

Determining Bearing Resistance of Cantilever Sheet Piles BDV31-977-90

<u>Project Manager</u> Juan Castellanos, PE <u>Investigators</u> Xiaoyu Song, PhD Amirata Taghavi, PhD Kaiqi Wang, PhD Zhe Zhang, Master-PhD Student



Outline

- Background
- Objectives
- Research Tasks
- Task 2b: Numerical Modeling
- Task 3b: Centrifuge Testing

Background

- <u>The current FDOT practice requires discrete deep foundation (piles or drilled</u> <u>shafts) for bearing purposes</u> which may or may not be combined with permanent sheet piles for lateral retaining purposes.
- Some designers has previously considered using sheet piles to support both vertical bridge loads and lateral earth loads. However, the concept has not survived final design due to the inability to confirm the capacity of these elements in the field and accept them as bearing piles.
- For end bents of small bridges, there is <u>a potential for realizing savings if we</u> <u>can verify the axial resistance of the sheet piling and eliminate the need for</u> <u>separate deep foundation.</u>
- This would also <u>relieve the complications that arise in construction</u> when driving piles and sheet piles in close proximity.

Background (cont'ed): Uncertainties and Issues

- Evaluation of <u>side friction and end bearing resistance</u> by conventional pile design approaches
- Assessment of <u>soil-sheet pile interaction</u> under combined axial and lateral loading
- Evaluation of <u>buckling potential and plastic hinge formation</u> under axial loading
- Determination of <u>the bearing capacity of axially loaded sheet piles</u> through standardized practical field testing protocols

Objectives

- I. Quantify the bearing capacity of permanent steel sheet pile walls
- II. Evaluate both the friction and bearing components
- III. Develop practical recommendations for designers to estimate the bearing capacity of steel sheet pile walls
- IV. Develop practical methods to determine and verify the bearing capacity in the field

Research Tasks

- Task 1 Literature Review and Information Collection
- Task 2 Numerical Modeling
- Task 3 Centrifuge Testing
- Task 4 Field testing protocol

Task 2b - Simulation Scenarios

1. Effect of penetration depth and unsupported length



- 2. Effect of sheet pile wall stiffness
- 3. Effect of sand relative density and layering
- 4. Effect of the sheet pile head fixity



Task 2b - Nonlinear FE Program



Figure 1. Finite element model for the sheet pile wall

Five scenarios of the numerical analysis through PLAXIS 3D.

- Sands: Elastic-plastic Mohr-Coulomb model by continuum elements
- Sheet pile wall: an elastic model by a structural element
- The interface: Elastic-plastic Mohr-Coulomb model

Parameter	Sheet pile	Very dense sand Dense sand Loose sand		Interface elements	
Material model	Elastic	Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb	Mohr-Coulomb
Young's modulus	438594.12 ksf	2360.1 ksf	2006.1 <i>ksf</i>	1705.2 <i>ksf</i>	/
Cohesion	-	0	0	0	/
Poisson ratio	0.25	0.3	0.3	0.3	/
Friction angle	-	35	32	27	/

Table 1. Material properties used in the finite element simulations

• Effect of penetration depth and unsupported length



Figure 2. Contour of plastic shear strain for three different sand of d/h = 3 at vertical displacement 0.118in; (left) Very dense sand; (middle) Dense sand; (right) Loose sand.

• Effect of penetration depth and unsupported length



Figure 3. Load versus vertical displacement curve of very dense sand for different ratio of d/h

Figure 4. Relationship between the bearing capacity and ratio of d/h

• Effect of sheet pile wall stiffness

(upper) $E = 2.1 \times 10^6 tsf$; (lower) $E = 1.0 \times 10^6 tsf$.



Figure 5. Contour of plastic shear strains for very dense sand

Figure 6. Contour of plastic shear strains for dense sand

Figure 7. Contour of plastic shear strains for 11 loose sand

• Effect of sheet pile wall stiffness



Figure 8. Load versus displacement curve for different Young's modulus of sheet piles for very dense sand.

Figure 9. Relationship between the bearing capacity and stiffness of steel

• Effect of surcharge load (design vehicular live load specified in 3.6.1.2 of ASSHTO, 2014)

(upper) Surcharge loading 2,080 *psf*; (lower) Surcharge loading 4,170 *psf*



Figure 10. Contours of plastic shear strain in very dense sand

Figure 11. Contours of plastic shear strain in dense sand

shear strain in loose sand

• Effect of surcharge load



Figure 13. Contours of bending moment (M_{11}) for sheet pile wall under surcharge loading 4,170 *psf*: (left) Very dense sand; (middle) Dense sand; (right) Loose sand

