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PART 1, CHAPTER 12
ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

12.1 OVERVIEW

Pursuant to 23 United States Code (U.S.C.) § 327 and the implementing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) executed on December 14, 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has assumed and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has assigned its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for highway projects on the State Highway System (SHS) and Local Agency Program (LAP) projects off the SHS (NEPA Assignment). In general, FDOT’s assumption includes all highway projects in Florida which source of federal funding comes from FHWA or which constitute a federal action through FHWA. NEPA Assignment includes responsibility for environmental review, interagency consultation and other activities pertaining to the review or approval of NEPA actions. Consistent with law and the MOU, FDOT will be the Lead Federal Agency for highway projects with approval authority resting in the Office of Environmental Management (OEM).

This chapter details the environmental permits that may be required for transportation projects as well as coordination required with regulatory agencies and within the FDOT from project planning through construction and maintenance. The need to obtain an environmental permit for a transportation project is dictated by the project’s impact on a protected resource. Table 12-1 identifies the major federal and state laws, and agency rules and policies associated with environmental permits. It is not meant to be all inclusive. For qualifying projects, FDOT’s Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process is initiated during the Planning phase where resource agencies review projects to identify potentially affected resources and permits that FDOT may need to obtain. See Section 12.3.2 for guidance on projects that qualify for ETDM screening.

In the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) phase, FDOT develops technical reports as needed, completes environmental analyses, and ultimately identifies the alternative to be designed. Resource impacts are evaluated, mitigation options are considered, and commitments may be made with regulatory/resource agencies. Information gathered during PD&E can be used in environmental permit applications. More guidance on preparing for permitting during PD&E is provided in Section 12.3.3.

Permitting is generally initiated during the Design phase (i.e., typically after Phase II design plans or 60% plans), but can be advanced in the PD&E phase if enough detailed information regarding project and resource impacts is available. In order to prepare for and efficiently navigate the permitting process, a project should avoid and minimize impacts to assure regulatory agencies that the project would not result in unacceptable
impacts to environmental resources under their purview. After FDOT meets the regulatory agency’s permitting criteria, the permit is issued. Guidance on the permitting process in the Design phase is provided in Section 12.3.5.

During Construction, FDOT ensures environmental permit compliance and confirms that permit conditions are addressed as specified in the contract documents. Environmental permit compliance is handled by the Construction Office. Guidance on environmental permitting issues during Construction is provided in Section 12.3.6. An additional resource for environmental permitting is the Permit Handbook, published by OEM.

12.1.1 District Roles and Responsibilities

The District ETDM Coordinator screens qualifying projects in the Environmental Screening Tool (EST) and provides agency comments to the PD&E Project Manager and Permit Coordinator. See the ETDM Manual, Topic No. 650-000-002 for details on ETDM Coordinator and Permit Coordinator responsibilities during ETDM.

The District prepares the appropriate Environmental Document and supporting technical reports.

The Permit Coordinator is ultimately responsible for obtaining the necessary project permits. They are also tasked with coordinating with Environmental Office staff during PD&E, reviewing the Environmental Document and applicable technical reports, coordinating with resource agencies during project permitting, and coordinating with Construction later in the project as necessary. The responsibilities of the Permit Coordinator may also be handled by other District staff, such as District Environmental Permitting Engineer, Environmental Permits Coordinator, or Drainage Engineer. Throughout the remainder of this chapter this position will be referred to as the Permit Coordinator.

Design Project Managers in coordination with the District Drainage Engineer and Permit Coordinator ensure that permits are obtained in accordance with the project schedule and the project design incorporates appropriate environmental commitments.

The Construction Project Administrator is responsible for compliance during the Construction phase. For more information on the responsibilities of the Construction Project Administrator, please see Section 8.2, Environmental Permit Compliance of the Construction Project Administration Manual (CPAM), Topic No. 700-000-000.

12.1.2 Definitions

The definitions below are used throughout this chapter.
As-Built Drawings - Plans certified by a registered professional engineer that accurately represent the constructed condition of a project, including identifying any substantial deviations from the permitted design. See Rule 62-330.310(4)(a)1, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency Determination - A finding that an activity that affects land or water uses, or natural resources in a state’s coastal zone complies with that state’s federally-approved Coastal Management Program. See 33 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 330.4(d)(1) and 33 CFR § 325.2(b)(2).

Compensatory Mitigation (federal definition) - The restoration, establishment, enhancement, or protection/maintenance of wetlands and/or other aquatic resources for the purpose of compensating for unavoidable adverse impacts which remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization have been achieved via the mandatory federal mitigation sequence process. See 33 CFR § 332.2.

Critical Habitat - For federally listed species, critical habitat consists of: (1) the specific areas within the geographical area occupied by the species, at the time it is listed in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), on which are found those physical or biological features (constituent elements) (a) essential to the conservation of the species and (b) which may require special management considerations or protection; and (2) specific areas outside the geographical area occupied by the species at the time it is listed in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of the Act, upon a determination by the Secretary that such areas are essential for the conservation of the species [ESA § 3 (5)(A)]. Designated critical habitats are described in 50 CFR §§ 17 and 226.

Design-Build (DB) - A project delivery contracting method whereby one entity performs both design and construction under one single contract.

Design-Bid-Build - A project delivery method whereby the contracting agency either performs the design work in-house or negotiates with an engineering design firm to prepare drawings and specifications under a design services contract, and then separately contracts for at-risk construction by engaging a contractor through competitive bidding.

Direct Impact - Project impacts to environmental resources, water quality, water quantity, protected species or cultural/historical resources caused by the action within FDOT Right of Way (ROW) or construction footprint.

Discharge of Dredged Material - Any addition of dredged material into, including redeposit of dredged material other than incidental fallback within, the waters of the United States. See 33 CFR § 323.2(d).

Discharge of Fill Material - The addition of fill material into waters of the United States. See 33 CFR § 323.2(f) for the complete definition of this term.
Dredging - Excavation, by any means, in surface waters or wetlands. It also means the excavation, or creation, of a water body which is, or is to be, connected to surface waters or wetlands, as delineated in Section 373.421(1), Florida Statutes (F.S.), directly or via an excavated water body or series of water bodies. See Section 373.403(13), F.S.

Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) - Those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity. For the purpose of interpreting the definition of EFH: "waters" include aquatic areas and their associated physical, chemical, and biological properties that are used by fish and may include aquatic areas historically used by fish where appropriate; "substrate" includes sediment, hard bottom, structures underlying the waters, and associated biological communities; "necessary" means the habitat required to support a sustainable fishery and the managed species' contribution to a healthy ecosystem; and "spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity" covers a species' full life cycle. See 50 CFR § 600.10.

Fill - Material placed in waters of the United States where the material has the effect of: (i) Replacing any portion of a water of the United States with dry land; or (ii) Changing the bottom elevation of any portion of a water of the United States. Examples of such fill material include, but are not limited to: rock, sand, soil, clay, plastics, construction debris, wood chips, overburden from mining or other excavation activities, and materials used to create any structure or infrastructure in the waters of the United States. The term fill material does not include trash or garbage. See 33 CFR § 323.2(e).

Filling - The deposition, by any means, of materials in wetlands or other surface waters, as delineated in Section 373.421(1), F.S. See Section 373.403(14), F.S.

Incidental Fallback - The redeposit of small volumes of dredged material that is incidental to excavation activity in waters of the United States when such material falls back to substantially the same place as the initial removal. Examples of incidental fallback include soil that is disturbed when dirt is shoveled and the back-spill that comes off a bucket when such small volume of soil or dirt falls into substantially the same place from which it was initially removed. See 33 CFR § 323.2(d)(2)(ii).

Incidental Take (federal definition, ESA) - Take of listed fish or wildlife species that results from, but is not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity conducted by a federal agency or applicant, or contractors working on behalf of the applicant. See 50 CFR § 402.02.

Incidental Take (state definition) - Any taking otherwise prohibited, if such taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. See Chapter 68A-27, F.A.C.

Indirect Impact - Those impacts caused by the authorized activity that are not considered direct, and are later in time or farther removed in distance but are still reasonably foreseeable. The terms “indirect” and “secondary” are used interchangeably.
Local Agency Program (LAP) - A program where towns, cities and counties develop, design, and construct transportation facilities with federal funds with oversight conducted by FDOT.

Mitigation (state definition) - An action to off-set the adverse impacts caused by an activity typically consisting of restoration, enhancement, creation, or preservation of wetland resources, or a combination thereof.

Mitigation Sequence - A federal process by which proposed wetland impacts must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable; the remaining unavoidable impacts must then be minimized, and finally compensated for, to the extent appropriate and practicable.

Navigable Waters of the United States - Those waters of the United States that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to the mean high water line and/or those waters that are presently used, or have been used in the past or may be susceptible to use for interstate or foreign commerce. These are waters that are navigable in the traditional sense. Permits are required in these waters pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. See 33 CFR § 329.4.

Ordinary High Water Line (state definition) - For the regulatory purposes of Chapter 62-330, F.A.C., means that point on the slope or bank where the surface water from the water body ceases to exert a dominant influence on the character of the surrounding vegetation and soils. The ordinary high water line frequently encompasses areas dominated by non-listed vegetation and non-hydric (i.e., upland) soils. See Volume 1 of the state Applicant’s Handbook.

Ordinary High Water Mark (with respect to non-tidal waters) - The line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed upon the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas. See 33 CFR § 328.3(e).

Sovereignty Submerged Lands - The State of Florida acquired title to sovereignty submerged lands on March 3, 1845, by virtue of statehood. Sovereignty submerged lands include all submerged lands, title to which is held by the Board of Trustees (Governor and Cabinet) of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (TIITF). Sovereignty submerged lands include, but are not limited to, tidal lands, islands, sandbars, shallow banks and lands waterward of the ordinary or mean high water line, beneath navigable fresh water or beneath tidally-influenced waters.

Stormwater - The surface flow of water that results from, and that occurs immediately following, a rainfall event.
Stormwater Management System - A surface water management system that is designed and constructed or implemented to control discharges which are necessitated by rainfall events, incorporating methods to collect, convey, store, absorb, inhibit, treat, use, or reuse water to prevent or reduce flooding, over drainage, environmental degradation, and water pollution or otherwise affect the quantity and quality of discharges from the system [Sections 373.403(10) and 403.031(16), F.S.].

Surface Water (state definition) - Means water upon the surface of the earth, whether contained in bounds created naturally or artificially or diffused. Water from natural springs shall be classified as surface water when it exits from the spring onto the earth’s surface [Section 373.019(21), F.S.]. Rule 62-340.600, F.A.C., further defines surface waters as waters on the surface of the earth, contained in bounds created naturally or artificially, including, the Atlantic Ocean, the Gulf of Mexico, bays, bayous, sounds, estuaries, lagoons, lakes, ponds, impoundments, rivers, streams, springs, creeks, branches, sloughs, tributaries, and other watercourses.

Take (federal definition, ESA) - "The term 'take' means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct [16 U.S.C. § 1532(19)]."

- Harm - Significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing behavioral patterns such as breeding, feeding or sheltering.
- Harass - Actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include but are not limited to breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR § 17.3).

Take (state definition) - To harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in such conduct (Chapter 68A-27, F.A.C.).

- Harm - An act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering.
- Harass - An intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of injury to wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering.

Take, as related to state and federal bald and golden eagle permitting - To "pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest or disturb" an eagle.

- Disturb - To "agitate or bother a bald or golden eagle to the degree that causes, or is likely to cause, based on the best scientific information available, 1) injury to..."
an eagle, 2) a decrease in its productivity, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior, or 3) nest abandonment, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior" (\textit{Title 50 CFR § 22.3}). The \textit{Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) Bald Eagle Management Plan} adopts the federal definition of "disturb" in \textit{50 CFR § 22.3} and Florida's definition of "take" in \textit{Rule 68A-1.004(79), F.A.C.}

\textbf{Waters of the United States} - Waters of the United States is defined in \textit{33 CFR Part 328} and \textit{40 CFR § 122.2} and is the jurisdictional boundary of a water that is regulated by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) or the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the \textit{Clean Water Act (CWA)}.

\textbf{Wetlands (federal definition)} - Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. (\textit{40 CFR § 232.2})

\textbf{Wetlands (state definition)} - Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soils. See \textit{Section 373.019(27), F.S.}

\section{12.2 PERMITTING AGENCIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS}

Environmental permits are required from one or more regulatory agencies for most land alterations, including the addition of impervious surfaces; construction, alteration, or abandonment of stormwater management facilities; impacts to wetland or surface waters (including navigable waters); and actions that could adversely affect specific protected wildlife species and/or their habitat.

Permit applications are reviewed by the regulatory agencies for their consistency with regulatory criteria and/or the effect of the project on the environmental resources (e.g., wetlands, water quality, protected species and their habitats). Through the application process, the regulatory agencies may request other agencies to review transportation projects to ensure that they are not adversely impacting the resources (i.e. wildlife, habitat, cultural) under their purview. Also, for protected species impacts, a specific species permit may be required.

Below is a list of agencies FDOT typically coordinates with throughout the permitting process. More details on the permitting agencies can be found in \textit{Section 12.2.1}. 
Federal Agencies

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has the authority to issue permits for activities involving the discharge of dredge and fill materials into waters of the United States, including wetlands.

The U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) issues permits for bridges or causeways in or over navigable waters of the United States, and for causeway construction in all tidal waters of the United States.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) develops and interprets policy, guidance and environmental criteria used in evaluating federal permit applications. The agency also serves as the water resource commenting body during the federal permitting process and has veto authority over the issuance of a USACE permit.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) serve as the federal wildlife commenting agencies during the USCG and USACE federal permitting processes. These agencies act as lead permitting agencies for Section 10 permitting under the ESA.

The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) serves as a review agency on permit applications where any district, site, building, structure, or object, that is included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) may be affected. The day-to-day responsibilities for project reviews are carried out by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) of the Seminole Tribe of Florida for projects occurring on Seminole tribal lands. In the case of projects occurring on tribal lands of the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida, the SHPO serves as the THPO but the tribe must be included in the consultations. For significant sites occurring on non-tribal lands that may have cultural or religious importance to the tribes having cultural affiliation with Florida, the tribes must be provided an opportunity to comment on the effects of the project on the site. These tribes include: the Seminole Tribe of Florida, the Miccosukee, the Muscogee (Creek) Nation, the Poarch Band of Creek Indians, the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma and, for projects west of the Apalachicola River, the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians.

State Agencies

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) is the State’s primary environmental regulatory agency. FDEP has delegated much of the permitting responsibility for Environmental Resource Permits (ERPs) to four of the five Water Management Districts (WMDs) and specified local governments. The Northwest Florida Water Management District (NWFWMD) does not have full ERP authority from FDEP. FDEP continues to implement the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL) permitting programs throughout
the State. The permitting responsibilities of each agency is detailed in the FDEP and WMD agency operating agreements.

The five WMDs are: NWFWMD, Suwannee River (SRWMD), St. Johns River (SJRWMD), South Florida (SFWMD), and Southwest Florida (SWFWMD). WMDs have been delegated permitting authority by FDEP for discharges, including stormwater discharges; dredge and fill activities in, on, or over waters of the State; construction activities which discharge to waters of the State; and, state-owned submerged lands which include all tidal lands and submerged lands under navigable waters owned by the State of Florida. The NWFWMD does not have full permitting authority from FDEP; the FDEP processes permit applications for projects with submerged lands and actions on military bases within the geographic area of the NWFWMD. Right of Way (ROW) Occupancy permits may be required for projects impacting WMD property.

The FWC serves as the state wildlife commenting agency on state environmental permits and issue certain protected species permits.

The Director of the Division of Historical Resources (DHR) at the Department of State serves as the SHPO for the State of Florida. The DHR is a commenting agency on certain state environmental permits and the SHPO is the commenter on federal permits that may impact historical resources. The SHPO assists the regulatory agency in determining whether a proposed activity will adversely affect or will enhance significant historical and archaeological resources under the provisions of Section 267.061, F.S.

The Bureau of Archaeological Research (BAR) within the Department of State issues permits for archaeological testing and research occurring on state-owned or controlled lands, including sovereign submerged lands under Chapter 1A-32, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C).

Section 335.02(4), F.S., provides that FDOT is not subject to county, municipal, or special district regulations for projects on the SHS and therefore is not required to obtain local permits. Notwithstanding, if an FDOT project has a direct impact on property or water control district structures, FDOT shall coordinate with the District legal counsel and may need to coordinate with the appropriate county, municipality, or special district based on counsel direction.

Both the state and federal permitting programs have established various permit types based on specific impact thresholds and/or activity types. The permit types and threshold criteria are described in detail in the federal Source Book (USACE, 2015) and in the state Applicants Handbook, Volume I (FDEP, 2015), referenced in Figure 12-1 and Figure 12-2. Additionally, both the state, via delegated authority for federal programmatic permits, and the Lead Federal Agency use certain species-specific “effect” determination keys in order to assess the effect a given project may have on a federally protected species. These keys, and supplemental protected species information, can be found at web links provided in Figure 12-3.
Additionally, there are state and federal species–specific wildlife permitting requirements unrelated to the dredge and fill permitting process. These requirements are typically implemented shortly in advance of the commencement of construction. Refer to Section 12.2.3 for a discussion of these permits. See Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat for more information.

12.2.1 Federal Permitting

Federal permits are handled by multiple federal agencies under various regulatory authorities. They are typically required for proposed impacts to jurisdictional wetlands and other surface waters, or for bridge or causeway construction over navigable waters of the United States. For these types of impacts, the USACE and USCG are the primary federal permitting agencies for FDOT projects. Table 12-2 provides the federal permit types that are often needed from these regulatory agencies. See Section 12.2.3 for federal species permit information.

The USACE is the Lead Federal Agency when a given activity involves dredge and fill in Waters of the United States in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Additionally, Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 grants the USACE the permitting authority for “structures or works in or affecting a navigable water of the United States.” Such structures or works include boat ramps, piers, breakwaters, jetties, docks, bridge abutments, and aids to navigation.

If a project involves a bridge over navigable waters of the U.S., the USCG is the Lead Federal Agency or may serve as a Cooperating Agency under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and the General Bridge Act of 1946. These Acts placed the navigable waters of the United States under the exclusive control of the USCG to prevent any interference with their navigability by bridges or other obstructions except by express permission of the United States Government.

As the Lead Federal Agency, either the USACE or USCG must prepare a NEPA document in support of their NEPA action (permit). If either agency participates as a Cooperating Agency in a FDOT NEPA study, they may adopt the FDOT’s study as their own, or they may use it to develop their own NEPA study. It is important to coordinate early in the NEPA study with the USACE or USCG to confirm that the FDOT NEPA document, or a State Environmental Impact Report (SEIR), will meet the Cooperating Agency’s NEPA requirements.

The USFWS and the NMFS serve as the federal wildlife commenting agencies during the USACE’s or USCG’s federal permitting process. Which agency provides comment depends upon which protected species (terrestrial and/or marine) or critical habitat are potentially affected. The EPA serves as the water resource commenting body during the federal permitting process and has veto authority over the issuance of a USACE permit.
In the absence of a federal nexus (i.e., a project does not require a federal permit, federal funding, or other federal authorization when an action has the potential to affect a federally listed species or its habitat, the listed species will be addressed via **Section 10** of the **ESA**. In these situations, the USFWS or NMFS serves as the Lead Federal Agency and would have to prepare a **NEPA** document in support of their permit action. This process also requires the development of a **Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP)**. See **Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat** for more information on **Section 10** of the **ESA**. For protected species permitting, see **Section 12.2.3**.

Regardless of whether the USACE and/or USCG function as lead or cooperating agencies for a given federal action, the issuance of federal permits requires coordination with resource agencies. If a given project is determined to have the potential to affect federally listed species or their habitats, the USACE or USCG will solicit comment from NMFS and/or the USFWS following the **ESA Section 7** consultation process outlined in **Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat**. If a project is determined to have the potential to affect EFH, the USACE or USCG will solicit comment from the NMFS (**Part 2, Chapter 17, Essential Fish Habitat**). For projects that require public notification of the federal action, the EPA may provide comment.

Additionally, the USACE, USCG, or USFWS acting as the permit issuing agency is required to comply with **Section 106** of the **National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA)**. **Section 106** of the NHPA requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, and afford the ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment. The historic preservation review process mandated by **Section 106** is outlined in regulations issued by ACHP (**Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historic Resources**).

### 12.2.1.1 United States Army Corps of Engineers

Activities in waters of the United States regulated under **Section 404** of the **CWA** include (but are not limited to) fill for development, water resource projects (such as dams and levees), infrastructure development (such as highways and airports), and mining projects. **Section 404** requires issuance of a permit before dredged or fill material may be discharged into waters of the United States, unless the activity is exempt from **Section 404** regulation (e.g., certain farming and forestry activities).

As described by the EPA (**EPA, 2015**), the basic premise of the **Section 404** program is that no discharge of dredged or fill material may be permitted if:

1. A practicable alternative exists that is less damaging to the aquatic environment; or
2. The nation’s waters would be significantly degraded. Therefore, a proposed activity must first show that steps have been taken to

   a. Avoid impacts to wetlands, streams and other aquatic resources;

   b. If impacts are unavoidable, that their adverse effects on the resource have been minimized, and

   c. That compensation (i.e., wetland or listed wildlife mitigation) will be provided for all remaining unavoidable impacts such that there is no net loss of wetland function as a result of the proposed project.

There are several federal dredge and fill permit types that are distinguished by their limits of impact. In increasing magnitude/complexity, they include Nationwide Permits, General Permits, State Programmatic General Permits, Letter of Permission, and Standard Permits. Additionally, it is possible to obtain a determination from the USACE of “no permit required” if a project is anticipated to have no impact on wetlands or surface waters under the jurisdiction of USACE. This determination by the USACE does not supersede the requirement to obtain any other federal or state permits which may be necessary for a project, nor does it constitute a federal evaluation of possible impacts to species protected under the ESA, or impacts to historic resources protected under Section 106 of the NHPA.

1. General Permit - This refers to a USACE authorization that is issued on a nationwide or regional basis (District-wide or more limited geographic scope) for a category of activities when those activities are substantially similar in nature and cause only minimal individual and cumulative impacts (USACE, 2014). They are reviewed every five years and may be renewed or suspended. The USACE’s Source Book, included by reference in Figure 12-1 should be reviewed for an entire listing of required thresholds in order for a project to qualify under a general permit. Coordination with the USACE will ensure the project impacts meets the requirements under general permit authorizations. It is important to note that “consideration of alternatives are not directly applicable to General Permits” (40 CFR § 230.7).

   a. Nationwide Permits (NWP) - There are more than 50 established NWPs. These are essentially automatic permits for qualifying activities that result in only minimal adverse environmental effect. Each NWP includes a series of impact thresholds, such that if a project’s anticipated impacts fall below the specified thresholds, the project would be able to be executed without review by or approval of the USACE. However, it is recommended to submit an application package to the USACE and request that the USACE concur with the determination that the project is consistent with the thresholds associated with a given NWP. With concurrence from USACE, the applicant will have a level of comfort that their project is consistent with the federal intent of the NWP. Without
USACE concurrence, an applicant may run the risk of being in violation of the CWA during construction if there is a disagreement with a USACE representative as to whether their project is consistent with NWP intent.

There are certain NWPs that require Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) prior to project construction due to variability in the degree of potential impacts for a given type of work. In accordance with 33 CFR § 330.1 for NWPs requiring advance notification, the notification must be in writing as early as possible prior to commencing the proposed activity. The permittee may presume that the project qualifies for the NWP unless the permittee is otherwise notified by the USACE within a 45-day period. The 45-day period starts on the date of receipt of the notification in the USACE district office and ends 45 calendar days later. If the USACE notifies the prospective permittee that the notification is incomplete, a new 45-day period will commence upon receipt of the revised notification. The prospective permittee may not proceed with the proposed activity before expiration of the 45-day period unless otherwise notified by the USACE. If the USACE fails to act within the 45-day period, the USACE must use the procedures of 33 CFR § 330.5 in order to modify, suspend, or revoke the NWP authorization.

Both the NWPs, and the General Conditions required in PCN, are itemized in the Federal Register (FR) and on the USACE web site (See Figure 12-1). It is important to be aware of general conditions associated with NWP. For example, general condition 18 requires consultation with the NMFS or USFWS if the project activity may affect a listed species or critical habitat protected under the ESA.

NWPs are reviewed and renewed every five years so it is important to keep abreast of current NWP listings. Coordination with the USACE will ensure the applicant meets the requirements under NWP authorizations. NWPs that are typically relevant to FDOT projects include: NWP No. 3 Maintenance, No. 14 Linear Transportation Projects, and No. 15 USCG Approved Bridges.

b. **Regional General Permits** that may apply to FDOT projects.

Regional General Permit SAJ-92 is applicable for projects with identified impact thresholds (i.e., including, but not limited to, fewer than five acres of non-tidal waters of the United States impacts for any one-mile segment, up to a maximum of 50 acres, where USFWS concurrence is received in advance of the federal approval under SAJ-92). This regional general permit is limited to projects that have been reviewed through the FDOT’s ETDM and/or PD&E processes.
Regional General Permit SAJ-46, Shoreline Stabilization Activities in Florida, may also be applicable to FDOT projects. This permit authorizes new work and maintenance associated with shoreline stabilization activities including bulkheads and seawalls with backfill, seawall footers, and shoreline stabilization materials.

2. **Letter of Permission (LOP)** - LOPs are used when project impacts are minor or would not have significant individual or cumulative effect. The process required to obtain a LOP approval is more detailed than the NWP process; however, it is typically less rigorous than that for a Standard Permit. The USACE is not required to publish an individual public notice, but they must coordinate with wildlife agencies and complete a public interest evaluation as outlined in 33 CFR § 325.2 (e)(1). A determination as to whether a LOP is the appropriate instrument for a given action is at the discretion of the USACE.

3. **Standard Permit** - This permit is also referred to as an Individual Permit and is required for larger, more complex projects when a proposed project does not meet the criteria to qualify for a General Permit, Nationwide Permit, or LOP. See 33 CFR § 325.2 for more information on the Standard Permit requirements.

**Figure 12-1** provides the locations and contact information of regional USACE offices.

There are exemptions for very narrowly-defined activities that result in incidental impacts to wetlands or surface waters in accordance with Section 404(f)(1) of the CWA. For instance, one exemption for FDOT is for the maintenance of transportation structures, so long as the structures are in non-tidal waters and the character, slope, and size of the original fill design is not proposed to change. **Figure 12-1** provides links to information sources that identify these exemptions.

FDOT is required to obtain USACE authorization when an FDOT project is proposed to alter existing federal flood control projects (i.e., levees, dams, and canals). The USACE provides guidance for this process in **Section 408 – Interim Changes for Immediate and Future Policy Revisions (2018)**. Section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and codified in 33 U.S.C. § 408 (commonly referred to as “Section 408”) authorizes the Secretary of the Army, on the recommendation of the Chief of Engineers of the USACE, to grant permission for the alteration or occupation or use of a USACE civil works project if the Department of the Army’s Secretary determines that the activity will not be injurious to the public interest and will not impair the usefulness of the project. The
granting or denial of permission pursuant to Section 408 is made formal through a Section 408 Decision Letter.

A decision on a Section 408 request is a federal action, and therefore subject to NEPA and other environmental requirements. While ensuring compliance is the responsibility of USACE, the requester is responsible for providing all information that the District identifies as necessary to satisfy all applicable federal laws, executive orders, regulations, policies, and ordinances. Like traditional federal Section 10/404 permitting, insufficient supporting documentation may result in requests for additional information until the file is deemed complete by USACE. The NEPA process is set forth in 40 CFR §§ 1500-1508 and the USACE civil works NEPA implementing regulations are found in 33 CFR Part 230. Because proposed alterations vary in size, level of complexity, and potential impacts, the procedures and required information to make such a determination are intended to be scalable. Early coordination with USACE is suggested in order to determine the appropriate level of required support to navigate the Section 408 review process.

