



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

12/8/2021 9:17:15 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7480

Project: 440253-1-52-01

Letting Date: 12/8/2021

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 597 (DALE MABRY HWY)

---

Question: 36072: Please confirm that the Pivotal Hanger, as detailed on plan sheet T-27, is not required for the installation of the Span mounted Traffic Signals. Posted: 11/9/2021 4:36:13 PM

---

Answer: The Pivotal Hanger is not required, but is included as an acceptable mounting assembly for the installation of the span mounted traffic signals per FDOT Standard Specification Section 659-2.5 - Span Wire Mounting Assemblies. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 11/18/2021 3:59:00 PM

---

Question: 36073: Refer to Signalization Plans:  
Pay Item 630-2-11 call out to Pedestrian Signals Pedestals only indicate a Single Conduit run. Should these locations be noted as 2 Conduits to separate HV from LV Cable? Posted: 11/9/2021 4:39:11 PM

---

Answer: The conduit runs called out for the pedestrian signal pedestals include two conduits to separate the high voltage and low voltage cables. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 11/18/2021 4:35:53 PM

---

Question: 36105: Referring to Pay Item 660-3-11 @ 47 Each; shouldn't the qty reflect the number of Cabinets and not the Above Ground Detectors. Posted: 11/11/2021 2:49:16 PM

---

Answer: The quantity for Pay Item 660-3-11 reflects the number of Microwave Vehicle Detectors required. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 11/18/2021 5:00:39 PM

Question:	36106: Pay item 0334-1-53 "Superpave TLC, PG76-22" is for polymer modified asphalt. However, the typical sections on sheet number 6 of the plans show all of the structural asphalt as "Superpave, TLC" non modified and would fall under pay item 0334-1-13. Which mix type is correct for structural TLC asphalt on this project? Can you please either correct the pay item or the typical to reflect what is intended? Thank you	Posted:	11/11/2021 3:12:13 PM
Answer:	The Superpave shown on the Typical Section sheet is correct. Pay Item 0334-1-53 will be replaced with Pay Item 0334-1-13 and included in an upcoming revision.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	11/18/2021 5:14:47 PM
Question:	36172: Should the qty's for the PCD, Pay Item 715-500-1, be 55 as opposed to 52; 7 each for 715-4-11, 2 each for 715-4-13 and 46 for 715-11-211?	Posted:	11/17/2021 10:27:43 AM
Answer:	The quantity for Pay Item 715-500-1 should be 54, and the quantity for Pay Item 715-11-211 should be 45. These quantity changes will be included in an upcoming revision.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	11/18/2021 5:24:29 PM
Question:	36173: Do the proposed median traffic separators located at station 866+20, 954+10 & 972+80 have asphalt base & structural asphalt under them? If so is the asphalt incidental to the traffic separator pay items?	Posted:	11/17/2021 11:05:25 AM
Answer:	Yes, the asphalt is incidental to Traffic Separator Pay Items.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	11/18/2021 5:26:44 PM
Question:	36174: Can additional concrete be utilized in lieu of an asphalt curb pad for the proposed Type E & F curbing?	Posted:	11/17/2021 11:07:12 AM
Answer:	The asphalt curb pad shall adhere to the requirements of FDOT Standard Specification 520 and the Typical Detail Sheet (sheet no. 9) in the contract plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	11/19/2021 11:52:01 AM
Question:	36175: Can the quantity of 0160-4 Type B Stabilization be confirmed? Based on Typical Shoulder Const. Detail (2) on Typical Detail Plan Sheet 7, the width of Type B Stabilization is to be 8'. Based on the station limits provided on Summary of Quantities plan sheet SQ-24 at 8 feet wide, the bid quantity seems to be understated.	Posted:	11/17/2021 11:13:02 AM

---

Answer: The quantity for pay item 0160-4 - Type B Stabilization is 428 SY, and is included in Revision 1. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 12/1/2021 11:09:52 AM

---

Question: 36176: Please refer to Temporary Traffic Control Plan sheet 73, phase I-D. Phase I-D states "Intent of this phase is to mill & resurface for both the shoulder and the outside lane by closing two outside lanes to allow temporary bike access". If we are to utilize the middle lane for bike access while milling & resurfacing the outside lane, that means trucks will be entering & exiting by means of the middle lane which poses a grave safety issue. Will the DOT please reconsider this and allow for bike access to be provided by means of the existing sidewalks. This will alleviate the safety issue and also allow for a longer lane closure for the outside lane milling & resurfacing. Posted: 11/17/2021 11:23:41 AM

---

Answer: The intent is to provide minimum 4' shoulders which will also facilitate as non-designated bicycle access areas. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 12/1/2021 11:17:57 AM

---

Question: 36177: Does both the proposed and the removal & replacement of the Type F and Type E curbs get an asphalt curb pad, or does only the new curbs get curb pads? Posted: 11/17/2021 1:00:26 PM

---

Answer: The curbs and gutters that are being proposed and reconstructed, will require asphalt curb pads. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 12/1/2021 11:19:58 AM

---

Question: 36193: During the curb & sidewalk concrete removal and replacement, can the contractor close the outside lane only, or will the contractor be required to take a double lane closure and close both the middle lane and outside lane for temporary bike access? Posted: 11/19/2021 8:34:08 AM

---

Answer: See details shown on TTCP Sheets 80 and 81 for Phase IC and ID. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 12/1/2021 11:29:17 AM

---

Question: 36194: Please refer to Temporary Traffic Control plan sheet 73, Phase III. Phase III states "Intent of this phase is to Mill & Resurface two lanes along both sides of SR 597 by closing two inside lanes to allow only one lane in each direction". During milling & resurfacing operations of RT/LT inside lane, why is the contractor required to close 2 lanes? Will the contractor be allowed to utilize a single lane closure for the milling & resurfacing of the RT/LT inside lanes? Posted: 11/19/2021 8:37:41 AM

Answer:	The intent of closing two lanes is to facilitate middle lane milling / resurfacing operations.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 12/1/2021 11:31:29 AM
Question:	36195: Please refer to Temporary Traffic Control plan sheet 80. The details show constructing a temporary pedestrian way for removal and replacement of concrete sidewalk in various locations. Because the sidewalks will be removed, replaced, and reopened to pedestrians within the same night, can the contractor close the sidewalk each night and divert pedestrians to the opposing side, utilizing various crosswalks along SR 597 in lieu of constructing temporary pedestrian ways?	Posted: 11/19/2021 8:51:48 AM
Answer:	The Contractor may submit an Alternative Traffic Control Plan for Department review and approval.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 12/1/2021 1:25:06 PM
Answer:	The sidewalk may be closed each night as long as pedestrian access is maintained and there are no pedestrian safety issues.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted: 12/1/2021 11:37:30 AM
Question:	36200: How will the contractor be paid for the 18" Mitered End Section at station 861+17 LT? Based on the Summary of Drainage Structures plan sheet 8 and Summary of Quantities plan sheet SQ-27 it does not seem to be included in any of the bid item quantities.	Posted: 11/19/2021 3:31:32 PM
Answer:	This quantity was included in the Summary of Drainage Structures Sheet, and has been updated in the Summary of Pay Items as part of Revision 1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 12/1/2021 11:40:49 AM
Question:	36290: How will the contractor be paid for the removal of existing pavement markings that conflict with proposed temporary pavement markings for Phase 1-A & 1-B? The existing skip lines on Dale Mabry Northbound & Southbound will be within the proposed 10' lane lines.	Posted: 12/1/2021 8:03:37 AM
Answer:	The removal of existing pavement markings that conflict with proposed temporary pavement markings shall be paid for under Pay Item 0102-1 Maintenance Of Traffic (LS).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 12/2/2021 12:00:42 PM
Question:	36294: Clarification to question 36200. The plans call for a round 18" CD Mitered End Section at station 861+14.09 LT and station 919+39.14, however bid item 0430982125 has a quantity of 1. How will the second Mitered End Section be paid for?	Posted: 12/1/2021 10:55:02 AM

---

Answer: The quantity for the additional Mitered End Section has been included in Revision 1. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 12/2/2021 11:44:57 AM

---

Question: 36298: Please refer to the existing manhole that is to be adjusted at station 902+67.78 RT. After inspection, it was found that no brick courses or grade rings were utilized and the manhole ring was integrated into the concrete separator. Additionally, the structure is extremely shallow and it's possible there isn't enough room between the top of the RCP inverts and proposed grade. Because of this, the structure will need to be replaced or reconstructed to adjust it down to the proposed grade. This is beyond the scope of a manhole adjustment. How will the contractor be paid for this work? Posted: 12/1/2021 2:55:48 PM

---

Answer: Pay Item 425-5 Manhole, Adjust Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 12/3/2021 1:32:17 PM