

State Environmental Impact Report QA/QC Checklist

February 2026

Project Name:

ETDM #: FM#:

Project Limits:

Consultant Project Manager: Date:

PD&E Project Manager: Date:

Environmental Administrator: Date:

Reviewer 1 Complete: Date:

Reviewer 2 Complete: Date:

Reviewer 3 Complete: Date:

Additional Information:

The purpose of this checklist is to facilitate the preparation and review of quality State Environmental Impact Reports (SEIRs) and to ensure the StateWide Environmental Project Tracker (SWEPT) project file is maintained. This checklist is applicable to Florida Department of Transportation’s (FDOT) Florida Turnpike Enterprise (FTE) Project Managers (PMs), FTE Quality Control (QC) reviewers of SEIRs, and consultant preparers. This checklist covers common QC items but is not intended to be all inclusive. The preparer will comply with the requirements set forth in Part 1, Chapter 10 (State, Local, or Privately Funded Project Delivery) of the Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Manual and all other applicable chapters of

the PD&E Manual. Information to include in each section is found in the [PD&E Manual](#) chapter referenced next to each section heading.

All SEIRs are required to go through a Quality Assurance (QA)/QC check before submittal to FTE (see Office of Environmental Management’s (OEM) [QA/QC Tools for PD&E Studies](#)). During this process the following general items should be addressed:

Consultant	FTE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Remove any blank pages and check for other formatting issues by reviewing a pdf version of the document closely
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Spell out acronyms the first time they are used
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ensure all figures and graphics are legible
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Check that figures are numbered and referenced correctly
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Review for clarity
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Document should be written from an FDOT perspective, not from a perspective of consultant writing it on behalf of FDOT (e.g., there should not be statements such as “It is recommended FDOT provide/conduct/consider...”) The document should state what actions FDOT will take
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use correct terminology/tense (e.g., instead of saying “should” use the word “will” and “FDOT will” rather than “we recommend”)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Do not include subjective information (e.g., stating opinion rather than facts, overexaggerating, fluff)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Do not repeat language covered in standard statements generated by SWEPT in the SEIR form
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Do not include the same information in different sections of the document. Include it in one location and add either a reference to it or include a summary of it in the other locations
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use the term ‘preferred alternative’ instead of the term ‘build alternative’
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) Degree of Effect should not be included in the text
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Do not include the names of people or consulting firms in the body of the document
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ensure all applicable boxes in the Environmental Analysis Summary are checked
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If enhanced is selected, make sure the rationale is included in the document
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Upload documents as Technical Materials or Attachments according to the SEIR Attachments vs. Technical Materials Appendix

Consultant	FTE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Check that all attachments, Technical Materials, and other documents referenced in the SEIR are in the SWEPT project file and include them in the correct folder according to the SWEPT Project File Organization Guide
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in the text should be consistent with information included in the SWEPT project file documents
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Include references to items included as attachments and identify that they are attached
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The attachment list should include all of the attachments mentioned throughout the document
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The following should not be attached to the SEIR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Advanced Notification (AN) package • The ETDM Summary Report • Technical Memos, instead they should be included as Technical Materials
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If Technical Material was prepared for an issue/resource, it should be mentioned in the applicable section and the document title should match the title of the document in the SWEPT project file. (e.g., A protected species and habitat assessment was conducted, and the results are summarized in the Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE))
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Technical Materials list should include all of the Technical Materials mentioned throughout the document

Before the consultant submits the SEIR to FTE, the items in the following sections should be checked. If the item is not applicable to the project, select N/A.

