Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets



Office of Maintenance

May 2023

Table of Contents

Introd	uction		1-1
Scope	e and In	tent of this Guide	2-1
Defini	tions		3-1
Facilit	y Desci	riptions and Rating Tables	4-1
4.1	Treatn	nent Ponds	4-1
	4.1.1	Detention Ponds	4-1
		4.1.1.1 Wet Detention	4-1
		4.1.1.2 Dry Detention	4-15
		4.1.1.3 Dry Detention with Underdrain or Side Bank Filtration	4-27
	4.1.2	Retention Ponds	4-39
4.2	Linear	Treatment and Conveyance Systems	4-111
	4.2.1	Linear Treatment	4-111
		4.2.1.1 Ditch Blocks and Swales	4-111
		4.2.1.2 Exfiltration Systems and Drainage Wells	4-146
	4.2.2	Linear Conveyance Systems	4-159
4.3	Outfall	ls	4-178
	4.3.1	Closed Conveyance Outfalls	4-178
	4.3.2	Open Conveyance Outfalls	4-178
	Introd Scope Defini Facilit 4.1 4.2 4.2	Introduction Scope and In Definitions Facility Descr 4.1 Treatm 4.1.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.2 Linear 4.2.1 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.3 Outfall 4.3.1 4.3.2	Introduction Scope and Intent of this Guide Definitions Facility Descriptions and Rating Tables 4.1 Treatment Ponds 4.1.1 Detention Ponds 4.1.1.1 Wet Detention 4.1.1.2 Dry Detention 4.1.1.3 Dry Detention with Underdrain or Side Bank Filtration 4.1.2 Retention Ponds 4.2 Linear Treatment and Conveyance Systems 4.2.1 Linear Treatment 4.2.1.1 Ditch Blocks and Swales 4.2.1.2 Exfiltration Systems and Drainage Wells 4.2.2 Linear Conveyance Systems 4.3 Outfalls 4.3.1 Closed Conveyance Outfalls 4.3.2 Open Conveyance Outfalls

Appendices

<u>Appendix A – Examples of SAMS Inspection and Maintenance Reports</u> <u>Appendix B – Additional Examples of Rating Criteria</u>

List of Tables

Wet Detention Pond Rating Table

Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table

Dry Detention Pond with Filtration Rating Table

Dry Retention Pond Rating Table

Treatment Swales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table

Horizontal Exfiltration, Vertical Exfiltration, and Drainage Well Rating Table

Conveyance Swale and Ditch Rating Table

Canal Rating Table

Trench Drain Rating Table



Closed Conveyance Outfall Rating Table Open Conveyance Outfall Rating Table

List of Figures

- Figure 4-1. Typical Wet Detention System Schematic
- Figure 4-2. Typical Wet Detention System Control Structure Isometric View
- Figure 4-3. Typical Wet Detention System Control Structure Section view
- Figure 4-4. Typical Wet Detention System with Littoral Shelf (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-5. Typical Wet Detention System without Littoral Shelf (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-6. Typical Wet Detention System with Partial Littoral Shelf (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-7. Typical Wet Detention Ponds (Photos)
- Figure 4-8. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Schematic
- <u>Figure 4-9</u>. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Control Structure Isometric View
- Figure 4-10. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Control Structure Section view
- Figure 4-11. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-12. Typical Dry Detention Ponds without Filtration (Photos)
- Figure 4-13. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Underdrain Schematic
- Figure 4-14. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Side Bank Filtration System Schematic
- Figure 4-15. Typical Cleanout Detail (Not to scale)
- Figure 4-16. Typical Perforated Pipe Underdrain Detail (Not to scale)
- Figure 4-17. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Filtration (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-18. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Filtration (Photos)
- Figure 4-19. Typical Dry Retention System Schematic
- Figure 4-20. Typical Dry Retention Pond Control Structure Isometric View
- Figure 4-21. Typical Dry Retention Pond Control Structure Section view
- Figure 4-22. Typical Dry Retention Pond (Aerial View)
- Figure 4-23. Typical Dry Retention Ponds (Photos)
- Figure 4-24. Simple Treatment Swale
- Figure 4-25. Treatment Swale with Control Structure
- Figure 4-26. Concrete Ditch Block



- Figure 4-27. Concrete Ditch Block with Orifice and Sump
- Figure 4-28. Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer
- Figure 4-29. Earthen Ditch Block
- Figure 4-30. Earthen Ditch Block with Concrete Core
- Figure 4-31. Earthen Ditch Block with Concrete Weir
- Figure 4-32. Earthen Berm/Concrete Discharge Ditch Block
- Figure 4-33. Typical French Drain System
- Figure 4-34. Typical Horizontal Exfiltration System Control Structures
- Figure 4-35. Typical Vertical Exfiltration System
- Figure 4-36. Deep Well Injection Box (Standard Plan Drawing 444-T01)
- Figure 4-37. Typical Canal System
- Figure 4-38. Typical Ditch System
- Figure 4-39. Typical Trench Drain
- Figure 4-40. Typical Closed Conveyance Outfall
- Figure 4-41. Typical Open Conveyance Outfall



1.0 Introduction

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) currently owns and operates more than 10,000 stormwater treatment facilities throughout the state. These facilities vary in size, function, and design. Facilities include wet detention ponds, dry detention ponds, dry retention ponds, treatment swales, and underground exfiltration systems. They are designed to attenuate flow, reduce flooding, and treat stormwater runoff from the state highway system to reduce pollutant loading to receiving waters.

To manage stormwater and minimize impacts to our natural systems, Florida was the first state in the country to adopt rules requiring the treatment of stormwater for all new development. Florida's original stormwater rule was adopted in 1981 and went into effect in February 1982. It has continued to evolve since that time. Stormwater treatment facilities are currently regulated by state environmental resource permits (ERPs) and/or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. These permits have established inspection and maintenance criteria that help ensure stormwater treatment facilities continue to function as designed and permitted.

This Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets (SWAM) has been developed to establish minimum statewide standards for the routine maintenance of FDOT's stormwater treatment and conveyance systems. These minimum standards are not intended to replace or supersede existing Maintenance Rating Program (MRP) criteria. These standards should be used to fill gaps in existing criteria and to enhance and support existing programs related to the routine maintenance of FDOT's stormwater management systems.



2.0 Scope and Intent of this Guide

This guide has been developed through close coordination with maintenance staff and NPDES coordinators from each District and Florida's Turnpike Enterprise. The intended users of this guide include FDOT maintenance staff, maintenance contractors, NPDES program coordinators, field inspection staff, and consultants who perform compliance inspections.

This document does not represent every possible situation that may occur. It is intended to provide general guidance, define the desired maintenance conditions, and provide examples for many of the routine and common needs. The guide has been organized to cover three main stormwater asset categories at this time. They include:

- 1. Treatment Ponds
- 2. Linear Treatment and Conveyance Systems
- 3. Outfalls

A detailed narrative description has been provided for each stormwater asset category, along with aerial imagery, cross-sectional graphics, 3-dimensional structure graphics, and example photographs. The aerial images, graphics, and photos are intended to provide typical examples and are not intended to represent every situation or configuration.

A rating table is provided for each stormwater asset category and includes a rating for each asset component and associated sub-components. The asset components were designed to be consistent with the statewide Stormwater Asset Management System (SAMS) inspection software application and may include **Structural Condition**, **Water Condition**, **Erosion Condition**, **Aesthetics Condition**, **Hydraulic Recovery Condition**, **and Vegetation Condition**. These six rating categories will be the same for each stormwater asset. However, each asset component may have several subcomponents. These sub-components vary and may not be present in all situations. For example:



Control Structure, Orifice, Skimmer, and Inflow/Outflow Pipes are example subcomponents associated with the **Structural Condition** asset component category.

Depending upon the system being reviewed, there may or may not be a subcomponent to review. It is important that when conducting reviews that the reviewer has a complete understanding of the system and features they should expect to see, how they work together, and the expected maintenance condition (as defined in the rating table of this guide). The rating tables use an inspection scoring approach of "Good, Fair, or Poor" for each stormwater asset component and associated subcomponents.

This scoring approach is consistent with the inspection procedures developed for the SAMS inspection application. For each asset component or sub-component, the rating table includes a link to example photographs and provides a detailed list of criteria applicable to that rating condition. The list of criteria is intended to assist users with determining the appropriate rating for a given asset component. Note that not all criteria listed under the Condition Description column must be observed to provide the respective rating. For example:

A missing or broken skimmer on a control structure would result in a Poor rating for Structural Condition even if all other elements of the control structure are acceptable. These criteria are largely based on existing MRP, ERP, and NPDES conditions and criteria. A summary of recommended maintenance needs is also provided for each asset component and/or sub-component based on its rating condition.

This guide is being developed concurrently with the development of SAMS. An overview of SAMS, including links to the public viewer and instructional videos, is available at <u>FDOT</u> <u>E-Maintenance - SAMS</u>. In addition, Appendix A includes examples of inspection and maintenance needs reports that SAMS generates using inspection data entered in the field. The rating tables and metrics covered in this guide are consistent with the rating parameters used in SAMS.



The guide is intended to be a "living document," and will be updated as better information becomes available.



3.0 Definitions

Anti-Clog Device – Component of the control structure used to prevent clogging by trash and debris.

Baffle – Components used to reduce runoff velocities and/or to redirect stormwater inside a structure.

Control Device or Bleed-Down Devices – The element of a discharge structure that allows the gradual release of water under controlled conditions. Examples may include an orifice, "V" or square notch weir.

Control Elevation – The lowest elevation at which water can be released through the control device or withdrawn by a stormwater harvesting system.

Detention – A system designed to collect and temporarily store water with a controlled release of the stormwater. Detention systems can be dry or permanently wet impoundments and provide treatment through physical, chemical, and biological processes.

Excess Vegetation – Vegetation that exceeds MRP criteria, impedes or slows the flow of water through a structure, or otherwise impacts the proper functioning of the stormwater facility. Removal of excess vegetation to restore proper function and intended design condition may also require removal of sediment.

Functioning as Designed – The status of critical components of a stormwater treatment facility. A system is "Functioning as Designed" if it is performing as intended and meeting applicable permit requirements.

Hydraulic Recovery within Permitted Timeframe – An evaluation of the performance of a stormwater treatment facility and its ability to recover its designed treatment volume over a given period of time.

Littoral Zone – In reference to stormwater management systems, that portion of the stormwater pond that is designed to contain submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation.



<u>Maintenance Rating Program (MRP)</u> – A uniform evaluation system for maintenance features on the State Highway System. It is defined as a method of conducting a visual and mechanical evaluation of routine highway maintenance conditions. The purpose of this evaluation is to provide information that should be used to schedule and prioritize routine maintenance activities and provide uniform maintenance conditions that meet established FDOT objectives.

Nuisance Vegetation – Vegetative growth of any plant species (terrestrial or aquatic) that impedes the proper functioning of the stormwater facility as designed. Nuisance vegetation also includes undesired or unwanted vegetation as identified in the MRP manual and Category I and Category II invasive plant species as identified by the <u>Florida</u> <u>Invasive Species Council</u>. Category I species are invasive species that are altering native plant communities by displacing native species, changing community structures or ecological function, or hybridizing with native species. Examples include tropical soda apple, hydrilla, cogongrass, water-hyacinth, and Brazilian pepper. Category II invasive species have increased in abundance or frequency but have not yet altered Florida plant communities to the extent shown by Category I species. Examples include elephant ear, castorbean, and Chinaberry. Nuisance vegetation does not include littoral plantings that are part of a pond's design.

Orifice – The opening in the control structure that allows the gradual release of stormwater. Orifices are typically a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, but can also be drilled or cast holes.

Permanent Pool – That portion of a wet detention pond that normally holds water (e.g., between the normal water level and the pond bottom).

Retention – A system designed to prevent the discharge of a given volume of stormwater runoff into surface waters of the state by complete onsite storage. Examples may include excavated or natural depression storage areas, pervious pavement with subgrade, or above-ground storage areas.



Seasonal High Ground Water Table Elevation – The highest level of the saturated zone in the soil in a year with normal rainfall.

Sedimentation – The settling out of soil particles transported by water and wind.

Skimmer – Skimmers are components typically found on the control structure and serve to keep floatable material, debris, and oils from discharging through the control structure. The skimmer also serves to prevent debris from obstructing flow through the control structure.

<u>Stormwater Asset Management System (SAMS)</u> – FDOT's geographic information system (GIS) based statewide Stormwater Asset Management System. The system provides for electronic data entry during field inspections, as well as inventory of new or existing facilities, using mobile devices such as smart phones and tablets.

Treatment Volume – The volume of stormwater runoff that must be retained or detained and treated prior to discharge pursuant to design criteria.



4.0 Facility Descriptions and Rating Tables

4.1 Treatment Ponds

This section will discuss the common types of treatment ponds found within FDOT ROW. They include:

- 1. Detention Ponds
 - a. Wet Detention
 - b. Dry Detention without filtration
 - c. Dry Detention with underdrain or side bank filter
- 2. <u>Retention Ponds</u>

The ponds can typically be differentiated by the purpose of the facility and the type and placement of the control structure as discussed below.

This section of the guidance document includes separate rating tables for each of the basic types of ponds. Typical plan view, cross-sections, and detailed graphics for each type of pond are included in the section specific to that pond type.

4.1.1 Detention Ponds

4.1.1.1 Wet Detention

Wet detention systems are permanently wet ponds that are designed to slowly release collected stormwater runoff through a control structure. A typical wet detention pond schematic is shown in <u>Figure 4-1</u>.

The design of the control structure for wet detention ponds usually includes the following (Figure 4-2; Figure 4-3):

- 1. A drawdown device designed to slowly release the treatment volume following a rainfall event.
- 2. The drawdown device is typically an orifice or small weir ("V" or square notch in shape) with a control elevation set at the normal water level.
- A broader, usually rectangular, weir opening is often located above the drawdown device. This weir opening is generally referred to as the overflow weir and regulates peak discharge of stormwater runoff associated with major rainfall events.



- 4. A grate located at the top of the control structure serves as the emergency outfall.
- 5. A permanent pool volume is provided in a wet detention system to assist with pollutant removal through physical, chemical, and biological processes. The permanent pool volume is the quantity of water in a wet detention pond that exists below the control elevation (i.e., weir notch or orifice). The treatment volume is the quantity of stormwater runoff that exists between the drawdown device and larger overflow weir.
- 6. An oil and trash skimmer that helps prevent the discharge of accumulated floatables, debris, and oils and prevents clogging of the orifice or weir.
- 7. A vertical, underflow baffle may also be installed inside the structure that performs the same function as a skimmer.

Though control structures may vary in configuration from the typical description and graphics provided in this document, the basic function of the control structure, i.e., to provide for the slow release of treated stormwater and to prevent trash and debris from entering the storm sewer system or receiving water, does not change. The inspector will find it useful to review the as-built drawings to confirm the intended design of the system.

Additionally, wet detention systems may include a littoral zone (Figure 4-4), which is the portion of a wet detention pond designed to contain rooted aquatic plants. These plants help remove nutrients and other pollutants from stormwater runoff. Aerial views of wet ponds without littoral zone and with a partial littoral zone are shown in Figure 4-5 and Figure 4-6, respectively. Figure 4-7 includes photos of typical wet detention ponds in good condition.





Figure 4-1. Typical Wet Detention System Schematic (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>



Figure 4-2. Typical Wet Detention System Control Structure – Isometric View (Not to scale) Return to List of Figures



Figure 4-3. Typical Wet Detention System Control Structure - Section view (Not to scale) Return to List of Figures





SECTION B-B

Figure 4-4. Typical Wet Detention System with Littoral Shelf <u>Return to List of Figures</u>







Figure 4-5. Typical Wet Detention System without Littoral Shelf <u>Return to List of Figures</u>







Figure 4-6. Typical Wet Detention System with Partial Littoral Shelf Return to List of Figures





Figure 4-7. Typical Wet Detention Ponds in Good Condition Return to List of Figures



Wet Detention Pond Rating Table				
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition		
Aesthetics Condition				
	Good <u>A-9</u> <u>A-51</u> <u>A-52</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti in and around pond ✓ Signage in place 		
Overall Appearance	Fair <u>A-11</u> <u>A-23</u> <u>A-57</u>	 ✓ Side slopes need to be mowed ✓ Small amounts of trash or debris in and around the pond ✓ Vegetation present within pond 		
	Poor <u>A-15</u> <u>A-17</u> <u>A-19</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in and around pond ✓ Excessive vegetation within pond ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present 		
	Good <u>A-10</u> <u>A-60</u>	 ✓ Fence and lock in like-new condition ✓ Fence is closed and locked ✓ No holes or sagging of fence fabric ✓ No gaps in gate or fence greater than 6 inches ✓ Gate is closed and locked ✓ All fence posts present and intact ✓ No detached fence fabric ✓ All barbed wire strands on top of fence are in place, continuous, and unbroken 		
Fence	Fair <u>A-11</u>	 ✓ Gate loose ✓ Fence sagging, but amount of sagging is less than one-third of original height ✓ Holes in fence fabric, but individual holes less than 2 square feet ✓ Non-consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken ✓ Non-consecutive post(s) have fabric not attached ✓ At least one continuous strand of barbed wire is in place on top of fence, if originally installed and part of original design 		



		Maintenance Needs
	✓	None
	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow side slopes Remove trash and debris Remove vegetation in pond
	~	Remove vegetation, trash, graffiti, and debris
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	~	None
en		
ht	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair cut and sagging fence sections
		 Replace missing or broken fence posts
		 Reattach fabric
		 Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire
		• Repair fence to design condition

Wet Detention Pond Rating Table				
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition		
Fence	Poor <u>A-12 A-53 A-56</u>	 ✓ Gate open, lock missing, broken, or damaged ✓ Top of fence sagging more than one-third its original height ✓ Gap(s) in gate or fence greater than 6 inches ✓ Holes in fence fabric greater than 2 square feet ✓ Two consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken ✓ Two consecutive fence post(s) have fabric not attached ✓ Less than one continuous strand of barbed wire on top of fence, if part of original design or installation ✓ Any other condition not meeting MRP standards 		
Hydraulic Recovery Cond	ition			
Overall Recovery	Good <u>A-1</u> <u>A-3</u> Fair	 System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe Permanent pool level is at or below the control elevation (e.g., weir notch or orifice elevation) within the regulatory timeframe No blockage of bleed-down device evident System appears to be recovering more slowly than required Partial blockage of bleed-down device slowing recovery 		
	Poor <u>A-14</u> <u>APP-1</u>	 ✓ System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe ✓ Permanent pool level remains above the control elevation (e.g., weir notch or orifice) longer than regulatory timeframe allowed following a storm event ✓ Bleed-down device is blocked 		
	Good	 No evidence of sedimentation problem observed No emergent vegetation other than planted littoral vegetation 		
Sedimentation	Fair <u>A-11</u> <u>A-19</u>	✓ Sediment and/or vegetation at inlet pipes indicative of sedimentation of pond bottom at inlet pipes, but pond is functioning as designed		