Typically, when a ROW Occupancy Permit application is submitted to a WMD, the WMD reviews it and determines if the WMD needs to send it to USACE (Section 12.2.2.5). If sent to USACE, they will evaluate whether Section 408 applies. If it is determined that Section 408 applies, the USACE will decide whether the Section 408 review can be conducted at the District level in Jacksonville or the review would need to be elevated to USACE Headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia. In general, review at the District level would be for projects that adjust features around a canal, dam, or levee that would not result in changes to authorized structural geometry or hydraulic capacity. These reviews take approximately 30 to 90 days for decisions to be rendered. For more complicated projects that may propose changes to structural geometry or hydraulic capacity of an existing facility, the review may be elevated to USACE Headquarters. These reviews can take between 18 to 24 months. Generally, proposed alterations that would result in substantial adverse changes in water surface profiles will not be approved. There are no statutory time limits on Section 408 review.

In situations where USACE is also evaluating a Section 10/404 permit application, the USACE may forward the Section 408 decision letter with the Section 10/404 permit decision, once it is made. Under no circumstances will Section 10/404 actions be rendered in advance of a decision on a Section 408 request. For cases involving a categorical permission, the written approval will be validation that the categorical permission is applicable.

12.2.1.2 United States Coast Guard

The USCG approves the location and plans of bridges and causeways and imposes conditions relating to the construction, maintenance, and operation of these bridges in the interest of public navigation. The USCG is also required by law to ensure environmental considerations are given careful attention and importance in each bridge permitting decision.
The USCG has only one permit type, a bridge permit. A bridge permit is necessary if a bridge project includes any of the following:

1. The construction of a new bridge over navigable waters;

2. The modification of an existing bridge that increases the travel capacity of the bridge (i.e., adding a travel lane); or

3. The modification of an existing bridge that would result in changes to navigation (i.e., changes to the horizontal or vertical clearances, fender systems).

Modification of existing bridges to add bicycle paths, sidewalks, or non-capacity features, even if it causes widening of the existing bridge, results in the need for a minor deviation. Minor deviations are not permits, but they must be reviewed and approved by the USCG.

The USCG consults with and obtains comments from state and federal agencies with jurisdiction or special expertise concerning environmental or navigational impact involved. Such agencies include but are not limited to the NMFS, USFWS, FWC, WMD, SHPO, and EPA. Comments are generally obtained through direct coordination with affected agencies, responses to the public notice, and the Local Notice to Mariners.

USCG bridge permits specify that the permit becomes null and void unless construction of the bridge is commenced and completed by certain dates. This time period is usually three years and five years, respectively, from the date of the permit issuance. Longer construction times can be requested and must be substantiated.

The applicant should contact the local USCG District Bridge Office when a project includes a plan to construct a new bridge or causeway or modify an existing bridge or causeway over a canal, channel, stream, river, lake, bay, or other body of water or waterway. If the applicant is uncertain whether a waterway is susceptible to improvement for navigation, is tidal, or is considered navigable, the appropriate USCG representative can be contacted to obtain information regarding a navigability determination. The USCG representative determines whether the waterway is navigable and jurisdictional and provides comments in the EST for qualifying projects or correspondence confirming the determination.

There may be instances where bridges are proposed to be built across waterways which are deemed navigable in law but not traversed by any vessel larger than small motorboats (logs, log rafts, kayaks, canoes, outboard John boats). In these scenarios, the USCG can issue an Advance Approval authorization in accordance with 33 CFR § 115.70. Each potential candidate bridge/waterway crossing is evaluated by the USCG on a case by case basis to determine if an Advance Approval may be appropriate. If there is a potential candidate "bridge/waterway crossing" the District should contact the appropriate USCG representative to initiate the evaluation process.
Figure 12-1 provides links to information sources that identify details regarding the USCG permit review process, required components of permit applications, and the locations and contact information of regional USCG offices.

12.2.2 State Permitting

State permits are required for proposed impacts to jurisdictional wetlands and other surface waters as well as for flood protection and water quality, and to ensure compliance with coastal zone management criteria. The FDEP and WMDs are the primary state wetland permitting agencies for FDOT projects. WMDs issue ERPs for most FDOT projects; whereas, FDEP issues NPDES and CCCL permits (Sections 12.2.2.3 and 12.2.2.6 respectively).

Table 12-3 provides the state permit types that are often needed from these regulatory agencies. For state protected species permit requirements, see Section 12.2.3.

The FDEP and the WMDs are required to consider the effects of their agency action (issuance or denial of a permit) on historic resources in accordance with Section 373.414(1)(a)(6), F.S. These requirements are set forth in Chapter 267, F.S., or the Florida Historical Resources Act, specifically Section 267.061, F.S. The statute requires state agencies of the executive branch to consider an array of possible adverse effects of state undertakings over which they have direct or indirect jurisdiction upon historic resources. In addition, these agencies must also afford the Florida Division of Historical Resources a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to the proposed action or actions and to initiate measures to minimize harm to the historic resources prior to the approval or initiation of such action. In these cases, the consideration, treatments, and permitted activity in relation to impacts to historic resources and archaeological sites are detailed in the Applicant’s Handbook, Volume I (FDEP, 2015). These requirements include consultation and findings letters from the Florida Division of Historical Resources. See Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historical Resources for more information.

12.2.2.1 Environmental Resource Permitting

Under the authority of Section 373.4131, F.S., FDEP and Florida’s five WMDs implemented Chapter 62-330, F.A.C., Environmental Resource Permitting (ERP). The ERP program was adopted to provide consistent permitting thresholds, requirements, and processes throughout the state. The ERP program governs the following activities: construction, alteration, operation, maintenance, repair, abandonment, and removal of stormwater management systems, dams, impoundments, reservoirs, appurtenant works, and works (including docks, piers, structures, dredging, and filling) located in, on or over wetlands or other surface waters, as defined and delineated in Chapter 62-340, F.A.C.

The **AHI** provides general background information on the ERP program, including agency contact information, a summary of the statutes and rules used to authorize and implement the ERP program, and the forms used to notice or apply to the agencies for an ERP authorization. This volume of the **Applicant’s Handbook** also provides discussion on:

1. Activities regulated under **Chapter 62-330, F.A.C.**, and **Part IV of Chapter 373, F.S.**;
2. Types of permits, permit thresholds, and exemptions;
3. Procedures used to review exemptions and permits;
4. Conditions for issuance of an ERP, including the environmental criteria used for activities located in wetlands and other surface waters;
5. Erosion and sediment control practices to prevent water quality violations; and,
6. Operation and maintenance requirements.

There are also handbooks **[Applicant’s Handbook-Volume II (AHII)]** for each of the WMDs. **Volume II (SFWMD, 2014; SWFWMD, 2013; SJRWMD, 2013; SRWMD, 2012; and NWFWMD, 2013)** primarily applies to activities that require the services of a registered professional to design a stormwater management system. Links to **AHI** (identical for all WMDs) and **AHII** (unique to each of the five WMDs to address regional differences) are provided in **Figure 12-2**.

One section of the **AHII** is uniform among all the WMDs, **Section 2.10 Flexibility for State Transportation Projects and Facilities**. This section states that the language of **Section 373.413(6), F.S.**, governs ERP regulation of state linear transportation projects and facilities. This statutory language provides FDOT with additional flexibility, such as regional treatment facilities, for providing treatment of stormwater runoff from linear projects. FDOT is also only required to treat stormwater generated by its transportation projects, not water entering its treatment systems from offsite areas, unless it is cost-effective to do so.

Depending on the size, location and nature of proposed project activities, they may be exempt from permitting, or may require either a General or Individual Permit. General Permits are required for activities which can be conducted with minimal environmental impact, provided the applicant adheres to certain conditions (specifically listed in **Chapter 62-330, F.A.C.**). An Individual Permit is required for projects which do not fall under permitting size and impact thresholds (**Rule 62-330.020, F.A.C.**) and is not covered by a General Permit. See **Table 12-3** for a list of permits that may be required by state agencies.
A conceptual approval permit is also available, but not required, for activities occurring in phases or over a large land area. A conceptual approval permit does not authorize construction, maintenance, removal, or alteration (a separate individual permit is required for those activities). However, the first phase of construction can be authorized at the same time the conceptual approval permit is issued. A conceptual approval permit provides the permit holder with a rebuttable presumption that, during the duration of the conceptual approval permit, the design and environmental concepts upon which the conceptual approval permit is based (within the detail provided in the application) will meet applicable rule criteria for issuance of permits for subsequent phases of the project. This presumption is rebuttable at the time of receipt of a complete application to construct or operate future phases, dependent on the factors in subsection Rule 62-330.056(7), F.A.C. This type of permit is not typically applicable to FDOT projects, but may prove useful for complicated, controversial, and/or long-term projects where FDOT wants to establish their expectations in the way the ERP will be administered during future phases of a given project. It also has the potential to save time with agency reviews when applying for construction permits for individual phases especially if the elimination and reduction of impacts criteria has been addressed at the conceptual stage.

**Exempt** activities do not typically require notice be given to the FDEP or WMDs. If agency notice is required, it will be stipulated in the rule for the specific exemption. If it is desirable to verify that the activity is exempt, an on-line self-certification can be obtained, or the appropriate regulatory agency can perform the certification for a fee. Although some projects may be exempted from the need to obtain an ERP, the project may still require coordination with wildlife agencies. For example, a project may have a bridge or culvert inhabited by bat species. This may require coordination with the FWC or USFWS.

A list of exempt activities is contained in Rule 62-330.051, F.A.C. Two exemptions of interest to FDOT include:

1. **Rule 62-330.051(4), F.A.C., Bridge, Driveways, and Roadways** - Exempts work in other Surface Waters (water conveyances that are not wetlands as defined by Chapter 62-340, F.A.C. (such as some roadside ditches) for road shoulder and turn lane improvements, or paving of dirt roads owned by county or local governments. Subsection (c) Minor roadway safety construction, alteration, or maintenance and operation can be applicable for FDOT sidewalk and milling and resurfacing projects.

2. **Rule 62-330.051(9), F.A.C., Pipes or Culverts** - Exempts up to 0.03 acres of work in wetlands as delineated under Chapter 62-340, F.A.C., including Outstanding Florida Waters (OFW) for culvert outfall and headwall construction.

### 12.2.2.2 State-owned Submerged Lands Authorizations

Activities located on sovereignty submerged lands also referred to as “state-owned submerged lands” (as described in Section 12.1.2) also require a proprietary authorization from the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund (Board
of Trustees) to use such lands according to *Chapter 18-21, F.A.C.* Proprietary authorization is required for essentially all FDOT activities on state-owned submerged lands. FDOT fee simple ownership of an area does not preclude the determination of state owned sovereign submerged lands by FDEP.

FDEP and the WMDs act as staff to the Board of Trustees and in accordance with the Operating Agreement between their agencies, will process all applications involving proposed work on state-owned submerged lands. These agencies have delegated authority from the Board to approve or deny most projects, but for some types of projects (such as submerged land leases), the final decision to approve or deny the state-owned submerged lands authorization rests with the Governor and Cabinet of the state of Florida, who serve as the Board of Trustees. Leases are typically required for revenue-generating uses and are, therefore, not required for FDOT projects. FDOT projects proposed on state-owned submerged lands typically need a letter of consent or an easement. The determination for the proprietary authorization is part of the ERP permitting process. However, the final easement or letter of consent is provided by the FDEP after issuance of the ERP.

### 12.2.2.3 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

As authorized by the *CWA*, the NPDES permit program controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States. The EPA delegated to the FDEP the authority to implement the NPDES stormwater permitting program in the State of Florida (in all areas except Indian Country lands). FDEP’s authority to administer the NPDES program is contained in *Section 403.0885, F.S.* If a project will disturb one acre or more of soil, and if the stormwater run-off from the site will discharge to waters of the state (even if the discharge is conveyed through the municipal storm sewer system), a NPDES Construction Generic Permit (CGP) will be required prior to commencement of construction as a means of protecting down-stream water quality. A *Notice of Intent (NOI)* (application) is filed with FDEP at least two days prior to the commencement of construction. Due to the proximal timing of this permit to the commencement of construction, it is the contractor’s responsibility to apply for and obtain the NPDES permit. FDOT’s Construction Engineering and Inspection (CEI) ensures that the Contractor has obtained the permit by verifying proof of permit coverage (e.g., FDEP coverage letter or NOI). More details on the NPDES permitting process can be found at the web link provided in *Figure 12-2*.

Part of the NPDES permit program is the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4). An MS4 is a publicly-owned conveyance or system of conveyances (i.e., ditches, curbs, catch basins, underground pipes, etc.) that is designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater and that discharges to surface waters of the State. An MS4 can be operated by entities such as municipalities, counties, drainage districts, colleges, military bases, or prisons. FDOT is a regulated MS4 operator under federal and state rules. Regulated MS4 operators must obtain an NPDES stormwater permit and implement a comprehensive *Stormwater Management Program (SWMP)* to reduce the contamination of stormwater runoff and prohibit illicit discharges to the MS4.
As implemented by Chapter 62-624, F.A.C., Phase I of the MS4 program addresses discharges of stormwater runoff from "medium" and "large" MS4s (i.e., those MS4s located in areas with populations of 100,000 or greater). A Phase I MS4 is defined in Rule 62-624.200(10), F.A.C., as “a municipal separate storm sewer system identified under Section 402(p)(2) of the CWA and subject to regulation under Section 402(p)(3)(B) of the CWA as implemented as part of FDEP’s federally approved NPDES stormwater program pursuant to Section 403.0885, F.S.” Generally, Phase I MS4s are covered by individual permits and are effective for no more than five years. There are individual MS4 permits issued to several counties in Florida, and FDOT is a co-permittee in each of those permits.

FDOT has an approved Statewide Stormwater Management Plan (SSWMP) that describes the activities to be conducted, methods to be used, and procedures to be followed by FDOT to reduce the discharge of pollutants to and from the Phase I MS4s throughout the State of Florida. This plan supports FDOT’s documentation and procedures for annual reporting as a co-permittee under the MS4 Phase 1 permits. As stated in Section II of the Phase 1 permit, the SSWMP is incorporated into the permit by reference once approved by FDEP and serves as the guiding document for FDOT compliance as a co-permittee under Florida’s Phase 1 MS4 program. More information can be found in the FDOT SSWMP.