Cover Pages

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Confirm that the correct information is displayed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Project Information

PROJECT DESCRIPTION *(Part 2, Chapter 1, Project Description and Purpose and Need)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Briefly describes the proposed action in terms of location, length, and termini of the project and typical section(s). Both the county and city names are included	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Includes existing conditions of the transportation facility, including existing bridges and overpasses, as well as accommodations for pedestrians, bicyclists, and navigational needs as applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		An appropriate level of engineering detail is used to describe the preferred alternative such as alignment, number of lanes, their width, major structures, medians and safety improvements, multi-modal accommodation, and estimated Right of Way to be acquired and construction year	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Existing and proposed typical sections are included (either “in-line” within the SEIR, or as an attachment with a reference in this section)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project description is consistent with the project description in the ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report. It doesn’t have to match exactly, but should not change the initial intent of the project	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in the Project Description is consistent with the project description in the Preliminary Engineering Report (PER)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		A project location map is included (either “in-line” within the SEIR, or attached)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The project location map displays any landmarks mentioned in describing the project (i.e., street/road names, cities, towns, rivers, airports)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

PURPOSE AND NEED *(Part 2, Chapter 1, Project Description and Purpose and Need)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Purpose and Need statement is consistent with the Purpose and Need statement in the ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report. Elements of need are the same as stated in the ETDM Programming Screen Summary Report. It doesn't have to match exactly	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Purpose and Need statement matches exactly what is in the PER	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Purpose and Need statement does not state the solution	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Needs discussed in detail are the same Needs mentioned in the Purpose and Need statement, with the exception of Status – which must always be included in the discussion of Needs	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Needs are supported by data, such as Level or Service or Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) growth plans	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If traffic and/or crash data has been updated since the project was entered into ETDM, ensure that the updated data is used in this section and matches the information in the PER	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

PLANNING CONSISTENCY (Part 1, Chapter 4, Project Development Process and Part 2, Chapter 1, Project Description and Purpose and Need)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If planning consistency is not available, a statement is auto-populated to document that it isn't available and the steps required to obtain planning consistency or an explanation as to why planning consistency isn't available is included	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The applicable Cost Feasible Plan of the Long Range Transportation Plan (CFP LRTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and Current State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) pages are up to date, referenced, and attached	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project information (name, limits, scope, costs, and timeframe) is discussed and is consistent between the LRTP, TIP, and STIP. Minor variations in project limits can be acceptable, as long as there are no major scope changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Full project costs in the TIP do not exceed 20% and \$2 Million dollars of what is programmed in the STIP	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Full project costs in the LRTP do not exceed 50% and \$50 Million of what is programmed in the TIP/STIP	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	An amendment to either the LRTP or STIP/TIP is not needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	At least one subsequent phase of the entire project is in the CFP LRTP. If the next phase for the entire project is not in the CFP, then at least one segment of the project is fully funded in the CFP through construction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Community Effects

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A Sociocultural Data Report (SDR) and/or Community Impact Assessment (CIA) Technical Memorandum was prepared, is incorporated by reference, and is included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>

SOCIAL (Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Clearly summarizes the potential for the project to affect community groups, neighborhoods, and variables of local community concern. Describes the likelihood of disproportionate impacts and discusses whether there are any areas of controversy resulting directly or indirectly from the project	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Includes a description of how the CIA was accomplished, including but not limited to the buffer used	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Discusses the potential to impact community cohesion, community characteristics, special community designation, safety/emergency response, demographics, and community goals and quality of life	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Civil Rights Act standard statement is on the cover page of the SEIR and is not repeated in this section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Demographic information is presented in a table and corresponds with the most recent available census data	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Demographic breakdowns are by both project area and a larger reference community, such as county	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

ECONOMIC (Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Summarizes the project's potential effect on economic activity in the study area, local area, and region	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If enhancement is selected, it includes a strong argument or documentation (i.e., study by Florida Department of Commerce or others) supporting the ideas that the project will enhance the economic conditions of the area	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

LAND USE CHANGES (Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses the potential for the project to induce secondary development or change existing land use patterns	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes discussion of agricultural lands that are not subject to the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A land use map is attached	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

MOBILITY (Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Discusses the potential to enhance or impact mobility and accessibility in the study area with emphasis on non-driving population groups (i.e., elderly, young, disabled, transit dependent, and low-income individuals). Includes the method of the evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If enhancement is selected an explanation is included	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

AESTHETIC EFFECTS (Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment and Part 2, Chapter 5, Aesthetic Effects)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Summarizes the project's aesthetic effects evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Discussion does not include perceived commitments that are not actual commitments (e.g., possible landscaping in the future)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

