

Florida Department of TRANSPORTATION

Office of Inspector General Robert E. Clift, Inspector General

Advisory Report No. 17P-8002 Statewide Intelligent Transportation Systems Inventory March 15, 2017

What We Did

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducted audits of Districts One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, and Florida Turnpike Enterprise (Turnpike) Intelligent Transportation System's (ITS) adherence to the Department of Transportation's (department) procedural requirements for the proper accountability and reporting of tangible personal property (TPP).¹ We released district-specific audit reports after the completion of each engagement. This report summarizes all ITS TPP property audits.

What We Found

Districts Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, and Turnpike ITS fully complied with three of the four provisions tested in Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property (procedure) and had not fully complied with the provision concerning properly tagging and recording items. District One ITS fully complied with two of the four provisions tested in the procedure and had not fully complied with the provisions concerning properly tagging and recording items, or conducting a complete physical inventory for any changes in custodian or custodian's delegate.

We performed inventory testing of 1,287 TPP items statewide:

- 928 items (72%) were appropriately managed:
 - 854 items (67%) were appropriately tagged and entered into Florida Accounting Information Resource (FLAIR);
 - 70 untagged items (5%) were determined not to be ITS TPP; and
 - 4 tagged items (<1%) were determined to be property of another cost center.
- 359 items (28%) were identified with the following discrepancies:
 - 161 items (13%) had incorrect location codes recorded in FLAIR;
 - 96 items (7%) were not tagged and not recorded in FLAIR;
 - 65 items (5%) had incorrect serial numbers recorded in FLAIR;
 - 17 items (1%) were tagged but not recorded in FLAIR;
 - 6 items (<1%) were not tagged but recorded in FLAIR;
 - 4 items (<1%) were improperly tagged and recorded in FLAIR;
 - 3 items (<1%) had incorrect asset tags on equipment;

¹ District Three was not included as part of our scope due to the contractual nature of the district's ITS program.

- 2 items (<1%) were not located;
 - A 32 inch monitor with a value of \$1,038.25.
 - One piece of a three piece set described as "equipment rack seven and equipment (two pieces)" with a total value of \$30,000.
- 2 items (<1%) were untagged and determined to be exceptions to the procedure;
- 1 item (<1%) had an incorrect asset tag recorded in FLAIR;
- 1 item (<1%) had an incorrect location code and serial number recorded in FLAIR; and
- 1 item (<1%) had an incorrect description recorded in FLAIR.

We also tested a sample of TPP items purchased statewide for fiscal years 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. We determined through a sample of 168 items that 23 items (14%) did not have properly completed Property Management Report (PMR) forms and correct information in FLAIR, and 4 items (2%) with properly completed PMR forms were incorrectly recorded in FLAIR.

What We Recommended in Prior Reports

During the course of the audits, we informed the District ITS Managers of the identified discrepancies and all of the districts started corrective actions during the audit. Four of the seven completed corrective actions prior to release of the final audit report. For Districts One, Two, and Turnpike with corrective actions remaining, we recommended the District ITS Management monitor progress to ensure completion of corrective actions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION	4
RESULTS OF REVIEW	6
Combined Results of Physical Inventory Testing of Possible TPP Items	6
Results of Testing Purchases to Physical Inventory and FLAIR	8
Verify Compliance with Tangible Personal Property Procedure	8
Conclusion	9
Best Practices for Future Compliance	9
APPENDIX A – Purpose, Scope, and Methodology	11
APPENDIX B – Regional and Satellite Traffic Management Centers	12
DISTRIBUTION	13
PROJECT TEAM	14
STATEMENT OF ACCORDANCE	14

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

In November 2012, the OIG initiated a three-part engagement to evaluate ITS internal controls for the information technology environment, operations and maintenance contracts, and inventory accountability. The OIG issued Audit Report No. 13P-5002a on November 25, 2013, detailing the evaluation of internal controls for the ITS information technology. Audit Report No. 13P-5002b was issued March 14, 2014, detailing the evaluation of internal controls for the ITS operations and field device maintenance contracts. Due to an absence of department procedures regarding ITS Tangible Personal Property (TPP) and inconsistent identification of TPP by all districts, the third part of the engagement was deferred until procedures could be updated and the districts could comply with the procedures.