		Maintenance Needs
	✓	Secure immediately
	✓	Repair or replace lock
	✓	Repair cut and sagging fence sections
	✓	Repair gate or fence gaps
	✓	Replace missing or broken fence posts
	✓	Reattach fabric
	✓	Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to meet <u>MRP</u> standards
	✓	None
	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove blockage from bleed-
		down device
	✓	Identify and correct problems
	,	preventing pond from recovering
	~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	None
I	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Clear sedimentation/vegetation at
		pipe opening
		 Find/eliminate source of sedimentation if possible

		Wet Detention Pond Rating Table	
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
Sedimentation	Poor	 Sediment accumulation is evident at or near the inflow pipes throughout pond, with accumulation inside and around pipes indicating excessive sedimentation on pond bottom and reduced pool volume 	
		✓ If pipes are below water surface and not visible, excessive emergent vegetation may be an indication of sedimentation	
Vegetation Condition			
	Good <u>A-9 A-24</u> <u>A-52</u>	 ✓ The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained ✓ Pond side slopes mowed regularly ✓ No nuisance or invasive species present ✓ All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth ✓ Vegetation complies with water management district requirements 	
	Fair <u>A-19</u> <u>A-22</u> <u>A-23</u>	 ✓ The vegetative cover is in fair condition or requires minor attention ✓ Side slopes need to be mowed ✓ Some invasive or nuisance species are present, but coverage is less than 25% of area ✓ Some vegetation around structures or on water surface, but pond is functioning as designed ✓ Some emergent vegetation in pond but pond is functioning as designed 	
	Poor <u>A-11</u> <u>A-15</u> <u>A-38</u>	 ✓ The vegetative cover is in poor condition and/or not being maintained ✓ Woody vegetation growing in berms ✓ Vegetation and the side slopes have become destabilized ✓ Large portions of side slopes have little to no vegetative cover ✓ Invasive or nuisance species are present and covering more than 25% of area ✓ Excessive vegetation present in and around structural controls or on water surface such that recovery of hydraulic function is inhibited ✓ Excessive emergent vegetation such that pool volume is reduced and pond no functioning as designed 	



		Maintenance Needs
, l	✓	Remove sediment and re-establish pond bottom to original design grade
on		
	✓	None
% ng	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow side slopes Harvest vegetation
a	 ✓ ✓ 	Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation Remove vegetation from around structural controls and from water surface to restore hydraulic function
	• •	
	v	Stabilize side slopes
ot	v	correct deficiencies

Wet Detention Pond Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
Structural Condition			
	Good <u>A-1</u> <u>A-2</u>	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ Structure intact with no visible damage ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is less than 25% of the depth of the chamber ✓ Grates present and properly placed with little to no damage ✓ Safety chain present 	
Control Structure	Fair <u>A-3 A-5 A-59</u>	 ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is more than 25% but less than 50% of th chamber depth ✓ Less than 25% of structure is blocked by vegetation and/or debris ✓ Safety chain missing ✓ Minor damage to structure or grates ✓ Sediment or debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks 25 to 50% of flow area under skimmer 	
	Poor <u>A-4 A-14 A-15</u>	 ✓ Not functioning as designed ✓ Collapsed or severely damaged structure ✓ Accumulation of trash and debris is greater than 50% of chamber depth ✓ Grates missing or significantly damaged ✓ Excessive leakage at joints ✓ Sediment or debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks more than 50 of flow area under skimmer 	
	Good <u>A-1</u> <u>A-3</u>	 ✓ Orifice is undamaged ✓ Anti-clog device present and undamaged ✓ No obstructions 	
Orifice	Fair <u>A-5</u> <u>A-59</u>	 ✓ Minor obstruction or damage but still allowing for full recovery ✓ Anti-clog device present with minor damage not affecting function 	
	Poor <u>A-14</u>	 ✓ Orifice blocked or clogged ✓ Anti-clog device missing or damaged and affecting function 	



Maintenance Needs Image: Maintenance Needs Image: None			
Image: Second state of the second s			Maintenance Needs
 None None Proactive maintenance recommended Replace safety chain Remove obstructions Repair structure Remove trash and debris Replace grates Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies None Proactive maintenance recommended Repair damage Remove obstructions Proactive maintenance recommended Repair damage Remove obstructions 			
 Proactive maintenance recommended Replace safety chain Remove obstructions Repair structure Remove trash and debris Replace grates Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies Vinclog orifice Remove obstructions Vinclog orifice Replace anti-clog device Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 		~	None
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove trash and debris ✓ Replace grates ✓ Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies ✓ None ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair damage ○ Remove obstructions ✓ Unclog orifice ✓ Replace anti-clog device ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 	e	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Replace safety chain Remove obstructions
 ✓ None ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair damage ○ Remove obstructions ✓ Unclog orifice ✓ Replace anti-clog device ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 	%	$\mathbf{\dot{\mathbf{x}}}$	Repair structure Remove trash and debris Replace grates Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair damage ○ Remove obstructions ✓ Unclog orifice ✓ Replace anti-clog device ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 		~	None
 ✓ Unclog orifice ✓ Replace anti-clog device ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 		~	 Proactive maintenance recommended o Repair damage o Remove obstructions
		✓ ✓ ✓	Unclog orifice Replace anti-clog device Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

Wet Detention Pond Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
	Good <u>A-1 A-5</u>	 ✓ No obstructions or debris preventing flow ✓ Structurally sound ✓ Minor damage to structure 	
Weir	Fair <u>A-11</u>	 Initial damage to structure Less than 25% of weir opening is blocked and pond recovery rate is acceptal 	
	Poor <u>A-15</u>	 ✓ Debris, vegetation, or other obstruction is present and inhibiting flow over the weir ✓ More than 25% of weir opening is blocked 	
	Good <u>A-1 A-2 A-3</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration ✓ Structurally sound and functioning as designed. Minor damage, e.g., cracks, acceptable provided function is maintained. ✓ Less than 25% of opening is obstructed by vegetation/debris 	
Skimmer/Baffle	Fair <u>A-5 A-14 A-22</u>	 ✓ May have minor damage and some deterioration, but structurally sound and functionally adequate ✓ Between 25% and 50% of opening is obstructed by vegetation/debris 	
	Poor <u>A-4</u> <u>A-11</u>	 ✓ Missing, detached, or collapsed ✓ Not functioning as designed ✓ More than 50% of opening is blocked by vegetation/debris 	
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Good <u>A-1 A-43 APP-7</u>	 Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed Flap gates present and functioning properly Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance 	
	Fair <u>APP-8</u>	 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than 3 cracks great than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length ✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken ✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function 	



		Maintenance Needs
	~	None
ble	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended o Remove weir blockages o Repair damage
	✓ ✓	Remove weir blockages Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
is	•	None
	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair damage Remove blockages
	✓ ✓	Replace skimmer Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	~	None
ter	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove pipe obstructions Repair structural damage Repair or replace flap gates

Wet Detention Pond Rating Table				
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs	
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Poor <u>A-19</u>	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar Flap gates missing or not functioning as intended 	 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 	
Water Condition			·	
	Good <u>A-9</u> <u>A-23</u> <u>A-24</u>	 ✓ Water clear ✓ Small amount of floatables ✓ Water is odor free ✓ No evidence of illicit discharges 	✓ None	
	Fair	 ✓ Some turbidity ✓ Moderate amount of floatables/trash ✓ Water is odor free 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove floatables/trash 	
	Poor <u>A-16</u> <u>A-17</u>	 ✓ Significant turbidity ✓ Excessive floatables ✓ Foul odor present ✓ Evidence of illicit discharge. 	 ✓ Remove floatables/trash ✓ Identify and remove sources of odor and/or illicit discharges ✓ Contact District NPDES Coordinator if there is evidence of an illicit discharge ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 	



Wet Detention Pond Rating Table					
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs		
Erosion Condition	Erosion Condition				
	Good <u>A-9 A-51 A-52</u>	 ✓ Some surficial erosion with minor rills present ✓ Vegetation on slope generally intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential, stable slope ✓ Minimal to no undermining is apparent 	✓ None		
	Fair <u>A-18</u>	 Minor erosion on pond slopes or berms Minor undermining around headwall, mitered end sections, or control structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure 	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair erosion ○ Repair undermining 		
	Poor <u>A-19</u> <u>APP-2</u>	 ✓ Substantial erosion compromising slope and/or berm stability ✓ Substantial undermining compromising structures ✓ Large rills and gullies present along slope ✓ Large areas of vegetation on slope have become dislodged and slope is unstable ✓ Undermining of structures is evident 	 ✓ Stabilize structures, slopes, and berm ✓ Re-establish vegetation ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 		



4.1.1.2 Dry Detention

Dry detention systems are dry storage areas that are designed to temporarily store a defined quantity of stormwater runoff and slowly release the collected runoff through a control structure (Figure 4-8). After drawdown of the stored runoff is completed, the storage basin does not hold any water, thus the system is normally dry.

The control structure is typically located within a dry detention pond, sometimes in a recessed sediment sump (<u>Figure 4-9</u>; <u>Figure 4-10</u>). This structure usually has:

- 1. A drawdown device designed to slowly release the treatment volume following a rainfall event.
- 2. The drawdown device is typically an orifice or small weir ("V" or square notch in shape) with a control elevation set at or below the pond bottom, typically at least 1 foot above the seasonal high groundwater table elevation. The maximum groundwater level measured during a year with normal rainfall is considered the seasonal high groundwater table elevation.
- 3. A broader, usually rectangular, weir opening is often located above the drawdown device within a control structure. This weir opening is the overflow weir and regulates discharge of stormwater runoff associated with major rainfall events.
- 4. The grate located at the top of the control structure serves as the emergency outfall for a dry detention system.
- 5. The treatment volume is the quantity of stormwater runoff that exists between the drawdown device and overflow weir.
- 6. The control structure usually includes an oil and trash skimmer that helps prevent the discharge of accumulated sediment, floatables, and oils and prevents clogging of the drawdown device or overflow weir.
- 7. A vertical, underflow baffle may also be installed within the control structure and performs the same function as a skimmer.

These systems are typically found within the jurisdiction of the South Florida Water Management District and Southwest Florida Water Management District. An aerial view



of a dry detention system is shown in <u>Figure 4-11</u>, and photos of typical dry detention ponds in good condition are shown in <u>Figure 4-12</u>.





Figure 4-8. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Schematic (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>



Figure 4-9. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Control Structure – Isometric View (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>





Figure 4-10. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Control Structure – Section view (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>





Figure 4-11. Typical Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Return to List of Figures





Figure 4-12. Typical Dry Detention Ponds without Filtration in Good Condition Return to List of Figures



Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Aesthetics Condition		
	Good <u>A-33</u> <u>A-34</u> <u>A-46</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti in and around pond ✓ Signage in place
Overall Appearance	Fair <u>A-18</u> <u>A-20</u> <u>A-25</u>	 ✓ Small amounts of trash or debris in and around the pond
	Poor <u>A-29</u> <u>A-39</u> <u>A-48</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in and around pond ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present
	Good <u>A-10</u> <u>A-60</u>	 ✓ Fence and lock in like-new condition ✓ Fence is closed and locked ✓ No holes or sagging of fence fabric ✓ No gaps in gate or fence greater than 6 inches ✓ Gate is closed and locked ✓ All fence posts present and intact ✓ No detached fence fabric ✓ All barbed wire strands on top of fence are in place, continuous, and unbroken
	Fair <u>A-11</u>	 ✓ Gate loose ✓ Fence sagging, but amount of sagging is less than one-third of original height ✓ Holes in fence fabric, but individual holes less than 2 square feet ✓ Non-consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken ✓ Non-consecutive post(s) have fabric not attached ✓ At least one continuous strand of barbed wire is in place on top of fence, if originally installed and part of original design



		Maintenance Needs
	~	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommendedRemove trash and debris
	✓ ✓	Remove trash, graffiti, and debris Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	None
nal	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair cut and sagging fence sections Replace missing or broken fence posts
nce,		 Reattach fabric Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire Repair fence to design condition

Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
		✓ Gate open, lock missing, broken, or damaged	 ✓ Secure immediately
		\checkmark Top of fence sagging more than one-third its original height	✓ Repair or replace lock
		✓ Gap(s) in gate or fence greater than 6 inches	✓ Repair cut and sagging fence sections
	Boor	\checkmark Holes in fence fabric greater than 2 square feet	✓ Repair gate or fence gaps
Fence	FOOI	✓ Two consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken	✓ Replace missing or broken fence posts
	<u>A-37 A-54 A-56</u>	✓ Two consecutive fence post(s) have fabric not attached	✓ Reattach fabric
		 ✓ Less than on continuous strand of barbed wire on top of fence, if part of original design or installation 	 ✓ Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire
		✓ Any other condition not meeting <u>MRP</u> standards	 ✓ Other maintenance as needed to meet <u>MRP</u> standards
Hydraulic Recovery Condi	ition		
	Good	✓ System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory	✓ None
	<u>A-26</u> <u>A-28</u> <u>A-35</u>	timeframe	
		\checkmark System appears to be recovering more slowly than required	✓ Proactive maintenance recommended
	Fair	 Bottom of pond appears slightly wet or has small volume of water 	 Check control structure for
		remaining during periods of little to no rainfall	blockages
Overall Recovery	<u>A-47</u>		 Evaluate need to disc or scarify
			pond bottom
		\checkmark System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe	✓ Identify and correct problems
	Poor	✓ Bottom of pond has obvious standing water even in periods of little to no	preventing pond from recovering
	A 20 A 49 A 50	rainfall	 Disc or scarify pond bottom
	<u>A-39</u> <u>A-40</u> <u>A-50</u>		\checkmark Other maintenance as needed to
	Good	\checkmark No evidence of sedimentation problem observed	✓ None
	A-18 A-32 A-34		
Sedimentation		✓ Sediment and/or vegetation at inlet pipes indicative of sedimentation of	✓ Proactive maintenance recommended
	Fair	pond bottom at inlet pipes, but pond is functioning as designed	 Clear sedimentation/ vegetation at
	A-29 A-35		pipe opening
			 Find/eliminate source of sedimentation if possible



Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Sedimentation	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-33</u>	 Sediment accumulation is evident at or near the inflow pipes throughout pond, with accumulation inside and around pipes indicating excessive sedimentation on pond bottom and reduced pool volume. If pipes are below water surface and not visible, excessive emergent vegetation may be an indication of sedimentation
Vegetation Condition		
	Good <u>A-26 A-34 A-46</u>	 The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained Pond side slopes mowed regularly No nuisance or invasive species present All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth Vegetation complies with water management district requirements
	Fair <u>A-8 A-13 A-25</u>	 ✓ The vegetative cover is in fair condition or requires minor attention ✓ Side slopes need to be mowed ✓ Some invasive or nuisance species are present, but coverage is less than 25% of area ✓ Some vegetation around structures, but pond is functioning as designed
	Poor <u>A-6 A-39 A-48</u>	 The vegetative cover is in poor condition and/or not being maintained Woody vegetation growing in berms Vegetation and the side slopes have become destabilized Large portions of side slopes have little to no vegetative cover Invasive and nuisance species are present and covering more than 25% of area Excessive vegetation present in and around overflow structure
Structural Condition		
Control Structure	Good <u>A-30 A-31 A-32</u>	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ Structure intact with no visible damage ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is less than 25% of the depth of the chamber ✓ Grates present and properly placed with little to no damage ✓ Safety chain present



		Maintenance Needs
	~	Remove sediment and re-establish pond bottom to original design grade.
	✓	None
1	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow side slopes Harvest vegetation
	✓	Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation
	✓	Remove vegetation from around structural controls
<u>,</u>	\checkmark	Re-establish vegetation where needed
U	\checkmark	Stabilize side slopes
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	~	None

Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Control Structure	Fair	 ✓ Accumulation of debris/sediment is more than 25% but less than 50% of the chamber depth ✓ Safety chain missing ✓ Minor damage to structure or grates ✓ Sediment/debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks 25% to 50% of flow area under skimmer
	Poor <u>A-33</u> <u>APP-3</u>	 ✓ Not functioning as designed ✓ Collapsed or severely damaged structure ✓ Excessive trash and debris accumulation, more than 50% of chamber depth ✓ Grate is missing or significantly damaged ✓ Excessive leakage at joints ✓ Sediment/debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks more than 50% of flow area under skimmer
	Good <u>APP-4</u>	 ✓ Orifice is undamaged ✓ Anti-clog device present and undamaged ✓ No obstructions
Orifice	Fair <u>APP-5</u>	 Minor obstruction or damage but still allowing for full recovery Anti-clog device present with minor damage not affecting function
	Poor <u>A-33</u>	 ✓ Orifice blocked or clogged ✓ Anti-clog device missing or damaged
	Good	 ✓ No obstructions or debris preventing flow ✓ Structurally sound
Weir	Fair	 ✓ Minor damage to structure ✓ Less than 25% of weir opening is blocked and pond recovery rate is acceptable
	Poor	 ✓ Debris, vegetation, or other obstruction is present and inhibiting flow over the weir ✓ More than 25% of weir opening is blocked



		Maintenance Needs
of	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Replace safety chain
		 Remove sediment
		 Remove skimmer blockages
	✓	Repair structure
	✓	Remove trash and debris
	\checkmark	Replace grates
	✓	Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance
	\checkmark	Other maintenance as needed to
n		correct deficiencies
	✓	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Repair damage
	✓	Unclog orifice
	\checkmark	Replace anti-clog device
	\checkmark	Other maintenance as needed to
		correct deficiencies
	✓	None
	1	
	v	Proactive maintenance recommended
		Remove well blockages
		o Repair damage
	\checkmark	Remove weir blockages
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to
		correct deficiencies
-		

Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Skimmer	Good <u>A-30</u> <u>A-46</u> <u>A-47</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration ✓ Structurally sound and functioning as designed. Minor damage e.g., cracks, is acceptable provided function is maintained.
	Fair	 May have minor damage and some deterioration, but structurally sound and functionally adequate
	Poor <u>A-33</u>	 ✓ Missing, detached, or collapsed ✓ Not functioning as designed
	Good <u>A-6</u> <u>A-41</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed ✓ Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Fair	 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length ✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken ✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function
	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-44</u>	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar Flap gates missing or not functioning as intended



		Maintenance Needs
	~	None
ł	~	Proactive maintenance recommendedRepair damage to skimmer
	\checkmark	Replace skimmer
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	>	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove pipe obstructions
5		 Repair structural damage
		 Repair or replace flap gates
e	~	Repair or replace damaged components
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table		Dry Detention Pond without Filtration Rating Table
--	---	---
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Erosion Condition		
	Good <u>A-25</u> <u>A-26</u> <u>A-27</u>	 ✓ Some surficial erosion with minor rills present ✓ Vegetation on slope generally intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential, stable slope ✓ Minimal to no undermining is apparent
	Fair <u>A-18</u> <u>A-28</u>	 Minor erosion on pond slopes or berms Minor undermining around headwall, mitered end sections, or control structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure
	Poor <u>A-29</u> <u>A-35</u> <u>A-36</u>	 ✓ Substantial erosion compromising slope and/or berm stability ✓ Large rills and gullies present along slope ✓ Large areas of vegetation on slope have become dislodged and slope is unstable ✓ Undermining of structures is evident



		Maintenance Needs
	✓	None
	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair erosion Repair undermining
is	✓ ✓ ✓	Stabilize slopes and berm Re-establish vegetation Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

4.1.1.3 Dry Detention with Underdrain or Side Bank Filtration

Dry detention systems with underdrains consist of a dry basin underlain with perforated drainage pipe that collects and conveys stormwater following percolation from the basin through permeable soils or filter media. Underdrain systems are intended to control the groundwater table elevation over the entire area of the treatment basin and provide for the drawdown of the treatment volume.