RELOCATION POTENTIAL *(Part 2, Chapter 4, Community Impact Assessment)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Includes discussion of relocation potential	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable relocation-related standard statement(s) is included (auto-populated by SWEPT for No Relocations, Relocations, and No Comparable Replacement Housing)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If relocations are anticipated, includes the number and type of relocatees (residents, tenants, businesses, institutions, or community facilities), and discusses the relocation impact to groups protected by nondiscrimination laws	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If relocations are anticipated and comparable replacement housing is available, replacement housing availability is discussed. If comparable replacement housing is not available, the applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right of way impacts have been described	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan (CSRP) is in the SWEPT project file and is incorporated by reference	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Cultural Resources

FLORIDA HISTORICAL RESOURCES ACT, CHAPTER 267, FLORIDA STATUTES (F.S.): *(Part 2, Chapter 7, Section 4(f) Resources; Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historical Resources)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the presence/absence of eligible resources and the standard Finding	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If a federal permit is required, discusses the potential to impact Section 106 resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses the methodology, area of potential effects (APE), and cultural resources (including tribal cultural resources) found within the APE	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses the significance (National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility) of each evaluated cultural resource. An effect finding for each resource has been briefly discussed. Effect findings reflect FDOT's determination. Example of correct statement: The FDOT <u>determined</u> that site 8SM01368 is ineligible for the NRHP and no further work is needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Coordination with the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)/State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) is discussed, and the text is consistent with the SHPO coordination letter	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The FDHR/SHPO concurrence letter is attached, it is noted in the text that it is attached, and the date of concurrence is included in the text	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS) Report or Technical Memorandum is included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Site numbers and eligibility determinations are consistent throughout the text	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes any commitments and/or Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) stipulations related to the treatment of, effects upon, or disposition of historic properties	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The MOA or FDHR Commitment Letter is included as an attachment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

SECTION 6(f) OF THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND ACT OF 1965 (Part 2, Chapter 7, Section 4(f) Resources)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the presence/absence of Section 6(f) protected resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If present, includes the formal name of all park and recreational properties funded wholly or in part under Section 6(f) within the project study area	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses any project-related impacts to these properties	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies any Right of Way or other acquisition from these resources which may be required to complete the project	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Documents the National Park Service's (NPS) position relative to Section 6(f) conversion	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

RECREATIONAL AREAS AND PROTECTED LANDS (Part 2, Chapter 7, Section 4(f) Resources and Part 2, Chapter 23, State-owned Upland Conservation Land Coordination)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Identifies if there are state-owned conservation lands in the project area subject to review and approval by the Acquisition and Restoration Council (ARC)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If present, includes a description of the resources and how the project may impact them	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses impacts to recreational or public lands and coordination with Official with Jurisdiction (OWJ) and/or land managing agencies. Correspondence is included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Natural Resources

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE) has been prepared, is referenced in each applicable section, and is saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>

WETLANDS AND OTHER SURFACE WATERS (Part 2, Chapter 9, Wetlands and Other Surface Waters)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the absence of wetlands and surface waters or that an evaluation was conducted pursuant to Presidential Executive Order 11990 of 1977 as amended, Protection of Wetlands and the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Order 5660.1A, Preservation of the Nation's Wetlands	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes discussion of estimated acres of wetland and surface waters impact, functional loss, and mitigation needs	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of wetland impacts include a discussion on secondary and cumulative impacts	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures are discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes potential wetland mitigation options (mitigation bank, in lieu fee, or permittee responsible – including Water Management District (WMD) mitigation services) and the wetlands mitigation standard statement: <i>Wetland impacts which will result from the construction of this project will be mitigated pursuant to Section 373.4137, F.S., to satisfy all mitigation requirements of Part IV of Chapter 373, F.S., and 33 U.S.C. §1344.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Agency coordination is referenced and copies of correspondences are available in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

AQUATIC PRESERVES AND OUTSTANDING FLORIDA WATERS (Part 2, Chapter 11, Water Resources)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Project involvement with an aquatic preserve is documented. Any aquatic preserves which may be within the project limits are identified and discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate harm to aquatic preserves are discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If coordination with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) was required, coordination is discussed, and the coordination letter is attached, referenced in the text, and saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project involvement with an Outstanding Florida Water (OFW) is documented	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If there are any OFWs in the project area they are identified and impacts to them are discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

WATER RESOURCES *(Part 2, Chapter 11, Water Resources)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Project's potential involvement with surface water bodies and groundwater and their designations has been briefly discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		A discussion of the pre- and post-development discharge and treatment methods is included	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Includes if a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction Permit is needed along with development of the Stormwater Runoff Control Concept (SRCC) (FDOT Design Manual 251) during Design	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Expected Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) permits are referenced and listed in the Permits section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes a summary of the best management practices (BMPs) which will be implemented to address potential water quality and stormwater impacts during construction	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If a Pond Siting Report (PSR) and/or Stormwater Management Alternatives Report (SMART) was prepared, it is referenced in the text and saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section summarizes and matches the information in the PSR and/or SMART	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Water Quality Impact Evaluation (WQIE) Checklist has been completed, is summarized, referenced, and is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS *(Part 2, Chapter 12, Wild and Scenic Rivers)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the absence, presence with no adverse effect, or presence of a Wild and Scenic River or other protected river and that the evaluation was conducted pursuant to the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project involves either a study river or a river designated as a federal Wild and Scenic River, required consultation with the NPS was conducted. The NPS letter is referenced as Technical Material and included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project involvement with river segments identified in the Nationwide River Inventory (NRI) is documented	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project involvement with Florida Wild and Scenic Rivers (Myakka River) is documented and any correspondence with FDEP is summarized, referenced as Technical Material, and saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If designated waters are present and not impacted, details are provided to support the determination	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If there are impacts to designated waters, details on impacts are discussed along with agency coordination	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

FLOODPLAINS *(Part 2, Chapter 13, Floodplains)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the absence or presence and evaluation of floodplains pursuant to Executive Order 11988 of 1977, Floodplain Management	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Summarizes the project involvement with the floodplain based on the results of the floodplain analysis	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Summarizes the project's consistency with the floodway and coordination with Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and local floodway management agencies	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Includes a discussion of the risks associated with the project implementation, impacts to floodplains values, and support of incompatible floodplain development	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Floodplains finding according to EO 11988 is included (required only if the project may become an FDOT Federal Project or if a federal permit may be needed)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If a Location Hydraulics Report (LHR) and/or Bridge Hydraulic Report (BHR) was prepared it is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section matches the information in the LHR or BHR	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

PROTECTED SPECIES AND HABITAT (Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the absence of listed species or that an evaluation was conducted pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A table or discussion is provided with the listing status of the federally- and state-listed species and their effect determinations, along with Critical Habitat (table recommended for clarity)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information is included on other protected species. Non-listed species do not have an effect determination	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes an explanation of how and why effect determinations were made	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes date(s) of field review(s) and observations of species	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project "may affect" a listed species and the project will not require a federal permit/action, coordination with the Service(s) has begun to determine whether an Incidental Take Permit under Section 10 of the ESA will be required and that coordination is summarized in the text	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project "may affect" a listed species and the project will require a federal permit, Section 7 Technical Assistance has been conducted with the Service(s) and is summarized in the text	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	When a species key is used and is not documented in an NRE, the key used is discussed with a description of the steps showing the path or the key is attached with the path highlighted	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Any conservation measures required as a result of using a species key are included as a commitment	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical assistance letters from resource agencies are attached (if required) along with a species and habitat map as necessary	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes correspondence with the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) on protected plants as an attachment	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)/National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) review, Technical Assistance response(s), and date(s) of coordination activity(s)/response(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes measures to be taken to minimize impacts to protected species	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Commitments are included with sufficient context to understand the purpose of the commitment	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) is required under Section 10 of the ESA and has not yet been acquired, includes a summary of the Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and/or HCP status, a summary of coordination with the USFWS or NMFS to date, the reason(s) why the ITP has not yet been acquired, documentation that the USFWS or NMFS agrees that it is reasonable to assume that the ITP can be issued prior to construction, and includes a commitment to continue/complete the ITP process	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 7 consultation will be initiated, if warranted, by the lead federal agency (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)/ U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)) during permitting	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT *(Part 2, Chapter 17, Essential Fish Habitat)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), documenting the absence or presence of Essential Fish Habitat (EFH), and if present identifying if there is an adverse effect or not, or if there is no involvement	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	An EFH Assessment (as part of the NRE) has been prepared	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	NMFS EFH Technical Assistance correspondence is included as an attachment and along with the NRE are included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Only EFH (and no ESA) discussion is included in this section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Any coordination or technical assistance with NMFS related to EFH, including recommended conservation measures, is discussed in this section	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

Physical Resources

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC NOISE (Part 2, Chapter 18, Highway Traffic Noise)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Identifies that it is a Type I or Type III project pursuant to 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 772 and Section 335.17, Florida Statutes (F.S.) and the applicable standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes the location of noise sensitive sites and common noise environments (CNEs).	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Identifies potential construction noise or vibration impacts in this section and in the Noise Study Report (NSR). See Section 18.2.6.5 of the Highway Traffic Noise chapter of the PD&E Manual for a sample construction noise and vibration statement	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The NSR is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land uses and sensitive receptors have been identified	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Receptor numbers and CNEs are consistent with those in the NSR	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Considerations of noise abatement measures are summarized. This includes a discussion of each impacted noise environment and whether noise abatement is reasonable and feasible	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures are identified, a Statement of Likelihood similar to the following is included: <i>The Florida Department of Transportation is committed to the construction of feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures at the noise impacted locations identified in (insert a table or figure which shows proposed location and physical description of noise abatement measures determined feasible and reasonable) contingent upon the following conditions:</i> <i>1. Final recommendations on the construction of abatement measures is determined during the project's final design and through the public involvement process;</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>

			<p>2. Detailed noise analyses during the final design process support the need, feasibility and reasonableness of providing abatement;</p> <p>3. Cost analysis indicates that the cost of the noise barrier(s) will not exceed the cost reasonable criterion;</p> <p>4. Community input supporting types, heights, and locations of the noise barrier(s) is provided to the District Office; and</p> <p>5. Safety and engineering aspects as related to the roadway user and the adjacent property owner have been reviewed and any conflicts or issues resolved.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>If no feasible or reasonable noise abatement measures are identified, a statement similar to the following is included: <i>Based on the noise analyses performed to date, there are no feasible solutions available to mitigate the noise impacts at the locations identified in (insert a table or figure which shows proposed location and physical description of noise abatement measures determined not feasible or reasonable).</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If noise abatement criteria are met a commitment is added to the commitment section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section summarizes and matches the information in the in the NSR	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

AIR QUALITY (Part 2, Chapter 19, Air Quality)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The standard statement is included (auto-populated by SWEPT), which discusses project's location within an attainment area, that level of services will remain the same or better, and that construction related impacts will be minimized	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Summarizes the potential project impact on air quality	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The screening model used is identified	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Air Quality Technical Memorandum is referenced and is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section summarizes and matches the information in the Air Quality Technical Memorandum	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

CONTAMINATION *(Part 2, Chapter 20, Contamination)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A discussion or table is provided identifying the low, medium and high ranked contamination sites (table recommended for clarity)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes avoidance or minimization efforts, if applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER) is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section summarizes and matches the information in the CSER	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes a statement that all medium and high ranked sites will undergo a Level II assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

UTILITIES AND RAILROADS *(Part 2, Chapter 21, Utilities and Railroads)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Involvement with utilities and/or rail systems is discussed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Utilities Assessment Package is mentioned and is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Information in this section summarizes and matches the information in the Utilities Assessment Package	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the Utility Agency/Owners (UAOs) are listed out, contact names and phone numbers are not included	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Summary of utility or railroad evaluation does not include estimated costs	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project involves a rail crossing or work near railroad Right of Way, a summary of the coordination is included and documentation is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

