
Index 102-120

Low Profile Barrier

ORIGINATION

Date: 5/16/25

Name: Earl Taylor

Phone: (863)519-2231

Email: earl.taylor@dot.state.fl.us

COMMENTARY

Requested by D1 to fix the inconsistency between this index and index 102-100

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

BLACK = Industry Review Comments **BLUE** = Standard Plans Response **GREEN** = Change Made to Index

Name: Scott Cavendish

Date: 7/16/25

COMMENT: Reference to construction in accordance with "specification 339" should be modified to "specification 339-1 through 339-6" to further emphasize that payment would be according to 102. Same change should be made to Index 102-100 Sheet 1 Note 2. The contractor on my current project is arguing that because the index refers to 339, which includes measurement and payment information, and also that the Standard Plans are higher in precedence than Specifications per 5-2, they argue that payment is under 339 and not 102.

RESPONSE: Specification 102 section 102 - 13.8 states that "Price and payment will be full compensation for furnishing, installing, maintaining, and removing the barrier and asphalt pad." The notes referenced said to "construct 2" asphalt pavement in accordance with Specification 339.." The notes do not mention payment at all. We try not to reference a Specification to a specific section as they may update and then be incorrect in the Standards.

CHANGE MADE TO INDEX: No.

Response Date: 8/5/25

Name: Robert Hideck

Date: 7/16/25

COMMENT: When there is a 5' bike lane adjacent to the barrier, can it be clarified that the tangent extension can include the bike lane width as part of the 6'-0" offset? I have had various discussions with ERC reviewers and other engineers about the intent of the offset. Then the offset would be another foot from the bike lane, but remain 6' from the roadway.

RESPONSE: Thank you for your comment. This will be considered for future cycles.

CHANGE MADE TO INDEX: No.

Response Date: 8/5/25