



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

5/20/2020 8:07:05 AM

District Address: District 5 Construction Office, located at 719 South Woodland Blvd, Deland, FL 32720

District Phone: (386) 943-5350

Proposal: T5686

Project: 436679-1-52-01

Letting Date: 5/20/2020

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 15/SR 600 (US 17/92)

---

Question: 29683: FPID 436679-2-52-01 (Airport Blvd) plan sheet 3 typical section indicates a curb pad is to be constructed under the proposed concrete curb. There is no stabilization shown required for this project section or typical section. What is this curb pad to be constructed with and what is the thickness? Will it be an asphalt curb pad or can base rock/lime rock be utilized? Please clarify these issues or provide additional details.

Posted: 4/29/2020 2:43:17 PM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/13/2020 2:19:39 PM

---

Question: 29691: 0102-14 Traffic Control Officer/0102-10 Off Duty Law Enforcement Officer: There is no 0102-14 or 0102-10 bid item or quantities provided for this project for any scope of work. As the majority of the project will be constructed within signalized intersections and with lane closures throughout the full duration of the project will this bid item and a quantity be provided as it will be required? Please address this issue.

Posted: 4/30/2020 9:24:59 AM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/13/2020 2:20:36 PM

---

Question: 29694: When are Flaggers supposed to be present on this project?

Posted: 4/30/2020 10:29:52 AM

---

Answer: See the Contract Documents, including the Standard Specifications, Section 102, and the Standard Plans, Index 102-600 Series.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/8/2020 7:36:39 AM

---

Question: 29695: Please confirm that once final inspection is completed, the warranty for the BAM filter is transferred to DOT and the Contractor is no longer responsible for the maintenance. Posted: 4/30/2020 10:32:49 AM

---

Answer: Refer to Technical Special Provision, Section T532-7. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/8/2020 7:39:16 AM

---

Question: 29696: Storm run between Structure S315 (Plans 436679-1-52-01) to S314 (Plans 436679-2-52-01) calls for two different proposed pipe sizes. On one set of plans it calls this run 18" and the other it is called out as 24", please provide correct pipe size. Posted: 4/30/2020 11:04:44 AM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2020 2:21:34 PM

---

Question: 29697: Please refer to sheet 47 Station 156+80 +/- calls for DBI structure to be demo. No pipe shown in or out of this structure. Please provide pipe limits to be removed or abandon and existing size. Posted: 4/30/2020 11:08:57 AM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2020 2:22:21 PM

---

Question: 29698: FPID 436679-1-52-01, plan sheet 47, at app. Sta. 156+80 in the center area of the 17-92 intersection it states that an existing DBI is to be removed. No pipe is shown to be connected to this DBI structure and this work is not reflected in the MOT plans to allow this work to be performed. How is this work to be performed? If there are existing pipe(s) connected to this structure will they be plugged? Connected to other piping? No size or elevations for this structure are provided. This structure appears significantly sized and will also require dewatering to remove and backfill with compaction. Plugging of existing pipe systems may also be required. If no pipe is connected to this structure can it be cleaned and grout filled? Please provide size, type, elevations, and connections for this structure and provide additional details how this work is to be performed if this structure is to be removed. Posted: 4/30/2020 11:17:14 AM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2020 2:23:20 PM

---

Question: 29706: 0160-4 Stabilization: Plan sheet SQ25 Summary of Quantities table for the stabilization appears to begin only at the OBG-09 stationing and does not appear to include the OBG-10 base area. Bid item quantity reflects same. If the OBG-10 base area is to require stabilization please review and revise this issue (summary table and quantity) or confirm that the OBG-10 base area does not require stabilization and revise the typical sections accordingly.

Posted: 4/30/2020 1:44:46 PM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/13/2020 2:24:12 PM

---

Question: 29707: Plan sheet 21: Plan sheet 21, Typical Section (4), widening description states OBG-10 from Sta. 127+50 to 138+00 is to be Type B12.5 Base Only. Widening from Sta. 138+00 to 156+20 states that OBG-09 is to be constructed but does not indicate that B12.5 or any other specific base is required for this area. Will B12.5 Base Only be required for this OBG-09 area (138+00 to 156+20) or can other approved OBG-09 base materials (such as lime rock) be utilized in this area?

Posted: 4/30/2020 1:50:32 PM

---

Answer: Refer to Section 285 in the Standard Specifications.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/8/2020 7:42:24 AM

---

Question: 29709: Based on our quantity takeoff we've come up with the following quantity discrepancies for the following pipe sizes, please confirm quantities provided in pay-items for;

- Pipe Culvert Optional Material, Round, 18" - 1903 lf (we came up with 2219 LF)
- Pipe Culvert Optional Material, Round, 24" - 416 lf (we came up with 382 LF)
- Pipe Culvert Optional Material, Round, 30" - 332 lf (we came up with 509 LF)
- Pipe Culvert Optional Material, Other Shape, 30" - 266 LF (we came up with 138 LF)

Posted: 4/30/2020 3:40:44 PM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/13/2020 2:25:09 PM

---

Question: 29710: Traffic Separators; The proposed traffic separators are stated to be "Type I". No option is specified for this work. What option is required for these traffic separators? Option I or Option II? If option I is the stabilization and base (asphalt) included in the bid item quantities for same? Please clarify these issues.