CONSTRUCTION *(Part 2, Chapter 3, Engineering Analysis)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Discusses all direct impacts resulting from the actual construction of the proposed project. For example, construction-phase noise, vibration, air, water quality, natural resources, visual, traffic, safety, and access impacts	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Summarizes the measures that will be taken to minimize potential construction impacts	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Identifies if a NPDES Construction Permit is needed along with development of the required Stormwater Runoff Control Concept (SRCC) (FDOT Design Manual 251) during Design	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Information regarding avoidance and minimization measures during construction is not repetitive within the section	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

BICYCLES AND PEDESTRIANS *(Part 2, Chapter 3, Engineering Analysis)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses the existing bicycle and pedestrian features within the project limits	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses the current and anticipated use of bicycle and pedestrian facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses any impacts to the existing bicycle and pedestrian features within the project limits. If any existing major routes for non-motorized transportation would be severed, provides a reasonable, alternative route or demonstrates that such a route exists	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses any proposed measures to avoid or reduce adverse impacts to bicycle and pedestrian facilities and their uses	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discusses any proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities and improvements to existing facilities and explains the basis for providing the facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

NAVIGATION *(Part 1, Chapter 16, United States Coast Guard Projects and Navigation)*

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If there is no involvement with navigation, states why there is no involvement and discusses how this determination was made. Any relevant coordination documents are saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project is within USCG jurisdiction, but no USCG permit is needed, discusses the navigational analysis and coordination with USCG Southeast District (for FDOT Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7, and FTE within the boundaries of FDOT Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7) and/or the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)/USCG Heartland District (for FDOT District 3 and FTE within the boundaries of District 3)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project is within USCG Heartland District (for FDOT District 3 and FTE within the boundaries of District 3) and a USCG permit may be required, a Bridge Project Questionnaire (BPQ) has been prepared, is discussed with the navigational analysis, and is saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies and discusses the navigable waterways, as well as any impacts or improvements to said waterways per alternative	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project requires a USCG permit, states that the permit is required, discusses USCG and/or FHWA (USCG Heartland District) coordination, and the permit is listed in the Permits section	<input type="checkbox"/>

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If the project requires a USCG permit, the navigational impacts are discussed to the level required by USCG to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act, the USCG has reviewed the SEIR, and any comments received from USCG have been addressed in the SEIR	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Coordination emails, letters, letter for preliminary determination of navigational clearance, or other supporting information are included as Technical Materials	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Permits (Part 1, Chapter 12, Environmental Permits)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The permit status is included for each permit type	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

Engineering Analysis Support (Part 2, Chapter 3, Engineering Analysis)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The most applicable engineering document is selected. This is most often the PER, Bridge Development Report (BDR), or Bridge Replacement Report (BRR). This document is included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The executed Typical Section Package is included in the PER or included in the SWEPT project file if submitted separately from the PER	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

Project Commitments (Part 2, Chapter 22, Commitments)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Commitments included in technical documents, letters, and discussed in other sections of the SEIR are listed in this section	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The reason for the commitments listed are discussed in the applicable section of the SEIR	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Commitments do not include measures that are requirements of FDOT procedure such as items detailed in the standard specifications, manuals, and handbooks	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Commitments are consistent with OEM's Standard Environmental Commitments Guidance	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

Public Involvement (Part 1, Chapter 11, Public Involvement)

Yes	No	N/A		FTE QA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Summarizes the public involvement activities, including those outside of the public hearing (e.g., kickoff meetings, public information meetings, newsletters, flyers, and small group meetings)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The SEIR approved for public availability and displayed for the public hearing is saved in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Public Involvement Plan (PIP) is in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The dates of meetings included in the SEIR match the dates in the comments and coordination documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The discussion of meetings includes a summary of the meeting comments and responses	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Meeting minutes, summaries, and materials are included in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Public Hearing Transcript and Public Hearing Certification Form are referenced and attached	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Includes a summary of public hearing comments received on the project as well as responses	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies if public hearing comments were in support of the project	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Advertisement/notifications for hearing/meeting notices are in the comments and coordination documentation or in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		References the documentation of comments and responses in the SWEPT project file	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:				