Underdrain systems typically discharge filtered stormwater to a control structure through a lateral connection (Figure 4-13). Cleanout ports for maintenance are provided at the inflow and terminus of an underdrain system. Cleanouts may also be provided at intermediate locations throughout the system and at bends in the perforated pipe or other locations where clogging is more likely to occur.

Dry detention systems with side bank filters (Figure 4-14) typically have side bank underdrain systems that are situated within the slopes of the pond berm instead of beneath the pond bottom. The pond bottom in these systems typically slopes towards the side bank underdrain system to reduce saturated soils conditions below the pond bottom.

Typical details for cleanout ports and perforated pipe are shown in Figure 4-15 and Figure 4-16, respectively. An aerial view of a dry pond with filtration is shown in Figure 4-17, and photos of typical dry detention ponds with filtration in good condition are shown in Figure 4-18.









Figure 4-13. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Underdrain Schematic (Not to Scale) Return to List of Figures



Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets







Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets



Figure 4-15. Typical Cleanout Detail (Not to scale) Return to List of Figures



Figure 4-16. Typical Perforated Pipe Underdrain Detail (Not to scale) Return to List of Figures











Figure 4-18. Typical Dry Detention Pond with Filtration in Good Condition Return to List of Figures



	Dry Detention Pond	with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Aesthetics Condition		
	Good <u>A-26</u> <u>A-33</u> <u>A-34</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti in and around pond ✓ Signage in place
Overall Appearance	Fair <u>A-7 A-18 A-20</u>	 Small amounts of trash or debris in and around the pond
	Poor <u>A-21</u> <u>A-36</u> <u>A-50</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in and around pond ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present
Fence	Good <u>A-10</u> <u>A-60</u>	 ✓ Fence and lock in like-new condition ✓ Fence is closed and locked ✓ No holes or sagging of fence fabric ✓ No gaps in gate or fence greater than 6 inches ✓ Gate is closed and locked ✓ All fence posts present and intact ✓ No detached fence fabric ✓ All barbed wire strands on top of fence are in place, continuous, and unbroken
	Fair <u>A-11</u>	 ✓ Gate loose ✓ Fence sagging, but amount of sagging is less than one-third of original height ✓ Holes in fence fabric, but individual holes less than 2 square feet ✓ Non-consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken ✓ Non-consecutive post(s) have fabric not attached ✓ At least one continuous strand of barbed wire is in place on top of fence, if originally installed and part of original design



Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

	Maintenance Needs
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommendedRemove trash and debris
√ √	Remove trash, graffiti, and debris Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None
~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair cut and sagging fence sections Replace missing or broken fence posts Reattach fabric Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire Repair fence to design condition

	Dry Detention Pond	with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Fence	Poor <u>A-12 A-38 A-55</u>	 Gate open, lock missing, broken, or damaged Top of fence sagging more than one-third its original height Gap(s) in gate or fence greater than 6 inches Holes in fence fabric greater than 2 square feet Two consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken Two consecutive fence post(s) have fabric not attached Less than on continuous strand of barbed wire on top of fence, if part of original design or installation Any other condition not meeting MRP standards
Hydraulic Recovery Cond	ition	
Overall Recovery	Good <u>A-29</u> <u>A-34</u> <u>A-36</u> Fair <u>A-47</u> Poor <u>A-39</u> <u>A-48</u> <u>A-50</u>	 System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe System appears to be recovering more slowly than required Bottom of pond appears slightly wet or has small volume of water remaining during periods of little to no rainfall System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe Bottom of pond has obvious standing water even in periods of little to no rainfall Wetland type vegetation observed growing within pond bottom.
Sedimentation	Good <u>A-25 A-28 A-31</u> Fair <u>A-29 A-35</u>	 No evidence of sedimentation problem observed Sediment and/or vegetation at inlet pipes indicative of sedimentation of pond bottom at inlet pipes, but pond is functioning as designed



	Maintenance Needs
\checkmark	Secure immediately
✓	Repair or replace lock
✓	Repair cut and sagging fence sections
✓	Repair gate or fence gaps
✓	Replace missing or broken fence posts
✓	Reattach fabric
✓	Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire
✓	Other maintenance as needed to meet <u>MRP</u> standards
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
	• Check control structure for blockages
	 Evaluate need to disc or scarify pond bottom
✓	Identify and correct problems preventing pond from recovering
\checkmark	Disc or scarify pond bottom
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Clear sedimentation/ vegetation at pipe opening
	 Find/eliminate source of sedimentation if possible

	Dry Detention Pond	with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Sedimentation	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-33</u>	 Sediment accumulation is evident at or near the inflow pipes throughout pond, with accumulation inside and around pipes indicating excessive sedimentation on pond bottom and reduced pool volume. If pipes are below water surface and not visible, excessive emergent vegetation may be an indication of sedimentation
Vegetation Condition		
	Good <u>A-26 A-31 A-34</u>	 The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained Pond side slopes mowed regularly No nuisance or invasive species present All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth Vegetation complies with water management district requirements
	Fair <u>A-8 A-18 A-25</u>	 ✓ The vegetative cover is in fair condition or requires minor attention ✓ Side slopes need to be mowed ✓ Some invasive or nuisance species are present, but coverage is less than 25% of area ✓ Some vegetation around structures, but pond is functioning as designed
	Poor <u>A-28 A-36 A-50</u>	 The vegetative cover is in poor condition and/or not being maintained Woody vegetation growing in berms Vegetation and the side slopes have become destabilized Large portions of side slopes have little to no vegetative cover Invasive and nuisance species are present and covering more than 25% of area Excessive vegetation present in and around overflow structure
Structural Condition		
Control Structure	Good <u>A-27 A-46 A-47</u>	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ Structure intact with no visible damage ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is less than 25% of the depth of the chamber ✓ Grates present and properly placed with little to no damage ✓ Safety chain present



	Maintenance Needs
~	Remove sediment and re-establish pond bottom to original design grade.
~	None
~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow side slopes Harvest vegetation
✓ ✓ ✓	Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation Remove vegetation from around structural controls Re-establish vegetation where needed Stabilize side slopes Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None

Dry Detention Pond with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 ✓ Accumulation of debris/sediment is more than 25% but less than 50% of the chamber depth
	Fair	✓ Safety chain missing
		✓ Minor damage to structure or grates
		 ✓ Sediment/debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks 25 to 50% of flow area under skimmer
Control Structure		✓ Not functioning as designed
		✓ Collapsed or severely damaged structure
	Poor	 ✓ Excessive trash and debris accumulation, more than 50% of chamber depth
	A-33	✓ Grates missing or significantly damaged
		✓ Excessive leakage at joints
		 ✓ Sediment/debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks more than 50% of flow area under skimmer
		✓ Orifice is undamaged
	Good	✓ Anti-clog device present and undamaged
		✓ No obstructions
	Fair	 ✓ Minor obstruction or damage but still allowing for full recovery
Orifice		 Anti-clog device present with minor damage not affecting function
		✓ Orifice blocked or clogged
	Poor	✓ Anti-clog device missing or damaged
	<u>A-33</u>	
Weir	Good	 No obstructions or debris preventing flow
		 ✓ Structurally sound
		 ✓ Minor damage to structure
	Fair	 ✓ Less than 25% of weir opening is blocked and pond recovery rate is acceptable
	Poor	 Debris, vegetation, or other obstruction is present and inhibiting flow over the weir
		 ✓ More than 25% of weir opening is blocked



	Maintenance Needs
•	 Proactive maintenance recommended Replace safety chain Remove sediment Remove skimmer blockages
\checkmark	Repair structure
\checkmark	Remove trash and debris
\checkmark	Replace grates
✓	Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
\checkmark	Unclog orifice
\checkmark	Replace anti-clog device
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Remove weir blockages Repair damage
\checkmark	Remove weir blockages
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

Dry Detention Pond with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Skimmer	Good <u>A-46</u> <u>A-47</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration ✓ Structurally sound and functioning as designed. Minor damage, e.g., cracks, is acceptable provided function is maintained.
	Fair	 May have minor damage and some deterioration, but structurally sound and functionally adequate
	Poor	✓ Missing, detached, or collapsed
	<u>A-33</u>	✓ Not functioning as designed
	Good	\checkmark Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
	<u>A-6</u> <u>A-43</u>	 ✓ Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Fair	 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length ✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken ✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function
	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-45</u>	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar Flap gates missing or not functioning as intended
	Good	 Groundwater flowing freely to the control structure. System exhibiting good hydraulic performance. Port free of damage, joints intact.
Cleanout Ports	Fair	 Restricted groundwater outflow to the control structure due to partial blockage.
	<u>B-2</u>	 ✓ Minor damage to port and/or joints not affecting performance.



	Maintenance Needs
e, e.g.,	✓ None
ally	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair damage
	 ✓ Replace skimmer ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
gned ite	✓ None
nte n 3 n	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove pipe obstructions Repair structural damage Repair or replace flap gates
wall or re n,	 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
chibiting	✓ None
partial	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Remove blockages ○ Repair damage

	Dry Detention Pond	with Filtration (Underdrain or Side Bank Filter) Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Cleanout Ports	Poor	 ✓ Groundwater flow to control structure is completely blocked. ✓ Port damaged and preventing free flow to the control structure.
Erosion Condition		
	Good <u>A-26</u> <u>A-34</u> <u>A-50</u> Fair <u>A-18</u> <u>A-28</u>	 Some surficial erosion with minor rills present Vegetation on slope generally intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential, stable slope Minimal to no undermining is apparent Minor erosion on pond slopes or berms Minor undermining around headwall, mitered end sections, or control structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure
	Poor <u>A-29</u> <u>A-35</u> <u>A-36</u>	 ✓ Substantial erosion compromising slope and/or berm stability ✓ Large rills and gullies present along slope ✓ Large areas of vegetation on slope have become dislodged and slope is unstable ✓ Undermining of structures is evident



Maintenance Needs

- ✓ Remove obstructions to restore flow to control structure.
- ✓ Repair/replace cleanout port
- ✓ None
- ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended
 - Repair erosion
 - Repair undermining
- \checkmark Stabilize slopes and berm
- ✓ Re-establish vegetation
- ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

4.1.2 Retention Ponds

Dry retention systems are storage areas designed to temporarily store a defined quantity of stormwater runoff, gradually recovering its storage capacity by infiltrating stormwater through the pond bottom to the surficial groundwater aquifer and evaporating water to the atmosphere (Figure 4-19). After drawdown of the stored runoff is completed, the basin does not hold any water, thus the system is dry under normal conditions.

An online dry retention system will typically have the following components:

- An emergency overflow structure that does not include a drawdown device (i.e., orifice or weir notch) since the treatment volume should be recovered through percolation through the pond bottom (<u>Figure 4-20</u> and <u>Figure 4-21</u>).
- 2. The emergency overflow structure may have a broad, usually rectangular, weir opening that is above the pond bottom elevation. This weir opening is generally referred to as the overflow weir and allows for discharge of stormwater runoff during major rainfall events. The emergency overflow structure or emergency spillway usually includes an oil and trash skimmer that helps prevent the discharge of accumulated floatables and oils.
- 3. A grate located at the top of the emergency overflow structure serves as the emergency outfall for a dry retention system. If an emergency overflow structure is not provided, an emergency spillway will be installed in the pond bank to provide flood protection.

The treatment volume is the quantity of stormwater runoff that is permitted to percolate through the pond bottom and into the shallow groundwater aquifer. Dry retention systems are required to drawdown the entire treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe, typically 72 hours. However, some designs may have longer recovery periods in accordance with regulatory criteria. An aerial view of a dry retention system is shown in Figure 4-22, and photos of typical dry retention systems in good condition are shown in Figure 4-23.





Figure 4-19. Typical Dry Retention System Schematic (Not to scale) Return to List of Figures



Figure 4-20. Typical Dry Retention Pond Control Structure – Isometric View (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>





Figure 4-21. Typical Dry Retention Pond Control Structure – Section view (Not to scale) <u>Return to List of Figures</u>





Figure 4-22. Typical Dry Retention Pond Return to List of Figures





Figure 4-23. Typical Dry Retention Ponds in Good Condition Return to List of Figures



		Dry Retention Pond Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Aesthetics Condition		
	Good <u>A-27 A-31 A-32</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti in and around pond ✓ Signage in place
Overall Appearance	Fair <u>A-18 A-20 A-25</u>	 Small amounts of trash or debris in and around the pond
	Poor <u>A-35</u> <u>A-49</u> <u>A-58</u>	 ✓ Side slopes are not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in and around pond ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present
Fence	Good <u>A-10</u> <u>A-60</u>	 ✓ Fence and lock in like-new condition ✓ Fence is closed and locked ✓ No holes or sagging of fence fabric ✓ No gaps in gate or fence greater than 6 inches ✓ Gate is closed and locked ✓ All fence posts present and intact ✓ No detached fence fabric ✓ All barbed wire strands on top of fence are in place, continuous, and unbroken
	Fair <u>A-11</u>	 ✓ Gate loose ✓ Fence sagging, but amount of sagging is less than one-third of original height ✓ Holes in fence fabric, but individual holes less than 2 square feet ✓ Non-consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken ✓ Non-consecutive post(s) have fabric not attached ✓ At least one continuous strand of barbed wire is in place on top of fence originally installed and part of original design



		Maintenance Needs
	~	None
	~	Proactive maintenance recommended o Remove trash and debris
	✓ ✓	Remove trash, graffiti, and debris Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	~	None
e, if	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair cut and sagging fence sections Replace missing or broken fence posts Reattach fabric Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire
		• Repair fence to design condition

Dry Retention Pond Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Fence	Poor <u>A-12 A-13 A-53</u>	 Gate open, lock missing, broken, or damaged Top of fence sagging more than one-third its original height Gap(s) in gate or fence greater than 6 inches Holes in fence fabric greater than 2 square feet Two consecutive fence post(s) missing or broken Two consecutive fence post(s) have fabric not attached Less than on continuous strand of barbed wire on top of fence, if part of original design or installation Any other condition not meeting <u>MRP</u> standards 	 Secure immediately Repair or replace lock Repair cut and sagging fence sections Repair gate or fence gaps Replace missing or broken fence posts Reattach fabric Replace broken strand(s) of barbed wire Other maintenance as needed to meet <u>MRP</u> standards
Hydraulic Recovery Condi	ition		
Overall Recovery	Good <u>A-18</u> <u>A-25</u> <u>A-27</u>	 ✓ System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe 	✓ None
	Fair <u>A-47</u>	 System appears to be recovering more slowly than required Bottom of pond appears slightly wet or has small volume of water remaining during periods of little to no rainfall 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Check control structure for blockages Evaluate need to disc or scarify pond bottom
	Poor <u>A-48</u> <u>A-49</u> <u>A-50</u>	 ✓ System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe ✓ Bottom of pond has obvious standing water even in periods of little to no rainfall 	 ✓ Identify and correct problems preventing pond from recovering ✓ Disc or scarify pond bottom ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Sedimentation	Good <u>A-26</u> <u>A-27</u> <u>A-31</u>	✓ No evidence of sedimentation problem observed	✓ None



	✓	None
ining	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Check control structure for blockages Evaluate need to disc or scarify pond bottom
0	✓ ✓ ✓	Identify and correct problems preventing pond from recovering Disc or scarify pond bottom Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	None

		Dry Retention Pond Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Sedimentation	Fair <u>A-29</u> <u>A-35</u>	✓ Sediment and/or vegetation at inlet pipes indicative of sedimentation of pond bottom at inlet pipes, but pond is functioning as designed
	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-33</u>	 Sediment accumulation is evident at or near the inflow pipes throughour pond, with accumulation inside and around pipes indicating excessive sedimentation on pond bottom and reduced pool volume. If pipes are below water surface and not visible, excessive emergent vegetation may be an indication of sedimentation
Vegetation Condition		
	Good <u>A-27</u> <u>A-32</u> <u>A-34</u>	 The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained Pond side slopes mowed regularly No nuisance or invasive species present All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth Vegetation complies with water management district requirements
	Fair <u>A-18</u> <u>A-20</u> <u>A-30</u>	 The vegetative cover is in fair condition or requires minor attention Side slopes need to be mowed Some invasive or nuisance species are present, but coverage is less th 25% of area Some vegetation around structures, but pond is functioning as designed
	Poor <u>A-35</u> <u>A-47</u> <u>A-49</u>	 The vegetative cover is in poor condition and/or not being maintained Woody vegetation growing in berms Vegetation and the side slopes have become destabilized Large portions of side slopes have little to no vegetative cover Invasive and nuisance species are present and covering more than 25% area Excessive vegetation present in and around overflow structure



	Maintenance Needs	
	 Proactive maintenance recommended Clear sedimentation/vegetation at pipe opening Find/eliminate source of sedimentation if possible 	
t	 Remove sediment and re-establish pond bottom to original design grade. 	
	✓ None	
an	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow side slopes Harvest vegetation 	
k		

	~	Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation
	✓	Remove vegetation from around structural controls
% of	✓	Re-establish vegetation where needed
	✓	Stabilize side slopes
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

