## STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

	Cored By:	Test Lat	0								_	Coring Cor	mpletion Dat	e: <u>12/23/2021</u>							-	Typical	Section:				
	W.P.I. No.:	J.:											Nam	e: SR 64								Lanes: 2					
	Fin. Proj. ID:	446206-1	1								From: West of Prescott Rd							Shoulde	r Type an	d Conditi	ion:						
F.A.	Project No.:					Ro	adway ID:	0603000	0				Т	o: Morgan Grice	Rd								Inside:	1			
	County:	Hardee					SR No.:	64					Beg M	P: 7.060		End MP:	10.367		Length:	3.307	3.307		Outside: Pav				
			nt Condit	ion (from	DMO fiel	d review)						Mediar	n Curbed (Y/N		Paved		Lawn		Other:				urb & Gut		N		
				(		,							,	,										( )			
														All Core	es												
								PA	VEMENT	LAYER (	IN.)					B	ASE				CR	ACK	T				
CORE NO.	MILE POST <sup>2</sup>	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC3	FC9.5	SP9.5	s	\$2	wc				TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	RAP	ABC-2	SAHM	STABILIZED SUBGRADE <sup>3</sup>	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	<b>PAVEMENT</b> CONDITION	COMMENTS		
1	7.073	SS	SS	Ν	1.2			3.4						4.6	9.4									F	Prescott Rd.		
2	7.088	ML	GO	Ν	1.2			2.2	1.3	0.5				5.2				9.0						F	Base Fell Apart		
3	7.157	ML	R1	Y	1.1			0.9	1.1	0.4				3.5				7.0	0.0	3.5	В		S	Р	Base Fell Apart		
4	7.207	SS	SS	Ν	1.0									1.0		2.5								F	Stephens Rd.		
5	7.264	S	OR	Ν	1.1			3.1						4.2			7.7							F			
6	7.548	ML	L1	Y		0.9	1.0		1.3	0.5				3.7	5.8				4.5	3.7	А	IB	L	F	Base Crack, Patch		
7	7.588	ML	R1	Y		2.1		1.3						3.4	4.6					3.4	В	IB	М	F	Patch, Widening Crack		
8	7.831	S	OL	Ν	1.0			3.5						4.5			7.6							F			
9	7.346	ML	L1	Ν	1.4			0.9		0.5				2.8	9.2					2.8	В	II	М		Base Crack		
10	8.120	ML	L1	Y	1.1			0.7	1.3	0.6				3.7	6.8					3.7	А	II	М	Р	Widening Crack		
11	8.550	ML	R1	Ν	1.4			0.5	0.3	0.4				2.6	11.2									F	Bottom up Crack		
12	8.694	S	OL	Ν	1.6			2.9						4.5			7.6							F			
13	8.890	S	OR	Ν	1.7			2.0						3.7			7.6		0.0					F			
14	9.770	ML	R1	Y	1.4			2.3						3.7	2.0					3.7	А	III	S	Р	Widening Crack		
15	9.435	S	OL	Ν	1.5			3.2						4.7			7.0							F			
16	9.642	S	OR	Ν	1.3			2.1						3.4			7.7							F			
17	9.101	ML	L1	Y	1.0			2.0	0.6	0.6				4.2	9.3					4.2	В	IB	М	Р	Base Crack		
18	10.076	ML	L1	Y	1.2			1.6	0.5	0.7				4.0	6.5					4.0	В	II	М	Р			
19	10.195	ML	L1	Y	1.1			1.8						2.9	7.1					2.9	С		S		Base Crack		
20	10.208	S	OR	Ν	1.2			2.1						3.3			7.7							F			
21	10.289	S	OL	Ν	1.2			3.2						4.4			8.4							F			
22	10.349	ML	R1	Y	1.3			1.3	1.9	0.7				5.2	6.8					5.2	А	IB	L	F	Base Crack		
23	7.338	ML	R1	Y			1.8	0.8	0.5	0.6				3.7				5.8						G	Patch, Base Crack, Base Fell Apart		
24	10.275	ML	L1	Y			2.0	0.9	0.7	0.6				4.2	10.1									G	Patch		

Lanes:	2
houlder Type and	d Condition:
Inside:	
Outside:	Paved
Curb & Gut	ter (Y/N): N

## STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By:	Test Lab			Coring Completion Date:	12/23/2021						Typical Section:	
W.P.I. No.:				Name:	SR 64						Lanes	2
Fin. Proj. ID:	446206-1			From:	West of Presc	ott Rd					Shoulder Type ar	nd Condition:
F.A. Project No.:		Roadway ID:	06030000	To:	Morgan Grice	Rd			_	_	Inside	
County:	Hardee	SR No.:	64	Beg MP:	7.060		End MP:	10.367	Length:	3.307	Outside	Paved
Overal	I Pavement Condition (from	DMO field review): Fair		Median Curbed (Y/N):	N	Paved		Lawn	Other:		Curb & Gu	tter (Y/N): N

												All Core	s							
							•	PA	VEMENT	LAYER (I	N.)			BA	SE				CRA	CK
CORE NO.	MILE POST <sup>2</sup>	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC3	FC9.5	SP9.5	S	S2	wc		TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	RAP	ABC-2	SAHM	STABILIZED SUBGRADE <sup>3</sup>	DEPTH (IN.)	ТҮРЕ	
AVERAGE					1.25	1.50	1.60	1.94	0.95	0.55		3.80	7.40	2.50	7.66	7.27	1.50	3.71		
МАХ					1.70	2.10	2.00	3.50	1.90	0.70		5.20	11.20	2.50	8.40	9.00	4.50	5.20		
MIN					1.00	0.90	1.00	0.50	0.30	0.40		1.00	2.00	2.50	7.00	5.80	0.00	2.80		
LAYER COEF.					0.17	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	UNKW			0.18	UNKW	0.16	0.11	0.08			

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		Lane Type	Crack Type	Crack Rating	<u>Extent</u>	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are $\leq$ 1/8 inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than $1/8$ inch and $\leq 1/4$ inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor

к			
CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS