Revised: March 2022

## 1 of 3

## Qualification Performance Report (QPR) Field Review Drilled Shaft Inspector

| Project Name/SR#: |  | FPN:                            | District/County:                   | District/County: |    |     |  |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|----|-----|--|
| IA Observer:      |  | Date:                           | Start/Finish Time:                 |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | Insp. Name:  | Insp. Phone/Email:              |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | TIN:   | Employer:                       |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 1                 | nsp. Supervisor:   | <br>Super. Email:               |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   |  |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   |  |                                 | ld review or FT if it is the first |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | Wh   | at is "Error/Warning Level"**   | * of the previous applicable re    | eview:           |    |     |  |
| Pre-Excavation    |  |                                 |                                    |                  | No | N/A |  |
|                   | Does the Inspector have all requir   | ed documents on the jobsite     | , as discussed in the Pre-         |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | Drilled Shaft Meeting?   |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | a. Approved Drilled Shaft Install  | ation Plan                      |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 1                 | b. Approved Mix Design w/ Slun   | np Loss Report                  |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | c. Specifications (job specific)   |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | d. Plans   |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | e. Standard Index / Shop Drawir  | ngs                             |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | Does the inspector have the prope  | er forms and tools to perform   | the inspection (Forms,             |                  |    |     |  |
| 2                 | Tape, Weighted Tape, Level, Othe   | r)? Indicate which forms and    | l/or tools                         |                  |    |     |  |
|                   | were missing:  |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 2                 | Did the inspector verify the contra  | actors equipment on-site mat    | ches the approved                  |                  |    |     |  |
| 3                 | equipment in the DSIP? (Rig,Crane,Pump, etc)   |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 4                 | Has the on-site foreman been veri  |                                 | ?                                  |                  |    |     |  |
| 5                 | Have the shaft elevations been ve  | <u> </u>                        |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 6                 | Was the inspector able to verify e   |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
|                   |  | Excavation                      |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 7                 | Did the inspector verify the contractor properly set up the drill rig (rig level, kelly bar plumb, etc)? |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 8                 | Are the in-out times properly reco   | rded on the drilled shaft log?  | }                                  |                  |    |     |  |
| C                 | Did the inspector properly classify  | and record the materials on     | the log as the excavation          |                  |    |     |  |
| 9                 | progressed?  |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 10                | Did the inspector record unforese  | en soil conditions (organics, s | soft zones, voids, etc)?           |                  |    |     |  |
| 11                | Did the inspector record the casin   | g length, diameters, elevatio   | ns (top and bottom)?*              |                  |    |     |  |
| 12                | Did the inspector verify the slurry  | was premixed?*                  |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 13                | Did the inspector verify the slurry  | testing and record the result   | s?                                 |                  |    |     |  |
| 14                | Did the inspector verify that the sl condition before use?   | lurry testing equipment was o   | calibrated, clean and in good      |                  |    |     |  |
| 15                | Did the inspector record the time  | the slurry was introduced?      |                                    |                  |    |     |  |
| 16                | Was the cleanliness of the shaft be concrete placement (Weighted ta Indicate method(s) used              |                                 |                                    |                  |    |     |  |