The department's Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property (procedure), effective January 14, 2015, defines requirements regarding proper accountability and financial reporting related to all department TPP. The procedure states:

"For control purposes, ITS equipment within a traffic management center (TMC) will be considered tangible personal property and will follow the requirements set forth in this procedure. This equipment will be recorded with the prefix "HW". The "HW" prefix signifies that this is highway equipment, as opposed to an "NH" prefix, which represents non highway items." (Section 14)

Additionally, the procedure requires equipment, fixtures, and other TPP of nonconsumable and non-expendable nature with a value of \$1,000 or more, plus any exception items, to be entered into the Florida Accounting Information Resource (FLAIR) and include the purchase price, location, and serial numbers. Each block of property numbers are assigned to the Property Delegate in each district, office, or Turnpike Enterprise by the Office of Comptroller, General Accounting Office, Property and Insurance Section to prevent duplication of property identification numbers. Each TPP item should be physically identified by a property bar code decal or be assigned an inventory card, if the property cannot practically be identified with a decal. The property bar code decals and inventory cards should include the following information:

- property identification number;
- property description;
- property location; and
- property serial number, if applicable.

Other important requirements of the procedure are completing a physical inventory of all property once a year, conducting a physical inventory of all property when custodians change, and documenting collection of TPP from separated employees.

This Advisory Report is a summary of the ITS Inventory Audits conducted statewide for Districts One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, and Turnpike.

District	Final Report Date
District One	February 13, 2017
District Two	August 11, 2016
District Four	June 27, 2016
District Five	September 30, 2016
District Six	July 15, 2016
District Seven	July 21, 2016
Turnpike Enterprise	February 20, 2017

RESULTS OF REVIEW

Districts Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, and Turnpike ITS fully complied with three of the four provisions tested in Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property (procedure) and had not fully complied with the provision concerning properly tagging and recording items. District One ITS fully complied with two of the four provisions tested in the procedure and had not fully complied with the provisions concerning properly tagging and recording items, or conducting a complete physical inventory for any changes in custodian or custodian's delegate.

Combined Results of Physical Inventory Testing of Possible TPP Items

We tested a total of 1,287 TPP items statewide (842 preselected and 445 selected onsite); 928 items (72%) were appropriately managed and 359 items (28%) were identified with discrepancies. The tables below provide a breakdown of our results.

						-	-	
D1	D2	D4	D5	D6	D7	ΤР	Totals	% of 1,287
243	139	177	212	144	152	220	1,287	100%
190	95	131	112	94	100	120	842	65%
53	44	46	100	50	52	100	445	35%
-	-	_	-	-	-	_		
D1	D2	D4	D5	D6	D7	ΤР	Totals	% of 1,287
197	64	103	136	101	132	195	928	72%
197	64	100	92	101	132	168	854	67%
171	58	59	92	70	90	102		
26	6	41	44	31	42	66		
-	-	3	44	-	-	23	70	5%
-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
-	-	3	44	-	-	23		
-	-	_	-	_	-	4	4	<1%
-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
-	-	-	-	-	-	4		
	243 190 53 D1 197 197 171 26 -	243 139 190 95 53 44 D1 D2 197 64 197 64 171 58 26 6	243 139 177 190 95 131 53 44 46 D1 D2 D4 197 64 103 197 64 100 171 58 59 26 6 41 - - 3 - - 3	243 139 177 212 190 95 131 112 53 44 46 100 D1 D2 D4 D5 197 64 103 136 197 64 100 92 171 58 59 92 26 6 41 44 - - 3 44	243 139 177 212 144 190 95 131 112 94 53 44 46 100 50 D1 D2 D4 D5 D6 197 64 103 136 101 197 64 100 92 101 171 58 59 92 70 26 6 41 44 31 - - 3 44 -	243 139 177 212 144 152 190 95 131 112 94 100 53 44 46 100 50 52 D1 D2 D4 D5 D6 D7 197 64 103 136 101 132 197 64 100 92 101 132 197 64 100 92 101 132 197 64 100 92 101 132 197 64 100 92 70 90 26 6 41 44 31 42 - - 3 44 - -	243 139 177 212 144 152 220 190 95 131 112 94 100 120 53 44 46 100 50 52 100 D1 D2 D4 D5 D6 D7 TP 197 64 103 136 101 132 195 197 64 100 92 101 132 195 197 64 100 92 101 132 168 171 58 59 92 70 90 102 26 6 41 44 31 42 66 - - 3 44 - - 23 - 3 44 - - 23 - 3 44 - - 23 - - 3 44 - - 23 - - - - - 4 - - <t< td=""><td>243 139 177 212 144 152 220 1,287 190 95 131 112 94 100 120 842 53 44 46 100 50 52 100 445 D1 D2 D4 D5 D6 D7 TP Totals 197 64 103 136 101 132 195 928 197 64 100 92 101 132 168 854 197 64 100 92 101 132 168 854 197 64 100 92 70 90 102 26 26 6 41 44 31 42 66 41 - - - - - - - - - - - 3 44 - - 23 70 - - - - - - - - - -<</td></t<>	243 139 177 212 144 152 220 1,287 190 95 131 112 94 100 120 842 53 44 46 100 50 52 100 445 D1 D2 D4 D5 D6 D7 TP Totals 197 64 103 136 101 132 195 928 197 64 100 92 101 132 168 854 197 64 100 92 101 132 168 854 197 64 100 92 70 90 102 26 26 6 41 44 31 42 66 41 - - - - - - - - - - - 3 44 - - 23 70 - - - - - - - - - -<