• Effect of the sheet pile head fixity



Figure 17. Contour of plastic shear strain for free head condition at d/h = 1

Figure 18. Contour of plastic shear strain for fixed head condition at d/h = 1

Figure 19. Load versus vertical displacement curve of different density sand at ratio of d/h=1: Case 1 for the free head condition and Case 2 for the fixed head condition

• Effect of sand relative density and layering





Figure 20. Contour of plastic shear strains for top dense sand d/h = 3 at vertical displacement 0.104 in

Figure 21. Contour of plastic shear strains for top loose sand d/h = 3 at vertical displacement 0.104 in

• Effect of sand relative density and layering

0.00 5.00 10.00 15.00 20.00 0.00 5.00 10.00 15.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 -d/h=3 Vertical Displacement (in) Vertical Displacement (in) -0.02 -0.02 ------d/h=2.7 -0.04 -0.04 _____d/h=2.2 -0.06 -0.06 ------d/h=1.8 ------d/h=1.8 -0.08 -0.08 ------d/h=1.67 ------d/h=1.67 -0.10 -0.10 _____d/h=1.29 -0.12 -0.12 ------d/h=1 -----d/h=1 -0.14

Figure 22. Load versus vertical displacement curve of top dense sand layer for different ratio of d/h

Load (kip/ft)

Figure 23. Load versus vertical displacement curve of top loose sand layer for different ratio of d/h

Load (kip/ft)



• Effect of sand relative density and layering



Figure 25. Comparison of load versus vertical displacement curve of loose sand as top layer for one-layer and two-layer systems.

- Understand the behavior of axially loaded sheet pile walls through investigating the effects of
 - sand relative density and soil layering,
 - sheet pile wall penetration depth,
- *sheet pile wall head boundary conditions,*
- *rate effects during load testing, and*
- sheet pile wall stiffness
- Validate numerical models

Soil properties





Target relative densities of FL sand (by pluviation) = 60% and 90%

UF centrifuge: Radius = 1.5 m; Test Acceleration = 50 g

Direct shear tests on sand



Shear-box tests on sand-structure interface

 $D_r(\%) = 67; w(\%) = 12$



Tests on "milled" and "un-milled" plates

Average values

Instrumentation



Strain Gage



Linear Potentiometer





Pressure Sensor

Custom-designed electric linear actuator



Actuator feedback



Actuator feedback during centrifuge tests performed at 50-g: results are presented in model-scale with target amplitude of 1.00 and 100 mm

Helmet



Photo Courtesy of GRL Engineers, Inc.



Centrifuge

Frame



- Sheet pile wall machining
- Computer Numerical Control (CNC) machines are used to cut aluminum sheets in the desired dimensions and geometry.



I) Axial load transferring mechanism





II) Penetration depth and unsupported length



III) Head boundary conditions; and IV) Static versus quasi-static load testing 29

Bending stiffness, EI (kips.in²/ft)

Axial stiffness, EA (kips/ft)

12

292.3

V) Sheet pile stiffness Dimensions in inches at -1.4 (0.03) -0.4 (0.01) prototype-scale (and in model-scale) 2.8 (0.06) 13 (0.26) 14.4 (0.29) –1.4 (0.03) -2.8 (0.06) 2 (0.2) -0.4 (0.01) 68.9° 68.9° 68.9° -18 (0.35)--18 (0.35) -18 (0.35) -18 (0.35)--18 (0.35)-18 (0.35) -36 (0.7) -36 (0.7)--36 (0.7)-PZS2 PZS1 P727 Sheet pile section dimensions and properties Model-scale (1 g)Prototype-scale (50 g)PZ section Section PZS1 PZS2 PZ27 PZS1 PZS2 Width (in) 0.7 0.736 36 36 Height (in) 0.29 13 12 0.26 14.4 Flange thickness (in) 0.03 2.8 0.4 0.06 1.4 Web thickness (in) 2.8 0.4 0.03 0.06 1.4 Cross Sectional Area, A (in²/ft) 72 24.2 0.03 0.06 144.4 Perimeter, P (in/ft) 10.77 11.32 11.83 0.22 0.23 0.001 Moment of inertia, I (in⁴/ft) 0.002 7,369.8 1,5217.4 2,649.8 Material aluminum aluminum aluminum aluminum steel 107 107 107 107 Young's modulus, E (psi) 2.9×107

24.7

586.8

 7.5×10^{7}

731.164

 1.5×10^{8}

1,466,341

 7.7×10^{7}

702,678



Centrifuge model construction steps



• Ongoing centrifuge testing timetable

	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March
Centrifuge Testing:								
Reliability/Repeatability								
Purposes								
Troubleshooting of the								
Model and								
Instrumentation								
Centrifuge Testing:								
Fixed-Head Boundary								
Conditions								
Centrifuge Testing:								
Free-Head Boundary								
Conditions								
Additional								
Recommended								
Centrifuge Testing								



Thanks!

Questions?