



New Criteria for Straight Steel I-Girder (SSI-G) Bridges (aka SDB 24-01)



### Dennis Golabek, PE

State Structures Design Office - GEC WSP



# Objective: NEW Criteria - Analysis and Design

Review Past and Current SDGs Criteria

➢ Background

➢ Five Cases

Phase Construction and Widening Rules

Criteria Revisions - Curved Steel I-G and Steel Boxes

➢ Procedure to Calculate the ADTT<sub>SL</sub>

### Straight Steel I-Girder (SSI-G)




# **Review Past and Current SDGs Criteria**

SDG allows both Approximate and Refined Methods of Analyses (as required).

Historically, the Approximate Method (Line Girder Analysis) has produced efficient and robust designs.

LRFD 4.6.2 Approximate Method of Analysis – allows for high skew angles.




LRFD 4.6.3 Refined Method of Analysis (RMA) - more expensive software and greater Design/LR effort.




SYMPOSIUM

#### □Some "design gaps" when using LGA

# **Review Past and Current SDGs Criteria**



### Background: Survey 2000-2014



**SYMPOSIUM** 

5

# Background: FDOT Research Reports

FDOT Research <u>Report BE535</u> (~25 bridges) Established Cases based on skew angles, skew indexes and CF conf.
 Case 1 and 2 can use a LGA and could estimate FLB stresses and cross-frame forces.
 FDOT Research <u>Report BEB13</u> (~25 bridges) Focused on Cases 1 and 2.
 FDOT TWO (40 bridges) Focused on Case 2.

	Girder Behavior (LGA vs. 3D-FEA)	Cases 1 & 2 Adjustment to LGA
	STR I and SER II Bending Moments (Positive and Negative)	No
	STR I and SER II Vertical Shear Forces	No
	STR I Bearing Reactions for the Exterior Girder (obtuse corner & pier)	Yes, multiplicative factor for Case 2
	Fatigue Vertical Shear Force Ranges	Yes, multiplicative factor for Case 2
$\sum$	Fatigue Flexural Stresses for Exterior Girder	Yes, new LLDF equation (FDOT)
$\sum$	Total Dead Load Vertical Displacements	No
$\sum$	Live Load Deflections	Yes, use LLDF for moments
$\sum$	Girder Layovers under the total dead load	Simplified EQN



### Background: Agenda Item 37

#### 2021 AASHTO BRIDGE COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEM: 37 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: T-14 / T-5

**Commentary EXCERPTS 6.7.4 Diaphragms and Cross-Frames** 

> Refined analysis model for simple bridge geometries is not typically warranted.

>NCHRP (2021) bridges with a skew index > 0.3 produced significant live load forces in cross-frames.

 $> ADTT_{SL} > 1,500$ , engineering judgment should be used.

In Summary -LRFD <u>COMMENTARY</u> states Do not need to do an RMA if: Is ≤ 0.3, and ADTT<sub>SL</sub> < 1500 otherwise ....use Engineering Judgment SDG 5.13 provides specific requirements for using LGA or RFM



### Five Cases for SSI-G Units Overview

#### Table 5.13.1-1 Design Criteria for Straight Steel I-Girder Units

Case	SDG Section	Skew Angle (θ)	Skew Index (I <sub>s</sub> )	Cross-Frame Configuration	Required Method(s) of Analysis <sup>3</sup>	Cross-Frame Connection Type	Calculate Cross-Frame Rating Factor
1 <sup>1</sup>	5.13.2 & 5.13.3	θ ≤ 20°	ls ≤ 0.45	contiguous <sup>2</sup> and parallel to skew angle	LGA [ <b><i>LRFD</i></b> 4.6.2.2]	Bearing	No
2 <sup>1</sup>	5.13.2 & 5.13.4	$20^\circ < \theta \le 50^\circ$	ls ≤ 0.3	contiguous <sup>2</sup> and normal to girders	LGA [ <b><i>LRFD</i></b> 4.6.2.2]	Bearing	No
3	5.13.5	θ ≤ 50°	ls ≤ 0.45	Any⁵	LGA and RMA [ <i>LRFD</i> 4.6.2.2 & 4.6.3]	Bearing	No
4	5.13.6	θ ≤ 50°	ls ≤ 0.6	Any⁵	RMA [ <b>LRFD</b> 4.6.3]	Slip-critical	No <sup>6</sup>
5	5.13.7	$\theta \leq 60^{\circ 4}$	Any	Any <sup>5</sup>	RMA 3D-FEA [ <i>LRFD</i> 4.6.3]	Slip-critical	Yes

