	Cored By:	TEST L	AB, INC.									Coring (Completion Date:	11/2/2023								T
	W.P.I. No.:												Name:	SR 80								Γ
F	in. Proj. ID:	441942-2	2										From:	At SR 31 Inter	section							Sł
	Project No.:					Roa	dway ID:	1202000	0				To:									Ĩ
	County:						SR No.:						Beg MP:	7.887		End MP:	8.587	l	ength:	0.700		t
			nt Condit	ion (from	DMO field	d review):						Me	dian Curbed (Y/N):		Paved:		Lawn: Y		Other:			t
				(\ /			-						<u>_</u>
												SR 8	0 - Mainline	e and GO	RE Co							
								PA	VEMENT	LAYER (II	N.)					BA	SE				CR/	<u> 1C</u>
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	FC3	SP9.5	S	ARMI	S	wc		TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	ABC-2	LR	SAHM		STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	ТҮРЕ	
2	7.900	ML	R2	Y	1.0			1.5	2.1					4.6		8.4			12.0	3.7	С	
3	7.918	ML	L3	Y	1.0			2.0	2.1			0.5		5.6			UNK					
5	7.963	ML	L2	Y	0.9			2.5	2.2			0.5		6.1			9.9		8.0		·i	
8	8.013	GO	GO	Ν	1.4			1.5	2.5					5.4		4.4						1
11	8.035	ML	R3	Y	1.1			1.9	1.5					4.5		10.5						T
12	8.051	ML	L3	Y	1.0			2.5	2.0			0.5		6.0			UNK					T
14	8.087	ML	L1	Ý	1.1			2.1	3.2					6.4		9.6			12.0	4.3	В	T
15	8.134	ML	R1	Ŷ	1.0			1.8	2.3					5.1		9.2						F
21	8.192	ML	L3	N	0.9			2.0	3.9					6.8		11.2			16.0			F
22	8.198	ML	R3	Y	1.0			2.0	1.9					4.9		8.1			10.0		J	┢
33	8.271	ML	R2	Ý	0.9			2.0	1.5					4.4		8.4					J	t
34	8.276	ML	L1	Ý	0.7			1.8	5.4					7.9		6.9				3.6	А	┢
35	8.280	ML	R1	Ŷ	1.0			1.7	1.5					4.2		10.8			21.0	0.0		┢
36	8.283	ML	L2	Ý	0.9			2.5	0.6					4.0	6.4	10.0			21.0	4.0	В	┢
41	8.331	ML	L2	N	0.0		1.7	2.0	3.0					4.7	5.3					2.5	A	┢
42	8.331	ML	R3	N			1.4		4.0					5.4	0.0	10.6			21.0	2.0		┢
44	8.375	GO	GO	N			1.4		3.2					4.6	2.1	10.0		┟──╂	21.0	2.0	В	┢
46	8.396	ML	R1	Y			1.5		6.8			0.4		8.7	2.1		6.9			2.0		┢
40	8.449	GO	GO	N			1.5		7.1			0.4		9.0			9.0		6.0	2.0	В	┢
48	8.454	ML	R2	N			1.6		4.6			0.4		6.2	4.9		9.0		0.0	1.0	B	┢
49 55	8.547	ML	L1	Y			1.8		2.6					4.4	4.9					1.0	<u>ט</u>	┢
ວວ AVERAGE	0.047	IVIL	LI	í	0.99		1.0 1.56	1 00				0.46		4.4 5.66	4.0 4.66	8.90	8.60		12 71	2.89		┢
AVERAGE MAX					0.99 1.40		1.56	1.99 2.50	3.05 7.10			0.46		5.00 9.00	4.00 6.40	8.90 11.20	8.60 9.90	├───-┠	13.71 21.00	2.89 4.30		┢
MIN												0.50		9.00 4.00				╞───╂		4.30		┢
					0.70	0.05	1.40	1.50	0.60	0.00	0.05	-		4.00	2.10	4.35	6.90	╞───┨	6.00	1.00		┢
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.25	UNKW			0.16	0.18	0.11		0.08			╘

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not 6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		Lane Type	Crack Type	Crack Rating	Extent	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are \leq 1/8 inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than $1/8$ inch and $\leq 1/4$ inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor

Typical Section: 1: MAINLINE

Lanes:	4 to 6 Lane Urban Principle Arterial Roadway								
Shoulder Type and	noulder Type and Condition: FAIR								
Inside:	PAVED								
Outside:	PAVED								
Curb & Gutter (Y/N): N									

-		r	
Ж			
CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
	М	F	
		F	
		F	Base fell apart.
		F	OR-Gore
		F	
		F	
	М	F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
	М	F	
		F	
	М	Р	
II	L	F	
		F	
IB	L	F	CO-Gore
		F	Base fell apart.
	L	F	CO-Gore. Base fell apart.
	L	F	
		F	

