

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
**PROPOSAL TABULATION**

Posted By: Richard M. Nethercote Jr.  
 Richard M. Nethercote, Jr.

FPN NUMBER: 436206-1-72-02

Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, or failure to post the bond or other security required, shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGE

POSTING TIME/DATE: 4:00 pm, September 28, 2021

PROPOSAL TITLE: P-B Landscape Maintenance (Zone 1)

CONTRACT NUMBER: E8T31

OFFERORS	ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS CRITERIA										TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE (Avg. Tech Score x 70%)	PRICE	PRICE SCORE 100 x (Lowest Bid/Proposer's Price) x 30%	TOTAL PROPOSAL SCORE (Price Score + Tech. Score)	X Indicates Intended Award *
	1	2	3a	3b	3c	3d	3e	3f	4	AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE					
Arazoza Brothers Corporation	7.00	17.33	13.67	12.67	7.67	8.00	7.33	4.33	3.00	81.00	56.70	\$1,292,400.00	27.51	84.21	
Ferrovia Services Infrastructure, Inc.	9.00	16.33	14.33	13.33	8.67	8.67	8.33	4.33	4.67	87.67	61.37	\$2,534,573.13	14.03	75.39	
Midwestern Construction, Inc.	6.33	14.00	8.33	8.67	4.67	5.67	5.33	2.67	1.00	56.67	39.67	Non-responsive			
Superior Landscaping & Lawn Service, Inc.	7.00	16.00	12.67	11.67	6.33	6.67	7.33	3.67	2.33	73.67	51.57	\$1,596,061.00	22.27	73.84	
T&M Lawn-Fence Service, Inc.	6.00	15.67	9.67	10.00	5.67	4.67	5.67	3.00	2.00	62.33	43.63	Non-responsive			
VisualScape, Inc.	9.00	19.00	14.33	14.00	8.67	9.00	9.00	4.67	3.67	91.33	63.93	\$1,185,012.00	30.00	93.93	X

CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	DESCRIPTION	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>AWARD</b></p> <p>Award is made to the responsive and responsible offeror whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State taking into consideration price and other criteria.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Award contingent upon approval of funds availability from the Comptroller's office.</p>	SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS	
1	10	Philosophy and Understanding		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>AWARD</b></p> <p>Award is made to the responsive and responsible offeror whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State taking into consideration price and other criteria.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Award contingent upon approval of funds availability from the Comptroller's office.</p>	Nicola Liquori, Executive Director and CEO
2	20	Project Team, Experience and Performance			Maria Connolly, Director of Transportation Operations
3a	15	Fertilizer Management			Christina Colon, Director of Transportation Development
3b	15	Insect and Disease Management			<b>TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBERS:</b>
3c	10	Wildflower Management			Debbie Meyer PhD, P.E. Turnpike Maintenance Engineer
3d	10	Water Management			Kim Gutierrez, P.E., Deputy Maintenance Engineer
3e	10	Tree Pruning, Weed Management & Litter Management			David Soto, Contract Manager
3f	5	Safety & MOT			
4	5	Proposer-Selected Topics and Added Value			
TOTAL	100				

\* "X" Indicates intended award, but does not constitute an acceptance of the offer created by Proposer's Proposal. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as both parties have fully executed the written agreement. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the Proposer's Proposal, or if Proposer fails to submit required bonds and insurance, fails to execute the contract, or otherwise fails to comply with the RFP requirements, the Department has the right to reject the Proposal and award to the Proposer that is the second most advantageous, reject all Proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.