1. Staggered (similar to the term "discontinuous" as defined in LRFD 6.2) cross-frame arrangements are not permitted.

 As defined in LRFD 6.2. This configuration may consist of removed nuisance cross-frames from a contiguous line in the vicinity of a support as discussed in LRFD C6.7.4.2. There must be an end cross-frame line located along each skewed support.

 LGA refers to a line girder analysis defined as analyzing an individual straight girder from the rest of the superstructure system using classical force and displacement methods or finite element model in which the live load forces are determined using the LLDF defined in *LRFD* 4.6.2.2. RMA refers to a refined method of analysis defined in *LRFD* 4.6.3.


4. See SDG 1.10 for skew angle limitation.

5. See SDG 5.7.B.

6. Cross-frame(s) (or any structural element) can be load rated at the discretion of the EOR.



### Cases 1 & 2: General Criteria





**SYMPOSIUM** 

### Cases 1 & 2: LGA

#### **Rules (clarifications) for Performing an LGA**


- 1. Distribute all non-composite dead loads equally to all girders.
- 2. Rules for calculating the single weighted average value for K<sub>g</sub>.
- 3. Skew Correction Factor: apply to the lever rule and the rigid cross-section method.
- 4. Do not use the reduction factor for moment LLDF.
- 5. Optional live load deflection criteria, calculate using the moment LLDF.
- 6. All girders in the unit must be the same.





SYMPOSIUM

# Cases 1 & 2: Flange Lateral Bending



Girder Design Checks (Case 2) for: Case 1 FLB = 0Strength I (1.6x) and Service II (1.2x) • Fatigue I (or II) (need to factor and apply 0.65x) • Constructability • Near is defined as the first two cross-frame lines adjacent to the support. Transition the flange lateral bending stress from the value in the table at the first cross-frame location to a value of zero at the second cross-frame location. Exterior Interior "0" FLB



### Cases 1 & 2: Cross-frame Forces

# For <u>intermediate cross-frames</u>, use only load combinations for:

- Strength I
- Fatigue I or II (*NEW* SDG 2.12.3 for ADTT<sub>SL</sub>)
- Constructability

Use "K" frames for intermediate cross-frames as shown in SDM Figure 16.7-1.

Evenly space cross-frames, with a spacing not to exceed 30-feet.




