



Environmental Training for Florida Turnpike Enterprise

Traffic Analysis



August 2020

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws described in this training are carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 executed by FHWA and FDOT.





Overview of Part 2, Chapter 2 Traffic Analysis

Provide guidance for preparing traffic analysis for Project Development and Environment (PD&E) studies.

- Scoping
- Data requirements
- Methodology
- Analysis
- Documentation







Traffic Analysis Definition

- Traffic analysis is an evaluation of the interaction between demand and supply of a transportation facility
 - Demand is the amount of traffic load that intends to use the facility
 - Supply is the capacity of the facility to handle the demand
- The traffic analysis is one of the critical activities for a PD&E which forms the basis for developing and evaluating project alternatives







Traffic Analysis for PD&E Includes

- 1. Develop traffic analysis objectives
- 2. Select performance measures
- 3. Determine analysis approach and select analysis tools
- 4. Identify data needed for the analyses
- 5. Specify collection methods and documentation
- 6. Perform traffic operational analysis and safety analysis
- 7. Document analysis results and assumptions





Resources

FDOT resources that are referenced in this chapter include:

- FDOT Traffic Analysis Handbook
- FDOT Project Traffic Forecasting Handbook
- FDOT Traffic Engineering Manual (TEM)
- FDOT Manual of Uniform Traffic Studies (MUTS)

FDOT guidance references national guidance such as:

- Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)
- FHWA's Traffic Analysis Toolbox



Management



Traffic Analysis Objectives



- The objectives of the traffic analysis should:
 - Reflect the purpose and need for the project and be measurable
 - Identify the performance problem or goal which the analysis seeks to answer
 - Identify the intended use and decision-makers of the traffic analysis results





Scoping Traffic Analysis

- Items that inform traffic analysis scope
 - Traffic study limits
 - Design years
 - Other analyses requirements such as economic, air quality and noise analyses
 - Special needs such as multimodal
- Review and consider previously completed traffic analyses







Performance Methods of Effectiveness (MOE)

- Develop the Traffic Analysis Methodology
- Traffic Analysis MOEs
- Throughput
- Vehicle miles of travel
- Volume/capacity ratio
- Travel time
- Travel speed
- Total delay at intersections
- Queue length
- Number of stops
- Density

- Travel time variance
- Travel time reliability
- Hours of congestion
- Level of Service (LOS)

Time Period				A	rerage Spe	ed			
18:15 - 18:30	72	73	71	72	69	65	68	71	72
18:00 - 18:15	70	70	71	70	68	65	69	70	71
17:45 - 18:00	71	72	71	69	69	63	68	70	69
17:30 - 17:45	70	71	70	65	66	66	43	68	70
17:15 - 17:30	71	69	68	70	64	40	42	69	73
17:00 - 17:15	69	68	66	65	45	25	45	70	71
16:45 - 17:00	66	67	60	42	19		41	72	69
16:30 - 16:45	70	73	45	- 29		19	43	70	66
16:15 - 16:30	68	70	61	35	15		45	66	68
16:00 - 16:15	70	71	68	65	41	21	36	64	73
15:45 - 16:00	69	69	66	66	69	-36	42	69	74
15:30 - 15:45	70	70	65	68	66	66	45	70	71
15:15 - 15:30	68	69	70	70	69	69	64	66	70
15:00 - 15:15	72	70	72	71	69	68	69	66	72





Common Performance MOEs



Safety Analysis MOEs

- Actual crash rate
- Number of fatalities
- Crash severity level
- Economic loss

Environmental / Economic Analysis MOEs

- Vehicle miles of travel
- Vehicle hours of delay
- Vehicle hours of travel
- Travel speed
- Emissions
- Number of crashes
- Travel time savings