		Dry Retention Pond Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Structural Condition		
Emergency Overflow Structure	Good <u>A-27 A-31 A-32</u>	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ Structure intact with no visible damage ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is less than 25% of the depth of the chamber ✓ Grates present and properly placed with little to no damage ✓ Safety chain present
	Fair	 ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is more than 25% but less than 50% the chamber depth ✓ Safety chain missing ✓ Minor damage to structure or grates ✓ Sediment or debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks 25 to 56 of flow area under skimmer
	Poor <u>A-33</u>	 Not functioning as designed Collapsed or severely damaged structure Excessive trash and debris accumulation, more than 50% of chamber of Grates missing or significantly damaged Excessive leakage at joints Sediment or debris accumulation under skimmer bottom blocks more the 50% of flow area under skimmer
Overflow Weir	Good <u>APP-6</u>	 ✓ No obstructions or debris preventing flow ✓ Structurally sound
	Fair	 ✓ Minor damage to structure ✓ Less than 25% of weir opening is blocked and pond recovery rate is acceptable
	Poor	 ✓ Debris, vegetation, or other obstruction is present and inhibiting flow ov the weir ✓ More than 25% of weir opening is blocked



		Maintenance Needs
	-	
	~	None
6 of)%	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Replace safety chain Remove sediment Remove vegetation blocking skimmer Repair damage
epth an	 <	Repair structure Remove trash and debris Replace grates Remove sediment under skimmer to design clearance Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove weir blockages Repair damage
er	✓ ✓	Remove weir blockages Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

		Dry Retention Pond Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Good <u>A-30</u> <u>A-31</u> <u>A-32</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration ✓ Structurally sound and functioning as designed. Minor damage, e.g., crassis acceptable provided function is maintained.
Skimmer	Fair	 May have minor damage and some deterioration, but structurally sound functionally adequate
	Poor <u>A-33</u>	 ✓ Missing, detached, or collapsed ✓ Not functioning as designed
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Good <u>A-6</u> <u>A-42</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed ✓ Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
	Fair	 ✓ 25 to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate convey ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length ✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken ✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function
	Poor <u>A-7 A-8 A-40</u>	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pip More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or hexposed rebar Flap gates missing or not functioning as intended



		Maintenance Needs
acks,	~	None
and	~	Proactive maintenance recommended o Repair damage to skimmer
	✓ ✓	Replace skimmer Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	>	None
ance	~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove pipe obstructions Repair structural damage Repair or replace flap gates
nas	 ✓ ✓ 	Repair or replace damaged components Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

Dry Retention Pond Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Erosion Condition			
	Good <u>A-27</u> <u>A-34</u> <u>A-49</u>	 Some surficial erosion with minor rills present Vegetation on slope generally intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential, stable slope Minimal to no undermining is apparent 	✓ None
	Fair <u>A-18</u> <u>A-28</u> <u>A-32</u>	 Minor erosion on pond slopes or berms Minor undermining around headwall, mitered end sections, or control structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure 	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair erosion ○ Repair undermining
	Poor <u>A-29</u> <u>A-35</u> <u>A-36</u>	 Substantial erosion compromising slope and/or berm stability Large rills and gullies present along slope Large areas of vegetation on slope have become dislodged and slope is unstable Undermining of structures is evident 	 ✓ Stabilize slopes and berm ✓ Re-establish vegetation ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies



Examples of Wet and Dry Pond Rating Criteria





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - Chamber Good No accumulation of debris or vegetation, no visible damage
 - Orifice Good No obstructions restricting flow, structurally undamaged
 - Skimmer Good No damage or deterioration, less than 25 percent of opening is obstructed by vegetation or debris
 - Weir Good No obstructions or debris preventing flow, structurally sound
- Pipes Good
 - No obstructions, no structural damage
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - Permanent pool below control (orifice)
 - Staining near top of orifice indicates slow, but steady drawdown during recovery

Maintenance Needs

None

Return to Rating Table: Wet Detention Dry Retention Dry Detention w/o Filtration Dry Detention with Filtration





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - Skimmer Good No damage or deterioration, no obstructions
 - Chamber Good Grate undamaged and safety chain in place
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Excessive vegetation on side slopes

Maintenance Needs

• Mow pond area



A-3



Rating Summary

- Control Structure Fair
 - Chamber– Fair Vegetation present in chamber, but coverage is less than 25 percent
 - o Orifice Good Observed flowing freely change to flowing orifice
 - Skimmer Good No damage or deterioration, some vegetation, but less than 25 percent of opening is obstructed
 - \circ Grate NA As-built shows grate is not required for this structure
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - Water is discharging freely from orifice indicating steady drawdown during recovery
- Vegetation Fair
 - Excessive vegetation on side slopes

Maintenance Needs

- Remove vegetation from structure chamber
- Mow pond area





Rating Summary

- Overall Control Structure Poor
 - Chamber Poor Grate is missing
 - Skimmer Poor Skimmer is broken and detached

Maintenance Needs

- Replace missing grate
- Replace/repair damaged skimmer





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Fair
 - o Orifice Fair Threaded cap for bleed-down orifice cleanout is missing
 - \circ Weir Good Free of obstructions
 - Skimmer Fair Opening less than 50 percent obstructed by vegetation

Maintenance Needs

- Replace threaded cap
- Clear vegetation from inside and around skimmer



Inflow Pipe (Dry Pond)





Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Good
 - No significant cracks or undermining observed
 - Sedimentation observed, but less than 25 percent of pipe is obstructed
 - o Pipe appears to be functioning as designed
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Woody vegetation growing in pond bottom

Maintenance Needs

- Remove woody vegetation from pond bottom
- Remove trash and debris
- Mow pond area



A-7

Inflow Pipe (Dry Pond)



Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Poor
 - More than 40 percent of pipe opening is obstructed
- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - Small amounts of trash present
- Sedimentation Poor
 - o Sediment accumulation is present
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Vegetative cover is in fair condition
 - Side slopes need to be mowed

Maintenance Needs

- Remove sediment and vegetation from the inflow pipe opening
- Remove trash
- Mow pond area



A-8

Inflow Pipe (Dry Pond)



Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Poor
 - More than 40 percent of pipe opening is obstructed
 - Crack in mitered end section
- Sedimentation Poor
 - Sediment accumulation within inflow pipe is evident
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Excess vegetation within inflow pipe
 - Vegetation in pond bottom needs to be mowed

Maintenance Needs

- Remove sediment and vegetation accumulation from pipe opening
- Check pipe for damage and document if additional action needed
- Repair mitered end section
- Mow pond area



A-9

Wet Detention Pond



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - \circ $\,$ Slopes are mowed $\,$
 - No trash visible
 - Vegetative cover is intact, i.e., no bare spots
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Slope vegetation is fully intact
 - No rills or gullies observed
- Water Condition Good
 - o Water is clear and free of any surface sheen
 - No water vegetation
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetative cover is well maintained and in good condition
 - Side slopes are mowed
 - No nuisance or invasive vegetation is present
 - Control structure is visible and clear of vegetative growth

Maintenance Needs

None



Pond Fence



Rating Summary

- Fence Good
 - Sections and posts like new with no damage

Maintenance Needs

• None



A-11



Rating Summary

- Overall Appearance Fair
 - Fence is sagging but the sag is not more than one-third of original height
 - Side slopes are maintained
- Sedimentation Fair
 - o Excess sediment on weir allowing vegetation to grow
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Vegetation blocking skimmer affects system performance
- Control Structure Poor
 - Skimmer Poor
 - Skimmer opening more than 50% blocked by vegetation
 - o Weir Fair
 - o Sediment allowing vegetative growth on weir, but still functioning as designed
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Side slopes stable, no erosion evident

Maintenance Needs

- Remove vegetation blocking skimmer
- Clear weir of vegetation and sediment
- Reset fence posts


Pond Fence



Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - \circ $\,$ Fence fabric is detached from consecutive fence posts $\,$
 - o Opening in fence is greater than 2 square feet
 - \circ Fence post is damaged
 - o Site is not secure

Maintenance Needs

- Secure site immediately
- Reattach sagging fence section
- Reset fence post or replace if damaged



Pond Fence



Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - o Lock and chain missing
 - Gap in gate is greater than 6 inches
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - o Excessive vegetation on side slopes and along fence line

Maintenance Needs

- Install chain and lock on gate
- Re-seat, repair, or replace gate to eliminate gap
- Mow pond area





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Poor
 - Orifice Poor Orifice is clogged with debris
 - Skimmer Fair Vegetation blocking more than 25 percent but less than 50 percent of opening and is intruding into structure chamber
 - Chamber Poor Grate is missing
- Hydraulic Recovery Likely poor due to blocked orifice

Maintenance Needs

- Remove debris from orifice
- Replace missing grate
- Clear vegetation from skimmer





Rating Summary

- Overall Appearance Poor
 - Excessive vegetation
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - o Woody vegetation present around control structure
- Control Structure Poor
 - Weir opening is blocked by vegetation

Maintenance Needs

• Remove vegetation blocking weir



A-16



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
- Water Condition Poor
 - Evidence of illicit discharge

Maintenance Needs

- Find and eliminate illicit discharge
- Contact District NPDES Coordinator to report an illicit discharge



A-17



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Water is turbid and discolored
 - Banks maintained and mowed regularly
 - $\circ~$ Free of trash and debris
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No rills, gullies, or bare spots
- Water Condition Poor
 - Water is dark and turbid
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - o Vegetative cover is well maintained
 - Slopes mowed regularly
 - No invasive or nuisance species

Maintenance Needs

- Investigate and address cause of turbid water
- Contact District NPDES Coordinator to report an illicit discharge



A-18

Dry Pond



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - $\circ~$ Bare areas on side slopes and within pond
 - No debris or trash present
- Erosion Condition Fair
 - Areas of bare soil observed within the pond and along the side slopes
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - System dry, indicating the system is properly recovering
- Sedimentation Good
 - No evidence of sedimentation problems observed.
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Excessive vegetation growth observed within the pond bottom and along the side slopes

Maintenance Needs

- Re-establish turf/grass
- Mow pond area





Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Pipes corroded
 - o Erosion at outfall pipe and adjacent to endwall
 - Excessive vegetation in and around pond
- Erosion Condition Poor
 - Flow is bypassing endwall due to erosion
 - Erosion undermining pipe
- Sedimentation Condition Fair
 - Emergent vegetation in pond
- Pipes Poor
 - Pipes corroded
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Emergent vegetation in pond
 - o Excessive vegetation around pond

Maintenance Needs

- Replace pipe
- Restore and repair eroded areas to original design condition
- Re-establish original grade around endwall
- Remove vegetation and accumulated sediment in pond
- Mow pond area



Pond Berm and Slope



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - Minor amounts of trash
 - Needs to be mowed
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Needs to be mowed

Maintenance Needs

- Properly dispose of trash and debris
- Mow pond area



Pond Access Road



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - o Large amounts of trash and debris
 - \circ $\,$ Needs to be mowed $\,$

Maintenance Needs

- Properly dispose of trash and debris
- Mow pond area



A-22



Rating Summary

- Control Structure Fair
 - Skimmer Fair More than 25 percent of opening is obstructed by vegetation
 - o Grate Good Free of damage, safety chain in place
- Vegetation Fair
 - Excessive vegetation around structure

Maintenance Needs

- Remove vegetation from skimmer
- Remove vegetation around structure



A-23



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - Excess vegetation on side slopes
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Slope vegetation is fully intact
 - No rills or gullies observed
- Water Condition Good
 - o Water is clear and free of any surface sheen
 - No water vegetation
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Excess vegetation on side slopes
 - Nuisance vegetation present in pond

Maintenance Needs

- Mow pond area
- Remove nuisance vegetation



A-24



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - \circ Slopes are mowed
 - o No trash visible
 - Vegetative cover is intact, i.e., no bare spots
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Slope vegetation is fully intact
 - No rills or gullies observed
- Water Condition Good
 - Water is clear and free of any surface sheen
 - No water vegetation
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetative cover is well maintained and in good condition
 - Side slopes are mowed
 - o No nuisance or invasive vegetation is present
 - o Control structure is visible and clear of vegetative growth

Maintenance Needs

None



A-25





Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - \circ No debris or trash present
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - System dry, indicating the system is properly recovering
- Sedimentation Good
 - \circ No evidence of sedimentation problems observed
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - Excessive vegetation growth observed within the pond bottom and along the side slopes

Maintenance Needs

- Remove palm tree from pond bottom
- Mow pond area



A-26



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes are well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - o No evidence of sedimentation problems observed
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetation cover intact, with no bare areas
 - Pond is mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

None



A-27



Rating Summary

- Control Structures Good
 - o No visible damage
 - Free of vegetation growth
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - o No debris or trash present
 - o Side slopes are well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - No evidence of sedimentation problems observed
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetation cover intact, with no bare areas
 - o Pond is mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

None



A-28



Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - No visible damage
 - Free of vegetation growth
- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Debris and trash present
 - Side slopes have areas of bare soil
- Erosion Condition Fair
 - Erosion present on side slopes
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - No evidence of sedimentation problems observed
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Vegetation on the side slopes has become destabilized

Maintenance Needs

- Stabilize bare areas with vegetation
- Remove trash from pond bottom



A-29



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - o Side slopes have areas of bare soil
- Erosion Condition Poor
 - Erosion present that may compromise slope stability
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume.
- Sedimentation Fair
 - Sedimentation to pond bottom present as a result of erosion on slopes.
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Vegetation on the side slopes has become destabilized
 - o Pond does not appear to be mowed regularly
 - Woody vegetation present within pond bottom and side slopes

Maintenance Needs

- Remove pine trees from pond
- Mow pond area
- Regrade side slopes to original design and re-establish turf/grass
- Remove sedimentation that has accumulated in the pond bottom





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - o Skimmer present and intact
 - o Grate present
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Fair
 - o Excessive vegetation growth present around control structure
 - Pond does not appear to be mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

- Remove excess vegetation from around control structure
- Mow pond area





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - No visible damage
 - No sediment or vegetation accumulation in or around structure
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No debris or trash present
 - o Side slopes well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - No evidence of sediment accumulation
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - \circ Vegetation cover is intact with no bare areas
 - Pond is mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

None





Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - o No sediment or vegetation accumulation in or around structure
 - o Skimmer and grate are present and intact
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes well maintained
- Erosion Condition Fair
 - Minor undermining present around concrete slab but not sufficient to cause structural damage
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - No evidence of sediment accumulation
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetation cover intact with no bare areas
 - Pond is mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

• Fill, grade, and stabilize undermining present around concrete slab







Rating Summary

- Control Structure Poor
 - Skimmer damaged and not functioning
 - o Grate present but safety chain is missing
 - o Orifice is blocked
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes are well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume.
- Sedimentation Poor
 - Sediment accumulation appears to be blocking the orifice.
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Woody vegetation growth present

Maintenance Needs

- Install new skimmer per original design
- Clear sediment and vegetation from around structure
- Install safety chain
- Remove woody vegetation



A-34



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - o No debris or trash present
 - o Side slopes well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No erosion on side slopes observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Good
 - o No evidence of sedimentation problems observed
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetation cover is intact with no bare areas
 - Pond is mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

None







Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Side slopes not well maintained
- Erosion Condition Poor
 - o Substantial erosion present
 - Large gullies present along slope
 - o Slope stability compromised
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Fair
 - Sedimentation to pond bottom from slope erosion
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - o Vegetative cover poor, with bare areas present

Maintenance Needs

- Regrade and fill areas where slope erosion is present
- Re-establish turf/grass
- Remove accumulated sediment build up from pond bottom



A-36



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - o Side slopes not well maintained
- Erosion Condition Poor
 - o Substantial erosion present
 - o Gullies present along slope
 - Slope stability has been compromised
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Vegetative cover is poor with significant bare areas present

Maintenance Needs

- Fill and regrade side slope to original design
- Re-establish turf/grass



Pond Fence





Rating Summary

- Fence Condition Poor
 - Gate open and no longer secure
 - Chain and lock missing
 - \circ Fence gate has significant damage with gap greater than 6 inches
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Woody vegetation present within pond bottom.

Maintenance Needs

- Repair or replace damaged access gate
- Install lock and chain
- Secure access immediately
- Remove woody vegetation from pond bottom



Pond Fence



Rating Summary

- Fence Condition Poor
 - Fence fabric has become detached and is sagging greater than 1/3 its original height
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Bare soil present on berm
 - Fallen tree branch on fence

Maintenance Needs

- Reattach or repair fence fabric
- Re-establish turf/grass
- Remove fallen tree branch from fence





Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - \circ Excess vegetation on side slopes and within pond bottom
 - Vegetation growth around control structure
- Hydraulic Recovery Poor
 - o System does not appear to be fully recovering its treatment volume
 - o Cattails in pond bottom indicate prolonged presence of water
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Side slopes need to be mowed
 - o Vegetation around control structure present

Maintenance Needs

- Remove excess vegetation from pond bottom and from around control structure
- Scarify/scrape pond bottom or regrade to original design elevation
- Mow pond area





Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Poor
 - \circ Woody vegetation growth with more than 40 percent of pipe obstructed
 - o No significant cracks or undermining observed
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Excess vegetation present in and around inflow pipe

Maintenance Needs

• Remove excess vegetation from in and around inflow pipe





Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Good
 - Like-new condition
 - No significant cracks or undermining observed
 - o Pipe is open and no obstructions observed
 - Pipe appears to be functioning as designed
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No trash and debris present
 - \circ Side slopes are regularly mowed and well maintained
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained

Maintenance Needs

None





Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Good
 - Like-new condition
 - No significant cracks or undermining observed
 - Pipe is open and no obstructions observed
 - Pipe appears to be functioning as designed
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No trash or debris present
 - o Side slopes are regularly mowed and well maintained
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained

Maintenance Needs

None



A-43



Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Good
 - Like-new condition
 - No significant cracks or undermining observed
 - Pipe is open and no obstructions observed
 - Pipe appears to be functioning as designed
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - o Side slopes are well maintained and regularly mowed
 - \circ No trash and debris present
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - o Vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained

Maintenance Needs

None





Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Poor
 - o More than 40 percent of pipe opening is obstructed
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - \circ No trash or debris present
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - \circ $\;$ Vegetative cover is in good condition and recently mowed

Maintenance Needs

- Remove sediment accumulation from pipe
- Re-establish grade to original design



A-45



Rating Summary

- Inflow and Outflow Pipes Poor
 - More than 40 percent of pipe opening is obstructed
- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - o Minor amounts of trash and debris present
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - \circ $\,$ Vegetative cover is in good condition and recently mowed

Maintenance Needs

- Remove sediment accumulation from pipe
- Re-establish grade to original design
- Remove trash and debris



A-46



Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - o Skimmer present and intact
 - o Grate and safety chain present
- Aesthetic Condition Good
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes are well maintained
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o System dry, indicating the system is recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Pond appears to be mowed regularly

Maintenance Needs

• None







Rating Summary

- Control Structure Good
 - Skimmer present and intact
 - Grate is present
- Hydraulic Recovery Fair
 - System mostly dry
 - Small amount of cattail present indicates the system may not be fully recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Excess vegetation growth present around control structure and within the pond bottom.