APPENDIX

State Environmental Impact Report

Attachments vs. Technical Material

State Environmental Impact Report

Attachments vs. Technical Materials

The SEIR allows for Attachments and Technical Materials for any resource or issue. The Attachments and Technical Materials described below generally follow those specifically noted within the SEIR form to provide users helpful reminders of the most common documents that fall into these categories. Other Attachments or Technical Materials described below may not be reflected in the form but are expected when applicable to a given project. Some projects will not require all the listed attachments below based on project involvement and impacts. Please evaluate each project as necessary.

The District may include additional Attachments at their discretion to better reflect analysis and potential impacts on specific resources, if necessary. Examples of these optional Attachments are shown in [blue](#) below. Attachments are considered to be a part of the SEIR document. Attachments support the findings of the document. This may include concurrence letters, determinations of effect, and MOUs.

Additionally, some projects may need to refer to additional Technical Materials as appropriate. Technical Materials are documents contained under separate cover. They should be referenced in the SEIR and are included in the SWEPT project file. This includes technical reports (e.g., Project Traffic Analysis Report, Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan, Natural Resources Evaluation), technical memorandums, and studies.

Be sure Attachments and Technical Materials are described/named clearly as they will be listed in the SEIR.

ATTACHMENTS - Attach to SEIR. Note: All maps may be embedded in the document or attached.

Project Information:

- Project Location Map

Planning Consistency:

- Planning Consistency Package (Cost Feasible Plan of the Long Range Transportation Plan (CFP LRTP), Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), and Current State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) pages)

Social and Economic:

- Existing and Future Land Use Maps

Cultural Resources:

- Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)/State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)/Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) Concurrence Letters
- Section 106 Resource Map, with location(s) of sensitive resource(s) redacted
- Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement

Natural Resources:

- Endangered Species Act Technical Assistance Correspondence
- Correspondence with Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) and Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS)
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Aquatic Preserves Coordination Letters
- Species and Habitat Map
- Essential Fish Habitat Technical Assistance Correspondence
- Wetlands Map
- Floodplains Map

Physical Resources:

- Noise Map(s)
- Potential Contamination Site Map

Public Involvement:

- Public Hearing Certification
- Public Hearing Transcript

TECHNICAL MATERIALS - Reference in the SEIR by using the Find Technical Materials function in the SWEPT, when applicable.

Community Effects:

- Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan (CSRP)
- Community Impact Assessment (CIA) Technical Memorandum
- Sociocultural Data Report (SDR)

Cultural Resources:

- Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS) or Technical Memorandum
- Section 106 Programmatic Agreement Desk Top Review Documentation
- Section 106 Case Study Report

Natural Resources:

- Natural Resource Evaluation (NRE) or Technical Memorandum
- Location Hydraulics Report (LHR)/ Bridge Hydraulic Report (BHR)
- Pond Siting Report (PSR)/Conceptual Drainage Design Report
- Stormwater Management Alternatives Report (SMART)
- Water Quality Impact Evaluation (WQIE)
- National Park Service (NPS) Wild and Scenic Rivers Act: Section 7 determinations and correspondence
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Florida Wild and Scenic River correspondence

Physical Resources:

- Noise Study Report (NSR)
- Air Quality Technical Memorandum
- Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER) or Level I Report Technical Memorandum
- Utilities Assessment Package
- Navigation Impact Report
- Bridge Project Questionnaire

Engineering Analysis Support:

- Preliminary Engineering Report (PER)
- Interchange Access Request Report

- Project Traffic Analysis Report
- Safety Analysis Memorandum
- Roundabout Technical Memorandum
- Intersection Control Evaluation Forms
- Bridge Development Report
- Bridge Replacement Report
- Design Exceptions/Variation Package
- Value Engineering Study Report
- Typical Section Package

Public Involvement:

- Public Involvement Plan (PIP)
- Summary of Public Involvement (SPI)
- Final Notifications Package