(a dash equals a null value)

Procedure Provisions Tested by									% of
District	D1	D2	D4	D5	D6	D7	TP	Totals	1,287
Total items identified with the									
following discrepancies	46	75	74	76	43	20	25	359	28%
Incorrect location code recorded in	-	-	_	=		=	_		
FLAIR	22	14	72	18	15	10	10	161	13%
Preselected	13	8	71	18	13	6	10		
Selected onsite	9	6	1	-	2	4	-		
Not tagged and not recorded in									
FLAIR	-	33	-	56	-	6	1	96	7.5%
Preselected	-	2	-	-	-	1	-		
Selected onsite	-	31	-	56	-	5	1		
Incorrect serial number recorded in									
FLAIR	8	23	1	2	26	3	2	65	5%
Preselected	4	22	1	2	11	3	1		
Selected onsite	4	1	-	-	15	-	1		
Tagged but not recorded in FLAIR	14	-	-	-	-	-	3	17	1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite	14	-	-	-	-	-	3		
Not tagged but recorded in FLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	<1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	5		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		
Improperly tagged and recorded in									
FLAIR	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	4	<1%
Preselected	2	-	-	-	-	-	1		
Selected onsite	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		
Incorrect asset tag on equipment	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	<1%
Preselected	-	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Not located	-	2 ²	-	-	-	-	-	2	<1%
Preselected	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Untagged and determined to be									
exceptions to the procedure	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	<1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	2	-	-		
Incorrect asset tag recorded in									
FLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	<1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		
Incorrect location code and serial									
number recorded in FLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	<1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		
Selected onsite	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Incorrect description recorded in									
FLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	<1%
Preselected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Selected onsite (a dash equals a pull value)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		

(a dash equals a null value)

² Value=\$31,038

Results of Testing Purchases to Physical Inventory and FLAIR

Properly processing purchased items includes properly completing a PMR form, tagging, and correctly recording information in FLAIR.

We tested a sample of 168 purchased TPP items statewide of which 141 (84%) were properly managed. Twenty-three items (14%) did not have properly completed Property Management Report (PMR) forms and correct information in FLAIR, and 4 items (2%) did have properly completed PMR forms; however, were incorrectly recorded in FLAIR. The table below provides a breakdown of our results.