Maintenance Needs

- Remove excess vegetation from around control structure
- Remove excess vegetation from the pond bottom


Dry Pond

A-48



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Excess vegetation in pond
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes are regularly mowed
 - Fence is in good condition
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Poor
 - The presence of cattail throughout the pond bottom indicates the system may not be fully recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Woody vegetation present within the pond bottom.
 - Excess vegetation growth present within the pond bottom

Maintenance Needs

- Remove excess vegetation from the pond bottom
- Scarify pond bottom to allow full recovery of treatment volume

Return to Rating Table: Wet Detention Dry Retention Dry Detention w/o Filtration Dry Detention with Filtration



Dry Pond

A-49



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - o Excess vegetation in pond
 - o No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes are regularly mowed
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Recovery Poor
 - Excess, woody vegetation inhibits recovery
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - Woody vegetation present within the pond bottom.
 - Excess vegetation growth present within the pond bottom

Maintenance Needs

• Remove excess vegetation from the pond bottom.



A-50

Dry Pond



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - o Excess vegetation in pond
 - No debris or trash present
 - Side slopes need to be mowed
 - Fence is in good condition
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No slope erosion or rills observed
- Hydraulic Condition Poor
 - Standing water indicates the system is not fully recovering its treatment volume
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - \circ Excess vegetation growth present within the pond bottom

Maintenance Needs

- Remove excess vegetation from the pond bottom
- Scrape/scarify pond bottom



Wet Detention Pond

A-51



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Healthy, complete vegetative cover
- Vegetation Fair
 - \circ Side banks need to be mowed
- Water Condition Good
 - \circ $\,$ Water is clear, free of trash, vegetation, and visible sheens

Maintenance Needs

• Mow pond area



Wet Detention Pond

A-52



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Good
- Erosion Condition Good
 - Healthy vegetative cover with no bare spots
- Water Condition Good
 - Water is clear, free of trash, vegetation, and visible sheens
- Vegetation Good
 - Vegetation is well maintained and recently mowed
 - o Complete vegetative cover with no bare spots

Maintenance Needs

None





Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - o Cut section is greater than 2 square feet
 - o Fabric is detached from fence post
 - Site is no longer secure

Maintenance Needs

- Secure site immediately
- Repair fence fabric
- Reattach fabric to fence post



A-54



Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - Fence fabric is detached from consecutive fence posts
 - o Opening at sagging fence section is greater than one-third original fence height
 - o Site is not secure

Maintenance Needs

- Secure site immediately
- Reattach sagging sections to fence posts and restore to original height
- Reset leaning post





Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - Downed tree on fence
 - Cut section in fence is greater than 2 square feet
 - Opening at cut section is greater than one-third original fence height
 - Site is not secure

Maintenance Needs

- Secure site immediately
- Remove tree
- Replace damaged fence section





Rating Summary

- Fence Poor
 - o Consecutive broken and damaged fence posts
 - o Opening at sagging fence section is greater than one-third original fence height
 - o Site is not secure

Maintenance Needs

- Secure site immediately
- Replace damaged fence posts
- Reattach sagging fence section and restore to original height



Wet Detention Pond



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Fair
 - Debris on slope
- Water Condition Good
 - o Minor amount of floating vegetation present

Maintenance Needs

- Remove large piece of debris
- Mow pond area



Pond Access Road



Rating Summary

- Aesthetic Condition Poor
 - Large amounts of trash and debris
 - Access road vegetation is overgrown

Maintenance Needs

- Properly dispose of trash and debris
- Mow access road



A-59



Wet Detention Pond



- Control Structure Fair
 - $\circ~$ Chamber Fair Grate partially blocked by vegetation and debris
 - Orifice Fair Discharging, but unclear if flowing at full capacity; vegetation growing in front of orifice

Maintenance Needs

- Remove vegetation and debris
- Check for vegetation obstructing flow through orifice and clear if necessary



A-60



Rating Summary

- Fence Good
 - Sections and posts like new with no damage
 - o Strands of barbed wire continuous and unbroken

Maintenance Needs

None



4.2 Linear Treatment and Conveyance Systems

4.2.1 Linear Treatment

4.2.1.1 Ditch Blocks and Swales

As a broad category, Linear Swale and Ditch Treatment Systems are vegetated, roadparallel retention or detention areas intended to provide water quality treatment for roadway runoff. They often include structural components such as ditch blocks or overflow structures. Filtration to improve water quality treatment may also be incorporated. When filtration is provided, cleanout ports allow for maintenance of the filtration system. Cleanouts can be located on the swale bottom or on the side slopes. Inspectors should check the as-built drawings to confirm the number and locations of cleanouts. Both retention and detention systems are typically designed to drawdown the entire treatment volume in no more than 72 hours after a rainfall event. For purposes of this guide, rating criteria are provided for nine of the most common linear treatment systems, including seven ditch block configurations.

Ditch block systems are linear treatment systems that consist of a series of roadperpendicular raised berms separated by vegetated treatment swales running parallel to the road. Ditch block systems can be wet or dry depending on the design. Under normal design conditions, water is not discharged over the top of the ditch block, however, for larger storms, the ditch block serves as an emergency overflow. The height of ditch blocks varies considerably depending upon the specific site conditions and application. Design heights can range from 6 inches to 4 feet.

Stormwater discharged to a dry *retention* ditch block system slowly percolates through permeable soils into the shallow groundwater aquifer. Under typical designs, the treatment volume is not discharged downstream of the system. The treatment volume is the storage that exists between swale bottom and the top of the ditch block.

Dry *detention* ditch block systems differ from retention systems in that they include a weir or orifice in the ditch block structure through which the treatment volume is discharged slowly offsite or downstream. Additional recovery is achieved by percolation through



permeable soils into the shallow groundwater aquifer. The treatment volume is the storage that exists between swale bottom and the weir or orifice elevation.

Linear treatment systems vary considerably in terms of design and construction material. Permutations beyond the nine types presented here may exist, however, inspection of those systems can be accomplished by rating the applicable features from two or more of the nine categories. Additional details of the nine types of linear treatment systems included in the rating table are provided below and in Figures 4-24 through 4-32.



 A Simple Treatment Swale/Ditch is a vegetated roadside system that is dry under normal conditions but receives water from roadway runoff during rainfall events. Runoff can be via sheet flow or routed through an inflow pipe. These systems can be open or closed, but do not include supplemental structures to control or regulate discharge.





Figure 4-24. Simple Treatment Swale Return to List of Figures



2. A Treatment Swale with Control Structure, with or without Filtration is a dry retention system with an emergency overflow control structure. The elevation of the outfall control structure determines the depth of retention and treatment volume of the swale. The dry retention area is often underlain with perforated drainage pipe that collects and conveys percolated stormwater to the control structure. Underdrain systems are intended to control the groundwater table elevation over the entire area of the treatment basin and provide for the drawdown of the treatment volume. Cleanout ports are provided for maintenance of the filtration system.





Figure 4-25. Treatment Swale with Control Structure *Return to List of Figures*



3. In a Concrete Ditch Block system, the ditch block structures are overlain by a layer of concrete. These are retention systems that are designed to hold water during typical storm conditions. During more severe storms, water may discharge over the top of the concrete berm.





Figure 4-26. Concrete Ditch Block Return to List of Figures



4. A **Concrete Ditch Block with Orifice and Sump** includes a concrete berm with a pipe through the berm to slowly draw down the stormwater. Depressed areas, or sumps, at the base of the berm trap sediment to help prevent clogging of the orifice pipe. These are detention systems because they are designed to recover through slow discharge offsite and not complete onsite retention.





Figure 4-27. Concrete Ditch Block with Orifice and Sump Return to List of Figures



A Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer is a concrete berm that includes a
 skimmer to help prevent the discharge of trash and oils over the top of the berm under emergency overflow conditions.





Figure 4-28. Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer <u>Return to List of Figures</u>



6. An **Earthen Ditch Block** is a berm constructed entirely of soil, overlain and protected by turf grass. These are retention systems that are designed to hold water during typical storm conditions. During more severe storms, water may discharge over the top of the earthen berm. Earthen ditch block systems are typically used in flat terrain.



Figure 4-29. Earthen Ditch Block Return to List of Figures



7. An **Earthen Ditch Block with Concrete Core** is an earthen berm reinforced internally with a vertical concrete core at its center. The top of the core is typically flush with the ground. Systems are no longer designed with this configuration because they are susceptible to washout and damage from mowers. However, they used to be common and are found frequently in the field.







8. An **Earthen or Concrete Ditch Block with Concrete Weir** is a detention system where the drawdown device is a concrete weir. The berm can be earthen, but the weir is protected from washout by a layer of concrete. Other ditch block/weir systems consist of a weir cut into a concrete ditch block.





Figure 4-31. Earthen or Concrete Ditch Block with Concrete Weir <u>Return to List of Figures</u>



9 An Earthen Berm/ Concrete Discharge Ditch Block is a retention system . that is vegetated on the upstream side and protected by a concrete apron on the downstream or discharge side. Under normal design conditions, the system does not discharge, but for larger storms, the concrete apron protects the downstream side from washout.





Figure 4-32. Earthen Berm/Concrete Discharge Ditch Block Return to List of Figures



	Treatment Swales an	d Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Aesthetics Condition		
	Good <u>B-3 B-4 B-10</u>	 ✓ Swale slopes and vegetated berm are well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ All structures are intact with no structural deficiency ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti
	Fair <u>B-6</u>	 ✓ Swale or berm need to be mowed ✓ Small amounts of trash or debris present
	Poor <u>B-7</u>	 ✓ Swale and vegetated berm not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in swale bottom or along berm ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present
Hydraulic Recovery Cond	lition	
	Good <u>B-1 B-4 B-5</u>	 ✓ System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe ✓ No sedimentation inhibiting infiltration ✓ No blockage of bleed-down device evident, if applicable ✓ No aquatic vegetation
Overall Recovery	Fair <u>B-7</u> <u>APP-9</u>	 ✓ System appears to be recovering more slowly than designed ✓ Partial blockage of weir or bleed-down device (if applicable) is slowing recovery ✓ Minimal aquatic vegetation
	Poor <u>B-6</u>	 System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe Compacted sediment preventing proper infiltration Excess aquatic vegetation growth observed within swale bottom Bleed-down device (if applicable) is blocked
Sedimentation	Good <u>B-1 B-9 B-11</u>	✓ No evidence of sedimentation problem observed



	Maintenance Needs
\checkmark	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Mow swale or berm
	 Remove trash and debris
✓	Remove trash, graffiti, and debris
✓	Mow slopes and berm
~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
С	weir or bleed-down orifice (if
	applicable)
<u> </u>	Identify and correct problems preventing
•	pond from recovering
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓	Scrape out excess sediment and aquatic vegetation from swale bottom.
\checkmark	None

	Treatment Swales ar	nd Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Fair	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
Sedimentation	Poor <u>B-6</u>	 ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
Vegetation Condition		
	Good <u>B-2</u> <u>B-3</u> <u>B-11</u> Fair <u>B-4</u> <u>B-8</u> <u>APP-10</u>	 No unwanted vegetation in swale The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained Swale area mowed regularly No nuisance or invasive species present All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth Vegetation complies with water management district requirements The vegetative cover is in fair condition or requires minor attention Minor areas of dead vegetation or bare soil observed Swale area needs to be mowed Some vegetation around structures but functioning as designed and not inhibiting flow or hydraulic recovery function Some invasive vegetation present but covering less than 25% of area
	Poor <u>B-6</u> <u>B-7</u>	 The vegetative cover is in poor condition and/or not being maintained Woody vegetation growing in swale bottom or along berms Vegetation and swale slopes have become destabilized and sedimentation is affecting function Large portions of swale have little to no vegetative cover Invasive and nuisance species are present and covering more than 25% of area Excessive vegetation on ditch block or inflow and outflow structures such that it may inhibit system recovery of hydraulic function



Maintenance Needs
 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove accumulated sediment Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
 ✓ Remove accumulated sediment to re- establish swale bottom to original design grade ✓ Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
✓ None
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation ○ Mow side slopes ○ Harvest vegetation ○ Re-establish turf/grass where needed
 Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation Remove vegetation from around structural controls Re-establish turf/grass where needed Stabilize slopes Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

	Treatment Swa	ales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Structural Condition		
	Good <u>B-1</u>	 ✓ No erosion of slopes, vegetation intact ✓ Slopes mowed and maintained ✓ System recovers treatment volume ✓ No sediment accumulation
Simple Treatment Swale/Ditch	Fair	 ✓ Minor erosion or missing vegetation, but functioning as designed ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the design depth ✓ System recovers treatment volume
(Treatment System 1 in Description Text)	Poor	 ✓ Significant slope erosion and/or missing vegetation ✓ Swale and vegetated berm not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in swale bottom or along berm and side slopes ✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the design depth ✓ System not recovering treatment volume
Treatment Swale with Control Structure, with or without Filtration (Treatment System 2 in Description Text)	Good <u>B-3</u> <u>B-4</u>	 ✓ Control structure like new and functioning as designed ✓ Control structure intact with no visible damage ✓ Accumulation of debris or sediment is less than 25% the depth of the control structure chamber ✓ Grates present and properly placed with little to no damage ✓ Safety chain present ✓ Systems with filtration, underdrains flowing freely to the control structure. System exhibiting good hydraulic performance. ✓ Cleanout port free of damage, joints intact



Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

	Maintenance Needs
-	
✓	None
\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
	 Re-establish turf/grass where needed
~	Remove excess sediment
\checkmark	Remove trash
✓	Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
\checkmark	Regrade swale to design elevation
\checkmark	Re-establish turf/grass
✓	Mow slopes
~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None

Treatment Swales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 ✓ Minor damage to structure or grates, but more than 90% of control structure is functioning as designed
		 ✓ Accumulation of debris/sediment is more than 25% but less than 50% of the control structure chamber depth
		 ✓ Safety chain missing
	Fair <u>B-2</u>	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
		✓ System recovers treatment volume
		 Systems with filtration, limited underdrain flow to the control structure
Treatment Swale with Control Structure, with or		 Minor damage to cleanout ports and/or joints not affecting performance
without Filtration		✓ Collapsed or severely damaged structure
(Treatment System 2 in		\checkmark Less than 90% of control structure is functioning as designed
Description Text)		✓ Excessive trash and debris (over 50%) of chamber depth
, , ,		✓ Grates missing or significantly damaged
	_	✓ Excessive leakage at joints
	Poor	 ✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery
	<u>APP-11</u>	 ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
		✓ System not recovering treatment volume
		✓ Systems with filtration, no underdrain flow observed.
		 Cleanout ports damaged and allowing water to bypass flow through the filter media and discharge untreated
		✓ Depressions over underdrain pipe alignment: leaking pipe joints.
Concrete Ditch Block (Treatment System 3 in Description Text)	Good <u>B-5</u>	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ No cracks or missing concrete ✓ System recovers treatment volume ✓ No sediment accumulation
		✓ No erosion or undermining present



Maintenance Needs
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ○ Replace safety chain
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove trash ✓ Replace grates ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ✓ Remove obstructions to restore flow through underdrain system ✓ Repair/replace cleanout port ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None

Treatment Swales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but functioning as designed
	Fair	 ✓ Cracks in concrete, missing corners, scour on outside edges of berm
		 ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
Concrete Ditch Block		✓ System recovers treatment volume
(Treatment System 3 in Description Text)	Poor	 ✓ Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed ✓ Large cracks or missing concrete preventing detention of stormwater ✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation ✓ System not recovering treatment volume
Concrete Ditch Block with Orifice and Sump (Treatment System 4 in Description Text)	Good	 ✓ Like new and functioning as designed ✓ No cracks or missing concrete ✓ System recovers treatment volume ✓ No sediment accumulation ✓ No erosion or undermining present ✓ Bleed-down orifice is undamaged and not obstructed ✓ Anti-clog device present and undamaged ✓ No sediment accumulation in sump



Maintenance Needs
 Proactive maintenance recommended Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation Monitor progression of damage noted
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove excess sediment ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ✓ Regrade swale to design elevation ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None

Treatment Swales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 ✓ Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure functioning as designed
		 ✓ Minor cracks in concrete, missing corners, scour on outside edges of berm
	Fair	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
	i uii	✓ System recovers treatment volume
		 Minor obstruction or damage to orifice but still allowing for full recovery
		 Anti-clog device present with minor damage not affecting function
Concrete Ditch Block with		✓ System recovers treatment volume
Orifice and Sump (Treatment System 4 in		 ✓ Sediment accumulation in sump is more than 10% but less than 25% of sump design depth
Description Text)		✓ Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed
		 Large cracks or missing concrete preventing detention of stormwater
		✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery
	Poor B-6	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
		✓ System not recovering treatment volume
		✓ Orifice blocked or clogged
		✓ Anti-clog device missing or damaged
		 ✓ Sediment accumulation in sump is more than 25% of sump design depth
		✓ Like new and functioning as designed
		✓ No cracks or missing concrete
Concrete Ditch Block with	Good	✓ No sediment accumulation
Skimmer	Cood	✓ No erosion or undermining present
(Treatment System 5 in Description Text)		 ✓ Skimmer is like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
		 ✓ Less than 25% of skimmer opening is obstructed by vegetation/debris



	Maintenance Needs
✓	Maintenance Needs Proactive maintenance recommended • Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation • Monitor progression of damage noted
✓	Repair structure
✓	Remove excess sediment
✓	Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
~	Regrade swale to design elevation
~	Unclog orifice
✓	Replace anti-clog device
~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None

Treatment Swales and Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure functioning as designed
		 Minor cracks in concrete, missing corners, scour on outside edges of berm
	Fair <u>APP-12</u>	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
		✓ System recovers treatment volume
		 Skimmer may have minor damage (i.e., cracks) and some deterioration, but structurally sound and functionally adequate
Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer		 ✓ Between 25% and 50% of skimmer opening is obstructed by vegetation, debris, or sediment accumulation
(Treatment System 5 in		✓ Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed
Description Text)		 Large cracks or missing concrete preventing detention of stormwater
		✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery
	Poor B-7	✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
	<u></u>	✓ System not recovering treatment volume
		 Skimmer is missing, detached, or collapsed and not functioning as designed
		 More than 50% of skimmer opening is blocked by vegetation, debris, or sediment accumulation
		✓ Like new and functioning as designed
Earthen Ditch Block	Good	 No subsidence in ditch block height, no scour, vegetation intact, no damage visible
(Treatment System 6 in	B-8	✓ No sediment accumulation
Description Text)		\checkmark No erosion or undermining present
		 ✓ System recovers treatment volume