Posted: 5/1/2020 8:47:33 AM

---

Answer: Refer to the Standard Plans, Index 520-020. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/8/2020 7:44:36 AM

---

Question: 29724: Is the FDOT aware that the Manufacturer nor the Supplier offer a warranty for the BAM as required in the specs? Posted: 5/1/2020 4:05:50 PM

---

Answer: The Department has confirmed that the requirements in Section 532-7 of the Technical Special Provisions can be met. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/8/2020 7:48:04 AM

---

Question: 29725: How long is the Contractor responsible for the maintenance of the Biosorption Activated Media? Posted: 5/1/2020 4:07:47 PM

---

Answer: Refer to the Section 5 of the Standard Specifications. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/8/2020 7:49:34 AM

---

Question: 29769: Can the department clarify why drainage structures S312 and S314 need to be cast in place? Posted: 5/6/2020 12:54:50 PM

---

Answer: These structures are designed as "cast-in-place" due to depth constraints. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/12/2020 3:30:06 PM

---

Question: 29770: Since drainage structures S312 and S314 need to be cast in place, this operation will take several days each to construct. This will result in the excavation being open and a lane closure in place for an extended period of time. MOT drawings do not address this scope of work. Can 1 lane on Airport Blvd be closed and barrier wall utilized for the duration needed to construct S312 and S314? Posted: 5/6/2020 1:04:43 PM

---

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01 for Financial Project ID 436679-1-52-01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/13/2020 2:26:28 PM

---

Question: 29780: some pay items are duplicated for the different Financial Project Numbers(i.e.-Final Pavement Markings, MOT, Mobilization each have three separate pay items. One for each FPN). How is the scope of work broken up between the FPN's? Posted: 5/6/2020 5:26:18 PM

Answer:	The appropriate quantities are shown for the relevant Financial Project IDs.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/12/2020 3:31:01 PM
Question:	29781: Typical section on sheet 21 requires the contractor to utilize OBG 9 from station 138+00 - 156+20. Typical section on sheet 22 requires the contractor to utilize OBG 9 (B 12.5 only) from station 156+20 - 168+48. Please confirm that limerock can be used for OBG 9 from station 138+00 - 156+20	Posted: 5/7/2020 7:54:51 AM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 29707.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/12/2020 3:31:43 PM
Question:	29791: Based on the duration included in the Summary of Traffic Control Items Sheet SQ-2 to SQ-4, we will need 350 days to complete Phase 1-A & 1-B (125 Days), II-A (25 Days), II-B (25 Days), II-C (80 Days), III (49 Days), & IV (46 Days). This is not including Phase V-A, V-B, and V-C (84 additional days). Should the total Contract Days be extended to 434 Days?	Posted: 5/7/2020 8:49:10 AM
Answer:	The Contract Days will not be revised. See note 3 under "General Notes" on the Temporary Traffic Control Plan (1) sheet (Sheet 161) of the Financial Project ID 436679-1-52-01 Roadway Plans.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/12/2020 3:32:22 PM
Question:	29867: Drainage Summary sheet 2, S-211 states there is 18' LF of 19"x30" ERCP and 128' LF of 24"x38" ERCP to be installed for this specific structure. Plan sheet 109 (Pond Details) indicates 18' LF of 19"x30", 10' LF of 8" outlet pipe, and 150' LF of 8" perforated pipe. How is the 8" perforated pipe to be paid for? No bid item for this work is provided. A)Please review the quantity for item 0430 984 133 and adjust as needed. B)Please clarify how the 8" perforated pipe will be paid for or provide a bid item and quantity for this work.	Posted: 5/12/2020 1:50:07 PM
Answer:	See Plans Revision Number 01. The quantity is correct for Pay Item 0430-984-133. The 8" perforated pipe is to be included in Pay Item 917-532-5.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/15/2020 10:14:06 AM
Question:	29873: There is a difference of 5,380 SY between Item 160-4 "Type B Stabilization" (9,566 SY) and "Optional base, Base Group" Items 0285-709 & 0285-710 (14,946 SY). Should the Contractor assume that not Type B Stabilization will be required for widening areas over existing pavement?	Posted: 5/12/2020 4:06:38 PM

Answer:	See Plans Revision Number 01.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 10:15:29 AM
Question:	29874: Please provide Traffic Control Plans for temporary pavement (Phase 1A) Special Detours 1/2/3/4. Temporary Traffic Control Plans begins on Phase1B.	Posted:	5/12/2020 4:13:41 PM
Answer:	See FPID 436679-1-52-01 Roadway Plans, Sheet No. 163 for Phase 1A detail. On the same plan set, see Sheet SQ-2 for limits of special detours.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 10:18:16 AM
Question:	29888: 8" Perforated Pipe; In regards to the 8" perforated pipe shown to be installed on pond detail plan pages 109 and 111, is the proposed 8" perforated pipe, clean outs, and outlet pipe to be PVC or HDPE? Or can either be used for this work? Please clarify this issue.	Posted:	5/13/2020 10:23:31 AM
Answer:	Per Technical Special Provision T532, Section 532-4, the pipe material listed is PVC.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 10:25:22 AM
Question:	29910: Please confirm that traffic shifts for Phase IA as shown on plan sheet 163 are intended for both active and inactive work periods; essentially a continuous lane closure for the duration of Phase IA work per structure. If not please provide additional details as the Phase IA notes and typical section are confusing. The typical section does not show any other lanes and only requires drop-offs to be restored during same work period.	Posted:	5/13/2020 4:40:48 PM
Answer:	The Phase IA typical is intended only for active work periods during allowed lane closure times. All travel lanes will need to be available during lane closure restriction times, as shown under General Notes on the Temporary Traffic Control Plan (1) sheet.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 4:31:23 PM
Question:	29911: Can the Department provide additional drawings showing the limits of temporary asphalt of the special detours. The plans do not clearly show the existing features of the roadway clearly enough for the Contractor to calculate the quantity of temporary pavement.	Posted:	5/13/2020 4:41:31 PM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 29874.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 4:30:11 PM