Improving Design Phase Evaluations of High Pile Rebound Soils with an Emphasis on SPT Testing

Task Work Order BDV28 Two 977-05 15 month study

PI: Paul J Cosentino Ph.D., P.E., Florida Institute of Technology Melbourne FI 32901-6975 321-674-7555 Direct

PM: David Horhota, Ph.D., P.E



Outline

Introduction and Background

Objective

🔍 Approach

Task 1 Additional Sites

Task 2 HPR Trends

Task 3 Draft Final Report and Closeout Teleconference

Task 4 Final Report

Schedule of Tasks

Closing



Introduction - HPR Decision Tree





Introduction -FDOT Sites Tested to Date

SPT on 11 of 12
CPTu on 8 of 12
Shelby on 6 of 12

Number	Description	Testing		
		SPT	CPTu	Undisturbed
1	I-4 / US-192 Interchange / Osceola County / Florida.	v	 ✓ 	~
2	State Road 417 International Parkway / Osceola County / Florida.	v	v	~
3	I-4 / Osceola Parkway / Osceola County / Florida.			~
4	State Road 50 and State Road 436 / Orange County / Florida.	v	v	
5	I-4 / State Road 408 Ramp B / Orange County / Florida.	v	v	
6	Anderson Street Overpass at I-4/SR-408 / Orange County / Florida.	v	v	
7	I-4 John Young Parkway/ Orange County / Florida	v		
8	I-4 Widening Daytona / Volusia County / Florida.	v	v	
9	SR 528 over Indiam River, Brevard County / Florida	v		
10	Saint Johns Heritage Parkway, Brevard County / Florida	v	v	~
11	I-10 Chaffee Road, Duval County / Florida	v		~
12	State Road 83 over Ramsey Branch Bridge / Walton County / Florida.	v	v	 ✓



Objective

Refine the BDV28 977-01 Decision Matrix Level I soil classification criteria based on rebound level with N values and FC.



Approach

Identify & Organize Additional HPR and NonHPR sites Based on Rebound Level No Rebound [< 1/4th inch rebound] Acceptable [rebound but pile driven] • Unacceptable [Rebound Greater than $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ or 1''] Evaluation of HPR Rebound Trends Oraft Final Report and Closeout Teleconference Final Report



Approach

Identify & Organize Additional HPR and NonHPR sites Based on Rebound Level

No Rebound [< 1/4th inch rebound]

Acceptable [rebound but pile driven]

♥ Unacceptable [Rebound Greater than ¼ ½ or 1"]





Approach Task 1: FDOT New Sites

All Sites State Map



Site Description	Test Pile	Estimated Rebound (inches)	Rebound Classification	
SR 600 Over Saddle Creek	B2 P2	0.25	acceptable	
	B1SB P2	0.25	acceptable	
1.75 Over University Parkway	B2SB P3	0.25*	acceptable	
1-75 Over Oniversity Farkway	B2NB P3	0.25*	acceptable	
	B3NB P7	0.375	acceptable	
I-75 over Deer Prairie Creek	B2 P3	1.0*	unacceptable	
(170124)	B5 P3	0.50 to 1.0*	unacceptable	
I-75 over Deer Prairie Creek	B1 P1	1.0*	unacceptable	
(170125)	B4 P1	1.0	unacceptable	
	B1 P3	0.25* to 0.50*	acceptable	
SR 64 and I-75	B2 P9	0.25*	acceptable	
	B3 P1	0.3	acceptable	
	B1SB P5	0.25* to 0.50*	acceptable	
Alligator Creek	B2SB P5	0.50* to 0.75*	unacceptable	
Alligator Creek	B3NB P5	0.25* to 0.50*	acceptable	
	B4SB P5	0.25* to 0.50*	acceptable	
	B1 P9	0.25*	acceptable	
JTB Blvd and I-95 (720817)	B2 P1	none*	no rebound	
	B4 P9	none*	no rebound	
	B5 P3	1.0	unacceptable	
JTB Blvd and I-95 (720816)	B6 P4	1.0	unacceptable	
	B7 P14	1.0	unacceptable	

*Estimated off PDA based rebound magnitudes



Approach Task 1: FDOT New Sites

JTB (Bridge 720817)

Bent 1 Pile 9



Figure 24. (a) F2-4024+50 Soil Profile, (b) PDA diagram, (c) N_{ES} and FC JTB and I-95 (Bridge 720817) Test Pile Bent 1, Pile 9



Approach Task 1: FDOT New Sites

I-75 over Deer Prairie

Bent 1, Pile 1



Figure 11. (a) DPC-4 Soil Profile, (b) PDA diagram, (c) N_{ES} and FC for I-75 over Deer Prairie Creek Test Pile Bent 1, Pile 1



Existing and New Data to be used

Divided into 4 subtasks

- CPTu Soil Behavior Type Charts will be used to determine CPTu rebound trends and correlations
 - Based on pile penetration into the rebound layers of 2B, 4B and 8B
- Correlations will be developed between the CPTu N equivalent values and the measured N values
- SPT N and FC versus rebound correlations will be investigated using the 2B, 4B and 8B layer thicknesses
- Conclusions will be developed and the Level I Decision Tree will be updated to reflect the new findings















Ramsey Branch EB5P2

Deer Crossing EB3-1 P5



Final Two Tasks

Task 3 Draft Final Report and Closeout Teleconference

- Contains two deliverables
- Identified as 1 item in budget
- A well Written document will be submitted and reviewed for approval
- Task 4 Final Report
 - After revisions Final Report will be submitted on 2 Professionally labeled CD's
 - Each CD will contain the report in both a word and pdf format



Thank You