-	
	Maintenance Needs
~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation Repair damage to skimmer Remove skimmer obstructions Monitor progression of damage noted
	Repair structure Remove excess sediment Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation Regrade swale to design elevation Replace skimmer Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None

	Treatment Swales and	d Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure is functioning as designed
		✓ Berm subsidence less than 10% of design height
	Fair	✓ Less than 10% vegetation missing
		 ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
Earthen Ditch Block		✓ System recovers treatment volume
(Treatment System 6 in		\checkmark Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed
Description Text)		✓ Berm subsidence more than 10% of design height
, , ,		✓ Significant scour and/or rills and gullies developed
	Poor	✓ More than 10% of vegetative cover missing
		✓ Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery
		 ✓ Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation
		✓ System not recovering treatment volume
		✓ Like new and functioning as designed
		✓ No subsidence in height of earthen berm
	Good <u>B-4</u>	\checkmark No scour, erosion, or undermining present
		✓ Vegetation on ditch block intact, no damage visible
		 ✓ Concrete core like new, no cracks or missing concrete
		✓ No sediment accumulation
Earthen Ditch Block with		✓ System recovers treatment volume
Concrete Core (Treatment System 7 in Description Text)	Fair <u>B-9</u>	 Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure is functioning as designed Berm subsidence less than 10% of design height Less than 10% vegetation missing from ditch block Concrete core has small cracks, missing corners, minor scour on outside edges of berm Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation



Maintenance Needs
 Proactive maintenance recommended Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation Monitor progression of damage noted
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove excess sediment ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ✓ Regrade swale to design elevation ✓ Re-establish turf/grass ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ○ Monitor progression of damage noted

	Treatment Swales and	Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Earthen Ditch Block with Concrete Core (Treatment System 7 in Description Text)	Poor APP-13	 Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed Berm subsidence more than 10% of design height Significant scour and/or rills and gullies developed More than 10% of vegetative cover missing from ditch block Concrete core has large cracks or missing concrete preventing detention of stormwater Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation System not recovering treatment volume
Earthen/Concrete Ditch	Good <u>B-10</u>	 Like new and functioning as designed No subsidence in height of earthen berm No scour, erosion, or undermining present Vegetation intact, no damage visible No sediment accumulation No obstructions or debris at weir preventing flow No cracks, chips, or damage to weir concrete System recovers treatment volume
Block with Concrete Weir (Treatment System 8 in Description Text)	Fair	 Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure is functioning as designed Berm subsidence is less than 10% of design height Minor scour, less than 10% vegetation missing Minor damage to weir, small cracks or chips in concrete Less than 25% of weir opening is blocked and recovery rate is acceptable Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation System recovers treatment volume



Maintenance Needs
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove excess sediment
 ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
 ✓ Regrade swale to design elevation
 Re-establish turi/grass Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
• None
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Remove blockage
 Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
 Monitor progression of damage noted

	Treatment Swales and	Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Earthen/Concrete Ditch Block with Concrete Weir (Treatment System 8 in Description Text)	Poor	 Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed Berm subsidence is more than 10% of design height Significant scour and/or rills and gullies developed More than 10% of vegetative cover missing Debris, vegetation, or other obstruction is present and inhibiting flow over the weir More than 25% of weir opening is blocked Large cracks or sections of weir missing Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation
	Good <u>B-11</u>	 System not recovering treatment volume Like new and functioning as designed System recovers treatment volume No sediment accumulation No cracks or missing concrete No subsidence in height, no scour, vegetation intact, no damage visible
Earthen Berm/Concrete Discharge Ditch Block (Treatment System 9 in Description Text)	Fair	 No erosion of undermining present Minor damage, subsidence, scour, or missing vegetation, but more than 90% of structure is functioning as designed Earthen berm subsidence is less than 10% of design height Minor scour, less than 10% vegetation missing Concrete discharge has small cracks, missing corners, minor scour on outside edges of berm Swale bottom elevation varies more than 10% but less than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation System recovers treatment volume



Maintenance Needs
✓ Repair structure
✓ Remove excess sediment
 ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
✓ Regrade swale to design elevation
✓ Re-establish turf/grass
✓ Remove blockage
 ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None
✓ Proactive maintenance recommended
 Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
 Monitor progression of damage noted

	Treatment Swales and I	Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Earthen Berm/Concrete Discharge Ditch Block (Treatment System 9 in Description Text)	Poor	 Less than 90% of structure functioning as designed Earthen berm subsidence is more than 10% of design height Significant scour and/or rills and gullies developed More than 10% of vegetative cover missing Concrete discharge has large cracks or missing concrete Excess sediment accumulation affecting system recovery Swale bottom elevation varies more than 25% of the difference between the design elevation of the top of ditch block and the swale bottom design elevation System not recovering treatment volume
	Good <u>B-3</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed ✓ Structure opening is not obstructed ✓ No undermining of structure present
Non-Pipe Inflow and	Fair	 Up to 25% of structure opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance Structure has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken Undermining present but no damage to structure observed
Outflow Structures	Poor	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed apron or flume More than 25% of structure opening is obstructed, e.g., excessive vegetation or sediment accumulation Structure has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar Significant undermining of structure(s) is present
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Good <u>B-2</u>	 Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed Flap gates present and functioning properly Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance



Maintenance Needs
 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Remove excess sediment ✓ Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation ✓ Regrade swale to design elevation ✓ Re-establish turf/grass ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended o Fill and stabilize undermining o Remove obstructions
 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Remove obstructions and/or sediment ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None

	Treatment Swales and I	Ditches, including Ditch Block Systems Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Fair	 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
		 ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken
		 Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function
Inflow and Outflow Pipes		 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe
	_	✓ More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed
	Poor	 ✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		 ✓ More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar
		 Flap gates missing or not functioning as designed
Erosion Condition		
	Good	 Some surficial erosion with minor rills present
	B-2 B-5 B-8	 Vegetation on slope generally intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential, stable slope
		 Minimal to no undermining is apparent
	Fair	✓ Minor erosion on swale slopes or ditch block berm
	<u>B-1</u> <u>B-9</u>	 Minor undermining around system structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure
		✓ Substantial erosion compromising slope and/or berm stability
	Poor	 Substantial undermining compromising system structures
		 ✓ Large rills and gullies present along swale slope
		 ✓ Large areas of vegetation on slope have become dislodged and slope is unstable



Maintenance Needs
 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove pipe obstructions Repair structural damage Repair or replace flap gates
 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Remove obstructions ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
✓ None
 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair erosion ○ Repair undermining
 ✓ Regrade and stabilize slopes and berm ✓ Re-establish turf/grass ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Examples of Linear Treatment System Rating Criteria



B-1

Treatment Swale



Rating Summary

- Overall Appearance Good
 - Minimal trash present
 - Slopes well maintained
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o Swale dry, indicating system is recovering its treatment volume
- Sedimentation Condition Good
 - $\circ \quad \text{No buildup of sediment}$
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - o Vegetation cover intact with no bare areas
 - Slopes mowed regularly
 - o Brown turf indicates dormancy, not lack of maintenance
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No erosion, slope appears stable

Maintenance Needs

None



Treatment Swale with Underdrain

B-2



Rating Summary

- Overall Appearance Good
 - Free of trash and debris
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - No standing water observed
- Sedimentation Condition Good
 - No sediment accumulation observed
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Slopes are mowed and well maintained
- Structural Condition Fair
 - Underdrain cleanout cap is broken
 - Inflow pipes undamaged and free of obstruction
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No erosion present

Maintenance Needs

• Replace underdrain cap



Treatment Swale



Rating Summary

- Overall Appearance Good
 - \circ Free of trash and debris
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - \circ System appears to be recovering as designed
- Sedimentation Condition Good
 - o System is free of accumulated sediment
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - o Vegetative cover in good condition and well maintained
- Control Structure Good
 - o Skimmer fully attached and undamaged
 - o Grates in place and undamaged
- Erosion Condition Good
 - o No erosion noted

Maintenance Needs

None



Concrete Core Ditch Block with Overflow Control Structure

B-4





Concrete Ditch Block





B-5

Concrete Ditch Block with Orifice

Rating Summary

slopes

•

•

•





Maintenance Needs

sump

Mow swale

•

Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer

B-7





Earthen Ditch Block





Concrete Core Ditch Block







B-10

Rating Summary Aesthetic Condition – Good CONCRETE WEIR • • No trash or debris • Hydraulic Recovery - Good o No standing water o No accumulated sediment $B \leftarrow$ CONCRETE WEIR • Vegetation Condition – Good o Recently mowed • Structural Condition - Good o No damage to concrete; like new Erosion Condition – Good • o No erosion noted B← Maintenance Needs PLAN VIEW • None CONCRETE WEIR Return to Rating Table SECTION A-A CONCRETE WEIR SECTION B-B



Concrete or Earthen Ditch Block with Weir

Earthen Berm/Concrete Discharge Ditch Block

B-11





4.2.1.2 Exfiltration Systems and Drainage Wells 4.2.1.2.1. Horizontal Exfiltration/French Drains

A **horizontal exfiltration system**, or French drain, is an underground drainage system consisting of a perforated pipe surrounded by natural or artificial aggregate, which stores and infiltrates runoff (Figure 4-33). Catch basins/sumps located at the end of each exfiltration trench segment collect stormwater runoff (Figure 4-34); the perforated pipe delivers the stormwater into the surrounding aggregate through the pipe perforations. The stormwater ultimately exfiltrates into the ground water aquifer through the trench walls and bottom. As the treatment volume is not discharged offsite, exfiltration trench systems are considered a type of retention treatment.

French drain systems can be several miles long and consist of many shorter sections, each of which must be inspected. Maintenance problems typically encountered with exfiltration systems include clogging of the filter fabric and void spaces of the aggregates by sediment and trash in the catch basins and sumps. These accumulations of sediment can significantly shorten the operational life of exfiltration systems and prevent system recovery within the 72-hour regulatory timeframe.





Figure 4-33. Typical French Drain System <u>Return to List of Figures</u>





Figure 4-34. Typical Horizontal Exfiltration System Control Structures in Good Condition <u>Return to List of Figures</u>

4.2.1.2.2. Vertical Exfiltration Systems

Vertical exfiltration systems function similarly to horizontal systems except that water is discharged through a media-filled pipe into the surficial groundwater system (Figure 4-35). They are used most frequently in coastal areas. These systems are typically incorporated into the lowest part of a vegetated swale and may be difficult to identify in the field because the area overlying the exfiltration pipe is also vegetated. The primary consideration for inspection of



vertical exfiltration systems is maintenance of adequate hydraulic recovery since inspection of structural components is generally not possible under normal circumstances.



<u>SECTION B-B</u>





4.2.1.2.3. Drainage Wells

A stormwater drainage well is defined in the underground injection control (UIC) federal regulation (40 CFR144.3) (Figure 4-36). Stormwater infiltration systems with piping to enhance infiltration capabilities meet the UIC definition of a Class V well. Class V wells are regulated under the federal UIC program implemented by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Drainage wells in stormwater systems are intended more for rapid stormwater removal to prevent flooding than to facilitate water quality improvement.



Figure 4-36. Deep Well Injection Box (Standard Plan Drawing 444-T01) Return to List of Figures



4.2.1.2.4. Exfiltration and Drainage Well Rating Table

Horizontal Exfiltration, Vertical Exfiltration, and Drainage Wells Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Structural Condition		
	Good <u>C-1</u>	 ✓ No evidence of subsidence ✓ No trash / debris accumulation in sump ✓ No pipe joint failures ✓ No groundwater intrusion observed ✓ No sediment accumulation in sump ✓ Water present is below pipe invert elevation ✓ System recovers treatment volume as designed
Horizontal Exfiltration	Fair C-4 Poor C-2 C-3	 Trash covers less than one-third of the sump surface area and not impacting system functioning Top of sediment or trash accumulation in sump is at least one foot below pipe invert elevation System recovers treatment volume as designed Pipe joint failures Evidence of subsidence Trash covers more than one-third of sump surface area or trash is impeding system functioning Top of sediment or trash accumulation is less than one foot below pipe invert elevation Groundwater intrusion observed System not recovering treatment volume as designed
	Good	 ✓ No trash or debris accumulation ✓ System recovers treatment volume as designed ✓ Minor amounts of trash, debris, sediment accumulation present
Vertical Exfiltration	Poor	 but not impeding flow ✓ System recovers treatment volume as designed ✓ Trash, debris, and or sediment accumulation is impeding flow ✓ System not recovering treatment volume as designed



	Maintenance Needs
✓	None
✓	Proactive maintenance recommended o Remove trash, debris, and sediment
* * * * *	Remove excess sediment Remove trash and debris Repair cracks to prevent groundwater intrusion Investigate cause of subsidence and address as necessary Backflush exfiltration pipe to remove sediment and debris accumulation
~	None
~	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove trash, debris, and sediment
✓ ✓	Remove sediment, trash, and debris, Backflush exfiltration pipe to remove sediment and or debris accumulation

	Horizontal Exfiltra	ntion, Vertical Exfiltration, and Drainage Wells Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Good	\checkmark No trash or debris accumulation
	<u>APP-14</u> <u>APP-15</u>	✓ System functioning as designed
Drainage Well	Fair	 ✓ Minor damage to inflow structure, but is functioning as designed ✓ Minor amounts of trash, debris, sediment accumulation present, but not impeding flow ✓ System functioning as designed
	Poor	 ✓ Drainage well has collapsed or severely damaged ✓ Damage to inflow structure is preventing flow ✓ Trash, debris, sediment accumulation is impeding flow ✓ System not functioning as designed
Inflow and Outflow Pipes	Good <u>C-1</u> <u>C-2</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed ✓ Flap gates present and functioning properly ✓ Up to 25% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
	Fair	 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance ✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function
	Poor <u>C-3</u>	 ✓ Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or outflow pipe ✓ More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed ✓ Flap gates missing or not functioning as designed
Skimmer / Baffle (if applicable)	Good <u>C-4</u>	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration ✓ Structurally sound and functioning as designed
	Fair	 ✓ May have minor damage and some deterioration, but structurally sound and functioning as designed ✓ Opening partially blocked by trash and debris, but flow is not impeded, and trash is being prevented from entering outflow pipe



		Maintenance Needs
	✓	None
4	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
,		• Remove sediment, trash, and debris
		 Repair inflow structure
	✓	Repair inflow structure
	✓	Remove trash, debris, and or sediment accumulation from inflow structure
	✓	Remove any obstructions
	✓	Backflush drainage well pipe to remove sediment and or debris accumulation
	✓	None
2		
•		
Э	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove obstructions
		 Repair or replace flap gates
	\checkmark	Repair or replace damaged components
	\checkmark	Remove obstructions
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	None
ly	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
	\checkmark	Repair damage
	\checkmark	Remove blockages

	Horizontal Exfiltration,	Vertical Exfiltration, and Drainage Wells Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Skimmer / Baffle (if applicable)	Poor	 ✓ Missing, detached, or collapsed ✓ Excess trash is impeding flow to outflow pipe and/or causing trash and debris to bypass skimmer ✓ Not functioning as designed
Hydraulic Recovery Cond	ition	·
	Good <u>C-1</u> <u>C-2</u>	 ✓ System appears to recover its treatment volume within the regulatory timeframe ✓ No sediment, trash, debris accumulation preventing flow ✓ No blockage of exfiltration pipe
Overall Recovery	Fair <u>C-4</u>	 System appears to be recovering more slowly than required Sediment, trash, and debris accumulation causing system to recover more slowly than required Partial blockage of skimmer or baffle device (if applicable) is slowing recovery Water at or above invert elevation of exfiltration pipe
	Poor <u>C-3</u>	 System appears to not recover within the regulatory timeframe Sediment accumulation above pipe invert elevation Trash and debris accumulation above pipe invert elevation Water at or above invert elevation of exfiltration pipe
	Good <u>C-1</u>	✓ No evidence of sediment accumulation observed
Sedimentation	Fair	 ✓ Sediment accumulation present, but below pipe invert elevation
	Poor	 Sediment accumulation is above the invert elevation of the exfiltration pipe



	Maintenance Needs
✓ ✓	Replace or repair skimmer / baffle device Remove blockages
~	Perform other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
~	None
✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove sediment, trash, debris accumulation Remove any observed blockage from skimmer or baffle device (if applicable)
✓ ✓	Remove sediment, trash, and debris accumulation Backflush exfiltration pipe to remove sediment and debris accumulation
~	None
✓	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove accumulated sediment
✓ ✓	Remove accumulated sediment Backflush exfiltration pipe to remove sediment

Examples of Exfiltration System Rating Criteria



C-1



Rating Summary

- Sump Good
 - Free of trash and debris
 - No cracks or damage
 - No sign of groundwater intrusion
- Pipes Good
 - No cracks or obstructions
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - o Sump is dry, indicating proper drainage and recovery
- Sedimentation Good
 - \circ No sediment observed

Maintenance Needs

None



C-2



Rating Summary

- Sump Poor
 - \circ $\,$ More than one-third of sump is covered with trash
 - \circ Top of trash accumulation is less than one foot below pipe invert
 - No cracks or damage
 - No sign of groundwater intrusion
- Pipes Good
 - \circ No cracks or obstructions
- Hydraulic Recovery Good
 - Water level is below outflow pipe invert

Maintenance Needs

• Remove trash and debris



C-3



Rating Summary

- Sump Poor
 - \circ $\,$ Trash and debris are covering outflow pipe
- Pipes Poor
 - Outflow pipe is 100 percent obstructed
- Hydraulic Recovery Poor
 - o Obstruction of outflow pipe is affecting system recovery

Maintenance Needs

• Remove trash and debris





Rating Summary

- Sump Fair
 - \circ $\,$ Some trash and debris are present
 - \circ $\,$ No visible cracks or indication of groundwater intrusion
- Skimmer Good
 - No damage to skimmer
 - o Skimmer is effectively preventing trash from entering pipe
- Hydraulic Recovery Fair
 - Partial blockage of skimmer is slowing system recovery

Maintenance Needs

• Remove trash and debris



4.2.2 Linear Conveyance Systems

The primary function of linear conveyance systems is to move stormwater quickly to prevent flooding. These systems provide little to no water quality treatment. Linear convenance systems include swales, canals, ditches, and trench drains as described below.

A **conveyance swale** is a vegetated or concrete-lined roadside system that is dry under normal conditions but receives water from roadway runoff during rainfall events. Runoff can be via sheet flow or routed through an inflow pipe. Stormwater is typically discharged from the swale through a ditch bottom inlet or directly to a receiving water.

A **canal** is a manmade system, the bottom of which is typically covered by water with the top edges of its two sides above water (Figure 4-37). Canals are typically larger conveyance systems that accept flows from other drainage components, can be used for flood control, and/or for draining lands to enable specific land use (i.e., agriculture). Unlike conveyance swales and ditches, canals can sometimes be used for navigational purposes. Additionally, canals typically have some type of downstream control structure like a control gate, weir, saltwater intrusion barrier, and/or pump system that will regulate discharge.





SECTION A-A

Figure 4-37. Typical Canal System Return to List of Figures



A **ditch** (also known as a roadside ditch or median ditch) is a manmade system dug for the purpose of draining water from the land or for transporting water and is not built for navigational purposes (Figure 4-38). Ditches are typically components of the overall drainage system and will generally follow the grade of the roadway. Depending on site conditions, ditches can contain water permanently or intermittently following rain events.



Figure 4-38. Typical Ditch System Return to List of Figures



A **trench drain** (sometimes called a channel drain) is a linear drainage system used to catch, collect, and convey stormwater through an underground drainage system to an outlet or catch basin (Figure 4-39). They are intended for use in gutters or driveways.







Figure 4-39. Typical Trench Drain Return to List of Figures



	Conveyance Swale and Ditch Rating Table	
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Aesthetics Condition		
	Good	 Slopes and vegetated berm are well maintained, mowed regularly
	<u>D-1</u>	 Free of trash, debris, and graffiti
	Fair	 ✓ Slopes and or vegetated berm need to be mowed
		 Small amounts of trash and debris
	D	 ✓ Slopes and vegetated berm not being routinely maintained
	Poor	✓ Excessive trash and debris present within system
	<u>APP-17</u>	 Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present
Sedimentation		
	Good	✓ No evidence of sedimentation problem observed
	<u>D-1</u>	✓ Flow is uninhibited
	Fair	 ✓ Some sediment accumulation present, but system is functioning as designed
		✓ Flow not inhibited
	Poor	 Excessive sediment accumulation present, system not functioning as designed
		 Sediment accumulation is inhibiting flow



		Maintenance Needs
	\checkmark	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		\circ Mow banks and berm
		\circ Remove trash and debris
	✓	Remove trash, graffiti, and debris
	\checkmark	Mow slopes and berm
	\checkmark	Other maintenance as needed to correct
		deficiencies
	✓	None
g	V	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove accumulated sediment
		 Find/eliminate source of
		seamentation
	✓	Remove accumulated sediment to re-
		establish bottom to original design
		elevation
	\checkmark	Find/eliminate source of sedimentation

		Conveyance Swale and Ditch Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Vegetation Condition		
		 The vegetative cover is healthy and well maintained
		 No nuisance vegetation present
	Good	 Berms mowed regularly
	D-1	 Free of dead vegetation and bare spots
	<u><u> </u></u>	 All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth
		 Vegetation complies with water management district requirements
		 The vegetative cover is mostly healthy or requires only minor attention
	Fair	 Nuisance vegetation covers less than 25% of vegetated areas
		 Minor areas of dead vegetation
		$_{\odot}$ Some bare areas, but less than 50 cumulative square fea
		 Berms needs to be mowed
		 Some vegetation around structures or on water surface but functioning as designed and not inhibiting flow.
		✓ The vegetative cover is not healthy and/or not being maintained
		 Nuisance vegetation covers more than 25% of vegetate area
	Deer	\circ More than 50 cumulative square feet of bare area
	Poor	 Woody vegetation growing within system
	<u>APP-19</u>	 Destabilized vegetation on slopes or berms is affecting function
		 Vegetation on structures or on water surface is affecting flow and system function
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria
Structural Condition		
	Good	 Ditch bottom inlet opening area free of obstruction
Ditch Bottom Inlet		✓ Like new. Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria.



et	
	Maintenance Needs
et	-
et v d v v	[´] None
	Proactive maintenance recommended
et v v v	 Mow side slopes and berms
et J v v v	 Harvest nuisance vegetation
et	 Re-establish turf/grass where
	needed
	 Remove invasive and nuisance vegetation
* * * *	 Remove vegetation from around structural controls
✓ ✓ ✓	 Remove vegetation affecting system function
~ ~	Re-establish turf/grass where needed
✓ ✓	Stabilize slopes
✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
√	
	⁷ None

	Co	onveyance Swale and Ditch Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Fair	✓ Minor damage to inlet grate but not affecting system function
		\checkmark Up to 15% of ditch bottom inlet opening area is obstructed
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
Ditch Bottom Inlet	Poor	 ✓ Inlet grate missing or damaged to the extent that system function is affected
	D-1 APP-16	✓ More than 15% of ditch bottom inlet opening area is obstructed
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria.
	Good	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
		 Structure opening is not obstructed
		 No undermining of structure present
		✓ Like new. Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria.
Non-Pipe Inflow and Outflow Structures (i.e., Flumes, Spillways)	Fair	 Minor damage observed, but at least 90% of length is functioning as designed and free of trash and debris.
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
		✓ More than 10% of structure not functioning as designed
	Poor	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed apron of flume
		 Significant undermining of structure(s) is present
		 ✓ Sediment, trash, and debris obstruct more than 10% of total structure length
		 ✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria
Pipes		 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
	Good	 ✓ Flap gates present and functioning properly (if applicable)
		 Pipe opening is unobstructed, free of sediment, and providing adequate conveyance
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria



		Maintenance Needs
	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Repair or replace inlet grate
		 Clear vegetation from grate
	✓	Replace inlet grate
	\checkmark	Remove obstruction from inlet
	✓	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		• Fill and stabilize undermining
	✓	Repair or replace damaged components
or	\checkmark	Remove obstructions and/or sediment
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓	None

		Conveyance Swale and Ditch Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
	Fair	✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken
		✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function (if applicable)
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
Pipes		 ✓ Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe
		✓ More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed
	Poor	 Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
	<u>APP-18</u>	✓ More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar
		✓ Flap gates missing or not functioning as designed (if applicable
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> Criteria.
Erosion Condition		
		 No surficial slope, bank, or berm erosion
	Good	\checkmark Vegetation intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential
	<u>APP-20</u>	\checkmark Stable slopes, banks, and berms
		 Minimal to no undermining of structures is apparent
	Fair	 ✓ Minor slope, bank, or berm erosion with small rills present, but system is stable
	<u>D-1</u>	 Minor undermining around system structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure
		 ✓ Substantial slope, bank, or berm erosion compromising stability of the system
	Poor	✓ Substantial undermining compromising system structures
		✓ Large rills and gullies present along slopes, banks, or berms
		 ✓ Large areas of vegetation have become dislodged and slope, bank, or berm is unstable



		Maintenance Needs
÷	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove obstructions
3		
	✓	Repair or replace damaged components
	\checkmark	Remove obstructions
	\checkmark	Other maintenance as needed to correct
		deficiencies
)		
	✓	None
	√	Proactive maintenance recommended
		Repair erosion and undermining
,	\checkmark	Regrade and stabilize slopes, banks,
		and berms
	\checkmark	Re-establish turf/grass
	\checkmark	Other maintenance as needed to correct
		deficiencies

Canal Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Aesthetics Condition			
	Good	 ✓ Vegetated berm is well maintained, mowed regularly ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti 	✓ None
	Fair <u>D-2</u>	 ✓ Banks or vegetated berm need to be mowed ✓ Small amounts of trash, debris 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Mow banks and berm Remove trash and debris
	Poor <u>APP-21</u>	 ✓ Vegetated berm not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present in canal or along banks and berm ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present 	 ✓ Remove trash, graffiti, and debris ✓ Mow banks and berm ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Sedimentation Condition			
	Good	✓ No evidence of sedimentation problem observed	✓ None
	<u>D-2</u>	✓ Flow is not inhibited	
	Fair	 ✓ Some sediment accumulation present, but system is functioning as designed ✓ Flow is not inhibited 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove accumulated sediment Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
	Poor	 ✓ Excessive sediment and debris accumulation is inhibiting flow or navigation ✓ Canal not functioning as designed 	 ✓ Remove accumulated sediment to re- establish bottom to original design elevation ✓ Remove sediment and debris inhibiting navigation ✓ Find/eliminate source of sedimentation



		Canal Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
Vegetation Condition		
		 The vegetative cover is healthy and well maintained
		 No nuisance vegetation present
	Good	 Berms mowed regularly
		 Free of dead vegetation and bare spots
	<u>AFF-22</u>	\checkmark All structures are visible and clear of vegetation growth
		 Vegetation complies with water management district requirements
		 ✓ The vegetative cover is mostly healthy or requires only minor attention
		 Nuisance vegetation covers less than 25% of vegetated areas
	Fair	 Minor areas of dead vegetation
	APP-23	\circ Some bare areas, but less than 50 cumulative square fee
		 Berms needs to be mowed
		 Some vegetation around structures or on water surface, but functioning as designed and not inhibiting flow
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
		✓ The vegetative cover is not healthy and/or not being maintained
		 Nuisance vegetation covers more than 25% of vegetated area
	Poor	 More than 50 cumulative square feet of bare area
		 Woody vegetation growing within system
	<u>D-2</u> <u>APP-24</u>	✓ Destabilized vegetation on berms is affecting function
		 Vegetation on structures or water surface is affecting flow and system function
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria
Structural Condition	1	
Control Catoo Maira	Good	 ✓ No damage to control gate or weir (if applicable)
Other Control Structures	<u>APP-25</u>	✓ Like new condition
Other Control Structures	<u>APP-25</u>	



		Maintenance Needs
	✓	None
	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Mow berms
		 Harvest nuisance vegetation
		 Re-establish turf/grass where
\ †		needed
51		
	\checkmark	Remove nuisance vegetation
d	✓	Remove vegetation from around structural controls
	✓	Remove vegetation affecting system function
	\checkmark	Re-establish turf/grass where needed
	\checkmark	Stabilize banks
	~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	\checkmark	None

Canal Rating Table		Canal Rating Table
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
	Fair	 Minor damage to control gate or weir, but functioning as designed (if applicable)
Control Gates, Weirs, Other Control Structures		Canal not functioning as designed
other control structures	Poor	 Canal not functioning as designed Cignificant demonstrate control gets or weights impositing function
		(if applicable)
	Cood	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
	Good	 ✓ Structure opening is not obstructed
		✓ No undermining of structure present
		✓ Like new. Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria.
	Fair	✓ Minor damage observed, but at least 90% of length is functioning as designed and free of trash and debris
Non-Pipe Inflow and Outflow Structures (i.e.,		✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria.
Fiumes, Spillways)		 ✓ More than 10% of structure not functioning as designed
	Poor	 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed apron flume
		✓ Significant undermining of structure(s) is present
		✓ Sediment, trash, and debris obstruct more than 10% of total structure length
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria.
Inflow and Outflow Pipes		 Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed
	Good	\checkmark Elap dates present and functioning properly (if applicable)
		 Pipe opening is unobstructed. free of sediment. and providing
		adequate conveyance
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria



		Maintenance Needs
	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Repair damage to control gate, weir, and or other control structure
	 ✓ 	Repair or replace control gate, weir, or other control structures
	✓	None
	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Fill and stabilize undermining
		 Remove obstructions
	\checkmark	Repair or replace damaged components
or	\checkmark	Remove obstructions and/or sediment
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	~	None
		Canal Rating Table
--------------------------	-------------------	--
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition
		 ✓ Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
	Fair	✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken
		✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function (if applicable)
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
Inflow and Outflow Pipes		 ✓ Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed headwall or pipe
		✓ More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed
	Poor	✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		 ✓ More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar
		✓ Flap gates missing or not functioning as designed (if applicable
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> Criteria
Water Condition		
	Good	✓ Water is clear and odor free
	D-2	✓ Small amount of floatables
	<u>D-2</u>	✓ No evidence of illicit discharges
	Fair	✓ Some turbidity
	i all	✓ Moderate amount of floatables
		✓ Water is odor free
		Significant turbidity
	Poor	✓ Excessive floatables
		✓ Foul odor present
	<u>APP-27</u>	✓ Evidence of illicit discharge.



		Maintenance Needs
Э	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove pipe obstructions
3		 Repair structural damage
		 Repair or replace flap gates
	~	Repair or replace damaged components
	\checkmark	Remove obstructions
	✓	Other maintenance as needed to correct
•		deficiencies
`		
)		
	1	
	\checkmark	None

✓	Proactive trash removal recommended
\checkmark	Remove trash
✓	Identify and remove sources of odor and/or illicit discharges
✓	Contact District NPDES Coordinator if there is evidence of an illicit discharge
~	Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

	Canal Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Erosion Condition	-		
		✓ No surficial bank or berm erosion	✓ None
	Good	\checkmark Vegetation intact with minimal bare areas, low erosion potential	
		✓ Stable banks and berms	
		 ✓ Minimal to no undermining of structures is apparent 	
	Fair	 ✓ Minor bank or berm erosion with small rills or gullies present, but system is stable 	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair erosion and undermining
	<u>D-2</u>	 Minor undermining around system structures, but not sufficient to cause structural failure 	
	Poor <u>APP-28</u>	 ✓ Substantial bank or berm erosion compromising stability of the system ✓ Substantial undermining compromising system structures ✓ Large rills and gullies present along banks or berms ✓ Large areas of vegetation have become dislodged and bank or berm is unstable 	 ✓ Regrade and stabilize banks and berms ✓ Re-establish turf/grass ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies



	Trench Drain Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
Aesthetics Condition			
	Good	✓ Drain and surrounding area are free of trash, debris, and graffiti	
	Fair	 ✓ Small amounts of trash and debris are visible on drain or surrounding area 	
	Poor	 ✓ Excessive trash and debris are visible on drain or around drain 	
		 Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present 	
Sedimentation			
	Good	✓ No sedimentation in trench channel observed	
	0004	✓ Like new condition.	
		\checkmark Flow is not inhibited	
	Fair	 ✓ Some sediment accumulation present in trench channel, but flow is not inhibited 	
		✓ More than 90% of trench depth is open	
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria 	
	Poor	 Excessive sediment accumulation in trench channel is affecting system function 	
		✓ Sediment accumulation is inhibiting flow	
		\checkmark Less than 90% of trench depth is open	
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria	
Vegetation Condition	-		
	Good	 No nuisance vegetation present 	
		✓ Grate and concrete are free of vegetation	
	Fair	✓ Some vegetation in or around grate is visible but not inhibiting	
	i dii	flow	



		Maintenance Needs
iti	\checkmark	None
	\checkmark	Proactive maintenance recommended
	-	 Demove track and debris
ו	\checkmark	Remove trash, debris, and graffiti
	✓	None
ow	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
• • •		Remove accumulated sediment
		- Find/aliminate source of
		 Finu/eliminate source or sedimentation
		Scamentation
a	✓	Remove accumulated sediment to
5		restore flow
	\checkmark	Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
	\checkmark	None
	✓	Proactive maintenance recommended
		 Remove vegetation
		-

	Trench Drain Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
Vegetation Condition	1		
	Poor	 Excessive vegetation in or around grates is inhibiting flow 	
Structural Condition			
		 Like new and functioning as designed 	
	Good	✓ No cracks or missing concrete	
		\checkmark Grate is present, undamaged, and free of trash and debris	
		\checkmark Trench channel is free of sediment and debris	
Grate, Channel, and Surrounding Concrete		✓ Like new. Meets MRP criteria.	
		 Minor damage to concrete, but at least 90% of length is functioning as designed 	
		✓ Grate is present and undamaged	
	Fair	 ✓ At least 90% of grate inflow area is free of vegetation or other obstruction 	
		 ✓ More than 90% of total trench depth is free of sediment, trash, and debris. 	
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria 	
		 Less than 90% of length and depth of structure functioning as designed 	
	Poor	 ✓ Sediment, trash, or debris fill more than 10% of total trench depth 	
		✓ Grate is damaged or missing	
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> criteria	



Maintenance Needs
 ✓ Remove vegetation to restore flow
✓ None
✓ Proactive maintenance recommended
 o Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation o Remove sediment, trash, or debris o Remove grate obstructions ✓ Monitor progression of damage noted
✓ Repair structure, replace grate
✓ Remove sediment, trash, and debris
 Locate and eliminate source of sedimentation
 Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies

Trench Drain Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Erosion Condition			
	Good	 ✓ No damage to concrete surrounding structure 	✓ None
	Fair	 Minor undermining of or damage to concrete surrounding structure, but not sufficient to affecting system function 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair damage to concrete
	Poor	 Undermining of or damage to concrete surrounding structure is affecting system function 	 ✓ Repair structure ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies



Examples of Linear Conveyance System Rating Criteria



Conveyance Swale



Rating Summary

- Control Structure Poor
 - Ditch bottom inlet grate is more than 15% obstructed
- Overall Appearance Good
 - No trash or debris present
 - o Slopes well maintained
- Erosion Condition Fair
 - Erosion evident at top of slope below road
- Sedimentation Condition Good
 - o No evidence of sedimentation within swale
 - Flow not restricted
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - o Vegetation cover generally intact
 - Slopes mowed regularly
 - Vegetation not inhibiting flow

Maintenance Needs

- Regrade and re-establish turf/sod on eroded area
- Clear vegetation from inlet grate

Return to Rating Table



D-1

D-2

Conveyance Canal



Rating Summary

- Water Condition Good
 - Water clear, with no floatables
- Overall Appearance Fair
 - Bank areas bare of vegetation
 - Free of trash and debris
- Erosion Condition Fair
 - o Banks susceptible to erosion due to lack of vegetative cover
- Sedimentation Condition Good
 - No emergent vegetation
 - No evidence of sediment accumulation
- Vegetation Condition Poor
 - More than 50 cumulative sq ft of bare ground

Maintenance Needs

• Re-establish bank vegetation or stabilize slope per engineer's direction

Return to Rating Table



4.3 Outfalls

An outfall is the point at which stormwater discharges from FDOT's system.

4.3.1 Closed Conveyance Outfalls

A **closed conveyance outfall** is an outfall located at the discharge point of a closed drainage feature, such as a pipe, culvert, or similar manufactured structure (<u>Figure 4-40</u>).



Figure 4-40. Typical Closed Conveyance Outfall in Good Condition Return to List of Figures

4.3.2 Open Conveyance Outfalls

An **open conveyance outfall** is an outfall located at the discharge point of an open drainage feature, which is most commonly a ditch or swale, but can also include a trench, channel, flume, or other open conveyance (Figure 4-41).