	Cored By:	TEST L	AB, INC									Coring (Completi	on Date:	11/2/2023							T
	W.P.I. No.:													Name:	: SR 80							
F	in. Proj. ID:	441942-2	2											From:	: At SR 31 Inter	section						Sł
F.A. F	Project No.:					Roa	adway ID:	1202000	0					To:	:							
	County:	LEE					SR No.:	80						Beg MP:	: 7.887		End MP:	8.587	Length:	0.700		
	Overal	l Paveme	nt Condit	ion (from	DMO fiel	d review):	Fair					Mee	dian Curb	ed (Y/N):	: Y	Paved:		Lawn: Y	Other:			
													SR	80 - T	urn Lane	Cores	s (TL)					
								PA	VEMENT	LAYER (II	V.)		•					SE			CRA	4C
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	FC3	SP9.5	s	ARMI	S	wc			TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	ABC-2	LR	SAHM	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	
4	7.946	TL	RR	Y	0.9				3.0						3.9		6.9				Í	
9	8.024	TL	LL	Ν	1.4			2.0	3.7						7.1		10.9			3.5	Α	
13	8.057	TL	RR	Y	1.1			2.0	1.8						4.9		8.4					
16	8.165	TL	RL	Y	0.9			1.3	2.7						4.9		12.1		6.0		Í	1
23	8.201	TL	RR	Y	1.0			2.0	1.5						4.5		14.0		16.5		Í	1
32	8.256	TL	LL	Ν	0.9			1.6	1.7						4.2		10.3		15.5		Í	1
37	8.302	TL	LR	Y		1.3		1.2							2.5	1.5					Í	1
40	8.329	TL	LL	Y			1.2		2.8			1			4.0		18.5		13.5		Í	Γ
43	8.369	TL	LR	Ν		1.4		1.1							2.5	1.2			10.3		Í	1
47	8.429	TL	RL	Ν		1.4			6.8			0.5			8.7			5.0			Í	1
50	8.460	TL	LR	Ν		2.0									2.0	2.6						
53	8.474	TL	С	Y			1.5		7.0			0.5			9.0			UNK		2.2	В	
54	8.522	TL	С	Ν			1.5		5.3			0.5			7.3			UNK			Í	
57	8.577	TL	С	Ν			1.7		3.2			0.5			5.4			6.6	12.0	1.7	В	
AVERAGE					1.03	1.53	1.48	1.60	3.59			0.50			5.06	1.77	11.57	5.80	12.30	2.47	1	
МАХ					1.40	2.00	1.70	2.00	7.00			0.50			9.00	2.60	18.50	6.60	16.50	3.50	ĺ	Γ
MIN					0.90	1.30	1.20	1.10	1.50			0.50			2.00	1.20	6.85	5.00	6.00	1.70		Γ
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.25	UNKW				0.16	0.18	0.11	0.08			

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not 6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

	0. A value of orac indicates material was en						
Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		<u>Lane Type</u>	Crack Type	Crack Rating	Extent	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are $\leq 1/8$ inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than $1/8$ inch and $\leq 1/4$ inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor

Typical Section: 1: MAINLINE

Lanes:	4 to 6 Lane Urban Principle Arterial Roadway							
noulder Type and Condition: FAIR								
Inside:	PAVED							
Outside:	PAVED							
Curb & Gutter (Y/N): N								

Ж			
CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
		F	
	М	F	
		F	
		F	
		Р	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
IB	L	F	
		F	
IB	L	F	