Revised: March 2022

N/A 2 of 3 **Excavation Continued** Did the inspector verify that the shaft had a flat bottom? 17 Was the fluid of the shaft sampled and tested before continuing the excavation below the 18 casing, and during excavation in accordance with 455-15.8.1? Was the fluid of the shaft sampled and tested before placing concrete, at the bottom of the 19 excavation, and at the depth intervals required by 455-15.8.4? 20 Were the viscosity limits entered correctly into the slurry/fluid testing form? Did the inspector verify the required head pressure above the highest expected 21 piezometric water table was maintained as required by Specification 455-15.8.2 (Mineral Slurry), or 455-15.8.3 (Polymer Slurry)? If concrete delivery took more than 1 hour, did the inspector recheck the cleanliness of the 22 bottom later on? **Rebar Cage / Bolts** Was the rebar cage checked by the inspector, to verify it meets the required specifications 23 in the plans / index?\* Did the inspector verify that the intersecting bars of the rebar cage had been properly tied 24 as required in the specifications /plans / index? \* Have the side spacers and bottom spacers been verified to be the correct model, number, diameter and spacing as required by the specifications and drilled shaft installation plan?\* 25 Did the Inspector verify the cage was tied and supported so that the cage will remain within 26 allowable tolerances? Have the CSL tubes been verified for spacing, alignment, cleanliness, and the proper 27 number?\* Did the inspector verify that the CSL tubes will tip at the bottom of the hole and that a 30" 28 minimum extension will be provided to facilitate testing?\* Have any splices been verified to be in accordance with Specification 455-16.2?\* 29 Has the inspector verified that all temporary internal stiffeners been removed as the cage 30 was lowered into the shaft? Have the CSL tubes been filled with water and checked with a mock probe prior to 31 introduction of concrete?\* Did the inspector verify the bolts to be the proper length and diameter as required in the 32 plans / index (if any)?\* Did the inspector verify the top of reinforcement cage to be within tolerance from the 32 plans / index requirement? Indicate Method of supporting cage (Suspended or Supported on bottom spacers): Concrete 33 Did the inspector verify that the end of the pump line or tremie is sealed?\* In wet holes, did the inspector verify that the tremie/pump line had enough weight and 34 |length to rest at the bottom of the excavation before starting concrete placement?\* In dry holes, did the inspector verify that the tremie was long enough to avoid more than 5 35 ft free fall?\* Did the placement of the concrete started within the time limits in the specs to avoid 36 overreaming? 37 If "NO" to #36, was overreaming performed? 38 Were the concrete trucks verified for compliance with the transit time?\*

Revised: March 2022

|  | Concrete Continued   | Yes     | No     | N/A | 3 of |
|--|--|---------|--------|-----|------|
| 39   | Did the concrete slump, temp, water-cement ratio and air content testing pass in the field?                                      |         |        |     |      |
| 40   | Did the inspector verify that the tremie was embedded at all times at least 10 ft as per specifications?*                        |         |        |     |      |
| 41   | Did the inspector verify that the tremie was not leaking prior to use (with a dry cloth or paper attached to the weighted tape)? |         |        |     |      |
| 42   | Was concrete overpoured until good quality concrete was evident?*  |         |        |     |      |
| 43   | Did the inspector verify that the concrete was placed within the time limits allowed by the slump loss test?*                    |         |        |     |      |
| 44   | Have the CSL tubes been verified to still be full of water by the inspector, after completion of concrete placement?*            |         |        |     |      |
| 45   | Was the Drilled Shaft Concrete Volumes Form maintained during concrete placement and plotted correctly? *                        |         |        |     |      |
| 46   | Was the elapsed time per 455-17.2 for concrete verified to have been within the slump loss time?*                                |         |        |     |      |
|  |  | Yes     | No     |     | •    |
| Were all items during this field review performed/demonstrated in accordance with qualification standards? |  |         |        |     |      |
|  | If "NO" to above , what is the number of unsatisfactory items with asterisk?   |         |        |     |      |
|  | What is the "Error/Warning Level" ** after this IA field review?   |         |        |     |      |
| ADD  | ITIONAL NOTES/COMMENTS FROM THIS FIELD REVIEW:   |         |        |     |      |
|  |  |         |        |     |      |
|  |  |         |        |     |      |
| * Fc:  | lure in one or more items marked with an asterisk will be grounds for an increase in the "Error/                                 | \A/aws: | na l a | الم |      |

<sup>\*\*-&</sup>quot;Error/Warning Level": 0-Review has no unsatisfactory items, 1-Verbal, 2-Written, 3-Revocation