Procedure Provisions Tested by District	D1	D2	D4	D5	D6	D7	ТР	Totals	% of Total
Total purchased items tested	30	7	18	23	13	32	45	168	100%
Total items properly managed with the following variations	26	3	18	19	13	27	35	141	84%
Properly completed PMR forms and entered correctly in FLAIR	20	3	18	16	13	27	35	132	79%
Determined not to be ITS TPP	6	-	-	3	•	-	-	9	5%
Total items identified with the following discrepancies	4	4	-	4	-	5	10	27	16%
Did not have properly completed PMR forms	4	4	-	4	-	5	6	23	14%
Had properly completed PMR forms but were incorrectly recorded in FLAIR (a dash equals a pull value)	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	2%

Results of Testing Purchases

(a dash equals a null value)

Verify Compliance with Tangible Personal Property Procedure

We tested four provisions for compliance with the Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property requirements for internal controls over TPP.

Districts Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, and Turnpike ITS fully complied with three of the four provisions tested in the procedure. District One ITS fully complied with two of the four provisions tested in the procedure.

Procedure Provisions Tested	D1	D2	D4	D5	D6	D7	ТР
FLAIR Property Subsystem Annual Inventory	Yes						
Physical Inventory Completed for change in Unit Custodian	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TPP collected from separated employees	Yes						
TPP Items Properly Tagged	No						

Compliance with procedure provisions per district, complied Yes or No:

Conclusion

We concluded the district statewide inaccuracies may have been due to the following:

- items lost;
- items not identified and tagged as ITS TPP;
- item tag, serial, and location numbers entered incorrectly in FLAIR;
- item location codes not updated in FLAIR as changes occur;
- items purchased as part of a building contract, not tagged or recorded in FLAIR;
- item installation after hours when the property delegate was not present;
- PMRs not completed and submitted to the Property Delegate for the request of property tags;
- PMRs not completed accurately or verified before submitting to the Property Delegate for the request of property tags; and
- management unfamiliar with inventory procedure provisions.

During the course of the audits, we informed the District ITS Managers of the identified discrepancies and all of the districts started corrective actions during the audit. Four of the seven completed corrective actions prior to release of the final audit report. For Districts One, Two, and Turnpike with corrective actions remaining, we recommended the District ITS Management ensure completion.

Best Practices for Future Compliance

The following Best Practices were identified, which may help to improve statewide consistency and compliance with the procedure:

- management performing enhanced oversight of Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property;
- incorporating enhanced security steps of physical access to ITS TPP;
- tagging items to identify if item is ITS TPP or infrastructure;
- including the asset tag number for assigned items on the Notice of Separation Forms for employment changes;
- completing a quarterly or bi-annual in-house inventory in addition to the required

annual inventory;

- improving communications between Property Delegate and staff, including department employees and contractors;
- properly notifying the Property Delegate of changes in item location; and
- management following up to verify item changes are properly processed.

APPENDIX A – Purpose, Scope, and Methodology

Section 20.055, Florida Statutes, requires the OIG to conduct audits, examinations, investigations, and management reviews related to programs and operations of the department. The audits were performed as part of the OIG's mission to promote accountability, integrity, and efficiency for the citizens of Florida by providing objective and timely audit and investigative services. This Advisory Report is a summary of the district audits statewide.

The **purpose** of the Statewide ITS Inventory report was to compile all of the audit reports from each of the districts statewide, of ITS operations compliance of department standards for inventory accountability and reporting.

The **scope** of this report included a review of compliance with Procedure No. 350-090-310, Tangible Personal Property, effective January 14, 2015, for ITS TPP inventory at the Regional and Satellite Traffic Management Centers statewide for fiscal years 2014/2015 and 2015/2016.

The **methodology** included:

For this report we compiled the results from each of the districts statewide.

For each district we did the following:

- interviewed ITS staff;
- determined compliance with department procedures for inventory by testing internal controls for TPP including:
 - o completion of required annual inventory;
 - TPP tagging;
 - physical inventory performed for changes in custodian or custodian's delegate;
 - TPP collected from separated employees;
- verified accuracy of items recorded in FLAIR by comparing ITS records maintained in the district with records recorded in FLAIR;
- identified all TPP for the district and verified each item was accurately tagged and recorded in FLAIR; and
- selected a judgmental sample of TPP items both recorded in FLAIR and at the site locations to evaluate internal controls and compliance with the department's procedures.