Phase II of the program regulates discharges from certain MS4s not regulated under Phase I, that meet designation criteria set forth in Chapter 62-624, F.A.C. A Phase II MS4 is defined in Rule 62-624.20(11), F.A.C., as “a municipal separate storm sewer system subject to regulation under Section 402(p)(6) of the CWA, as implemented as part of FDEP’s federally approved NPDES stormwater program pursuant to Section 403.0885, F.S., Chapter 62-244, F.A.C., and Rule 62-621.300(7)(a), F.A.C., which incorporates by reference FDEP’s Generic Permit for Discharge of Stormwater from Phase II MS4, and includes MS4 facilities owned or operated by the United States and MS4 facilities operated by the FDOT that are not covered by an existing Phase I MS4 permit.” Phase II MS4s are covered by a general permit. There are numerous general permits issued to FDOT for various Phase II designated areas.

Each regulated MS4 is required to develop and implement a SSWMP to reduce the contamination of stormwater runoff and prohibit illicit discharges.

12.2.2.4 Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency

Per the Operating Agreement between USACE, FDEP, and the WMDs, the ERP review process includes an assessment of whether an action proposed in Florida is consistent with the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Issuance of an ERP constitutes a finding of consistency with, or waiver from, the Florida Coastal Management Program (FCMP) that implements the CZMA. A determination of consistency is made by FDEP in coordination with other agencies early in the planning process for transportation projects (see Part 2, Chapter 15, Coastal Zone Consistency) and again in the ERP review.
process. More details on the FCMP can be found at the web link provided in Figure 12-2.

12.2.2.5 Right of Way Occupancy Permit

A ROW Occupancy Permit is issued by a WMD or local water control district if applicable allowing for a compatible public or private use while protecting the WMD’s ability to use the canal and levee rights of way of the USACE’s Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, the related water conservation areas, and certain other canals and works or lands of a WMD. A ROW Occupancy Permit is a proprietary revocable license and does not convey property rights to the permittee. The WMD coordinates with the USACE through the Section 408 process. In some instances, FDOT must coordinate directly with the USACE for Section 408 approval. See Section 12.2.1.1 for more information.

12.2.2.6 Coastal Construction Control Line

FDEP manages a CCCL Program to protect the coastal system from improperly sited and designed structures which can destabilize or destroy the beach and dune system. As defined in Rule 62B-33.002(11), F.A.C., the CCCL is “the line established pursuant to the provisions of Section 161.053, F.S., and recorded in the official records of the county, which defines that portion of the beach-dune system subject to severe fluctuations based on a 100-year storm surge, storm waves, or other predictable weather conditions.” A CCCL permit is required for construction activities seaward of the CCCL and fifty-foot setback. For projects within the CCCL, FDOT must coordinate with FDEP to ensure FDOT projects adhere to the special siting and design criteria established to eliminate or reduce impacts to the beach dune system, adjacent properties, native salt resistant vegetation, and marine turtles. Rules and procedures for obtaining this permit can be found in Chapter 62B-33, F.A.C.

12.2.2.7 Consumptive Water Use Permits

Consumptive use of water is broadly defined as any use of water which reduces the supply from which it is withdrawn or diverted. The consumptive use of water is managed by the WMDs as prescribed in Part II of Chapter 373, F.S. Each WMD regulates the use of water within its jurisdictional boundaries to ensure that permitted water uses are reasonable-beneficial, will not interfere with any presently existing legal uses of water, and are consistent with the public interest, as required by Section 373.223, F.S. This authority applies to public water supplies, agricultural and landscape irrigation, contamination clean-up, commercial/industrial uses, and dewatering/mining activities. The WMDs issues general and individual consumptive water use permits. FDOT should coordinate with the appropriate WMD to determine whether a water use permit will be required for a project.
12.2.2.8 Class V Stormwater Well Permits

FDEP’s Aquifer Protection program protects Florida’s underground sources of drinking water while maintaining the lawful option of disposal of appropriately treated fluids via underground injection wells. An underground source of drinking water is defined as an aquifer that contains a total dissolved solids concentration of less than 10,000 milligrams per liter. The program implements the Underground Injection Control regulations *(Chapter 62-528, F.A.C.)* and is dedicated to preventing degradation of the quality of other aquifers adjacent to the injection zone. Subsurface injection, the practice of emplacing fluids in a permeable underground aquifer by gravity flow or under pressure through an injection well, is one of a variety of wastewater disposal or reuse methods used in Florida.

Class V injection wells are used for storage or disposal of fluids into or above an underground source of drinking water. In locations where the available area for pond siting(s) is limited (e.g., urbanized coastal areas), FDOT may direct stormwater into shallow wells. These wells are considered non-major Class V wells that are permitted through FDEP District offices. More information on the permitting process for Class V stormwater well permitting can be found in *Figure 12-2*.

12.2.3 Federal and State Protected Species Permits

Federal and state permits may be required for unavoidable impacts to or take of listed species. *Table 12-4* provides wildlife permit types that may be needed for FDOT projects. Wildlife within Florida is protected under federal regulation through USFWS and NMFS and state regulation through FWC. This section provides the most common types of protected species permits required for transportation projects, but other species permits may be required. See *Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat*.

Species protected by the federal ESA may require an Incidental Take permit from the USFWS or NMFS. The ESA is designed to regulate a wide range of activities affecting plants and animals designated as endangered or threatened, and the habitats upon which they depend. With some exceptions, the ESA prohibits activities affecting these protected species and their habitats unless authorized by a permit from the USFWS or NMFS. Permitted activities are designed to be consistent with the conservation of the species. Incidental Take permits are required when activities will result in take of threatened or endangered species. A *Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP)* must accompany an application for an incidental take permit. The HCP associated with the permit ensures that the effects of the authorized incidental take are adequately minimized and mitigated. More information on this process can be found at the USFWS webpage (*Figure 12-3*).

In 2016, FWC developed the *Florida’s Imperiled Species Management Plan (ISMP)* to identify species-specific conservation actions for 57 state listed species. This plan includes species action plans addressing individual species needs and conservation strategies that benefit multiple species with shared habitats. FWC is in the process of developing species conservation measures and permitting guidelines for all species in
the ISMP. Where required, the FWC issues Incidental Take permits for activities that may result in take of state listed species. These species also may be federally listed. State incidental take permit applications are contingent upon a USFWS HCP which defines the full impact on the species, describes methods proposed to minimize take, and outlines mitigation which may be rendered to offset the take. Additional information on the ISMP, HCPs, and incidental take permits is available on the FWC website (Figure 12-3).

The species discussed below (American bald eagle, Florida burrowing owl, and osprey) are not subject to ESA review, but receive federal protection by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and/or the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Pursuant to the MBTA, it is unlawful to take, possess, buy, sell, purchase, or barter any migratory bird including feathers or other parts, nests, eggs, or products, except as allowed by implementing regulations. It should be noted that all non-exotic birds in the state of Florida are protected by the MBTA. However, except as specifically discussed below for the bald eagle, burrowing owl, and osprey, the presence of other non-exotic avian species that could be affected by proposed FDOT projects will not be addressed in regard to MBTA unless FDOT is specifically required to do so by USFWS on a project-by-project basis during project permitting. The gopher tortoise receives state protection under Rule 68A-27.003, F.A.C.

Permits may require pre-construction species-specific surveys prior to the initiation of construction activities, depending upon the species and habitats present within or near the project ROW.

12.2.3.1 American Bald Eagle

The American bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) was removed from the federal endangered species list in August 2007 because its population recovered sufficiently. However, bald eagles and their nests remain protected under the federal MBTA and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and they are managed under FWC’s A Species Action Plan for the Bald Eagle.

On April 20, 2017, the FWC approved revisions to Rule 68A-16.002, F.A.C., which eliminated the need for applicants to obtain both a state and federal permit for activities with the potential to take or disturb bald eagles or their nests. Under the approved revisions, only a federal permit is required. The rule revisions became effective June 22, 2017. The A Species Action Plan for the Bald Eagle is a non-regulatory conservation plan to maintain a stable or increasing population of bald eagles in the state.

The federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act prohibits anyone from taking, possessing, or transporting a bald eagle or golden eagle (Aquila chrysaetos), or the parts, nests, or eggs of such birds without prior authorization. This includes inactive nests as well as active nests. Rules promulgated under the MBTA (50 CFR Part 21) prohibit the destruction of active (i.e., nests which contain eggs or flightless young) nests without a federal permit. The USFWS has Bald Eagle Monitoring Guidelines (USFWS, September 2007) that provides information for applicants proposing construction
activities occurring within 1500 feet of an active bald eagle nest during the nesting season (see *Figure 12-3*).

Per the noted federal regulations, there are two permits that may be applicable to FDOT projects:

1. A permit to remove or relocate an eagle nest, called an Eagle Nest Take Permit, authorizes the removal or relocation of:
   
   a. An active or inactive nest where it is necessary to alleviate a safety emergency to humans or eagles (or both);
   b. An inactive nest to ensure public health and safety;
   c. An inactive nest to restore operation of a man-made structure that has been rendered inoperable by the presence of the nest; or
   d. An inactive nest in certain other instances where the removal or relocation of that nest (or the mitigation for its removal) will provide a clear and substantial benefit to eagles.

   The federal definition of inactive is defined under *50 CFR § 22.3* as a bald eagle or golden eagle nest that is not currently being used by eagles as determined by the continuing absence of any adult, egg, or dependent young at the nest for at least 10 consecutive days immediately prior to, and including, at present. This differs from the state definition of inactive, which can be found in web links included in *Figure 12-3*.

2. A permit for taking eagles when the take is associated with, but not the purpose of, an activity and cannot practicably be avoided. This type of take is referred to as "non-purposeful take" and is entitled an Eagle Disturbance Permit. Authorization is subject to conditions to minimize impacts. The regulation authorizing Eagle Disturbance Permits for bald and golden eagles can be found at *50 CFR § 22.26*. The USFWS recommends that FDOT contact the USFWS eagle biologist in their area before submitting an application. A link to the application form is included in *Figure 12-3*.

### 12.2.3.2 Florida Burrowing Owl

The Florida burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia floridana*) is listed by the State of Florida, FWC as Threatened (*Rule 68A-27.005, F.A.C.*). It is illegal to take (pursue, hunt, capture, molest, or kill) burrowing owls and their nest burrows and eggs without a permit issued by FWC (*Rules 68A-9.002 and 68A-27.005, F.A.C.*). FWC’s policy is to issue permits to destroy burrowing owl nest burrows only as a last resort, after all reasonable alternatives (such as realigning development to avoid the nest) have been shown to be impractical. When such permits are issued, they apply to inactive nest burrows (i.e., burrows
containing no eggs or flightless young). Burrowing owl nest burrows can generally be considered inactive from July 10th to February 15th, although some nesting occurs as early as October each year. Between February 15th and July 10th, nest burrows attended by one or more burrowing owls are considered active unless information is available to suggest otherwise (i.e., proof that young fledged from the nest prior to July 10th). State burrowing owl nest burrow removal permits are applied for online. See Figure 12-3 for a link to the FWC webpage.

Burrowing owls and their nest burrows are also afforded protection under the federal MBTA. Rules promulgated under this act (50 CFR Part 21) prohibit the destruction of active (i.e., nests which contain eggs or flightless young) nests without a federal permit, which is issued by the USFWS Regional Office in Atlanta, Georgia. Federal permits are required only if the nest is active (i.e., has flightless young or eggs present). In practice, these permits are seldom issued by USFWS. Instead, activities that could adversely affect burrowing owl nests are typically scheduled strategically to avoid the burrowing owl nesting season when active nests may be present, so as to avoid the need for a MBTA permit. See Figure 12-3 for a link to the USFWS web site describing the MBTA permitting process.

12.2.3.3 Osprey

The osprey (Pandion haliaetus) is no longer listed in Florida, but is part of the ISMP. Rule 68A-16.003, F.A.C. eliminates the need for a FWC permit for on-site destruction of an inactive nest (a nest that does not contain eggs or flightless young) of non-listed birds which are protected by the MBTA. The rule does not provide authorization for birds listed in Rule 68A-27, F.A.C. (federal and state listed species) or Rule 68A-16.002, F.A.C. (bald eagles). Accordingly, on-site destruction of inactive non-listed migratory bird nests is currently permitted by rule and no longer requires a FWC permit. A permit for nest removal from FWC is not required.

The osprey is federally protected by the MBTA (16 U.S.C. §§ 703 – 712). Coordinate with the USFWS Region 4 Migratory Bird Permit Office to determine what federal authorization or permits are required for any activity involving non-listed and listed migratory bird species, their nests, and any part thereof. See Figure 12-3 for guidance on contacting the USFWS regarding osprey nests.

12.2.3.4 Gopher Tortoise

Gopher tortoises (Gopherus polyphemus) are protected by state law, Rule 68A - 27.003, F.A.C., and are currently a candidate species for federal listing under the ESA. The FWC established a multi-tiered approach to permitting actions involving gopher tortoises.

These permits are divided into three main types:

1. **Authorized Agent Permits**, which authorize persons to capture, transport, and release tortoises;
2. **Site-Specific Relocation Permits**, which authorize capturing and relocation of tortoises either within the boundaries of an area being impacted (on-site) or from an area being impacted to a permitted recipient site (off-site); and

3. **Recipient Site Permits**, which authorize the use of designated sites meeting specific criteria as recipient areas for tortoises.

Site-Specific Relocation permits are primarily applicable to FDOT projects, although knowledge of the overall permitting structure may be important to effective project management. Emergency Take Permits, Disturbed Site Permits, and Burrow or Structure Protection Permits are three additional permit types, which are only issued under unusual circumstances. The FWC gopher tortoise permitting program, including online permitting, is described on the FWC web site referenced in Figure 12-3. For FDOT guidance on gopher tortoises, see *The Gopher Tortoise, Guidance for Each Phase of FDOT Project Delivery*.

In general, a permit is required for any activity that causes a take, harassment, molestation, damage, or destruction to gopher tortoises or their burrows (See Rule 68A-27.003, F.A.C.). An exception that applies to roadway projects is that certain linear highway ROW vegetation maintenance activities, that may impact gopher tortoises or gopher tortoise burrows, do not require a permit. These activities include mowing and tree cutting.