Example	e MOEs				
	Network Performance (V/C)	System Deficiency (% of roadway segments over capacity)	Network Vehicle Travel Time Reduction (daily vehicle hours of travel)	Corridor Volume Across the St. Johns River** (AADT)	Annual Cost of Traffic Congestion (S millions)
Black	0.822	27.58%	328,041	72,600	\$5,153
Purple	0.824	26.69%	358,199	74,200	\$5,000
Brown 1 and 2	0.819	26.59%	331,900	63,900	\$5,172





Selecting the Right Traffic Analysis Tool

Select the right analysis tools based on:

- Complexity of the project
- Magnitude of the traffic problem
- Performance measures
- Limitations of the tool

Traffic Analysis Handbook contains guidance for selecting proper tools

Analysis Type	Level of Detail	Level of Analysis	Analysis Tool
Sketch Planning	Analyzing system elements to obtain general order-of-magnitude estimates of performance based capacity constraints and operational control	Generalized Planning	GSVT, LOSPLAN, HCM/HCS
Deterministic	Analyzing broad criteria and system performance based on geometric and physical capacity constraints; operational systems such traffic control and land use	Conceptual Planning & Preliminary Engineering; Design; Operation	LOSPLAN, HCM/HCS, Synchro, SIDRA
Travel Demand Modeling	Analyzing regional travel demand patterns, land use impacts and long range plans. Outputs of demand models are applied in analytical and microscopic analysis	Conceptual Planning	Cube Voyager
Microscopic Simulation	Analyzing system performance based on detailed individual user interactions; geometry and operational elements	Preliminary Engineering; Design; Operation	CORSIM, VISSIM, SimTraffic





Determining Data Needs

- Consider project context project limits, study area, influence area, analysis method, and performance MOEs
- Obtain available data from prior studies
- Conduct field reviews to determine sufficiency of available data
- Collect data for all modes that exist or planned in the project area







Types of Data

Data collection effort is divided into three categories:

- Roadway characteristics
- Multimodal characteristics
- Operations and safety characteristics

Type of the traffic analysis will determine required data.









Project Traffic Forecasting

- A process of estimating the future year traffic demand for a given project
- Forecast years
 - Opening Year
 - Interim Year
 - Planning horizon/design year
- Two options for forecasting
 - With a travel demand model
 - Without travel demand model





Reevaluating Traffic Analysis

- For projects which require a reevaluation of PD&E
- Verify validity of traffic forecast by assess whether there have there been changes in
 - Economy and driving habits
 - Land use or growth
 - Scope of work
 - Transportation network
 - Adopted regional travel demand model
- If there is a substantial change in the traffic forecast, coordinate with OEM to address the need for re-evaluating project traffic









Operational Analysis



Environmental

Management

- Includes capacity and operational analysis to determine how well the project alternatives meet the purpose and need
- Only performed on viable or feasible project alternatives
- Use consistent tools and assumptions for each alternative evaluated





Safety Analysis

- Analyzing crash history (5 yrs)
- Predicting crashes using HSM methods and tools











Project Traffic Analysis Report (PTAR)

- Documents the results of the traffic analysis
- Summarizes methodology, findings and conclusions
- Supports decisions regarding project actions



1. Title Page

- 2. Executive Summary 3. Table of Contents
 - A. List of Figures
 - A. List of Figures
 B. List of Tables

4. Introduction

- A. Description of the proposed project
- B. Analysis objective and project scope
- C. Project location map
- 5. Analysis Methodology
 - A. Analysis methodology and assumptions
 - B. Analysis (temporal and spatial) boundary limits

C. Analysis tool(s) 6. Data Requirements

- A. Data requirements and data sources
- B. Data collection methodology
- B. Data collection methodology
 C. Summary of data collection and field observations

Summary of data conection and held observations Baseline Analysis (Existing Conditions Analysis)

Analytical Approach

- A. Operational analysis of the existing conditions
- B. Safety analysis based on crash data and HSM procedure as appropriate
- C. Multimodal evaluation

Simulation Approach

- A. Base model development
- B. Model verification/error checking
- C. Model calibration