Figure 4-41. Typical Open Conveyance Outfall in Good Condition Return to List of Figures



Closed Conveyance Outfall Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Aesthetics Condition			
	Good	\checkmark Free of trash, debris, and graffiti	✓ None
	<u>E-1</u>		
	Fair	 Small amounts of trash or debris present 	 Proactive maintenance recommended
	<u>E-2</u>		 Remove trash and debris
	2	✓ Excessive trash and debris present	✓ Remove trash, graffiti, and debris
	Poor	✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present	 Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Erosion Condition			
	Good	 Minimal to no undermining of mitered end section, endwall, or pipe structures is apparent 	✓ None
	<u>E-1</u> <u>E-2</u>		
	Fair	✓ Minor undermining around mitered end section, endwall, or pipe	 Proactive maintenance recommended
	<u>APP-29</u>	structures, but not sumclent to cause structural failure	 Repair erosion and undermining
	Poor	✓ Substantial undermining of mitered end section, endwall, or pipe	✓ Repair erosion and undermining
	<u>APP-30</u>	structures is compromising function	
Structural Condition		·	
		 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed 	✓ None
		\checkmark Flap gates present and functioning properly (if applicable)	
	Good E-1 E-2	 Manatee exclusion device present and functioning properly (if applicable) 	
		 Pipe opening is unobstructed, free of sediment, and providing adequate conveyance 	
		 ✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria 	



		Closed Conveyance Outfall Rating Table
Asset Component	Component Inspection Rating Description of Condition	
Structural Condition		
		 Up to 40% of pipe opening is obstructed but providing adequate conveyance
	Fair	✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has less than cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
	, an	✓ Less than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken
	<u>APP-31</u>	✓ Minor damage to flap gates not affecting function (if applicable)
		 Minor damage to manatee exclusion device not affecting function (if applicable)
		✓ Meets <u>MRP</u> criteria
		 Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., collapsed endwal or pipe
		✓ More than 40% of pipe opening is obstructed
	Poor	✓ Concrete structure around a mitered end section has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length
		 More than 33% of concrete structure/slab is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar
		✓ Flap gates missing or not functioning as designed (if applicable)
		 Manatee exclusion device is missing or not functioning as designed (if applicable)
		✓ Does not meet <u>MRP</u> Criteria.
Sedimentation		
	Good	 No evidence of sedimentation problem observed in pipe or at point of outfall
		✓ Flow is uninhibited
	Fair	 Some sediment accumulation present, but system is functioning as designed
	<u>E-2</u>	✓ Flow not inhibited
	Poor	 Excessive sediment accumulation present, system not functioning as designed
	<u>APP-32</u>	 ✓ Sediment accumulation is inhibiting flow



	Maintenance Needs
;	 Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Remove pipe obstructions
3	 Repair structural damage
	 Repair or replace flap gates
	Repair or replace manatee
	exclusion devices
I	✓ Repair or replace damaged and missing
	components
	✓ Remove obstructions
	✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct
	deficiencies
)	
·	
	V None
	None
)	 Proactive maintenance recommended
	 Remove accumulated sediment
	 Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
	✓ Remove accumulated sediment
	✓ Find/eliminate source of sedimentation

	Closed Conveyance Outfall Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs	
Vegetation Condition				
	Good	 Pipe and endwall are visible and clear of nuisance vegetation 	✓ None	
	<u>E-1</u> <u>E-2</u>			
	Fair	✓ Some nuisance vegetation around pipe and endwall, but	✓ Proactive maintenance recommended	
	<u>APP-33</u>	APP-33 functioning as designed and not inhibiting flow	 Clear nuisance vegetation from around pipe and endwall 	
	Poor	 Nuisance vegetation in and around pipe and endwall is affecting flow and system function 	 Remove nuisance vegetation from around pipe and endwall 	
	<u>APP-34</u>		 ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies 	



Open Conveyance Outfall (Ditches, Swales, Trenches) Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Aesthetics Condition			
	Good	 ✓ Free of trash, debris, and graffiti 	✓ None
	Fair	 ✓ Slopes and/or vegetation around discharge point need to be mowed ✓ Small amounts of trash and debris 	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Mow slopes and berm ○ Remove trash and debris
	Poor	 ✓ Slopes and/or vegetation around discharge point not being routinely maintained ✓ Excessive trash and debris present within system ✓ Any form of unauthorized graffiti is present 	 ✓ Remove trash, graffiti, and debris ✓ Mow slopes and berm ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Erosion Condition			
	Good	 ✓ No surficial slope, bank, or berm erosion ✓ Slopes and banks are stable ✓ No undermining of reinforcement material 	✓ None
	Fair	 ✓ Minor slope, bank, or berm erosion with small rills present, but system is stable ✓ Minor undermining of reinforcement material, but system is stable 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Repair erosion and undermining
	Poor	 ✓ Substantial slope, bank, or berm erosion compromising stability of the system ✓ Substantial undermining of reinforcement material causing instability 	 ✓ Regrade and stabilize slopes, banks, and berms ✓ Repair erosion and undermining ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
Structural Condition			
	Good	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed ✓ Discharge area is not obstructed, free of sediment, and providing adequate conveyance 	 ✓ None
Earthen Conveyance	Fair	 Some obstruction of outfall, but providing adequate conveyance Minor damage at point of discharge 	 ✓ Proactive maintenance recommended ○ Repair damage ○ Remove obstructions
	Poor	 Obstruction of outfall is reducing conveyance Not functioning properly due to damage, e.g., significant erosion, or obstruction 	 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Remove obstructions and/or sediment ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies



	Open Conveyance Outfall (Ditches, Swales, Trenches) Rating Table		
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	
	Good	 ✓ Like new with little or no deterioration and functioning as designed 	
	<u>APP-35</u>	 Discharge area is unobstructed, free of sediment, and providing adequate conveyance 	
-		✓ Some obstruction of outfall, but providing adequate conveyance	
	Fair	 Minor damage to concrete lining or non-concrete reinforcement, but functioning as designed 	
Hardened Convevance		 ✓ Concrete lining has less than 3 cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length 	
		 ✓ Less than 33% of concrete apron at discharge is crushed or broken 	
		✓ Obstruction of outfall is reducing conveyance	
	Poor	✓ Not functioning properly due to damage at discharge point	
		 ✓ Concrete lining has 3 or more cracks greater than ½ inch in width and 1 foot in length 	
		✓ More than 33% of concrete apron at discharge is crushed or broken, and/or has exposed rebar	
Sedimentation			
	Good	✓ No evidence of sedimentation problem observed	
		✓ Flow is uninhibited	
	Fair	 ✓ Some sediment accumulation present, but system is functioning as designed 	
		✓ Flow not inhibited	
	Poor	 Excessive sediment accumulation present, system not functioning as designed 	
		 ✓ Sediment accumulation is inhibiting flow 	



	Maintenance Needs
)	✓ None
	 Proactive maintenance recommended o Repair damage o Remove obstructions
	 ✓ Repair or replace damaged components ✓ Remove obstructions ✓ Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies
	✓ None
3	 Proactive maintenance recommended Remove accumulated sediment Find/eliminate source of sedimentation
	 ✓ Remove accumulated sediment ✓ Find/eliminate source of sedimentation

Open Conveyance Outfall (Ditches, Swales, Trenches) Rating Table			
Asset Component	Inspection Rating	Description of Condition	Maintenance Needs
Vegetation Condition			
	Good	✓ Point of discharge is clear of nuisance vegetation	✓ None
	Fair	 Some nuisance vegetation at point of discharge, but functioning as designed and not inhibiting flow 	 Proactive maintenance recommended Clear nuisance vegetation from discharge area
	Poor	 Nuisance vegetation at discharge point is affecting flow and system function 	 Clear nuisance vegetation from discharge area
			 Other maintenance as needed to correct deficiencies



Examples of Outfall Rating Criteria







Rating Summary

- Aesthetics Condition Good
 - $\circ \quad \text{Free of trash} \\$
- Erosion Condition Good
 - No bank erosion or undermining of headwall
- Structural Condition Good
 - No visible cracks or damage to pipe or headwall
 - No visible blockage of the pipe
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - Front of pipe is free of vegetation

Maintenance Needs

None

Return to Rating Table





Closed Conveyance Outfall

E-2

Rating Summary

- Aesthetics Condition Fair
 - Small amount of trash
- Erosion Condition Good
 - o No bank erosion or undermining of headwall
- Structural Condition Good
 - No visible cracks or damage to pipe or headwall
 - No visible blockage of the pipe
- Sedimentation Condition Fair
 - Sediment accumulation near the outfall
- Vegetation Condition Good
 - \circ Front of pipe is free of vegetation

Maintenance Needs

- Remove accumulated sediment from in front of outfall
- Investigate potential source of sediment

Return to Rating Table



APPENDIX A

Examples of SAMS Inspection and Maintenance Needs Reports



Example Pond Inspection and Maintenance Needs Reports



STORMWATER FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

FDOT District Five



Inspection Date:	5/10/2022	Statewide Facility ID:	D5SWF77000-00469
Primary Inspector Name:	Craig Eudell	District Facility ID:	77040-3521-01
Secondary Inspector Name:		FM Number:	240163
Date Last Precipitation:	5/8/2022	County:	SEMINOLE
Quantity Last Precipitation:	0.22000000	State Road Number:	46
Permit Agency:	SJRWMD	Facility Type:	Wet Detention
ERP Permit Number:	40-117-95925-5	Facility Name:	Pond 1
FDEP MS4 Permit Number:	FLS000038	Maintenance Yard:	Oveido Maintenance
State Project Number:	77040-3521	Side of Road:	Left

INSPECTION FINDINGS

Parameter:	Result:	Comments:
Aesthetics Condition	Good	The side slopes appear to be well maintained and mowed regularly.
Hydraulic Recovery Condition	Good	The hydrologic fluctuations appear normal.
Vegetation Condition	Good	The vegetative cover is in good condition and well maintained.
Structural Condition	Fair	Repair damaged skimmer on the control structure for Pond 1.
Erosion Condition	Good	No erosion present at the time of inspection.
Water Condition	Good	The water present was clear and odor free at the time of inspection.
Suspected IDDE	No	N/A
Public Safety Hazard	No	N/A

INSPECTION SUMMARY

Parameter:	Result:		
Overall Facility Rating	Fair		
Maintenance Required	Yes		
Inspection Result	Not performing as designed and permitted		
Inspection Notes / Comments:			
Maintenance items were identified during this inspection.			



STORMWATER FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT PHOTO LOG

FD 46

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: **District Facility ID:** FM Number:

5/10/2022 D5SWF77000-00469 77040-3521-01 240163

State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



SEMINOLE Wet Detention Pond 1

Photo Name : 1.JPG





STORMWATER FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG

- Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number:
- 5/10/2022 D5SWF77000-00469 77040-3521-01 240163

State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



SEMINOLE Wet Detention Pond 1

Photo Name : 2.JPG





STORMWATER FACILITY INSPECTION



LOCATION MAP

Inspection Date:	5/10/2022 10:58:40 AM	State Road Number:	46
Statewide Facility ID:	D5SWF77000-00469	County:	SEMINOLE
District Facility ID:	77040-3521-01	Facility Type:	Wet Detention
FM Number:	240163	Facility Name:	Pond 1

District Five Pond





STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE NEED



FDOT District Five

Inspection Date:	5/10/2022 10:58:40 AM	State Road Number:	46
Statewide Facility ID:	D5SWF77000-00469	County:	SEMINOLE
District Facility ID:	77040-3521-01	Facility Type:	Wet Detention
FM Number:	240163	Facility Name:	Pond 1

MAINTENANCE NEED				
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed
Repair damaged skimmer on the control structure for Pond 1.		5/10/2022	7/11/2022	Not Completed



STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE NEED

FDOT District Five



Inspection Date:	5/10/2022	State Road Number:	46
Statewide Facility ID:	D5SWF77000-00469	County:	SEMINOLE
District Facility ID:	77040-3521-01	Facility Type:	Wet Detention
FM Number:	240163	Facility Name:	Pond 1

Photo Name : 2





STORMWATER FACILITY INSPECTION



LOCATION MAP

Inspection Date:	5/10/2022 10:58:40 AM	State Road Number:	46
Statewide Facility ID:	D5SWF77000-00469	County:	SEMINOLE
District Facility ID:	77040-3521-01	Facility Type:	Wet Detention
FM Number:	240163	Facility Name:	Pond 1

District Five Pond





Example Linear Facility Inspection and Maintenance Needs Reports





District Seven

Inspection Date:	6/21/2022	Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876
Primary Inspector Name:	Jason Drizd	District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01
Secondary Inspector Name:		FM Number:	2547931
Date Last Precipitation:	6/20/2022	County:	HERNANDO
Quantity Last Precipitation:	1.07000000	State Road Number:	55
Permit Agency:	SWFWMD	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
ERP Permit Number:	44005084.003, .004	Facility Name:	
FDEP MS4 Permit Number:	FLR04E017	Maintenance Yard:	Brooksville Operations
State Project Number:	08020-3515	Side of Road:	L

INSPECTION FINDINGS

Parameter:	Result:	Comments:
Aesthetics Condition	Good	Minor trash throughout system.
Hydraulic Recovery Condition	Good	
Vegetation Condition	Good	
Structural Condition	Poor	Damaged lintel at DB-8. Damaged skimmer at DB-13. DB- 21, DB-22, and DB-23 lost to construction.
Erosion Condition	Poor	Sediment accumulation observed on DB-1 and DB-9. Sediment accumulation observed in treatment area of DB- 7 and DB-19 resulting in a loss of treatment volume. Erosion observed at STA 463+10 LT, north of DB-13, and along the west edge of DB-13.
Water Condition	Not Applicable	System is dry.
Suspected IDDE	No	
Public Safety Hazard	No	

INSPECTION SUMMARY

Parameter:	Result:	
Overall Facility Rating	Fair	
Maintenance Required	Yes	
Inspection Result	Performing as designed and permitted	
Inspection Notes / Comments:		



PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 21 LOOKING EAST AT DB-13 SKIMMER (DAMAGED).JPG



7



Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

LINEAR TREATMENT FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 26 LOOKING NORTH AT DB-9 STA 432+80 LT (SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION).JPG





Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

LINEAR TREATMENT FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 34 LOOKING NORTH AT DB-1 STA 411+80 LT (SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION).JPG





PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 4 LOOKING AT EROSIONAL AREAS EAST OF SKIMMER AT STA 463+10 LT.JPG





PHOTO LOG



HERNANDO

Ditch Block System

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:

PHOTO 19 LOOKING AT EROSIONAL AREA NORTH OF DB-13.JPG





PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 22 LOOKING AT EROSIONAL AREA WHERE WATER IS BYPASSING DB-13 ON WEST ED.JPG




Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

LINEAR TREATMENT FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG



6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 20 LOOKING AT DB-13 STA 439+35 LT.JPG





Maintenance Guide for Stormwater Assets

LINEAR TREATMENT FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number:

6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 27 LOOKING AT DB-8 STA 431+60 LT (LINTEL DAMAGED).JPG





LINEAR TREATMENT FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

PHOTO LOG

Inspection Date: Statewide Facility ID: District Facility ID: FM Number: 6/21/2022 D7SWF08000-00876 F08020-3515-01 2547931 State Road Number: County: Facility Type: Facility Name:



HERNANDO Ditch Block System

PHOTO 28 LOOKING NORTH AT DB-7 STA 431+80 LT (LOSS OF TREATMENT VOLUME).JPG





LINEAR TREATMENT INSPECTION

LOCATION MAP



Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	









Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	

MAINTENANCE NEED				
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed
Regrade and stabilize erosional areas west of DB-13, north of DB-13, and at STA 463+10 LT.	437 - Miscellaneous Slope and Ditch Repair (cubic yards)	7/11/2022	9/9/2022	Not Completed





Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	

MAINTENANCE NEED				
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed
Repair damaged lintel at DB-8.	451 - Clean Repair Drainage Structures (linear feet)	7/11/2022	8/10/2022	Not Completed





Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	

MAINTENANCE NEED				
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed
Regrade and stabilize area upstream of DB-7 and DB-19 to restore treatment volume.	437 - Miscellaneous Slope and Ditch Repair (cubic yards)	7/11/2022	9/9/2022	Not Completed





Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	

MAINTENANCE NEED				
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed
Remove accumulated sediment from under the skimmer of DB-1 and DB-9.	451 - Clean Repair Drainage Structures (linear feet)	7/11/2022	9/9/2022	Not Completed





Inspection Date:	6/21/2022 5:39:32 PM	State Road Number:	55
Statewide Facility ID:	D7SWF08000-00876	County:	HERNANDO
District Facility ID:	F08020-3515-01	Facility Type:	Ditch Block System
FM Number:	2547931	Facility Name:	

MAINTENANCE NEED					
Maintenance Need:	MMS Code (Not Required)	Date Ordered	Date Due	Date Completed	
Repair damaged skimmer at DB-13.	451 - Clean Repair Drainage Structures (linear feet)	7/11/2022	8/10/2022	Not Completed	





Linear Treatment Facility Location Map



APPENDIX B

Additional Examples of Rating Criteria



Wet Detention Pond – Hydraulic Recovery Condition – Poor





Wet Detention Pond – Erosion Condition – Poor







Dry Detention Pond – Control Structure – Poor Condition





Dry Detention Pond – Orifice – Good

Orifice is free of obstructions.





Dry Detention Pond– Orifice – Fair



Orifice is partially blocked but still allowing full recovery.





Dry Retention – Overflow Weir – Good



Inflow/Outflow Pipe – Good Condition





Inflow/Outflow Pipe – Fair Condition





Treatment Swale – Hydraulic Recovery Condition – Fair





Treatment Swale – Vegetation Condition – Fair





Treatment Swale with Control Structure – Structural Condition - Poor







Concrete Ditch Block with Skimmer – Structural Condition – Fair





Earthen Ditch Block with Concrete Core – Structural Condition – Poor





Drainage Well – Structural Condition – Good





Drainage Well – Structural Condition – Good





Conveyance Swale – Ditch Bottom Inlet – Poor Structural Condition





Conveyance Swale – Aesthetic Condition – Poor





Conveyance Swale – Pipes – Poor Condition





Conveyance Ditch – Vegetation Condition – Poor





Conveyance Swale – Erosion Condition – Good



Canal – Aesthetics Condition – Poor











Canal – Vegetation Condition – Fair





Canal – Vegetation Condition – Poor





Canal – Control Structure – Good






Canal – Inflow/Outflow Pipe – Good Condition



Canal – Water Condition – Poor





Canal – Erosion Condition – Poor







Closed Conveyance Outfall – Erosion Condition – Fair





Closed Conveyance Outfall – Erosion Condition - Poor





Closed Conveyance Outfall – Structural Condition – Fair



Closed Conveyance Outfall – Sedimentation – Poor



Rating Table Return





Closed Conveyance Outfall – Vegetation Condition – Fair

(continued next page)



Closed Conveyance Outfall – Vegetation Condition - Fair





Closed Conveyance Outfall – Vegetation Condition – Poor







Open Conveyance Outfall – Structural Condition – Good