Relocation permits vary depending upon the quantity of burrows and the condition of the site. The permit types include:

1. **10 or Fewer Burrows Relocation Permit** - for projects, which require the relocation of five or fewer tortoises (i.e., 10 burrows or less).

2. **Conservation Permit** - for development projects which require the relocation of gopher tortoises when more than 10 burrows are proposed to be impacted on a development site. This permit allows for relocation either to an on-site preserve or off-site to a FWC-certified Recipient Site.

3. **Disturbed Site Permit** - May be required for development projects where premature disturbance to the ground has occurred before gopher tortoise burrow surveys are complete or before gopher tortoise capture and relocation activities have been completed at the development site.

4. **Burrow or Structure Protection Permits** - Are available when the integrity or utility of an existing structure is jeopardized by one or two burrows and therefore poses a public safety concern (e.g., burrow under a propane tank, road, or other such structure), or if the safety of the resident tortoise is compromised (e.g., burrows in a grass parking lot, dirt driveway, etc.). This permit type may be
applicable to FDOT projects. Application requirements and tortoise capture and handling procedures are similar to those for 10 or Fewer Burrows permits, however, tortoises relocated under a Burrow or Structure Protection permit shall only be relocated on-site.

5. **Emergency Take without Relocation Permit** - Will be issued only under limited and specific circumstances, in cases where there is an immediate danger to the public’s health and/or safety or in direct response to an official declaration of a state of emergency by the Governor of Florida or a local governmental entity. This permit type is not likely to be applicable to FDOT projects. Applications submitted for this permit must include all information that is required from any other applicant seeking a conservation permit, along with a copy of the official declaration of a state of emergency, if applicable.

Due to the limited duration that gopher tortoise surveys are valid as well as the tendency for gopher tortoises to repopulate an area where relocation of the original population has occurred, FDOT typically does not conduct relocation until just prior to construction commencement. However, knowledge of the existing gopher tortoise population during the permitting process may be necessary to support the application review (i.e., with regard to indigo snake involvement/effects determination). In these cases, the Project Manager may need to have at least 15% surveys of the potential gopher tortoise habitat conducted.

12.3 **PROCEDURE**

During the Planning phase, some projects may qualify for ETDM screening in the EST. **Part 1, Chapter 2, Class of Action Determination for Federal Projects** and **Chapter 2** of the **ETDM Manual, Topic No.650-000-002** list the qualifications for ETDM screening. **Figure 12-4** provides a flow chart of the typical permitting process.

12.3.1 **Projects Not Qualifying for Screening**

Regardless of whether a project is screened in the EST, environmental permits may be needed. For transportation projects not qualifying for EST screening, anticipated environmental permits are documented as a part of discussion and coordination with the resource agency charged with regulating the activity. Decisions should be documented in the Environmental Document and project file, and appropriately addressed through incorporation into the final design contract documents. Documentation in the Environmental Document is as follows:

1. **Type 1 Categorical Exclusions (CEs)** - Type 1 CEs may occasionally need environmental permits. For these projects, complete a **Type 1 Categorical Exclusion Checklist (Part 1, Chapter 2, Class of Action Determination for Federal Projects)**. Include documentation of permitting agency coordination and mitigation for impacts (as appropriate) in the project file.
2. **Non-Major State Actions (NMSAs)** - For a NMSA, include documentation of permitting agency coordination and mitigation for impacts (as appropriate) in the project file.

3. **Type 2 Categorical Exclusions (CEs)** Some Type 2 CEs may not require screening through the EST. For these projects, anticipated environmental permits are listed on the *Type 2 Categorical Exclusion Determination Form* and in the project file. See [Section 12.3.3.1.2](#) for guidance on documenting Type 2 CEs.

### 12.3.2 Projects Qualifying for Screening

For projects qualifying for EST screening, the proposed project is entered into a Planning or Programming Screen Event according to the *ETDM Manual, Topic No. 650-000-002*. This screening initiates the project-level coordination with the regulatory agencies and includes a Preliminary Environmental Discussion (PED) ([Part 1, Chapter 3, Preliminary Environmental Discussion and Advance Notification](#)). The District’s initial assessment of the environmental permits that may be needed for the project is included in the Anticipated Permits section of the PED.

As Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) members, the agency representatives review the proposed project and provide comments identifying potential permits, mitigation opportunities, and technical studies. The ETAT should also provide recommendations and suggestions for minimizing potential environmental impacts to facilitate the permitting process. The EST documents and stores the ETAT review in the *Planning or Programming Screen Summary Report*. This information supports development of the PD&E scope.

Coordination with the regulatory and resource agencies should be continuous throughout the ETDM process. The ETDM Coordinator and Project Manager should also coordinate internally with FDOT Permit Coordinators, District Environmental Offices, and others who may be involved in the PD&E process that will follow the project screening.

#### 12.3.2.1 Planning Screen

The Planning Screen may be used for the early identification of project permits. Regulatory agency ETAT members may identify the types of permits that may be needed for the project, or they may agree with those already listed in the PED. Permits that may be needed for the project are listed in the “Anticipated Permits” section of the *Planning Screen Summary Report*.

#### 12.3.2.2 Programming Screen

In accordance with [Part 1, Chapter 2, Class of Action Determination for Federal Projects](#), qualifying projects must complete the ETDM Programming Screen and may also have completed the Planning Screen. If a Planning Screen was completed, the Programming Screen will build upon information from the Planning Screen.
12.3.2.2.1 Identification of Potential Permitting Needs

If the project completed a Planning Screen, the ETAT may confirm potential permits that were identified. If the project did not complete a Planning Screen, the PED created during the Programming Screen is the first record of potential permits that may be needed for the project. The regulatory agency ETAT members may identify additional permits, or agree with the types of potential permits identified in the PED. Potential permits identified by the regulatory agency ETAT are recorded in the “Anticipated Permits” section of the Programming Screen Summary Report.

Consider any potential impacts to navigation for proposed construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of federally-aided or assisted projects over waters:

1. Which are not used or are not susceptible to use in their natural condition or by reasonable improvement as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce; and

2. Which are not tidal; or

3. If tidal, are used only by recreational boating, fishing, and other small vessels less than 21 feet in length.

The District should coordinate with the USCG to determine if a bridge permit is required for the project during ETDM screening. The USCG representative can make the determination when the District provides the location of the project activity. Refer to Part 1, Chapter 16, United States Coast Guard Projects and Navigation for detailed information on the USCG permitting process.

12.3.2.2.2 Opportunities for Mitigation

Through early coordination, a regulatory or resource agency may identify opportunities for mitigation to offset potential project impacts. If mitigation options are identified during the Programming Screen, they are recorded in the applicable section of the Programming Screen Summary Report. Depending on the issue/resources and the agency providing the comments, the discussion of mitigation opportunities may be in the Wetlands and Surface Waters, Wildlife and Habitat, or Water Resources sections of the report. Mitigation opportunities are discussed in PD&E Environmental Documents and should be referred to during the permitting process.

12.3.3 Project Development and Environment Phase

Typically, information from ETDM screening should be used to prepare the PD&E scope of services and to focus the analysis/impact assessment. During PD&E, FDOT should utilize resource agency comments from the Programming Screen Summary Report to anticipate a project’s permitting needs.
It is recommended that District staff hold regular meetings or teleconferences with USFWS, NMFS, USACE, FWC, or WMDs to discuss current project issues, mitigation needs, the status of ongoing PD&E Studies and mitigation projects, and review project status to see if there is anything the agencies may require to support their ongoing reviews. Other resource agencies (e.g., SHPO/DHR) may need to be coordinated with based on project impacts to their resources which would have to be addressed in the anticipated permits. The frequency of the meetings is at the discretion of each District. Providing project priority lists may also assist regulatory agencies with prioritizing their review of FDOT projects.

The District’s Project Manager is responsible for collecting and maintaining correspondence with resource agencies (e.g., letters, emails), documenting coordination, and maintaining the project file. The documentation provides information for the next project phase.

**12.3.3.1 Preparation for Permitting during PD&E**

Information gathered during PD&E should inform project permitting. Early in PD&E, FDOT identifies the project’s anticipated permitting needs from knowledge of regulations, agency comments and information included in the Programming Screen Summary Report (if the project was screened in the EST). The District should review ETAT comments for the “Coastal and Marine,” “Wetlands and Surface Waters,” “Water Resources,” “Navigation,” “Cultural Resources,” and “Wildlife and Habitat” issues in the Programming Screen Summary Report. FDOT should focus on the comments from the regulatory agencies in developing and conducting analysis. The Programming Screen Summary Report may specifically identify the types of permits that may be needed in the “Anticipated Permits” section of the report.

During PD&E, an impact assessment is conducted for direct and indirect/secondary impacts to wetlands/surface waters and impacts to listed species and their habitats according to Part 2, Chapter 9, Wetlands and Other Surface Waters and Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat. During this impact assessment, the District coordinates with the regulatory agencies to determine what permit types will be needed for the project based on anticipated project impacts. This should involve the Permit Coordinator and compare the list of anticipated permits identified during the ETDM screening to those permits necessary for the project as a result of the analysis/impact assessment. This coordination may include a field review with appropriate resource agencies.

The impact assessment typically requires the preparation of a Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE) or a technical memorandum. Coordination between the Permit Coordinator and the Environmental Office during development of the NRE or technical memorandum will ensure that the resulting report contains information sufficient to support subsequent permitting. The NRE must be sent to the resource agencies for review so that they have an opportunity to review the project impacts and provide concurrence, as applicable, in advance of finalization of the Environmental Document.
The District and OEM review the draft NRE prior to agency submittal. Preparation of an NRE or technical memorandum where OEM is the Lead Federal Agency under NEPA Assignment requires the inclusion of the following standard statement on the report cover page:

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

During the PD&E process, the NRE is summarized in the Environmental Document.

Scoping of PD&E projects should follow the Statewide Acceleration Transformation (SWAT) process which is a project management approach that streamlines FDOT’s project delivery process through early coordination and communication among the different functional offices within the District. One potential outcome of the SWAT process is to conduct the design phase concurrently with the PD&E phase, potentially resulting in advancing environmental permitting. More information on the SWAT process can be found in Part 1, Chapter 4, Project Development Process and the FDOT SWAT Training Workbook.

It is important for the PD&E Project Manager to coordinate with the Permit Coordinator to discuss project schedules and timing of environmental permit applications. Several factors that may be discussed include:

- Information obtained during PD&E to support the permit application
- Environmental issues including agency consultation that may require additional time to obtain the environmental permit (i.e. protected species consultation)
- Timing of protected species surveys needed to support environmental permit applications
- The anticipated date of project construction
- If the project will need a State-Owned Submerged Lands authorization requiring a decision by the Board of Trustees
- Whether project funding is available to support the permitting effort, including mitigation, during PD&E

### 12.3.3.1.1 Consideration of Mitigation Options

During the PD&E phase, project design is developed in sufficient detail to quantify impacts to environmental resources such as wetlands and protected species. To the extent
practicable, FDOT must demonstrate avoidance (elimination) and minimization (reduction) of impacts prior to the consideration of compensatory mitigation options. For those projects that have unavoidable wetland and species impacts, compensatory mitigation may be required. In accordance with the USACE’s federal mitigation sequencing [Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources (33 CFR §§ 325 and 332)], wetland impacts must be addressed through: 1) avoidance, 2) minimization, and finally 3) compensatory mitigation. Environmental Resource Permits (ERPs) under state jurisdiction must follow criteria established under Chapter 62-330, F.A.C. and in the ERP Applicant’s Handbook Volume I which is to reduce or eliminate wetland or other surface water impacts prior to mitigation. Additionally, impacts to certain protected species or their habitat may result in the need to mitigate potential impacts. FDOT mitigation requirements are further enumerated in Section 373.4137, F.S.

To validate that the project design in PD&E is feasible (i.e., that it is reasonably anticipated to be permittable), the Environmental Document should include consideration of mitigation options to address anticipated unavoidable direct and indirect/secondary wetland impacts and impacts to listed species. Coordination is needed with the regulatory agencies when developing the mitigation option to determine if proposed mitigation for wetland impacts may also satisfy mitigation needs for wetland dependent listed species. This helps to ensure FDOT provides the appropriate mitigation to offset project impacts and that mitigation used to satisfy one agency does not conflict with the mitigation recommendations of another agency. Mitigation options should be available and/or technologically feasible such that projects do not get advanced to the Final Design that have no known viable means to adequately address unavoidable impacts.

The impact assessment may include a Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM) in accordance with Chapter 62-345, F.A.C., conducted at a broader level than is needed for permitting. If a modified UMAM is being considered during PD&E, it should be coordinated with the applicable permitting agencies. Other suitable wetland assessment methods (e.g. Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure) may be used depending on the available mitigation options in the service area of the proposed impacts. See Part 2, Chapter 9, Wetlands and Other Surface Waters for guidance on using UMAM during PD&E.

For wetland impacts, a conceptual mitigation plan may need to be prepared according to Part 2, Chapter 9, Wetlands and Other Surface Waters. The level of detail for the conceptual mitigation plan is determined through coordination with the appropriate regulatory agency(s) and is dependent upon the magnitude of mitigation required. The conceptual mitigation plan must demonstrate that mitigation is available to offset impacts to wetlands. In accordance with Section 373.4137, F.S., as amended, mitigation options may include “the use of mitigation banks and any other mitigation options that satisfy state and federal requirements” (i.e., mitigation bank credit purchases, funding to WMD for mitigation services and FDOT- responsible mitigation projects). Such options must be identified in the Environmental Document. Mitigation options identified during PD&E are those available at that time; however, final mitigation is determined at the time the permit is obtained.
It is recommended that the Permit Coordinator assist with preparation, or review of the conceptual mitigation plan and mitigation discussion that is included in the Environmental Document. The Permit Coordinator may provide input on mitigation banks and credit availability in the project area, mitigation services available from FDEP or the WMDs, and other mitigation opportunities available for the project.

### 12.3.3.1.2 Environmental Commitments

FDOT may make environmental commitments to minimize potential adverse project effects. These commitments provide assurance to the reviewing agencies that the identified issues will be appropriately addressed during design and permitting – paving the way for a more efficient permit review process. Additionally, commitments provide predictability to FDOT and to designers/consultants for the level of effort (cost) that will ensue during design and permitting. Project commitments must be documented in the Environmental Document and tracked in accordance with the [Project Commitment Tracking, Procedure No. 650-000-003](#). Some commitments made during PD&E may become permit conditions at the discretion of the regulatory agency. See [Part 2, Chapter 22, Commitments](#) for more information on project commitments.

### 12.3.3.1.3 Documenting Permits

Permits identified during the PD&E Study, including those permits identified as no longer being applicable to the project, must be documented in the Environmental Document. Permits that were identified as anticipated during the ETDM process that are no longer applicable to the project should be identified with an explanation as to why they are no longer needed. Documentation of regulatory agency coordination must be added to the project file.

Permits are documented in the Environmental Document as described below:

1. **Type 2 CE and SEIR** – For Type 2 CEs and SEIRs, environmental permits needed for the project are listed in the “Anticipated Permits” section. They are also discussed in the applicable Environmental Analysis section (Wetlands and Other Surface Waters, Protected Species and Habitat, Navigation) as a part of the discussion of coordination with the resource agency requiring the permit. The Navigation Section of the report should identify whether the USCG has determined if a bridge permit is required. See [Part 1, Chapter 5, Type 2 Categorical Exclusion](#), or [Part 1, Chapter 10, State, Local, or Privately Funded Project Delivery](#) for more detail on how to prepare these sections of the Type 2 CE or SEIR.

2. **Environmental Assessment (EA)** – Permits are documented in the Anticipated Permits section, as well as the applicable Environmental Analysis section (Wetlands and Other Surface Waters, Protected Species and Habitat, Navigation) as a part of the discussion of coordination with the resource agency requesting the
permit. See Part 1, Chapter 6, Environmental Assessment for more detail on how to prepare these sections of the EA.

3. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) – Permits are documented in the Anticipated Permits section, as well as the applicable Environmental Analysis section (Wetlands and Other Surface Waters, Protected Species and Habitat, Navigation) as a part of the discussion of coordination with the resource agency requesting the permit. See Part 1, Chapter 8, Draft Environmental Impact Statement for more detail on how to prepare these sections of the EIS.

Permits that will be needed for the project are also listed in the Executive Summary of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) when it is to be submitted without a Record of Decision (ROD). In the DEIS this section is titled “List of Other Government Actions Required” and in the FEIS it is titled “Other Government Actions and Permits Required”. See Part 1, Chapter 8, Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Part 1, Chapter 9, Final Environmental Impact Statement for more detail on how to prepare these sections of the EIS Executive Summary.

12.3.4 Re-evaluation

Changes after approval of the Environmental Document must be documented in a re-evaluation per Part 1, Chapter 13, Re-evaluations. Specific to permitting, the re-evaluation should address any changes in laws, rules, or regulations that may impact project permitting, and provide a status of environmental permits required on the project. If a project’s design has changed, the re-evaluation should also address whether the design changes impact permitting and associated mitigation. Permitting information should be included in the “Status of Permits” section of the Re-evaluation Form (Part 1, Chapter 13, Re-evaluations).

12.3.5 Design and Permitting

The purpose of the Design phase is to refine the project design initiated in PD&E, apply for and obtain federal and/or state environmental permits authorizing the construction of the proposed project, and generate plans and specifications consistent with permit allowances and conditions. During this phase, it is important for the Permit Coordinator and Project Designer(s) to refer to information prepared during the PD&E phase such as the Environmental Document, technical reports, and agency coordination to appropriately incorporate agency input, design considerations, and project commitments into the project design and related permit application packages. Some project commitments made earlier in the project life-cycle may become permit conditions.

From the PD&E phase to the Design and Construction phases, projects generally follow one of two project delivery methods: Design-Bid-Build or Design-Build (DB). The Design-Bid-Build method is where FDOT obtains separate contractors for the Design phase and the Construction phase. The first contractor handles the project Design, including
permitting. Once Design is complete, FDOT solicits a bid for another contractor to construct the project based upon the approved plans and specifications of which the issued permits are a part. Alternatively, projects could proceed using the DB method where the design and construction are combined in a single contract. If the environmental permits have not been obtained prior to procurement of DB firm, then FDOT must work closely with the selected DB firm on obtaining or modifying permits, following the steps described in Section 12.3.5.2. This includes oversight/review of the contractor throughout the permitting process. Whether Design-Bid-Build or DB, the District as the permittee is responsible for reviewing, approving, and signing permit applications.

For LAP projects not on the SHS (off-system), the LAP agency is responsible for obtaining necessary permits and conducting regulatory agency coordination. This includes signature of permit applications and execution of required mitigation, as applicable. FDOT may provide oversight of the LAP agency to assure completeness. FDOT Districts have LAP Coordinators to assist the LAP agencies. See Local Agency Program Manual, Topic No. 525-010-300.

12.3.5.1 Finalize Mitigation

As the project design is refined and permitting is initiated, the previously-identified quantities and mitigation options are refined in consultation with the appropriate regulatory agency. The intent is to identify the appropriate mitigation, how much mitigation will be required to off-set net adverse wetland impacts, and which mitigation option(s) will be incorporated into the respective state and federal permits. Since the state and federal permitting processes are independent in relation to quantifying wetland impacts and mitigation, it is possible that the quantities of compensatory mitigation required may differ between the state and federal permits.

In accordance with Section 373.4137, F.S., FDOT must consider any mitigation options that meet state and federal requirements. The mitigation option must be coordinated with the appropriate regulatory agency. Mitigation for wetland impacts may also provide conservation benefit for listed species. When finalizing mitigation, coordination with regulatory agencies can help to determine whether project mitigation may serve mitigation needs of multiple permits. The final mitigation plans are then carried through the permitting process and become conditions of the environmental permits, as appropriate.

12.3.5.2 Permitting Process

Permits must be obtained before construction begins. For traditional Design-Bid-Build projects dredge and fill permits (i.e., ERP and Section 404/10 permits) are typically issued during the Design phase, in advance of letting. Ideally, the District should obtain the environmental permits prior to production and no later than the project letting date. For DB projects permits may be obtained during procurement (in advance of project letting) or by the DB firm once the project is let. A project’s contract letting date is the date the FDOT opens bid proposals from potential contractors.
It is important for the Permit Coordinator, environmental staff, and the Design Project Manager to coordinate during permitting to ensure that information gathered during the PD&E Study is utilized during permitting. The PD&E Project Manager should transmit the Environmental Document as well as relevant technical reports, such as the NRE, Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS), and resource agency correspondence/concurrence to the Permit Coordinator. In some cases, the Environmental Document may include agency concurrence documentation, which when submitted with the permit applications, may expedite agency review and identify important commitments which need to be addressed during the Design phase. It is the responsibility of the Permit Coordinator to review the documents and ensure that applicable information is used when preparing environmental permit applications.

In the Design phase, exact project alignment and the extent of resource impacts become known. During the permitting process alignment-specific or updated environmental studies may be conducted to identify the presence or absence of state or federally listed or otherwise protected species, establish jurisdictional wetland and surface water boundaries, quantify wetland impacts and mitigation needs (using appropriate functional assessment method), determine seasonal high and average wet season water table elevations, delineate/document cultural resources, and identify other environmentally sensitive resources (such as seagrasses, mangroves, coral and associated benthic resources). Appropriate drainage requirements focusing on the development of, or improvement to the stormwater management system, and how the project meets state water quality and quantity criteria should be addressed. See Part 2, Chapter 11, Water Resources, Part 2, Chapter 13, Floodplains, and FDOT Drainage Manual, Topic No. 625-040-002 for more information. This information provides the “facts on the ground” that complement or update the PD&E Study results and support pre-application communication with the regulatory agencies and permit application submittal(s). These activities should be done in coordination with the Environmental Office so that these items/updates can be recognized in re-evaluations. Figure 12-1 and Figure 12-2 include links to web sites that itemize typical permit types and application content for FDEP, WMD, USCG, and USACE; links to digital application forms for these agencies; and descriptions of typical state and federal permit review processes.

12.3.5.2.1 Pre-application Conference

To facilitate project permitting, a pre-application conference should be scheduled to discuss the project and related requirements with the appropriate state or federal agency. These conferences are in addition to resource agency coordination during ETDM and PD&E. They are meant to:

1. Notify agency personnel of the pending application,
2. Establish agency expectations of application content, and
3. Identify project-specific issues that should be addressed in the application.
It is recommended that the Permit Coordinator or District designee attend this meeting, along with appropriate consultant staff and/or contractors. Other FDOT staff may also attend such as the Project Manager or Lead Designer. FDOT participation in these meetings help to ensure the agency coordination is in FDOT’s interest. Such coordination typically facilitates a more complete application submittal and a more efficient permit application review process.

12.3.5.2.2 Application Preparation/Submittal

The state and federal “dredge and fill” permit applications, in general, describe who, what, when, where, and how through forms, narrative, tables, and graphics. The application for a state wetland permit will include a request for authorization to use state-owned submerged lands. Applicants are not required to submit a separate application for sovereign submerged lands authorization. The federal and state application packages are typically initiated when project design approaches Phase II design plans (60% design plans). At this point, the major components of the project (i.e., the project impact footprint) have been designed and environmental impacts and mitigation can be computed with low risk of further revision that would result in the need to re-quantify project impacts and mitigation.

Permit application packages may be generated by in house by the District or by consultants. The timing of initiation of the application process is directed by the Permit Coordinator, in coordination with the Design Project Manager and the Program Management Office. This coordination ensures that design, permitting, and construction are appropriately scheduled and funded to avoid extended periods between permit issuance and construction funding. When permit application packages are prepared by consultants, they are reviewed, approved, and signed by the Permit Coordinator, or their designee, since FDOT is responsible for the project. The use of an appropriate application checklist is recommended to ensure the application packages are complete.

Permit application packages are submitted to the WMD or FDEP to initiate the state permitting process. FDOT Districts can submit the NRE as supporting data along with any updated information. Upon receipt of the application, or of a notice to use a general permit or a determination of an exemption, FDEP or WMD staff will examine the application or notice to determine whether the activity appears to be located, in whole or in part, on state-owned submerged lands. The applicant may also indicate in the application the need for a proprietary authorization (e.g. a letter of consent, easement or lease) in addition to the regulatory authorization being requested. Where necessary, FDEP or WMD staff will request a title determination from FDEP’s Division of State Lands as confirmation whether state lands would be affected by a proposed project. Activities located in one of the state’s Aquatic Preserves must receive a separate written authorization prior to initiating any work.

A separate application is submitted to the USACE for the federal permit. The FDOT uses Form ENG 4345 to initiate the federal permitting process. The form, and instruction on how to complete the form, are available on the USACE web site (USACE, 2015). The
Permit Coordinator with assistance from the Environmental Manager should coordinate with the USACE, and WMD or FDEP.

Application packages for USCG permits are submitted directly to the USCG. Typical contents of a USCG application package are described in detail in the *Bridge Permit Application Guide (USCG, 2016)* and the application review process is described in the *Bridge Permit Processing Procedure (USCG, 2014)*. It is recommended that the Permit Coordinator work with the Design Project Manager to ensure permits are obtained at the appropriate time to avoid the need for a permit extension.

For CCCL permits, FDOT submits an *Application for a Permit for Construction Seaward of the Coastal Construction Control Line or Fifty-Foot Setback* to FDEP Bureau of Beaches and Coastal Systems according to *Rule 62B-33.008, F.A.C., Permit Application Requirements and Procedures*.

Application fees are associated with state permit review. Refer to the rate schedule on either the FDEP or WMD web sites for current permit-specific rates (*Figure 12-2*). The federal review process does not exact an application fee when the applicant is a government agency.

**Erosion and Sediment Control Plan**

FDOT develops and submits an erosion and sediment control plan as part of the ERP application. This plan provides reasonable assurance that water quality standards will not be violated during the construction phase of a project. The plan must identify the location, relative timing, and specifications for all erosion and sediment control and stabilization measures that will be implemented as part of the project's construction. The plan must provide for compliance with the terms and schedule of implementing the proposed project, beginning with the initiation of construction activities. The plan may be submitted as a separate document, or may be contained as part of the plans and specifications of the construction documents. For more information on the development of an erosion and sediment control plan, refer to *Volume 1 of the Applicant's Handbook* or *Part 1 of the State of Florida Erosion and Sediment Control Designer and Reviewer Manual*.

**Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for NPDES Requirements**

A *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)* is required to be developed and implemented for each FDOT construction project that disturbs one or more acres of total land area and discharges to waters of the United States. The objectives of a *SWPPP* are to:

1. Prevent erosion where construction activities are occurring,

2. Prevent pollutants from mixing with stormwater, and
3. Prevent pollutants from being discharged by trapping them on-site, before they can affect the receiving waters.

A complete SWPPP consists of: SWPPP sheets, other plan sheets and documents referenced in the SWPPP sheets, the contractor’s approved Erosion Control Plan in accordance with Standard Specifications, Section 104, inspection reports, and documentation of field changes that were made to better address the objectives and is prepared in consultation with Drainage, Construction, and Environmental personnel. The FDOT Design Manual, Part 2, Section 251, Topic No. 625-000-002 describes the purpose, objective, and signing and noticing requirements of the SWPPP and the FDOT Design Manual, Part 3, Section 320, Topic No. 625-000-002 describes the required narrative and graphical components of the Plan. The SWPPP developed as part of the ERP application package may not be of sufficient detail for a contractor to obtain an NPDES permit. The contractor is responsible for developing a project specific SWPPP to meet regulatory requirements to obtain an NPDES permit prior to construction.