D. Model validation 8. Alternatives Analysis

- A. No-Build alternative
 - i. Future year demand forecasts
 - ii. No-Build analysis (operational and safety)
- B. Preliminary alternatives
 - i. Development of project concepts
- ii. Screening of concepts
- C. Build alternatives
 - i. Alternatives considered
 - ii. Traffic volume forecasts, trip pattern/circulation routes & assumptions

- iii. Design considerations
- v. Model development (simulation approach only)
- v. Operational analysis
- vi. Safety analysis
- D. Alternative evaluation matrix and description of success/failure of alternatives
- 9. Conclusions and Recommendations
- 10. References
- **11. Appendices**







Project Traffic Analysis Assumptions

Traffic Forecasting Assumptions

Traffic forecast for the project was developed using:					
Travel Demand Model Type of Travel Demand Model Used: Metropolitan Planning Model Other Model	□ Growth Rates Refer to appropriate section of Project Traffic Analysis Report that discusses growth rates				
Is the travel demand model based on th Transportation Plan?	e latest adopted Long Range				
YES Date when MPO adopted the latest Long Range Transportation Plan Base Year of Travel Demand Model	□ NO Explain why?				
Horizon Year of Travel Demand Model Long Range Transportation Plan documentation is available at (provide web address):					
Traffic Data and Factors					
Standard K = D Factor =	Traffic Counts Collection Year =				
T _{Daily} =	Opening Year =				
	Interim Year =				
	Design Year =				
Discuss any changes in land use, economics, pop the model was built	ulation and employment data since				

Traffic (operational and safety) Analysis Assumptions

- Study Area
- Key input parameters
- Calibration and validation parameters
- Analysis method and/or tools
- Performance MOEs

Т	able 7-10 Model Calibration Reviewer's Checklist	
Financial Project ID:	Federal Aid Number:	
Project Name:		
State Road Number:	Co./Sec./Sub. : Project MP:	
Item to Check	Description	Check
Model errors	Simulation model contains no errors	
	Simulation model was accurately verified	
	All calibration MOEs are listed	
MOEs	 Calibration targets/goals have been outlined 	
Contraction of the Contraction	Calibration and validation data is sufficient to meet the targets	
	 Calibration areas are clearly identified 	
	 Calibration process is documented with all relevant calibration data, assumptions, and include a history of base model development 	
	Calibration effort cover both AM and PM peak periods	
Calibration process	Default calibration parameters were changed and documented	
	 Model animation matches expected driver behavior and conditions observed in the field 	
	Model replicates real-world bottleneck(s) and lane utilization	
	 Calibration results are based on at least 10 simulation runs with different random seeds 	
	Model output volumes satisfy volume calibration requirements	
	 Model link capacities satisfy capacity calibration requirements 	
Calibration targets	Model link speeds meet speed calibration requirements	
0.0011	Model link travel time meet calibration requirements	
	Model intersection delay results meet calibration requirements	
	Model queuing replicates real-world conditions	
	Calibrated model is validated with an independent data set	
Comments:		







Project Traffic Analysis Report Outline

- Technical Report Cover
- Executive Summary
- Traffic Analysis Assumptions
- Introduction
- Traffic Analysis Method
- Existing Conditions Analysis
- Development of Future Year Traffic Forecast
- Alternatives Analysis
- Summary of Analysis Results
- Appendix (Raw data used as inputs)

District X Project Title Limits of Project County, Florida Financial Management Number: XXXXX-X ETDM Number: XXXXXXX The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016 and executed by FHWA and FDOT.

Florida Department of Transportation

(Insert digital signature block)



THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DIGITALLY STORED AND SEALED BY: JANE ANN SMITH Date: 2013.10.09 16:40:48 - 4'00' PAINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT AN

ROADWAY ENGINEERS, INC. 123 MAIN STREET

TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION: 12345 JANE ANN SMITH, P.E. NO. 99992



