
Index 102-606

Two-Lane Roadway, Lane Closure Using Temporary Traffic Signals

ORIGINATION

Date: 2/2/2022

Name: James McGinnis

Phone: 850-414-4952

Email: James.McGinnis@dot.state.fl.us

COMMENTARY

The note was moved to the Specifications to provide guidance to the contractor in one area for all temporary signal timing.

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

BLACK = Internal Review Comments **RED** = Standard Plans Response **GREEN** = Change Made to Index

Name: Brad Salisbury

Date: 5/26/2022

COMMENT: Is the intent that the DTOE approval for temporary signals would still be required for the EOR to propose their usage? Or is it strictly contractor installation/timing that is required to be approved by DTOE?

If EOR is required to obtain DTOE approval, recommend inserting FDM language to this effect as the move to the specification from the standards seems to imply the EOR would not need this approval for temp signal installation.

RESPONSE: **FDM 240.2.2.8** already requires the EOR to provide signal timing in the TTC Plans. This language is being moved to the Specification to further separate the EOR vs Contractor responsibilities. We have been getting frequent complaints through FTBA/Industry that the TTC Plans are not including timing. The thought is that because there was a process for the Contractor to change timings on the Standard Plans, that the EOR didn't need to worry about it, and therefore wasn't worrying with including it in the plans. The agreed upon solution with Industry was to consolidate the Contractors responsibilities to Specification 102-9.14 with all other temporary signalization and maintenance requirements.

Change made to Index: No Change

Date: 5/26/2022