### 12.3.5.2.3 Application Processing/Review

The duration of the state permitting process depends on the complexity of the construction and the environmental sensitivity of the project area, unless the project qualifies for a general permit, which takes approximately 30 days. Section 373.4141, F.S., provides FDEP or the WMD 30 days to request additional information on an application or in any subsequent submittal within 30 days after receipt of an application for permit or receipt of additional information. An application is considered complete by a regulatory agency when the applicant has provided sufficient information for the regulatory agency to make a final agency action. A permit is issued or denied within 60 days after the application has been deemed complete, or upon written request by an applicant for the regulatory agency to begin processing the application. The 60-day statutory deadline for permit issuance can be formally waived by the applicant, for a period of time identified by the applicant, using the Waiver of the 60 Day Review Time Limit Form available from FDEP or WMD.

The approval or denial of an ERP application is linked with the approval or denial of any required state-owned submerged lands application. Activities that require an ERP cannot become complete until all required state-owned submerged lands information has been submitted as part of the permit application. In addition, the ERP permit cannot be issued unless a determination has been made that the related state-owned submerged lands application can be issued. If an activity meets all the requirements for issuance of an ERP, but does not meet all the requirements for issuance of the state-owned submerged lands authorization, the ERP must be denied. Conversely, if the activity meets all the state-owned submerged lands requirements, but does not meet the conditions for issuance of the ERP, the state-owned submerged lands application and the ERP permit will be denied.

Activities that qualify for a general permit, or an exemption from the state, are not linked. In such cases, even though an activity may be authorized by the general permit or
exemption, construction, alteration, modification, maintenance, operation, abandonment, or removal of the project may not commence until the required state-owned submerged lands authorization has also been granted.

Unlike the state process, the federal permitting process is not tied to a statutory timeframe for permit issuance. However, like the state process, both USACE and USCG notify an applicant of apparent errors or omissions in application materials and request any additional information needed to clarify the information on an application. Concurrence for a Nationwide Permit typically takes 3 to 6 months from the date of application. For more complicated Standard Permits, the USACE may need 12 to 18 months from the date of application. The USCG permitting process for a minor deviation takes approximately 3 to 4 months. A bridge permit is typically issued or denied by the USCG within 180 days after an application has been deemed complete. More information on the USCG permitting process can be found in Part 1, Chapter 16, United States Coast Guard Projects and Navigation.

Both the USACE and USCG solicit comments from the public and resource agencies (e.g., USFWS, NMFS, EPA) by publishing a public notice during the permitting process. The period for the public to submit comment is finite and identified in the public notice (i.e., typically between 15 and 30 days). Once the public comment period closes, the Lead Federal Agency consolidates the public comments with merit and conveys them to the applicant. The applicant then responds to the comments in the same manner as the typical request for additional information process described previously.

As commenting agencies, the USFWS and/or NMFS may request additional data, including recent species-specific field surveys, confirmation of habitat mapping and characterization, and data on any observed listed species occurrences. Issuance of federal permits from USACE and/or USCG is contingent upon approval from USFWS and/or NMFS that the project “may affect, is not likely to adversely affect” federally listed species, or that the action “may affect, likely to adversely affect” one or more listed species and incidental take is authorized. A review by NMFS or USFWS for listed species may take 180 days or longer, depending on the level of impact proposed.

The WMD or FDEP may solicit comment from FWC in regard to a proposed project’s potential effect on state-listed wildlife. In turn, FWC may request additional data, including recent species-specific field surveys, confirmation of habitat mapping and characterization, and data on any observed listed species occurrences to support the state permitting process. The applicant then responds to the comments in the same manner as the typical request for additional information process described previously.

Issuance of a state general, individual, or conceptual ERP from a WMD requires that the activity “will not adversely impact the value of functions provided to fish and wildlife and listed species by wetlands and other surface waters.” (e.g., Rule 62-330.301(1)(d), F.A.C.). Additionally, the applicant must provide reasonable assurance that the project will not be contrary to the public interest for activities located in, on, or over wetlands or
other surface waters - or in the case where impacts are proposed in an Outstanding Florida Water, the applicant must provide reasonable assurance that the project is clearly in the public interest; that the project will not adversely affect navigation; and that the project will not result in harm to listed wildlife species Rule 62-330.302(1), F.A.C. The Project Manager and Permit Coordinator should facilitate the communication of FWC decisions and commitments (if any) to the WMD as part of the state permit application process, and obtain documentation from the WMD that the wildlife and habitat commitments sufficiently meet the conditions for permit issuance.

Incidental take permits are issued for activities that may result in take of federal or state protected species. Applications are contingent upon a USFWS HCP which defines the full impact on the species, describes methods proposed to minimize take, and outlines mitigation which may be rendered to offset the take. Additional information on HCPs and incidental take permits is available on the USFWS Ecological Services site and in the online FWC Florida Wildlife Conservation Guide. See Figure 12-3 for links to these resources. The NMFS website has information on permits they issue. The USFWS and NMFS have a Habitat Conservation Planning and Incidental Take Permitting Processing Handbook that provides guidance on this permitting process.

FWC will approve or deny a complete permit application within 90 days of receipt. A complete application includes all relevant information as attachments (e.g. scientific project proposals, educational plans and brochures, site plans, photographs, etc.). Complete permit applications, renewals, and amendment requests should be submitted a minimum of 45 days prior to the requested effective date.

12.3.5.2.4 Noticing Permits

Noticing requirements vary between federal and state agencies. The information in this section provides an overview of permit noticing requirements. The District should coordinate with the appropriate regulatory agency to ensure proper noticing of permits.

In accordance with 33 CFR § 325.2(a)(8), for USACE standard permits, the USACE’s District Engineer will publish monthly a list of permits issued or denied during the previous month. The list will identify each action by public notice number, name of applicant, and brief description of activity involved. This list will be distributed to all persons who may have an interest in any of the public notices listed. USACE general permits do not typically require noticing upon receipt (verification or authorization is the term used in the letter to FDOT). The USACE provides a public notice in the FR announcing the availability of general permits.

For USCG bridge permits, the applicant may publish a public notice to known navigation and other interested parties, news media, adjacent property owners, public officials and local government agencies. The public notice contains a description of the proposed bridge project and includes location maps and bridge drawings with navigational clearances. FDOT should coordinate with the USCG for details on the information to include in the public notice.
For ERPs, it is recommended that the FDOT District publish a notice of agency action in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the proposed activity is to occur. Publication of notice informs third parties of their right to challenge the regulatory agency’s action. If proper notice is provided by publication, third parties have 21 days in which to file a petition opposing the agency’s action. A shorter 14-day time limit applies to an agency action regarding ERPs linked with an authorization to use State Owned Submerged Lands. Agency actions regarding issuance or denial of a permit, petition or qualification for exemption, only become closed to future legal challenges if third parties have been properly notified and no third-party objects within a specific period. Upon request FDEP or WMD staff will provide the applicant (FDOT) with the information for publishing such a notice. The District can also contact the Office of General Counsel for assistance.

12.3.5.2.5 Permit Distribution and Tracking

With issuance of environmental permit(s), the Permit Coordinator utilizes a Permit Transmittal Memorandum, Form No. 650-040-01 (Figure 12-5) to transfer the permit(s) to the District Construction Engineer and posts the permit(s) to FDOT’s File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site. Posting to the FTP site is part of the official contract package. Potential contractors use the FTP site to obtain copies of the permits when preparing their bids. The Construction Office, CEI team, winning contractor, and Maintenance Office review the environmental permits to ensure permit compliance.

Each District must implement a means to track execution of the various parameters associated with each permit issued to FDOT – including but not limited to pre-construction wildlife surveys/permitting, mitigation implementation/purchase, ESA consultation, resource protection during construction, permit expiration dates, monitoring and inspection schedules, and post-construction notification and reporting. They may be tracked using, SharePoint, Excel spreadsheets or permit tracking databases. Tools that automatically notify the user of approaching permit expiration dates are especially helpful. For LAP agency projects, the LAP agency must provide documentation to FDOT demonstrating that the appropriate environmental permits have been obtained. More information on LAP projects can be found in the Local Agency Program Manual, Topic No. 525-010-300.

12.3.6 Construction

The Permit Coordinator should participate in the pre-construction meeting to brief Construction staff and Contractor on permitting and environmental issues. The Permit Coordinator should also send a pre-construction environmental permit briefing memorandum to the Construction Project Administrator. Section 8.2 of the Construction Project Administration Manual, Topic No. 700-000-000 provides guidance on:

1. Providing a comprehensive review of all permits at the preconstruction conference
2. Monitoring regulated activities to assure they are conducted in accordance with permits

3. Special requirements of NPDES

4. Reporting and Enforcement

In addition, the Construction Office should coordinate with the Environmental Office and Permit Coordinator to ensure permit condition familiarity and to ensure that the intent and timeline of the environmental permit conditions are being met.

During the Construction phase, a contractor may request modification of existing permits. FDOT may authorize the contractor’s request to proceed with a permit modification if it is in compliance with FDOT design criteria and state and federal regulatory requirements and is not anticipated to adversely affect project schedule or cost. FDOT will review and approve the modification prior to submittal, as described in Section 12.3.5.2.2.

**12.3.6.1 Permit Compliance**

FDOT, as the permittee, is responsible for ensuring compliance with the permit prior, during, and, after Construction. Failure to comply with issued permits may result in enforcement action by the regulatory agencies. Therefore, it is important for FDOT to assure that construction contractors, and/or DB firms are aware of their contractual obligation to follow approved commitments, permit conditions and project design in order that FDOT remains compliant with permit requirements.

In accordance with Section 8.2 of the Construction Project Administration Manual, Topic No. 700-000-000, the Project Administrator is responsible for permit compliance during Construction. The Project Administrator should meet with the Permit Coordinator and Environmental Manager prior to construction to be briefed on the content of project permits and design plan notes (if any) as they relate to protection of environmental resources. The Project Administrator should continue to coordinate with the Permit Coordinator and the Environmental Manager throughout the Construction phase to assure the Project Administrator’s full knowledge of the regulatory requirements included in the permits, sediment erosion plan, SWPPP, and design plans and to assure the continued awareness of project progress by the Environmental Office, particularly if environmental issues arise.

Once construction is complete, the Project Administrator is responsible for addressing post-construction permit conditions. Post-construction activities may include, but are not limited to:

1. Notifying the USACE of project completion via written correspondence.

2. Notifying FDEP or WMD of construction completion and requesting conversion of the project to the operation phase via submittal of the electronic form located at
**Rule 62-330.310(1), F.A.C.**

3. Submitting an as-built certification form to the USACE (typically an attachment to the permit).

4. Submitting as-built drawings to both FDEP/WMD and USACE showing how project construction either complied with, or deviated from, permitted project design.

5. Notifying wildlife agencies about completion of species permit activities (e.g., After Action reports for Gopher Tortoise permits).

Permit conditions from both agencies typically define the required submittal information to assist FDOT in completing the forms and compiling required information. Species permits may also include conditions requiring documentation that must be submitted to the wildlife agencies. For project continuity, the Construction Office should additionally notify the Environmental Office Engineer of construction completion.

The Construction Project Administrator is also responsible for monitoring all permit expiration dates and advising the Environmental Manager and Permit Coordinator at least 6 months prior to a permit expiring. For projects requiring USFWS or NMFS consultation, notification of permit expiration should be up to twelve 12 months in advance of permit expiration, to allow ample time for coordination/consultation with the agencies. The Permit Coordinator is responsible for acquiring necessary permit extensions and/or renewals from the appropriate regulatory agency in the event work is not anticipated to be completed within the time authorized by the permit.
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# Table 12-1 Legislation Related to Environmental Permitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title and Citation</th>
<th>Relevance to Permitting</th>
<th>Lead Agency/ Required Commenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Legislation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clean Water Act (Federal Water Pollution Control Act) of 1972, Section 404, (33 U.S.C. §1344) as amended; (40 CFR Part 230)</strong></td>
<td>The Clean Water Act (CWA) is the primary federal law related to protection of surface waters and wetlands. Section 404 regulates the discharge of dredged and fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands. Dredge and fill activities are regulated by a permit process administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and overseen by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This process most commonly creates a “federal nexus” for wildlife consultations under the Endangered Species Act. USACE functions as the lead agency, while U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) serve as cooperating agencies for Section 404 federal actions. Under Section 401, states are provided authority to ensure that federal permits do not violate state water quality standards.</td>
<td>USACE / EPA, USFWS, and/or NMFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, Section 10</strong></td>
<td>Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act prohibits the unauthorized obstruction or alteration of any navigable water of the United States. The construction of any structure in or over any navigable water of the United States, the excavating from or depositing of material in such waters, or the accomplishment of any other work affecting the course, location, condition, or capacity of such waters is unlawful unless the work has been permitted by the USACE.</td>
<td>USACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Bridge Act of 1946</strong></td>
<td>Under the General Bridge Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. §§ 525-533), construction of a bridge over a navigable U.S. waterway requires the Coast Guard to grant a bridge permit</td>
<td>USCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) (40 CFR Part 122) and Section 403.0885, F.S.</strong></td>
<td>NPDES requires the development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for construction project sites greater than 1 acre in size, if stormwater from the activity has the potential to enter a surface water of the State or a municipal separate storm sewer system.</td>
<td>FDEP (delegated from EPA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, 16 U.S.C. § 470f</strong></td>
<td>The Act requires the federal agency to take into account the effects that activities authorized by federal permits are likely to have on historical properties listed in, or eligible for listing in, the National Register of Historic Places.</td>
<td>USACE / State Historic Preservation Office/Officer (SHPO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), as amended (16 U.S.C. §§ 1531-1541); 50 CFR Part 402</strong></td>
<td>Section 7 of the ESA requires federal agencies, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), to ensure that effects of actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat of such species. This congressional policy states that “All Federal departments and agencies shall seek to conserve endangered and threatened species and shall utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of the Act”. In the absence of a federal nexus, Section 10 of the ESA allows for the “incidental take” of listed species when a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) is developed and approved. 50 CFR § 402.08 allows FDOT to conduct informal ESA consultations with USFWS on behalf of FHWA.</td>
<td>USFWS or NMFS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 12-1 Legislation Related to Environmental Permitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title and Citation</th>
<th>Relevance to Permitting</th>
<th>Lead Agency/ Required Commenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Legislation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 703-712), as amended</td>
<td>The Act states that &quot;it shall be unlawful at any time…..to pursue, hunt, take, capture, kill, attempt to take, capture, or kill, possess…..any migratory bird, any part, nest, or egg of any such bird…&quot;. This governs avian species such as the Florida burrowing owl, osprey, and American bald eagle.</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, (16 U.S.C. §§ 668-668c), as amended</td>
<td>The Act prohibits anyone, without a permit issued by the Secretary of the Interior, from &quot;taking&quot; bald eagles, including their parts, nests, or eggs. The Act provides criminal penalties for persons who &quot;take, possess, sell, purchase, barter, offer to sell, purchase or barter, transport, export or import, at any time or any manner, any bald eagle ... [or any golden eagle], alive or dead, or any part, nest, or egg thereof.&quot; The Act defines &quot;take&quot; as &quot;pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest or disturb.&quot;</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Legislation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 62-330, F.A.C.</td>
<td>Establishes the SWERP program to provide more consistency throughout Florida in state permitting thresholds, requirements and processes. The SWERP program governs the following: construction, alteration, operation, maintenance, repair, abandonment, and removal of stormwater management systems, dams, impoundments, reservoirs, appurtenant works, and works (including docks, piers, structures, dredging, and filling located in, on or over wetlands or other surface waters.</td>
<td>DEP or WMD / FWC and SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 68A-27, F.A.C.</td>
<td>Rules Relating to Threatened or Endangered Species. This chapter provides the purpose, definitions, species designations, permitting requirements, and other rules associated with the regulation of potential impacts to state listed species in Florida.</td>
<td>FWC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gopher Tortoise (68A - 27.003 F.A.C.)</td>
<td>As a Threatened species, a permit is required for any activity that causes a take, harassment, molestation, damage, or destruction to gopher tortoises or their burrows.</td>
<td>FWC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In accordance with Section 335.02(4), F.S., FDOT is not bound by county, municipal, or special district regulations for projects on the State Highway System; however, Broward and Hillsborough Counties have been delegated regulatory authority to administer the state wetland permitting program.*
Table 12-2 Federal Permit Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Action/Permit Type</th>
<th>Permit Number/Name or Activity Description</th>
<th>Commenting Agency</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>No Permit Required</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Utilize Permit Involvement Form or other checklist to ensure correct determination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nationwide Permit (NWP)</td>
<td>54 NWPs exist as of March 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NWPs are reviewed every 5 years, and changes are made, as appropriate. Some NWPs subject to Pre-Construction Notice (PCN). Special conditions apply to some NWPs. Refer to USACE Source Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional General Permit (RGP)</td>
<td>SAJ-92, FDOT and Florida's Turnpike Enterprise</td>
<td>USFWS (SAJ-92, p. 4), SHPO</td>
<td>Capacity improvement projects. RGPs are reviewed every 5 years, and changes are made, as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter of Permission</td>
<td>Letter of Permission</td>
<td>USFWS, NMFS, SHPO</td>
<td>Refer to USACE Source Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard Permit</td>
<td>Standard Permit</td>
<td>USFWS, NMFS, EPA, SHPO</td>
<td>Refer to USACE Source Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Coast Guard</td>
<td>Bridge Permit</td>
<td>Bridge permit</td>
<td>NMFS, USFWS, EPA, SHPO</td>
<td>Refer to USCG Bridge Permit Application Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Agency</td>
<td>Action/Permit Type</td>
<td>Permit Number/Name or Activity Description</td>
<td>Commenting Agency</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Environmental Protection or Water Management District</td>
<td>Conceptual Permit</td>
<td>Conceptual Permit</td>
<td>FWC, SHPO</td>
<td>Conceptual permit may also authorize the first phase of project. Refer to Rule 62-330.056, F.A.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Permit</td>
<td>General Permit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Refer to Rules 62-330.401 through 62-330.635, F.A.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual Permit</td>
<td>Individual Permit</td>
<td>FWC, SHPO</td>
<td>Refer to Rule 62-330.054, F.A.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDEP</td>
<td>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)</td>
<td>NPDES Permit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Typically acquired by construction contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Agency</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Permit Type/Name</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC)</td>
<td>Gopher Tortoise</td>
<td>10 of Fewer Burrows Relocation Permit</td>
<td>All named permits require the involvement of and Authorized Gopher Tortoise Agent permitted by FWC – except for on-site relocation of 10 or fewer burrows IF all of the tortoises are captured via live or bucket trapping or by hand shovel excavation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disturbed Site Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burrow or Structure Protection Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Take without Relocation Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>Migratory Bird Nest Removal Permit</td>
<td>Only allowed during non-nesting season (July 11 through February 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)</td>
<td>American Bald Eagle</td>
<td>Nest Take Permit</td>
<td>Only for inactive eagle nests, unless necessary to alleviate safety emergency to humans or eagles (then can include active nest removal)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disturbance Permit</td>
<td>The take (disturbance) must be necessary for the project purpose, despite implementation of all practicable measures to avoid and minimize the impact to eagles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Federal permitting information can be obtained from the following sources:

**United States Coast Guard**


3) A MOA between USCG and FHWA establishing mandatory permit review timeframes can be found at [https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/env_initiatives/edc/USCG_bridge_permits.aspx](https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/env_initiatives/edc/USCG_bridge_permits.aspx)

4) The local Coast Guard District Bridge Office is one of the best sources of information. The applicant should direct all project-related questions, concerns, comments, and requests to the bridge program staff in the Coast Guard District Bridge Office where the project is located. A list of the mailing addresses and telephone numbers of the Coast Guard District Bridge Offices is located on the Bridge Program website: [https://www.dco.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Prevention-Policy-CG-5P/Marine-Transportation-Systems-CG-5PW/Office-of-Bridge-Programs/District-Bridge-Contacts/](https://www.dco.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Prevention-Policy-CG-5P/Marine-Transportation-Systems-CG-5PW/Office-of-Bridge-Programs/District-Bridge-Contacts/)

Note that the majority of Florida is located within USCG District 7, but the western Florida panhandle is within District 8.

**United States Army Corps of Engineers**

1) A comprehensive and detailed listing of USACE permit types, application forms, wetland data forms, wetland mitigation requirements, endangered species requirements, and an overall description of the permitting process, can be found at [http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/SourceBook.aspx](http://www.saj.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/SourceBook.aspx)

2) Information on exemptions for very narrowly-defined activities that result in incidental impacts to wetlands or surface waters in accordance with **Section 404(f)(1)** of the CWA are provided at [https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/exemptions-permit-requirements](https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/exemptions-permit-requirements)

3) USACE regions of responsibility and office addresses: See next page.

*Figure 12-1 Federal Permitting Information Sources*
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*The Corps office location map in the figure is located at:
State permitting information can be obtained from the following sources:
FDEP’s Permitting Portal is the umbrella web link that provides access to following links as well as other state water resource regulation: http://flwaterpermits.com/

Statewide Environmental Resource Permitting (SWERP)

1) The state (all five WMDs and FDEP) has consolidated its environmental permitting process and has described it in a two-volume set of the Environmental Resource Permit Applicant’s Handbook.

2) Volume I applies state-wide and includes a comprehensive and detailed listing of WMD permit types, application forms, wetland delineation process, criteria for project evaluation, and an overall description of the permitting process. It can be found at https://www.sfwmd.gov/sites/default/files/documents/swerp_applicants_handbook_vol_i.pdf

3) Five versions of Volume II, one specifically tailored to the unique soil and water conditions of each of the five WMDs, include design and performance standards for water quality, water quantify, and flood control within the respective WMD generally, and within special basins within the respective WMD. The individual region-specific Volume II handbooks can be found at:
   - Northwest Florida WMD: https://www.nwfwater.com/Permits/Environmental-Resource-Permits
   - Suwannee River WMD: http://www.srwmd.state.fl.us/DocumentCenter/View/8654

4) Water Management District regions of responsibility and office addresses: See next page

  Figure 12-2 State Permitting Information Sources
The Department of Environmental Protection is involved in managing the quality and quantity of water through its relationship with the state’s five water management districts: Northwest Florida Water Management District, Suwannee River Water Management District, St. Johns River Water Management District, South Florida Water Management District and Southwest Florida Water Management District. The water management districts administer flood protection programs and perform technical investigations into water resources. The districts also develop water management plans for water shortages in times of drought and to acquire and manage lands for water management purposes under the Save Our Rivers program. Regulatory programs delegated to the districts include programs to manage the consumptive use of water, aquifer recharge, well construction and surface water management.

As part of their surface water management programs, the districts administer the Department’s stormwater management program. This increases the districts’ contacts with local governments by directing the districts to help with the development of the water elements in local government comprehensive plans.

*The WMD district map is located at: [http://www.dep.state.fl.us/secretary/watman/](http://www.dep.state.fl.us/secretary/watman/)
5) Section 373.413(6), F.S., describes FDOT’s flexibility in their stormwater management design. The statute can be found within: 
http://www.flsenate.gov/Laws/Statutes/2012/373.413

6) More information on SWERP is available on the FDEP website, including links to applicable rules and application forms: 
http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/wetlands/swerp

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)
The NPDES Construction Generic Permit (CGP), administered by FDEP, can be found at: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/stormwater/npdes/construction1.htm

Florida Coastal Management Program

Class V Stormwater Well Permits
Class V Stormwater Well Permits are regulated under FDEP’s Aquifer Protection program. More information about Class V Stormwater permits can be found at: http://dep.state.fl.us/water/uic/forms.htm

State Programmatic General Permit

http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/wetlands/erp/spgp.htm
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Wildlife permitting information can be obtained from the following sources:

Animal species regulated by **Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC)**, plant species regulated by the **Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services (FDACS)**, and further species specific information, expert interviews, habitat or GIS data can be found in **Part 2, Chapter 16, Protected Species and Habitat**, of the PD&E Manual.

**Osprey Permitting:**

1) Contact USFWS for Osprey nest permitting information by phone at (404) 679-7070 or email permitsR4MB@fws.gov

2) No federal incidental take permits are currently available for the take of active osprey nests. USFWS should be contacted to discuss permitting potential/strategy. Refer to the appropriate link for contact information:

   North Florida Ecological Services Field Office

   Panama City Ecological Services Field Office
   [http://www.fws.gov/PanamaCity/](http://www.fws.gov/PanamaCity/)

   South Florida Ecological Services Field Office

**Burrowing Owl Permitting:**

1) State burrowing owl permits are issued only for the removal of inactive owl nests (burrows). Applications are submitted on line at a link included at the bottom of the following link: [https://myfwc.com/media/11362/burrowingowlsupplementalapplication.pdf](https://myfwc.com/media/11362/burrowingowlsupplementalapplication.pdf)

2) No federal incidental take permits are currently available for the take of active burrowing owl nests (burrows). USFWS should be contacted at the appropriate link to discuss permitting potential/strategy:

   North Florida Ecological Services Field Office

---

**Figure 12-3 Protected Wildlife Permitting Information Sources**
Panama City Ecological Services Field Office
http://www.fws.gov/PanamaCity/

South Florida Ecological Services Field Office
http://www.fws.gov/verobeach/

**Bald Eagle Permitting:**

1) On April 20, 2017, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission approved revisions to the state’s bald eagle rule (68A-16.002, F.A.C.). The approved rule revisions eliminate the need for applicants to obtain both a state and federal permit for activities with the potential to take or disturb bald eagles or their nests. Under the approved revisions, only a federal permit is required. The rule revisions became effective June 22, 2017.
http://myfwc.com/license/wildlife/protected-wildlife/eagle-permits/

2) Federal bald eagle permit application for eagle depredation permits (this includes disturbance, harassment, and/or trap-relocate) can be found at http://www.fws.gov/forms/3-200-16.pdf. The web site includes a list of the USFWS regional permitting offices that are available to provide assistance in the permitting process.

3) The application for an eagle disturbance permit, including a fact sheet of the most commonly asked questions about this permit type, can be found at http://www.fws.gov/forms/3-200-71.pdf. The web site includes a list of the USFWS regional permitting offices that are available to provide assistance in the permitting process.

**Gopher Tortoise Permitting:**

Gopher tortoises are protected by Florida state law. The Gopher Tortoise Permitting Guidelines, which include links to specific permit types, can be found at: http://myfwc.com/license/wildlife/gopher-tortoise-permits/

**Incidental Take Permitting:**

Additional information on Habitat Conservation Plans and incidental take permits is available on the USFWS Ecological Services site: https://www.fws.gov/southeast/tags/habitat-conservation-plan/ and in the online FWC Florida Wildlife Conservation Guide: http://myfwc.com/conservation/value/fwcg/
Species Consultation or Effect Determination Keys:

Florida bonneted bat:

Eastern Indigo Snake – South Florida:

Eastern Indigo Snake – North Florida:

Florida panther:

Wood Stork – Central and North Peninsular Florida:

Wood Stork – South Florida:
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Figure 12-4 Typical Permitting Process
| DATE: ______ |
| TO: DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER |
| FROM: District Permit Coordinator |
| PERMITS ATTACHED FOR: | THE FOLLOWING PERMITS ARE REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT: |
| Financial Project No.: | FDEP | USACE | USCG | WMD |
| Federal Aid No.: | LOCAL |
| County: | ______ |
| Description: | ______ |

The valid permits are attached. Please note the “particular and specific conditions” and the expiration dates. Construction Engineer: Please comply with all permit conditions. Please provide me with copies of all permitted work started and permitted work completed notices you send to regulatory agencies. Please notify me six (6) months before the permit expiration date if it will occur prior to completion of the permitted work.

| TOTAL STATUS OF PERMITS: | CLEAR | PENDING | MODIFIED | EXTENDED |
| Remarks: | ______ |

For each permit, indicate below AGENCY and permit TYPE (NW, GP, IND, etc.):

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| Permit No. | ______ | Type: ______ | Expiration Date: ______ |
| Remarks: | ______ |

| CC: DISTRICT OFFICE NO. | CENTRAL OFFICE |
| District Drainage Engineer | FHWA (by separate letter) |
| District Central File | Other |
| District Design Engineer | Other |
| District Project Manager | Other |
| (Legible permit in contract file) | Other |
| District Maintenance Engineer | Other |
| District Production Mgmt (copy this memo only) | Other |
| District ROW - State Lands Acq. | Other |
| District Specifications Engineer | Other |

Figure 12-5 Permit Transmittal Memorandum