APPENDIX B – Regional and Satellite Traffic Management Centers

The following Regional Traffic Management Centers (RTMC) aka Traffic Management Centers (TMC) and Satellite Traffic Management Centers (STMC) were the site visit locations:

District	RTMC or STMC	Location
District One	RTMC	SWIFT SunGuide
		10041 Daniels Pkwy., Fort Myers, FL
District One	STMC	Sarasota
	DTMO	2101 47 th Terrace East, Bradenton, FL
District Two	RTMC	Jacksonville Urban Office 2198 Edison Ave., Jacksonville, FL
	RTMC Backup	Jacksonville Regional Communication Center
District Two	Ктыс Баскир	908 N. Jefferson St., Jacksonville, FL
	RTMC Backup	Gainesville
District Two	·····••·····	405 NW 39 th Ave, Gainesville, FL
	RTMC	Broward SMART SunGuide
District Four		2300 West Commercial Blvd.,
		Fort Lauderdale, FL
District Four	RTMC	Palm Beach SMART SunGuide
		2300 N. Jog Rd., West Palm Beach, FL
District Four	RTMC Backup	Fort Pierce Operations
	RTMC	3603 Orleander Ave., Fort Pierce, FL
District Five	RTMC	Orlando 133 South Semoran Blvd., Orlando, FL
	RTMC Backup	Deland
District Five		719 South Woodland Blvd., Deland, FL
D: () (0)	RTMC	SunGuide
District Six		1000 NW 111 Ave., Miami, FL
District Six	RTMC Backup	NAP of the Americas
District Six		50 NE 9 th St., Miami, FL
District Seven	RTMC	Tampa Bay SunGuide
	DTMO	11201 N. McKinley Dr., Tampa, FL
Turrenilee	RTMC	Milepost 65, Operations Center
Turnpike		Pompano Beach Service Plaza, Pompano, FL
	RTMC	Milepost 263, Building 5317
Turnpike		Turkey Lake Service Plaza, Orlando, FL

DISTRIBUTION

Internal Distribution:

Rachel Cone, Interim Secretary, Department of Transportation Mike Dew, Chief of Staff and Legislative Programs Brian Blanchard, P.E., Assistant Secretary for Engineering and Operations Courtney Drummond, P.E., Chief Engineer Trey Tillander, P.E., Director, Office of Traffic Engineering and Operations Fred Heery, P.E., State Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSM&O) Program Engineer Robin Naitove, CPA, Comptroller Jason Adank, CPA, Deputy Comptroller, General Accounting Office Tom Lemacks, Financial Reporting Administrator, General Accounting Office Steven Baird, Property and Insurance Coordinator, Office of Comptroller L.K. Nandam, P.E., District One Secretary Greg Evans, P.E., District Two Secretary Phillip Gainer, P.E., District Three Secretary Gerry O'Reilly, P.E., District Four Secretary Steve Martin, P.E., District Five Secretary Jim Wolfe, P.E., District Six Secretary Paul Steinman, P.E., District Seven Secretary Diane Gutierrez-Scaccetti, Executive Director, Florida Turnpike Enterprise

External Distribution:

Melinda Miguel, Chief Inspector General, Executive Office of the Governor Sherrill Norman, Auditor General, State of Florida James Christian, Florida Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration Matt Ubben, Executive Director, Florida Transportation Commission

PROJECT TEAM

District engagements conducted by: Michelle Candies, Auditor Shannel Gordon, Auditor Tiffany Hurst, Senior Auditor Denise Mottesheard, Computer Audit Supervisor Danielle Myrick, Auditor

Under the supervision of: Amy Furney, Senior Audit Supervisor Joe Gilboy, Audit Manager Kristofer B. Sullivan, Director of Audit

Approved by: Robert E. Clift, Inspector General

STATEMENT OF ACCORDANCE

The department's mission is to provide a safe transportation system that ensures the mobility of people and goods, enhances economic prosperity, and preserves the quality of our environment and communities.

The Office of Inspector General's mission is to promote integrity, accountability, and process improvement in the Department of Transportation by providing objective, fact-based assessments to the DOT team.

This work product was prepared pursuant to section 20.055, Florida Statutes, in accordance with the Association of Inspectors General *Principles and Standards for Offices of Inspector General*, and conforms with The Institute of Internal Auditors' *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing*.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to the department's Office of Inspector General at (850) 410-5800.