair
S - Severe	P - Poor