	Cored By:	TEST L	AB, INC									Coring (Completi	on Date:	11/2/2023							Ţ
	W.P.I. No.:													Name:	SR 80							Γ
F	in. Proj. ID:	441942-2	2											From:	At SR 31 Inter	section						Sł
F.A. F	Project No.:					Roa	dway ID:	1202000	0					To:								Γ
	County:	LEE					SR No.:	80						Beg MP:	7.887		End MP:	8.587	Length:	0.700		Γ
	Overal	II Paveme	nt Condit	ion (from	DMO fiel	d review):	Fair					Me	dian Curb	ed (Y/N):	Y	Paved:		Lawn: Y	Other:			Γ
													0			A	(0)					
		-											SI	<u> 80 -</u>	Shoulder	Core	. /		 			
								PA	VEMENT	LAYER (II	V.)						BA	SE			CRA	ICI
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	FC3	SP9.5	S	ARMI	S	wc			TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	ABC-2	LR	SAHM	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	
1	7.888	S	OR	Ν	0.8			1.5	0.9						3.2		5.3					
6	7.977	S	OR	Ν	1.0				3.1						4.1		7.9		12.0			
7	7.990	S	OL	Ν	1.0			1.0	1.1						3.1		5.9					Γ
10	8.024	S	IR	Ν	1.0			2.0	1.3						4.3		9.7		14.0			
17	8.170	S	IL	Ν	0.9			2.3	8.3						11.5		7.3					
18	8.179	S	OR	N	1.3			1.7	2.4						5.4		8.6					Γ
19	8.180	S	IR	N	0.7			2.2	2.1						5.0		5.8					ſ
20	8.181	S	OL	N	0.8			1.5	0.6			1			2.9		7.6			· · · · ·		Γ
38	8.317	S	OL	N		1.8			3.5			1			5.3	5.0			21.3	· · · · ·		Γ
39	8.327	S	OL	N		1.2			5.8			1			7.0		11.5			· · · · ·		Γ
45	8.388	S	OL	N		1.6		0.9				1			2.5	1.5				· · · · ·		Γ
51	8.468	S	OL	N		2.0						1			2.0	2.5				· · · · ·		Γ
52	8.470	S	OR	N			1.2		4.3						5.5	6.5			12.0			Γ
56	8.551	S	OL	N			1.9		3.1						5.0	4.0			17.0			
AVERAGE					0.94	1.65	1.55	1.64	3.04						4.77	3.90	7.72		15.26			Γ
МАХ					1.30	2.00	1.90	2.30	8.30						11.50	6.50	11.50		21.30			
MIN					0.70	1.20	1.20	0.90	0.60						2.00	1.50	5.30		12.00			Γ
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.25	UNKW				0.16	0.18	0.11	 0.08			

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not 6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

J. A bidrik cell indicates medsurement was not			r thickness was not determined.				
Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		<u>Lane Type</u>	Crack Type	Crack Rating	Extent	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are $\leq 1/8$ inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than $1/8$ inch and $\leq 1/4$ inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor

ypical Section: 1: MAINLINE

Lanes:	4 to 6 Lane Urban Principle Arterial Roadway							
noulder Type and Condition: FAIR								
Inside:	PAVED							
Outside:	PAVED							
Curb & Gutter (Y/N): N								

CK			
CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
		F	
		F	BIKE
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	
		F	BIKE
		F	
		F	BIKE
		F	BIKE
		F	
		F	

Cored By: TEST LAB, INC.									Coring Completion Date: 11/2/2023								Typical Section: 2: SR 31 INTERSECTION								
W.P.I. No.:											Name: SR 80							Lanes: 4 to 6 Lane Urban Principle Arterial Roadway							
Fin. Proj. ID: 441942-2									From: At SR 31 Intersection							Shoulder Type and Condition: FAIR									
F.A.	Project No.:					Roa	dway ID:	1202000	0			To:								Inside: PAVED					
County: LEE				SR No.: 80					Beg MP:			7.887 End MP: 8.587				Length: 0.700			Outside: PAVED						
Overall Pavement Condition (from DMO field review): Fair						Median Curbed (Y/N):			Y Paved: Lawn: Y			Other:			Curb & Gutter (Y/N): N										
SR 31 - All Cores																									
					PAVEMENT LAYER (IN									BASE				CR			ACK				
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC5	FC12.5	FC3	SP9.5	S	ARMI	S	wc		TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	ABC-2	LR	SAHM		STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	ТҮРЕ	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
24	0.000	GO	GO	Ν			1.5							1.5		14.5			8.0					F	South of SR 31 MP 0.000. CO-Gore.
25	0.000	TL	RL	Ν			2.5							2.5		9.5								F	South of SR 31 MP 0.000.
26	0.000	ML	R1	Ν			1.5							1.5		7.0								F	South of SR 31 MP 0.000.
27	0.000	TL	RR	Ν			1.9							1.9		7.1								F	South of SR 31 MP 0.000.
28	0.036	TL	LR	Ν	1.0			1.8	2.0					4.8		8.0								F	
29	0.030	ML	L1	Y	0.8			1.6	1.1	0.5	1.5			5.5		7.5			6.0					Р	
30	0.027	TL	LL	Ν	1.0			1.9	5.5			0.5		8.9		6.4								F	
31	0.020	GO	GO	Ν			0.9		5.9					6.8	8.9									F	R1/RR-Gore.
AVERAGE					0.93		1.66	1.77	3.63	0.50	1.50	0.50		4.18	8.90	8.56			7.00						
МАХ					1.00		2.50	1.90	5.90	0.50	1.50	0.50		8.90	8.90	14.50			8.00						
MIN					0.80		0.90	1.60	1.10	0.50	1.50	0.50		1.50	8.90	6.35			6.00						
LAYER COEF.					0.00	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.25	UNKW			0.16	0.18	0.11		0.08						

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit. Mile posts recorded on this document are relative to RWDY ID 12090000 (SR 31).

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		Lane Type	Crack Type	Crack Rating	Extent	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are \leq 1/8 inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than $1/8$ inch and $\leq 1/4$ inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor