-		Bid Questions and A	Answers Report	Date & Tir	ne:	5/26/202	21 8:49:33 AM
FDO	Ĩ	District Address:	District 7 Construct 33612	tion Office, located at 1	1201 N M	cKinley, Tam	pa, FL
		District Phone:	(813) 975-6285				
Proposal:		T7472					
Project:		431821-2-52-01					
Letting Date:		5/26/2021					
Localtion:		CENTRAL OFFICE					
Description:		SR 93 (I-275)					
Question:		: The signing pay item g. Please clarify which g.			Posted:	3/30/2021	11:58:09 AM
Answer:	monot	nti-graffiti coating is to ube structure including	g the exposed found	ation and base and	Status:	ANSWER P	UBLISHED
	splice structu	plates at all proposed ires it is also to be app	sign and DMS struct blied to the truss sect	tures. At DMS sign tion.	Posted:	3/31/2021 4	:43:49 PM
Question:	33416	: Please provide the G	Seotech Report for th	is project.	Posted:	4/9/2021 7:	45:14 AM
Answer:	Please	find the requested in	formation.		Status: Posted:	ANSWER P 4/9/2021 10	
		100000 4040040500	M000				
Docume	ent: 11	1563809: 4318212520	J1-MISCStructures-G	eotecnReport.par			
	М	ISC Structures					
Docume	ent: 11	1563816: 4318212520)1-NOISEWALLS-Ge	eotechReport.pdf			
	N	oise walls					
Docume	ent: 11	1563821: 4318212520)1-PONDS-Geotech	Report.pdf			
	Po	onds					

11563828: 43182125201-ROADWAYS-GeotechReport.pdf
Roadways
11563991: 43182125201-StructuresBridges-GeotechReport.pdf
Bridges
11563992: 43182125201-StructuresRetainingWalls-GeotechReport.pdf
Retaining walls
11563994: 43182125201-TEMPRetainingWall-TW23-GeotechMemorandum.pdf
Temp. retaining walls
11563998: 43182125201-PLANS-01-PONDS-SOILSURVEYSHEETS.pdf
Boring: Ponds
11563999: 43182125201-PLANS-01-ROADWAY-SOILSURVEYSHEETS.PDF
Poring: Poodway
Boring: Roadway
11564000: 43182125201-PLANS-08-BRIDGES-COREBORINGS.PDF
Boring: Bridges
11564002: 43182125201-PLANS-08-NOISEWALLS-COREBORINGS.pdf
Boring: Noise walls
11564003: 43182125201-PLANS-08-RETAININGWALLS-COREBORINGS.pdf

Document: 11564005: 43182125201-PLANS-08-SIGNS-COREBORINGS.pdf

Boring: Signs

Question:	33440: I-275 includes a functioning ITS System. The ITS plans for this project detail removal of existing ITS infrastructure and replacement with new ITS systems. Plan notes require the contractor to maintain the ITS system service at all times. Other plan notes state the contractor is to perform preventative maintenance on the existing ITS system. It seems certain there will be a need for temporary ITS infrastructure to transition from the existing to the new ITS systems. The TTC plans do not provide details on how this ITS transition will be accomplished nor do the plans detail the temporary ITS work needed. Further, the contract documents do not specify how this work will be paid for. The responsibility to provide temporary ITS infrastructure and perform maintenance of communications could be extensive and costly. Please provide further details on the temporary ITS or Maintenance of Communications pay item.	Posted:	4/12/2021 7:34:56 AM
Answer:	As per Roadway Plans TTC general note 9, ITS Maintenance during the entire duration of the project is included in the TCP (MOT) lumpsum pay Item. ITS maintenance includes all ITS maintenance such as ITS repair and ITS preventative maintenance. Please refer to component ITS plans, sheets IT-MC01 to IT-MC25 for ITS MOC plans, and sheets general note sheets IT -07 & IT-08 for ITS Maintenance work details. ITS MOC plans propose ITS elements during TTC phases. MOC Phase I Stage I is during TTC phase I Stage 1 including step 1 and step 2. MOC Phase I Stage II is for TTC Phase I Stage II and MOC Phase II is for TTC phase II. Temporary ITS elements where required are included in ITS plans and detailed in ITS MOC plans.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/12/2021 12:55:51 PM
Question:	33441: This is a follow up question to 33319. We are still unclear as to whether the entire monotube structures, including the DMS monotube structures, are to receive the anti-graffiti coating. Is the entire structure including exposed foundations and all metal components (uprights, cantilever arms and span chords) to receive the anti-graffiti coating? Please clarify.	Posted:	4/12/2021 7:48:17 AM
Answer:	The entire length of the monotube structure and exposed foundation for proposed overhead signs and DMS structures will have anti-graffiti costing applied, see attached for further clarification.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/12/2021 1:12:36 PM

Document: 11572219: 43182125201-PLANS-02-SIGNINGMARKING_50.pdf

Overhead

Question:	33442: The TTC plans detail much temporary signing work that is needed to transition from the existing signing to the permanent signing. However, there is no explanation as to how the temporary signing work will be paid for. Please clarify.	Posted:	4/12/2021 8:11:34 AM
Answer:	TTC general note 13 on page 323 addresses this work and payment.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/12/2021 8:59:00 AM
Question:	33443: TTC Plan (14) on Plan Sheet 355 includes a call out note that states "Existing OH Sign Structure (to remain) refer to Temporary Structure Plans for further construction details". This plan note in is reference to the existing monotube sign structure at approximate station 725+50. We have been unable to locate the referenced Temporary Structure Plans. Please help clarify what temporary sign structure work is required on this contract.	Posted:	4/12/2021 8:25:31 AM
Answer:	Refer to sheets BW1-22 and BW1-44 for the structure details referenced in the TTC plans at this location.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/12/2021 9:03:13 AM
Question:	33444: The Roadway pay items and Structures pay items include Pay Item 630-2-16 for Conduit Embedded in Concrete Barriers and Traffic railings with quantities of 1,334 LF and 20,314 LF respectively. Conduit runs in concrete barriers or traffic railings will require	Posted:	4/12/2021 8:42:07 AM
	embedded pull boxes. However, neither the Roadway nor the Structure pay items on this contract include Pay Item 635-3-13 for Embedded Pull boxes. Nor are there any details in the plans on how many embedded pull boxes will be required for this embedded conduit.		
	Please clarify how many embedded pull boxes will be required for the Roadway and Structures embedded conduit and consider adding pay item 635-3-13 to compensate the contractor for these embedded pull boxes.		
Answer:	Embedded Pull Boxes (635-3-13) are quantified and detailed in the lighting plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/12/2021 12:37:35 PM
Question:	33463: Note #16 Sheet BW1-71: Where the critical temporary sheet pile is to be installed or removed within 20 feet of proposed MSE wall, does the installation and removal have to completed by use of non-vibratory equipment?	Posted:	4/13/2021 10:41:48 AM

Answer:	There is no objection to the contractors use of alternative means and methods to remove temporary sheet piles within 20 ft of an existing	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	MSE wall. The contractor shall use means and methods that will not cause damage to the existing MSE wall. Sheet piling within this range shall not be installed or removed with jetting equipment.	Posted:	4/13/2021 1:42:32 PM
Question:	33464: Note #17 Sheet BW1-71: Would abandoned temporary critical sheet piles have to adhere to the "Buy America" requirements?	Posted:	4/13/2021 10:43:33 AM
Answer:	The contractor shall submit an RFM to seek approval for abandoning steel sheet piles at no cost for the Department's consideration. If the	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	Department grants this approval, the steel sheet pile will be considered finished work for the purposes of Standard Specification 6 -5.2	Posted:	4/14/2021 3:57:41 PM
Question:	33465: It does not appear that any design or quantities for the necessary temporary critical sheet piling (455-133-2) are shown for the installation of proposed gravity walls depicted on Floribraska, Chelsea, or Osborne. Please add the design and quantities to the project.	Posted:	4/13/2021 10:46:15 AM
Answer:	A temporary critical wall is not required to install a 5' gravity wall in the existing sloped pavement under the bridges. The contactor may use	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	sheet pile or other means and methods to support the temporary excavation and install the gravity wall in this location.	Posted:	4/13/2021 1:41:41 PM
Question:	33519: Can round bottoms be used for P bottoms in lieu of note #4?	Posted:	4/15/2021 6:31:22 AM
		Ctatura	
Answer:	Standard drainage structure bottoms have been designed according to Note#4. Any deviations from this note can be approved on a case by case basis by the Engineer of Record during the drainage structure shop drawing process. Any approvals will consider the requirements	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/15/2021 9:37:03 AM
	of the Standard Plans and any specific site conditions.		
Question:	33627: Please confirm that the quantity of 6" solid white MOT striping shown for the MLK ramps A & B for phase II on sheet No. 413 is included in pay item 0710 11101, Painted Pavement Markings, Standard White, Solid 6", which is showing a total quantity of 4.041 GM for phase II.	Posted:	4/19/2021 11:37:34 AM
Answer:	Yes, 710 11101 includes the striping shown on Ramp A and B at MLK for phase II.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/22/2021 3:04:01 PM

Question:	33629: On the Phase II TTC Plans, sheet 413, a temporary solid white stripe is shown on the permanent outside shoulder of Ramp A. Will grinding and/or water blasting be allowed to remove this temporary stripe or will any temporary striping placed on a permanent asphalt shoulder need to be temporary tape?	Posted:	4/19/2021 11:53:03 AM
Answer:	Conflicting pavement markings may be removed per specification 102	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	-5.8.	Posted:	4/29/2021 1:52:06 PM
Question:	33630: The utility work schedule for Tampa Electric Company's transmission poles indicated zero days prior to construction. This seems to conflict with note 8, which indicates 360 days is needed for procuring the transmission pole. Should the 360 days be included in days prior to or during construction?	Posted:	4/19/2021 1:40:42 PM
Answer:	During construction is correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2021 1:57:09 PM
Question:	33654: Note 9 of sheet BW1-71 allows for the contractor to propose alternate excavation support systems. Should an alternate be approved will the basis of payment be plan quantity for bid item 455- 133-2?	Posted:	4/20/2021 10:51:28 AM
Answer:	Approved alternate support structures would be paid in accordance	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	with Specification 455-11.6.	Posted:	5/14/2021 1:13:52 PM
Answer:	An alternative excavation support method to the plans that results in	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
	savings would need to follow the process as described in the note as well as Specification 4-3.9 for approval and payment.	Posted:	4/20/2021 1:37:28 PM
Question:	33664: Pay item note for Granite Curb Not Available On Site (Plan Sheet SQ-1); The Contractor will submit a request to the City of Tampa. The request should include the amount needed, the project name and the requested time and day of pick up. Please confirm that any new Granite Curb required for this project will be supplied by the City of Tampa.	Posted:	4/20/2021 3:29:32 PM
Answer:	Correct, any granite curb not available on-site will be requested from	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
Answer.	the City of Tampa.		

Question:	33665: Would the Department please provide the address for pick-up of the new granite materials?	Posted:	4/20/2021 3:32:39 PM
Answer:	The location for pick up per the City of Tampa is the Port of Tampa, COT storm-water area.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2021 5:34:41 PM
Question:	33674: It appears the Department has acquired additional ROW for future expansion in near proximity to the project, particularly around the DTI. Would the Department consider allowing the successful Contractor to utilize this for office, laydown and/or staging for the project?	Posted:	4/21/2021 8:16:12 AM
Answer:	FDOT Right of Way in proximity of the project and the DTI may be used for field offices and staging / laydown.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/26/2021 1:30:20 PM
Question:	33717: Sheet 412 of the TTC Plans Phase II contains a note to install temporary retaining wall prior to installing connection to discharge existing 24" pipe utilizing proposed S-32 and pipe to proposed MH S- 31. Are there details for this wall showing the limits and type of wall required? How will this wall be paid?	Posted:	4/22/2021 8:20:14 AM
Answer:	The notes in Phase II referencing the need for a temporary retaining wall in the median for drainage work are incorrect. The saw cut area at these locations is provided based on a 1:2 excavation and a	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	retaining wall is not anticipated to be required. Revision forthcoming.	Posted:	4/26/2021 8:43:29 AM
Question:	33719: Temporary Wall TW-1 is to be installed during the initial phase 1, as indicated in the TCP phasing notes. It appears the existing MSE wall straps are currently in conflict with the installation of a portion of the temporary sheet pile wall. Is it the Departments intent for wall TW-1 to be installed through the existing MSE wall straps?	Posted:	4/22/2021 8:31:46 AM
Answer:	Portions of existing wall W-21 (attached) will be removed. It is expected that the contractor will likely drive the sheet piles of TW-1	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	thru the existing straps that are in conflict. Attached are existing design plans for wall W-21.	Posted:	4/29/2021 2:39:35 PM

Question:	33730: As a follow up to the response to question 33654. The response states that an alternate system would have to follow the CSI process. There are multiple means and methods solutions for these temporary walls, for example shorter sheets with more anchors that could result in an increased cost and would not justify the CSI process. Many of these solutions will result in the final quantities not matching plan quantity. Will the final quantity of any approved temporary critical wall be paid at the bid unit rate for pay item 455-133 -2?	Posted:	4/22/2021 4:10:39 PM
Answer:	Approved alternate support structures would be paid in accordance with Specification 455-11.6.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/14/2021 1:15:03 PM
Question:	33732: Based on discussions with MSE wall suppliers, the type of connection identified in the detail "B" shown on sheet BW1-15 will not be designed by the wall supplier and is an incorrect detail. Per the supplier the correct detail requires a reinforced structural concrete between the MSE wall panel and soldier pile with lagging. This detail is similar to the typical section and detail "A" shown on sheet BW1-11, with the exception being that the MSE wall panels are attached to anchors embed in the cast in place concrete. Please advise.	Posted:	4/22/2021 4:23:34 PM
Answer:	The anchored pile wall and lagging initially completely support the fill. The MSE Veneer initially supports the cavity fill. Eventually the horizontal pressure on the timber lagging will be taken by the MSE veneer. The horizontal pressure on the MSE veneer will be transferred to the pile wall. The variety of anchor and clip configurations on the variety of MSE panels on FDOT's APL would make it impractical for the EOR to design the loading on the clips and anchors. That is why it was directed for the design to come from the MSE manufacturer.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/26/2021 8:53:31 AM
Question:	33765: Plan Sheet 50, note #7 states, "Care will be taken to minimize excessive breakage and damage of bricks during the removal of the brick pavement of North Cherokee Avenue and North Marguerite Street. Brick will be cleaned and stored on pallets and secured for the reconstruction of said streets, etc." How will the contractor be paid for this work?	Posted:	4/26/2021 7:56:32 AM
Answer:	Cost to be included in 110-1-1, Clearing and Grubbing. Footnote shall be provided in upcoming revision.		ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/26/2021 10:43:26 AM
Question:	33766: Which is an acceptable method of anchoring temporary barrier wall to concrete pavement - anchor bolts or keeper pins?	Posted:	4/26/2021 8:41:01 AM

Answer:	Refer to Standard Plans Index 102-110 and Specification 102-9.6 for direction of anchored temporary barriers.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/26/2021 10:44:20 AM
Question:	33767: Please provide a KMZ file that shows the project layout and the TTCP phases.	Posted:	4/26/2021 8:45:05 AM
Answer:	A KMZ file has been included in the bid documents, however, it does not include the TTCP phases.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/20/2021 2:57:02 PM
Question:	33768: Sheets SQ-52 and SQ-54 indicates that "temporary" concrete barrier wall anchored is to remain. Please provide a new pay item for this work.	Posted:	4/26/2021 9:08:47 AM
Answer:	The barrier reflected in SQ-52 and 54 is temporary barrier that is to	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	remain in place after installation and will not be removed. Including the total quantity in the temporary barrier pay item is appropriate and a new pay item is not needed.	Posted:	4/26/2021 10:25:22 AM
Question:	33769: General note #7 on plan sheet 50 talks about damage of the bricks during the removal of the brick pavement of North Cherokee Ave. and North Marguerite Street. Can you please provide callouts, stations/locations on the plans where this removal occurs.	Posted:	4/26/2021 9:13:41 AM
Answer:	Please refer to plan sheet 117 for details on N. Cherokee Avenue area of impact.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/26/2021 10:23:28 AM
Question:	33783: Please confirm the dependent activity for the transmission line relocation is the drilled shaft at the south west corner of MLK and I-275.	Posted:	4/27/2021 11:26:20 AM
Answer:	The underground guy wires for the existing TECO pole located in the SW corner are in conflict with the proposed piers for the bridge	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	widening at MLK.	Posted:	4/29/2021 2:43:48 PM
Question:	33794: Are there any temporary critical walls where the sheet piles	Posted:	4/27/2021 3:50:04 PM

Answer:	The contractor shall submit an RFM to seek approval for abandoning steel sheet piles at no cost for the Department's consideration. If the Department grants this approval, the steel sheet pile will be considered finished work for the purposes of Standard Specification 6	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/29/2021 2:42:49 PM
Question:	-5.2 33800: Please provide the typical section for the Hillsborough Ave SB exit ramp (Ramp HILLSD) between stations 417+50 and 419+30.	Posted:	4/28/2021 7:40:03 AM
Answer:	For Ramp HILLSD, the typical section on page 29 applies to the single lane portion of the ramp. The remainder of the ramp is a short distance that is in transition to match existing conditions. A typical was not required and was not prepared. Page 117 provides the plan detail for this area and the ramp is also included in the cross sections for the mainline.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/28/2021 8:29:51 AM
Question:	33810: Please reference TTCP general note 27 on plan sheet number 323. Please provide a list of all adjacent construction contracts that are anticipated to be underway concurrently with this contract and the anticipated start/completion dates for each contract. Also, please provide a list of the local maintaining agencies that the contractor is to coordinate with."	Posted:	4/28/2021 11:57:17 AM
Answer:	There are currently no active projects adjacent to the project, but there is the potential for coordination on future projects. Maintaining agencies include FDOT TSM&O and RTMC Operations for ITS, the City of Tampa would be the maintaining agency for lighting and signals.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 4/28/2021 2:42:27 PM
Question:	33872: The CADD files provided for the Lighting Layout does not allow the file to be imported into AGI. Can you provide the AGI file for the Specified luminaires in order to determine if alternate luminaires meet the specification.	Posted:	4/30/2021 11:33:43 AM
Answer:	AGI files attached.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/21/2021 9:04:31 AM
Docum	ent: 11761355: 4318215201 Mainline.AGI AGI Files		
Docum	ent: 11761405: 4318215201 Ramps.AGI		
	AGI file		

AGI file		
33944: We are unsure where to include the costs for bridge approach expansion joints in concrete paving per standard plans index 370-001? General note 4 on that standard plan sheet specifies that this type of expansion joint "□is to be paid for under the contract unit price for Bridge Approach Expansion Joint, LF." This contract does not include that particular pay item, please advise.	Posted:	5/5/2021 9:56:51 AM
Index 370-001 (note 1) directs that the expansion joint construction	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHE
will be paid for using PI 350-5. Index 370-001 and PI 370-1 is only needed if special select soil base is used.	Posted:	5/5/2021 10:13:32 AM
33945: Please provide some joint layout details for the mainline concrete paving and mainline concrete shoulder paving? There is not sufficient information in the standard plans or in the ramp terminal details sheets included with the plans to determine the number of tied longitudinal joints required for this work. Based on info provided with the ramp terminals sheets, it looks like the transverse joint spacing is 10' on ML and 15' on ramps, please confirm that layout as well.	Posted:	5/5/2021 9:58:02 AM
The ramp layout sheets are the areas that would require additional layout details not provided in the Standard Index. These sheets do indicate that the typical spacing used on the ramps is 15 feet and the spacing used for the mainline is 10 feet. This information along with the Standard Index should provide the details needed for the joint layout on the mainline.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHE 5/5/2021 12:19:56 PM
33947: Sheet SQ-1 Note 3 states that a trench footing is required at the monotube sign structures. What is the length of the trench footing required at the sign structure?	Posted:	5/5/2021 10:58:12 AI
Per the Standard Index 521-001, the foundation type is at the contractors' option. The note was intended to alert the contractor that	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHE
a trench footing may be required to avoid a potential conflict. The limits of the foundation type are at the contractor's discretion within the guidelines provided in the Index.	Posted:	5/14/2021 1:28:53 PN
	Posted:	5/5/2021 11:03:07 AI
33948: Please provide details, material type, pattern for pay item 526 1 1 Pavers Architectural Roadway.		
	 expansion joints in concrete paving per standard plans index 370-001? General note 4 on that standard plan sheet specifies that this type of expansion joint "jis to be paid for under the contract unit price for Bridge Approach Expansion Joint, LF." This contract does not include that particular pay item, please advise. Index 370-001 (note 1) directs that the expansion joint construction and material should be per Index 350-001 if asphalt base is used and will be paid for using PI 350-5. Index 370-001 and PI 370-1 is only needed if special select soil base is used. 33945: Please provide some joint layout details for the mainline concrete paving and mainline concrete shoulder paving? There is not sufficient information in the standard plans or in the ramp terminal details sheets included with the plans to determine the number of tied longitudinal joints required for this work. Based on info provided with the ramp terminals sheets, it looks like the transverse joint spacing is 10' on ML and 15' on ramps, please confirm that layout as well. The ramp layout sheets are the areas that would require additional layout details not provided in the Standard Index. These sheets do indicate that the typical spacing used on the ramps is 15 feet and the spacing used for the mainline is 10 feet. This information along with the Standard Index should provide the details needed for the joint layout on the mainline. 33947: Sheet SQ-1 Note 3 states that a trench footing is required at the monotube sign structure? Per the Standard Index 521-001, the foundation type is at the contractor that a trench footing may be required to avoid a potential conflict. The limits of the foundation type are at the contractor's discretion within 	33944: We are unsure where to include the costs for bridge approach expansion joints in concrete paying per standard plans index 370- 001? General note 4 on that standard plan sheet specifies that this type of expansion joint "is to be paid for under the contract unit price for Bridge Approach Expansion Joint, LF." This contract does not include that particular pay item, please advise. Posted: Index 370-001 (note 1) directs that the expansion joint construction and material should be per Index 350-001 if asphalt base is used and will be paid for using PI 350-5. Index 370-001 and PI 370-1 is only needed if special select soil base is used. Status: 33945: Please provide some joint layout details for the mainline concrete paying and mainline concrete shoulder paying? There is not sufficient information in the standard plans or in the ramp terminal details sheets included with the plans to determine the number of tied longitudinal joints required for this work. Based on info provided with the ramp terminals sheets, it looks like the transverse joint spacing is 10' on ML and 15' on ramps, please confirm that layout as well. Status: Posted: The ramp layout sheets are the areas that would require additional layout details not provided in the Standard Index. These sheets do indicate that the typical spacing used on the ramps is 15 feet and the spacing used for the mainline is 10 feet. This information along with the Standard Index should provide the details needed for the joint layout on the mainline. Posted: 33947: Sheet SQ-1 Note 3 states that a trench footing is required at the monotube sign structure? Posted: Per the Standard Index 521-001, the foundation type is at the contractors' option. The note was intended to alert the contractor that a t

Question:	33954: Sheet B-13 indicates stain and acrylic sealer on the outside face of the traffic rail and noise wall. Should this get a Class V finish as well? Does the inside face receive a stain/acrylic/Class V? Please clarify.	Posted:	5/5/2021 3:07:44 PM
Answer:	The only finish to be applied is the stain and sealant as described in the plans and the technical special provision. An additional Class V finish is not intended to be applied. The finish and sealant will be		ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/11/2021 1:19:12 PM
Question:	applied to the inside face and top of wall. Revision forthcoming. 33956: Are the pay items listed on Sheet SQ-1 Note 2 to receive a Class 5 finish, stain and an acrylic sealer on all exposed surfaces?		5/5/2021 3:13:55 PM
	Please clarify		
Answer:	The Class V finish is to conform to the stain and finish specified in the plans and the technical special provision. The intent is not for a	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	separate Class V finish to be applied in additional to the stain and sealer specified.	Posted:	5/14/2021 1:30:22 PM
Question:	33957: Which of the 3 concrete-concrete joint details on standard plan index 350-001 sheet 2 of 4 is specified for use on this project?	Posted:	5/5/2021 3:15:39 PM
Answer:	The plans did not specify a preference, therefore the option is at the contractor's discretion based on which detail is applicable.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/5/2021 4:44:13 PM
Question:	34049: In order to switch traffic to the inside shoulder Phase 1 Stage 1, will the existing rumble strips on the inside shoulder need to be milled out and replaced with asphalt?	Posted:	5/11/2021 3:22:25 PM
Answer:	A smooth riding surface will be required when traffic is moved to the	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	existing shoulder, see TTCP note 13. Typically a temporary thin layer of asphalt is adequate when ground-in rumble strips are present.	Posted:	5/12/2021 7:30:27 AM
Question:	34050: Does pay items 102 14 Traffic Control Officer include all the hours needed when performing night time lane closures, detours, pacing operations, signalized intersections, and when the safety of the traveling public and construction workers are at risk? If not, please clarify where these hours are to be paid?	Posted:	5/11/2021 3:25:38 PM
	The hours for 102-14 were quantified and may be utilized based on	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
Answer:	allowable types of work as described in standards specification 102-7.		

Question:	34057: For pay item 0521-8-11 "Concrete Barrier, with Junction Slab, 8' Noise Wall" can precast coping be utilized with CIP noisewall?	Posted:	5/12/2021 11:28:51 AM
Answer:	Precast coping may not be utilized with cast in place noise walls as the department is not aware of any such system that has been approved after full scale crash testing compliant with either NCHRP Report 350 or the 2016 AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) as required by FDM 215.4.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 3:18:01 PM
Question:	34058: Does the contractor have the option to begin Phase II prior to completing the work designated in Phase I?	Posted:	5/12/2021 11:32:32 AM
Answer:	The contractor may start Phase II prior to the completion of Phase I, given that all of the requirements and criteria set forth in the Department's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (Section 102-4) have been met.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 2:17:27 PM
Question:	34126: The items of work shown on MOC Plan Sheets MC01 through MC25 appear to be part of the permanent work as shown on plan ITS Plan Sheets IT-10 through IT-19. If so are all splice accounted for as part of the Temp/Perm work and will the Department accept the final splicing locations as shown in the MOC plans? Additionally, the MOC plans indicates the installation of ITS devices whose required warranty period may have expired prior to final acceptance. Is it the Contractors responsibility to carry device warranty's throughout the duration of the construction project and then add the additional warranty years to the owner as part of final acceptance?	Posted:	5/14/2021 3:00:55 PM
Answer:	The permanent, as well as temporary work, is shown on the ITS plans. The permanent fiber splicing shown as permanent work in the MOC plans will be accepted as final splicing during the final acceptance of the project. As a part of the final acceptance, the contractor shall provide the manufacturer warranty to the FDOT for the duration specified in the contract documents.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/21/2021 7:54:41 AM
Question:	34127: Which concrete elements of the lighting work require staining and a silicone sealer?	Posted:	5/14/2021 3:02:42 PM
	The concrete elements apply to "all exterior concrete surfaces" for	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED

Question:	34139: Lighting Plan Pay Item note # 1 includes a note for a Satin Finish Stain per Special Provisions. Do the Lightpole Bases and Concrete Pads for Pull Boxes need this special acrylic sealer?	Posted:	5/14/2021 4:34:23 PM
Answer:	The satin finish applies to concrete pedestals and pilasters. All other exterior concrete gets the smooth glossy finish.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/17/2021 1:38:09 PM
Question:	34146: Is it the Department's intent for the fiber optic communications identified on the Maintenance of Communication plans, to become the permanent cable during the MOC phasing?	Posted:	5/14/2021 6:15:34 PM
Answer:	Yes, that is the intent.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/18/2021 5:27:01 PM
Question:	34147: In the Maintenance of Communication plans, Phase 1 Stage 2 between station 746+60 IT-MC 14 station and 761+80 on Plan Sheet IT-MC15, in Phase 2 Stage two, is there supposed to be a gap in the communication conduit and fiber optic cable or should it be placed during this phasing, as ultimately shown on the IT 13 Plan sets to allow for continuous fiber placement along the east side of I275 without adding a short piece of FO cable and to reel end splices after the maintenance of communication phase?	Posted:	5/14/2021 6:17:28 PM
Answer:	The intent is to install fiber in the redundant trunk line during 'ITS MOC Phase II' only after installation of conduit, pullboxes and splice vaults. No splices are necessary at the splice vaults on the east side at stations 746+60 and 761+80.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 4:08:22 PM
Question:	34148: Is it the Department's intent to have both back bone cables on the east side of I275 between station 815 and 817 on sheet IT-17 under the permanent placement?	Posted:	5/14/2021 6:18:20 PM
Answer:	Yes. Both fiber optic backbone cables between a 200 feet short stretch near the intersection are proposed on the east side of I-275 to	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	avoid conflicts with multiple MOT stages and phases.		5/18/2021 5:24:44 PM
Question:	34151: Vendors are currently unable to guarantee their pricing for any period of time due to the current raw materials supply shortage. Will the Department compensate the contractor for the increases caused by this current crises?	Posted:	5/17/2021 6:08:14 AM

Answer:	The Department is gathering information.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/21/2021 7:16:30 AM
Question:	34187: Are Inset / Dentals required on all Noise Walls? If not all walls, please specify specific heights.	Posted:	5/18/2021 9:08:46 AM
Answer:	The dentil detail should be applied as detailed on page BW-19 to all	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	noise wall heights.	Posted:	5/19/2021 9:23:09 AM
Question:	34208: Please confirm concrete removal pay item 110-4-10 quantity. The following ramps appear to be missing from the summary sheet. Hills.A, MLK.B, MLK.D	Posted:	5/18/2021 2:27:09 PM
Answer:	The main area for concrete removal for the ramps listed are covered in the Area IDs listed below. There are smaller areas also included	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	that covers these ramps but these listed are the majority of the quantities for these ramp locations.	Posted:	5/19/2021 8:21:48 AM
0	MLKB is Area ID 14440 MLKD is Area ID 9996		
Question:	34209: Please confirm the slab replacement pay item 353-70 does not include removal of the existing slabs.	Posted:	5/18/2021 2:28:19 PM
Answer:	Correct, concrete removal pay item includes removal of existing concrete in the areas for slab replacement.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/19/2021 8:20:51 AM
Question:	34210: Sheet pile wall TW-21 is shown outside of the proposed saw cut line. Please advise how the removal and replacement of PCCP will be compensated.	Posted:	5/18/2021 2:30:45 PM
Answer:	The difference in quantity to the applicable pay items would be 108	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
Answer:	sq. yd.	olulus.	

Question:	34211: Please confirm fencing quantities. The existing fence at each bridge abutment must be removed for the proposed work but is not shown as removed or replaced.	Posted:	5/18/2021 2:32:56 PM
Answer:	Fence to remain, fence proposed for replacement, fence proposed for removal and not to be replaced, and fence to remain for tree protection during construction is indicated in the plan sheets. Also refer to general note 10 for additional fence direction. Fence to be removed is included in clearing and grubbing. All fence anticipated to be impacted by construction is accounted for with proposed fence and not fence reset.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 3:04:28 PM
Question:	34215: Item 110-4-10, Ramp NE/Med barrier wall 500+56 to 504+12 RT quantity should be doubled. This station range is representing two walls. One on the ramp and one on SB. This is also true when sign structures are present in the median. Please revise quantity.	Posted:	5/18/2021 4:07:35 PM
Answer:	Area ID 24287 is for the wall removal adjacent to Ramp NE. Area ID 24294 is for the wall removal adjacent to mainline I-275 SB. Area ID 24300 is for the concrete cap removal in between the two walls.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/19/2021 8:23:12 AM
Question:	34227: As a follow up to question 33948, will the Department provide replacement bricks if required along the frontage roads at MLK D and Hills D?	Posted:	5/19/2021 9:59:21 AM
Answer:	Any replacement bricks needed will be supplied by the contractor, see general note #8.		ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 1:41:10 PM
Question:	34238: The standard details for Bid Item 521- 1- 11 is for Median Barrier that has no grade differential variability. Per the cross sections all the median barrier has variability to it. Please revise the pay item 521- 1- 11 to 521- 1- 12 for Median Concrete Barrier, Short Grade Separated Barrier. Or advise that using Standard Plans 521-001 sheet 2 is acceptable for this project.	Posted:	5/19/2021 2:35:07 PM
Answer:	Deadline for all pre-letting revisions has passed. Please bid accordingly.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/20/2021 3:17:15 PM
Question:	34254: Please confirm the design high water elevation of 48.7' will require all MSE backfill below this elevation to be coarse aggregate.	Posted:	5/20/2021 7:42:45 AM

Answer:	The estimated design high water for the walls varies between elevation 30 feet and 40 feet along the project corridor. The Roadway Soil Survey report and pond report has an estimated shallowest SHW at elevation 41 feet. It is unclear where the DHW elevation 48.7 came from. Course aggregate is not required for MSE wall backfill if the DHW is below the MSE levelling pad.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/21/2021 8:05:53 AM
Question:	34272: The existing MSE wall removal at ramp NE is not listed is the summary of quantities for concrete removal, item 110-4-10. Please advise where the removal of this wall is to be paid.	Posted:	5/21/2021 9:57:04 AM
Answer:	Deadline for all pre-letting revisions has passed. Please bid accordingly.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/21/2021 1:37:49 PM