#### Table 5.13.4-3 Cross-Frame Component Forces

#### Table 5.13.3-1 Case 1

Load Case	Top Chord	Diagonals	Bottom Chord					
Intermediate Cross-Frames Simple Spans								
Strength I <sup>1</sup> (kips)	40	70	1.3*RDDP + 85*(S/D <sub>w</sub> ) - 115 ≥ 10					
Fatigue Range <sup>2</sup> (kips)	10	12	0.10*RDDP + 3*(S/D <sub>w</sub> ) + 5 ≥ 15					
Constructibility <sup>3</sup> (kips)	15	10	20					
	ntermediate Cross-	Frames Continuous S	pans					
Strength I1 (kips)	50	0.35*RDDP + 20*(S/D <sub>w</sub> ) +20 ≥ 70	1.3*RDDP + 85*(S/Dw) - 90 ≥ 100					
Fatigue Range <sup>2</sup> (kips)	10	0.05*RDDP +11	0.20*RDDP + 8*(S/Dw) - 2 ≥ 15					
Constructibility <sup>3</sup> (kips)	40	20	40					
	End Cross-Frames							
Strength I <sup>1,4</sup> (kips)	100	100	75					
Fatigue Range <sup>2</sup> (kips)	10	10	10					
Constructibility <sup>3,4</sup> (kips)	10	10	10					
1. The forces shown for Strength I are already factored.								
<ol> <li>Apply load factors for Fatigue I or II loading combinations as applicable. In addition, ap factor of 0.65.</li> <li>The values are for the unfactored force induced into the cross-frames due to the weigh concrete deck and SIP forms. Apply other forces effects as applicable.</li> <li>For end cross-frames directly supporting a free edge of the concrete deck, these force not include effects of the concrete edge beam weight and a local wheel load.</li> </ol>								



**Rigid Differential Deflection Parameter** 



350


300

250

0


0

13





STR I Bottom Chord Forces (k)



■ Actual C ■ Actual T ◆ GT(C) ■ "DDP"

TRANSPORTATION SYMPOSIUM

15

### By trial and error, added two other variables

### $(L_{eff}/100) * \cos \theta * DDP = RDDP$

**Rigid Differential Deflection Parameter** 

 $L_{eff}$  = Effective Span Length

For Simple spans,  $L_{eff}$  = span length For continuous spans


(non-composite dead load contraflexure - NCDLC):

- distance between a simple support and a NCDLC, or
- between points of NCDLC.

For Two-span continuous, use maximum.


For three or more continuous spans, use the largest of:

- 1) effective span length of the maximum interior span,
- 2) 50% of the maximum interior span length, or
- 3) 65% of the effective length of the maximum end span.



SYMPOSIUM


### Cases 1 & 2: Fatigue Moment Range LLDF





17

# Cases 1 & 2: Fatigue Moment Range LLDF



One Design Lane Loaded:  

$$0.06 + \left(\frac{S}{14}\right)^{0.4} \left(\frac{S}{L}\right)^{0.3} \left(\frac{K_g}{12.0Lt_s^3}\right)^{0.1}$$

- ➢ g<sub>interior</sub> is the "One Design Lane Loaded" equation from LRFD Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1.
  - > Do not divide by 1.2 per LRFD 3.6.1.1.2.
- e<sub>M</sub> is the same as "e" per LRFD Table
   4.6.2.2.2d-1 with the value not to exceed 1.0.

Applies to:


- Case 1 continuous spans and Case 2.
- ➤ 4 or more girders.
- > All spans in Unit have lengths  $\geq$  150'.
- > Girder spacing ≥ 9'.
- ➤ d<sub>e</sub>/S ≤ 0.26



# Cases 1 & 2: Fatigue Moment Range Modifications

#### Zone 1: In the vicinity of the Support

- Use the LRFD LLDF at the support
- ➤ Use LLDF<sub>mfatExt</sub> at the adjacent 10<sup>th</sup> point on each side of the support
- Transition linear interpolate between points



**SYMPOSIUM** 

19

# Cases 1 & 2: Fatigue Moment Range Modifications

**Zone 2**: In the vicinity of the End Support at the obtuse corner

- Applies to the last 30% of the span length
- Calculate SCR at the tenth points




Table 5.13.2-2 Fatigue Moment Range Skew Correction Factor, SCFmfat

Continuous Spans<sup>1,2</sup>

**SYMPOSIUM** 

0.3L<sub>span</sub>

1.1

Simple Spans<sup>1,2</sup>

Not Applicable

0.4L<sub>span</sub>

Length of

Case 1

Case 2

Applicability


# Cases 1 & 2: Fatigue Moment Range Modifications

RF<sub>Zone3</sub>= 0.09\*RDDP


**SYMPOSIUM** 

#### **Zone 3**: In the vicinity of positive moment areas

- Calculate the reduction factor, RF<sub>Zone3</sub>
- > Apply it to the maximum stress range
- Extend this Reduced maximum value as a horizontal line



### Cases 1 & 2: Summary



**SYMPOSIUM** 

### Case 3: LGA & RMA

#### Table 5.13.1-1 Design Criteria for Straight Steel I-Girder Units

Case	SDG Section	Skew Angle (θ)	Skew Index (Is)	Cross-Frame Configuration	Required Method(s) of Analysis <sup>3</sup>	Cross-Frame Connection Type	Calculate Cross-Frame Rating Factor
3	5.13.5	θ ≤ 50°	ls ≤ 0.45	Any⁵	LGA and RMA [ <i>LRFD</i> 4.6.2.2 & 4.6.3]	Bearing	No

Supplemental Conditions 5, 6, 7 and 8 are not applicable.

Use LGA - ensures girder capacity is sufficient without relying on the cross-frames to transfer gravity loads.

Cross-frames are secondary members with bearing connections.

Use RMA for other force effects.

#### Table 5.13.2-1 Supplemental Conditions to LRFD 4.6.2.2

1. Width of deck can vary up to 5 degrees2Width of deck is constant2. Girder spacing can be non-parallel up to 5 degrees2,3Girders are parallel3. The beam spacing must meet the range of applicabilityFor beam spacing exceeding the range of applicability as specified in tables in Articles 4.6.2.2.2 and 4.6.2.2.3, the live load on each beam is based on the lever rule.4. 10,000 ≤ Kg ≤ 10,000,00010,000 ≤ Kg ≤ 7,000,0005. Difference between skew angles of two adjacent supports does not exceed 10 degreesNot explicitly addressed6. de / S ≤ 0.35Not explicitly addressed7. 0.95 ≤ S/Dw ≤ 2.00Not addressed	Supplemental Conditions <sup>1</sup>	LRFD 4.6.2.2 Conditions
up to 5 degrees2.3Ciliders are parallel3. The beam spacing must meet the range of applicabilityFor beam spacing exceeding the range of applicability as specified in tables in Articles 4.6.2.2.2 and 4.6.2.2.3, the live load on each beam is based on the lever rule.4. 10,000 $\leq K_g \leq 10,000,000$ 10,000 $\leq K_g \leq 7,000,000$ 5. Difference between skew angles of two adjacent supports does not exceed 10 degreesNot explicitly addressed6. $d_e / S \leq 0.35$ Not explicitly addressed7. $0.95 \leq S/D_w \leq 2.00$ Not addressed	1. Width of deck can vary up to 5	Width of deck is constant
3. The beam spacing must meet the range of applicabilityof applicability as specified in tables in Articles 4.6.2.2.2 and 4.6.2.2.3, the live load on each beam is based on the lever rule.4. $10,000 \le K_g \le 10,000,000$ $10,000 \le K_g \le 7,000,000$ 5. Difference between skew angles of two adjacent supports does not exceed 10 degreesNot explicitly addressed6. $d_e / S \le 0.35$ Not explicitly addressed7. $0.95 \le S/D_w \le 2.00$ Not addressed		
5. Difference between skew angles of two adjacent supports does not exceed 10 degrees       Not explicitly addressed         6. d <sub>e</sub> / S ≤ 0.35       Not explicitly addressed         7. 0.95 ≤ S/D <sub>w</sub> ≤ 2.00       Not addressed		of applicability as specified in tables in Articles 4.6.2.2.2 and 4.6.2.2.3, the live load on each beam is based on the
of two adjacent supports does not exceed 10 degreesNot explicitly addressed6. $d_e / S \le 0.35$ Not explicitly addressed7. $0.95 \le S/D_w \le 2.00$ Not addressed	4. $10,000 \le K_g \le 10,000,000$	$10,000 \le K_a \le 7.000.000$
7. $0.95 \le S/D_w \le 2.00$ Not addressed	of two adjacent supports does not	Not explicitly addressed
7. $0.95 \le S/D_w \le 2.00$ Not addressed		Not explicitly addressed
8. RDDP < 175 (see SDG 5.13.2 K) Not addressed		
( I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	8. RDDP < 175 (see <b>SDG</b> 5.13.2.K)	Not addressed



Criteria

### Cases 4 & 5: RMA (Case 5 3D-FEA)

#### Table 5.13.1-1 Design Criteria for Straight Steel I-Girder Units

Case	SDG Section	Skew Angle (θ)	Skew Index (I₅)	Cross-Frame Configuration	Required Method(s) of Analysis <sup>3</sup>	Cross-Frame Connection Type	Calculate Cross-Frame Rating Factor
4	5.13.6	$\theta \le 50^{\circ}$	ls ≤ 0.6	Any⁵	RMA [ <i>LRFD</i> 4.6.3]	Slip-critical	No <sup>6</sup>
5	5.13.7	$\theta \leq 60^{\circ 4}$	Any	Any⁵	RMA 3D-FEA [ <b>LRFD</b> 4.6.3]	Slip-critical	Yes


#### 5.13.6 Design Criteria for Case 4


Use a Refined Method of Analysis (*LRFD* 4.6.3) for Case 4 as defined in Table 5.13.1-1.

#### 5.13.7 Design Criteria for Case 5

Use a 3D-FEA Refined Method of Analysis (*LRFD* 4.6.3) for Case 5 as defined in Table 5.13.1-1. The 3D-FEA analysis requirements include, but are not limited, to the following:

- A. Model the superstructure fully in three dimensions.
- B. Model the girder flanges using beam, plate, shell, or solid elements.
- C. Model the girder webs using plate, shell, or solid elements.
- D. Model the intermediate and end cross-frames components using beam, truss, or plate elements.
- E. Model the deck using plate, shell, or solid elements.







# Phase Construction and Widening Rules:

5.13 STRAIGHT STEEL I-GIRDER UNITS 5.13.1 Design Cases	7.6 WIDENING RULES 7.6.3 Steel I-Girders		
<ul> <li>B. For phase construction, analyze and design each phase separately as Case 1 or Case 2 as applicable when the following criteria is met:</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>For existing straight steel I-girder units, see Table 7.6.3-1 for criteria regarding the requirements for level of analysis, load rating, and strengthening of the existing cross-frames. Analyze the existing steel I-girder unit and analyze and design the widening separately as Case 1 or Case 2 as applicable when all the following conditions are met:</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>All phases meet either Case 1 or 2 criteria per Table 5.13.1-1.</li> <li>The skew index for the entire unit at final condition (entire cross-section) is less than or equal to 0.45.</li> <li>The construction sequence follows the requirements of <i>SDG</i> 4.2.11 and <i>SDG</i> 5.1.B.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Both the existing and widening meet Case 1 or Case 2 criteria per Table 7.6.3-1.</li> <li>The skew index for the entire unit (existing and proposed widening in the final condition) unit is less than 0.45.</li> <li>The cross-frames are designed and detailed in accordance with <i>SDG</i> 5.1.B.</li> </ol>		
Otherwise, use the skew index for the final condition (entire cross-section) of the unit to select Case 3, 4, or 5 per Table 5.13.1-1.	Otherwise, use the skew index for the entire unit (i.e., final widened configuration) to select Case 3, 4, or 5 per Table 5.13-1. See <b>SDG</b> 5.13 for additional information.		
Widening     Existing       Phase II     Phase II       Image: Closed state s	Existing Phase I Final Condition		



AASHTO Approved Ballots Upcoming 10<sup>th</sup> Ed.

- Primary and Secondary Members
- Bolted Connections
  - Bearing Type Connections (SM Only)
  - HS Bolts Threads Excluded for CF
- Load Rating requirements for Cross-frames





### **Primary and Secondary Members**

#### F. Designate on the plans, all:

- Primary (main) members. Also, identify areas of primary members that are subject to tension and stress reversal, and designate that CVN testing is required.
- Commentary: Primary members have additional fabrication and inspection criteria per Section 460 of the **Specifications** and AASHTO/AWS D1.5 Bridge Welding Code which includes but not limited to material traceability, material testing, bolt hole fabrication, welding procedures, and inspection



#### 5.3.2 Fracture (LRFD 6.6.2) A. Replace rows 10 and 11 in LRFD Table 6.6.2.1-1 as shown below. Members in row 11 are exempt from Charpy V-notch (CVN) testing. See SDM 2.14 for the definition of skew angle. Diaphragm and cross-frame members and mechanically fastened or welded crossframe gusset plates in non-skewed straight I-girder units that are designated as Case 1 2, or 3 (see SDG 5.13.1), bridges, skewed Row Secondary straight I girder bridges having a skew 10 angle ≤ 50° and a bridge skew index ≤ 0.45. and in horizontally curved I-girder bridges satisfying all the conditions specified in Article 4.6.1.2.4b (for neglecting the effects of curvature) Diaphragm and cross-frame members and mechanically fastened or welded crossframe gusset plates in straight-skewed I-girder units that are designated as Case 4 Row or 5 (see SDG 5.13.1), bridges having a 11 Primary skew angle of > 50° or a bridge skew index of > 0.45 and in horizontally curved I-girder bridges not satisfying one or more of the conditions specified in Article 4.6.1.2.4b (for neglecting the effects of curvature)



### **Primary and Secondary Members**

# Steel Box - added information from the 10th Ed.

FDOT specific: added reference to SDG 5.6.3.D

B. Add the following rows to LRFD Table 6.6.2.1-1 immediately after row 11: For composite box-girder bridges Intermediate internal cross-frame members and their mechanically fastened or welded gusset plates. Intermediate internal diaphragms that are not provided for continuity Except as specified herein, intermediate external diaphragms or cross-frame Secondary members and mechanically fastened or welded intermediate external cross-frame gusset plates Internal support diaphragms in straight bridges without skewed supports or in horizontally-curved bridges satisfying all the conditions specified in Article 4.6.1.2.4c for neglecting the effects of curvature. For composite box-girder bridges: · Intermediate internal diaphragms that are provided for continuity and their associated intermediate external diaphragms External support diaphragms or crossframe members and mechanically fastened or welded external support cross-frame gusset plates Internal support diaphragms in straight bridges with skewed supports or in Primary horizontally-curved bridges not satisfying one or more of the conditions specified in Article 4.6.1.2.4c for neglecting the effects of curvature. Intermediate external diaphragms provided in bridges with concrete decks designed using the empirical design method to satisfy the design conditions specified in Article 9.7.2.4. Intermediate external diaphragms provided in accordance with SDG 5.6.3.D.



### **Bearing Type Connections (Secondary Members)**


7. Replace Structures Design Guidelines Section 5.4 with the following:

#### 5.4 Bolts (LRFD 6.4.3.1 and 6.13.2)

- A. Design structural bolted connections as either bearing or slip-critical connections in accordance with *LRFD* 6.13.2 and as modified by the following:
  - 1. SDG 5.13.1, and SDG 5.14.1.
  - For composite box girder bridges, all connections must be slip-critical. An
    exception is for bridges containing secondary members, which may have bearing
    type connections for these members.
  - Steel integral and/or straddle (regardless of their classification of superstructure or substructure) caps must use slip-critical connections.
- B. All bearing-type connections must use standard size holes.

#### SDM 5.3.E (Typical Steel GN)

- Re-formatted several notes regarding bolted connections
- Added plan notes regarding bearing connections
  - Bolts need to be tightened per Section 460-5
  - Faying surface to be prepared to SSPC SP-10



#### Note K:

- Specify the connection type (i.e., slip-critical or bearing).
- Specify if threads are excluded from the shear plane (see SDG 5.11.C).

#### Note L:

Specify as primary or secondary member.



### Load Rating of Cross-frames

Excerpt from Table 5.13.1-1

Case

11

 $2^{1}$ 

3

4

5

Calculate

**Cross-Frame** 

Rating

Factor

No

No

No

No<sup>6</sup>

Yes

Structures Design Bulletin 24-01 Analysis and Design Criteria for Steel I-Girders Attachment 'F' Page 2 of 2

#### 5.14 HORIZONTALLY CURVED STEEL I-GIRDER UNITS

Design horizontally curved steel I-girder units in accordance with *LRFD* and the following requirements:

- A. For horizontally-curved I-girder units satisfying all the conditions specified in *LRFD* 4.6.1.2.4b, design the cross-frame connections as bearing connections. Do not calculate a load rating factor for the intermediate or end cross-frames.
- B. For horizontally-curved I-girder units not satisfying all the conditions specified in *LRFD* 4.6.1.2.4b, design the cross-frame connections as slip-critical connections. Do not calculate a load rating factor for the intermediate or end cross-frames except as noted in Number 3a below.
- C. Use a 3D FEA (see SDG 5.13.5 for requirements) refined method of analysis (LRFD 4.6.3) when:
  - 1. The *LRFD* bridge skew index is greater than 0.6 (see also *SDG* 1.10). Calculate a rating factor for the intermediate cross-frames.
  - 2. The central angle (any span in the unit) is greater than 0.06 radians and the *LRFD* bridge skew index is greater than 0.4 but less than or equal to 0.6. Do not calculate a load rating factor for the intermediate or end cross-frames.







 $ADTT_{SL}$  is used to determine if a fatigue detail category is Fatigue I or II


What we have: AADT-opening year, and the design year AADT data which is typically 20 years from the opening date (roadway Typical Section package) (uses traffic forecasting procedures as referenced in FDM 120)

AADT<sub>38</sub>

**RANSPORTATION** 

SYMPOSIUM

IF bridge life is 75 years, what AADT-year should the ADTT<sub>SL</sub> be based on?



# Wrap-Up

### $\checkmark \theta$ , $I_{s}$ & CF arrangement defines Cases for SSI-G bridges

### ✓ 5 Cases: Required Method of Analysis, CF connection type, and CF LR


- $\checkmark$  Cases 1 & 2 LGA with tabulated FLB stresses and CF loads
- $\checkmark$  Case 3 LGA supplemented with RMA
- ✓ Case 4 & 5 RMA

### ✓ Phase Construction and Widening Rules

### ✓ Criteria Revisions – Also for Curved Steel I-G and Steel Boxes

✓ Primary and Secondary Members
 ✓ Bearing Connections for Secondary Members
 ✓ Load Rating of Cross-frames

✓ ADTT<sub>SL</sub> (Growth factor, AADT<sub>38</sub>)



### Quiz:

1. True or False. You can always use a 3D-FEM for the method of analysis of an SSI-G unit.

- 2. True or False. HS Bolted connection in a primary member may be designed for only bearing if you meet the criteria in SDB 24-01.
- 3. True or False. For a SSSI-G unit with a skew index > 0.6, you must use a full 3D-FEA.


4. **True** or False. Cross-frames are <u>not</u> required to be load rated for SSSI-G units meeting any Case 1 to 4.

- 5. For calculating the ADTT(SL) use which of the following:
  - a) +20-year AADT
  - b) +38-year AADT
  - c) +75-year AADT



- 6. RDDP stands for:
  - a) Remote Direct Data Placement
  - b) Rigid Differential Deflection Parameter
  - c) Required Driven Development Process

### Safety Message









Vickie Young, P.E. Assistant State Structures Design Engineer (850) 414-4301 Vickie.Young@dot.state.fl.us





### Questions:





36