STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

	Cored By:	RCS									_	Сс	oring Con	npletion Date:	10/24/20	022							Typical	Section:			
	W.P.I. No.:													Name:	SR 78									Lanes:	2 Lane	Rural Minor Arterial Roadway	
F	in. Proj. ID:													From:	n: N. of Herbert Hoover Dike								Shoulder Type and Condition:				
F.A. I	Project No.:	: Roadway ID: 05020000												To:	CR 721/	Loop Ro	ad							Inside:	None		
	County:	Glades SR No 78												Beg MP:	9.551		End MP:	10.746		Lenath:	1.195			Outside:	Paved -	Fair condition	
	Overal	erall Pavement Condition (from DMO field review). Fair											Median	Curbed (Y/N):	N	Paved		Lawn		Other:			С	urb & Gut	ter (Y/N)	N	
																									(111)		
		All Cores																									
								PAVEN	IENT LAY	ER (IN.)							BASE					CRA	ACK				
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC9.5	FC12.5	SP9.5	SP12.5	S	T1	wc			TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	SHEL	SAHM	RAP	CONC	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS	
1	10.731	ML	L1	Y		1.5		2.1						3.6			7.9			12.0	3.6	А	=	S	Р	Base fell apart	
2	10.537	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.9		0.9				4.3			6.2				4.3	А	III	S	Р	Base fell apart	
3	10.238	ML	R1	Y		1.4		1.9		1.0				4.3			11.9				4.3	А		S	Р	Core Crumbled, Base fell apart, in RWP next to core 24	
4	10.457	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.1	0.9					3.5				5.0			3.5	А		М	Р	Base fell apart	
5	10.457	S	OL	Ν		1.4		2.7						4.1				4.8							F	Base fell apart	
6	10.060	ML	L1	Ν		1.5		1.8	1.0	1.3				5.6			5.2				5.6	С		S	Р	Base fell apart	
7	9.871	ML	L1	Ν		1.7		2.3						4.0	9.7										F		
8	9.776	TL	LR	Ν	1.1		1.8		1.1					4.0	7.1										F		
9	9.750	SS	NA	Y	1.3		2.7							4.0	9.6										F	Old Lakeport Road	
10	9.725	BR	L1	Ν		1.7		0.8						2.5					UNK						F	Approach Slab	
11	9.714	BR	L1	Ν	1.7			0.7						2.4					UNK						F	Bridge Deck	
12	9.568	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.9						3.4	9.8					12.0	3.4	С		М	Р	Base Crack	
13	9.568	S	OL	N		1.7	0.3							2.0	12.0										F		
14	9.615	ML	R1	Y		1.5		2.5						4.0	9.7						4.0	С	III	S	Р	Base Crack	
15	9.615	S	OR	Ν		1.5		2.0						3.5	9.5										F		
16	9.699	BR	R1	Y		1.6	0.4							2.0					UNK		0.8	С	IB	L	F	Approach Slab	
17	9.750	SS	NA	Ν		1.9		1.5	1.2					4.6	8.0										F	Curacao Ct	
18	9.967	ML	R1	Y		1.5		1.9		0.9	0.4			4.7			16.4								G	Base fell apart	
19	10.390	ML	R1	Y		1.5		2.0		1.0				4.5			7.5			12.0	4.5	А	=	S	Р	Base fell apart	
20	10.390	S	OR	Ν	1.2		1.5		2.0					4.7				2.3							F		
21	10.629	ML	R1	Ν		1.7		2.3						4.0	10.0										F	Culvert Bridge	
22	10.704	ML	R1	Y		1.7		2.0		1.0	0.3			5.0			7.0				5.0	С		S	Р	Oil contamitated core - Base fell apart	
23	10.735	SS	NA	Ν	1.0		1.7							2.7	11.8										F	Loop Road	
24	10.238	ML	R1	Ν		1.5		2.0	5.5					9.0		7.4									Р	RWP between Core 3 and edge of white line	

	1	1	1	1											.3				1	1				1	
					PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.)				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				BASE			-		CR	ACK	1					
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC9.5	FC12.5	SP9.5	SP12.5	S	T1	wc		TOTAL ASPHALT THICKNESS (IN.)	LR	SHEL	SAHM	RAP	CONC	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
1	10.731	ML	L1	Y		1.5		2.1					3.6			7.9			12.0	3.6	А		S	Р	Base fell apart
2	10.537	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.9		0.9			4.3			6.2				4.3	А	III	S	Р	Base fell apart
3	10.238	ML	R1	Y		1.4		1.9		1.0			4.3			11.9				4.3	А	III	S	Р	Core Crumbled, Base fell apart, in RWP next to core 24
4	10.457	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.1	0.9				3.5				5.0			3.5	Α		М	Р	Base fell apart
5	10.457	S	OL	Ν		1.4		2.7					4.1				4.8							F	Base fell apart
6	10.060	ML	L1	Ν		1.5		1.8	1.0	1.3			5.6			5.2				5.6	С		S	Р	Base fell apart
7	9.871	ML	L1	Ν		1.7		2.3					4.0	9.7										F	
8	9.776	TL	LR	Ν	1.1		1.8		1.1				4.0	7.1										F	
9	9.750	SS	NA	Y	1.3		2.7						4.0	9.6										F	Old Lakeport Road
10	9.725	BR	L1	Ν		1.7		0.8					2.5					UNK						F	Approach Slab
11	9.714	BR	L1	Ν	1.7			0.7					2.4					UNK						F	Bridge Deck
12	9.568	ML	L1	Y		1.5		1.9					3.4	9.8					12.0	3.4	С	III	М	Р	Base Crack
13	9.568	S	OL	Ν		1.7	0.3						2.0	12.0										F	
14	9.615	ML	R1	Y		1.5		2.5					4.0	9.7						4.0	С	III	S	Р	Base Crack
15	9.615	S	OR	Ν		1.5		2.0					3.5	9.5										F	
16	9.699	BR	R1	Y		1.6	0.4						2.0					UNK		0.8	С	IB	L	F	Approach Slab
17	9.750	SS	NA	Ν		1.9		1.5	1.2				4.6	8.0										F	Curacao Ct
18	9.967	ML	R1	Y		1.5		1.9		0.9	0.4		4.7			16.4								G	Base fell apart
19	10.390	ML	R1	Y		1.5		2.0		1.0			4.5			7.5			12.0	4.5	А	III	S	Р	Base fell apart
20	10.390	S	OR	Ν	1.2		1.5		2.0				4.7				2.3							F	
21	10.629	ML	R1	Ν		1.7		2.3					4.0	10.0										F	Culvert Bridge
22	10.704	ML	R1	Y		1.7		2.0		1.0	0.3		5.0			7.0				5.0	С	III	S	Р	Oil contamitated core - Base fell apart
23	10.735	SS	NA	Ν	1.0		1.7						2.7	11.8										F	Loop Road
24	10.238	ML	R1	Ν		1.5		2.0	5.5				9.0		7.4									Р	RWP between Core 3 and edge of white line

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PAVEMENT EVALUATION CORING AND CONDITION DATA

Cored By:	RCS		Coring Completion Date:	10/24/20)22	Typical Section:	Typical Section:								
W.P.I. No.:				Name:	SR 78						Lanes:	2 Lane Rural Minor Arterial Roadway			
Fin. Proj. ID:	448926-1		From: N. of Herbert Hoover Dike							Shoulder Type an	Shoulder Type and Condition:				
F.A. Project No.:		Roadway ID:	05020000	To:	CR 721 /	Loop Road	_		_		Inside:	None			
County:	Glades	SR No.:	78	Beg MP:	9.551	E	ind MP:	10.746	Length:	1.195	Outside:	Paved - Fair condition			
Overal	Pavement Condition (fro	om DMO field review): Fair	Median Curbed (Y/N):	N	Paved		Lawn	Other:		Curb & Gut	ter (Y/N): N				

													All Cor	es										
						PAVEMENT LAYER (IN.)								BASE					CRACK					
CORE NO.	MILE POST ²	LANE TYPE	LANE	WP (Y/N)	FC9.5	FC12.5	SP9.5	SP12.5	S	T1	wc	TOTAL ASPHAL THICKNE (IN.)	T LR	SHEL	SAHM	RAP	солс	STABILIZED SUBGRADE ³	DEPTH (IN.)	TYPE	CLASS	EXTENT	PAVEMENT CONDITION	COMMENTS
AVERAGE					1.26	1.57	1.40	1.86	1.95	1.02	0.35	4.02	9.72	7.40	8.87	4.03		12.00	3.90					
МАХ					1.70	1.90	2.70	2.70	5.50	1.30	0.40	9.00	12.00	7.40	16.40	5.00		12.00	5.60					
MIN					1.00	1.40	0.30	0.70	0.90	0.90	0.30	2.00	7.10	7.40	5.20	2.30		12.00	0.80					
LAYER COEF.					0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	UNKW		0.18	0.18	0.11	UNKW	UNKW	0.08						

Notes:

1. The data presented on this table is specific only at the locations cored at the time of the investigation. Should questions arise regarding the pavement composition, it is incumbent upon those raising the question to perform additional exploration as necessary.

2. Mile posts are approximate based on field recorded measurements using a Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI) or a GPS unit.

3. Stabilization thickness was checked on 10% of the coring locations. For pavement design, assume 12 inches of thickness for stabilization.

4. The cross slope is approximate and measured in the center of the lane.

5. A blank cell indicates measurement was not recorded.

6. A value of "UNK" indicates material was encountered but the total thickness was not determined.

Lane Designations - Decreasing MP	Lane Designations - Increasing MP		Lane Type	Crack Type	Crack Rating	Extent	Pavement Condition
OL/IL - Outside/Inside Shoulder	OR/IR - Outside/Inside Shoulder	ML - Mainline	S - Shoulder	A - Alligator	Class IB - Hairline cracks that are $\leq 1/8$ inch wide	L - Light	G - Good
L1 - 1st Lane Left of Centerline	R1 - 1st Lane Right of Centerline	TL - Turn Lane	SS - Side Street	B - Block	Class II - Cracks > than 1/8 inch and \leq 1/4 inch	M - Moderate	F - Fair
LL/LR - Left/Right Turn Lane	RL/RR - Left/Right Turn Lane	CO - Crossover	BR - Bridge Approach/Departure	C - Combination	Class III - Cracks > 1/4 inch	S - Severe	P - Poor