



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

5/20/2020 9:22:34 AM

District Address: District 3 Construction Office, located at 1074 Highway 90 East, Chipley, FL 32428

District Phone: (850) 415-9713

Proposal: T3618

Project: 217909-3-52-01

Letting Date: 5/20/2020

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 77

Question: 29687: Per roadway plans and summary of quantities there is an additional 140' Low Profile Barrier Wall located twice on Scenic Drive at station 9+00 in Phase II Stage I & Phase II Stage II, but these quantities are not accounted for in the S.O.Q. and there is no pay item for relocated Low Profile Barrier Wall. Please confirm this item is needed. Posted: 4/30/2020 8:42:05 AM

Answer: Plan sheets 3 and SQ-2 will be revised to include pay item 102-71-13 with a quantity of 280 LF. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/1/2020 8:44:43 AM

Question: 29688: The summary of location of temp barrier walls on Plan sheet no. 527 does not match bid quantity. Can you please confirm the actual locations and summary of pay item quantities. Posted: 4/30/2020 8:44:00 AM

Answer: The table on plan sheet 527 will be revised to show the correct quantity of 675 LF of Type K Temporary Barrier Wall. Station and offset for the Type K Temporary Barrier Wall in the table is correct. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/1/2020 8:48:55 AM

Question: 29719: Summary of pay item 0102-89-1 temporary crash cushion states 1 location, however per plan sheets and summary of TCP plan sheet 527 states two locations. what is the correct quantity? Posted: 5/1/2020 2:36:52 PM

Answer: The correct quantity for pay item 0102-89 is 2 LO based on the traffic control plan sheets 527 and 540. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/15/2020 3:31:46 PM

Question: 29830: Why are traffic separators being quantified as 0522-1, 4" sidewalk, and not their respective pay item, per the basis of estimates. Posted: 5/11/2020 12:05:04 PM

Answer:	The channelizing island in the plans consist of Type E Curb and Gutter which is consistent with the of segments currently under construction to the north of this project. The only difference is this project calls for the placement of concrete within the channelizing islands in lieu of sod in order to reduce maintenance.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/15/2020 2:51:30 PM
Question:	29831: Please provide Option and Type for all traffic separators on the project.	Posted: 5/11/2020 12:05:50 PM
Answer:	The separators are all Type I. The separator type is called out on the Intersection Layout / Detail sheets and listed in the pay item description on sheet 4 and SQ-31. Per note 2 of Index 520-020, either option can be used for Type I separator therefore we have left this option up to the contractor.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/15/2020 2:52:42 PM
Question:	29832: please confirm if the cost 3" ditch pavement, called out adjacent to overflow structures on the pond detail sheets, is incidental to the respective structure and not to be included in item 0524-1-1	Posted: 5/11/2020 12:20:29 PM
Answer:	Yes, as noted on Sheets 222, 224, 228, 230, 235, and 238 the 3" ditch pavement is included in the cost of the drainage structure.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/15/2020 2:53:04 PM
Question:	29835: All side streets appear to call out an OBG 6 (Type 12.5 ONLY). The park and ride location is quantified as OBG 6 in the SQ sheets, but there does not seem to be a typical section for this work. Please advise if Type B 12.5 is required for the park and ride location.	Posted: 5/11/2020 4:08:15 PM
Answer:	The typical section and pavement design for the park and ride can be found on Sheet 279 (Park and Ride Details). Contractor is not restricted to using Type B 12.5 for the park and ride.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/15/2020 2:53:50 PM
Question:	29871: Based on the TCP cross sections thru Station 598+00 - 605+00, it appears that the excavation necessary to construct the permanent MSE wall (if sloped up at a 1:2 away from the soil reinforcement area) will daylight within the live traffic lanes. How will the temporary critical shoring necessary for this area be paid for in the Contract?	Posted: 5/12/2020 3:01:42 PM
Answer:	Based on Florida Design Manual (FDM) Section 262.2.4 and Structures Detailing Manual (SDM) Chapter 19, Figure 19.7-1, design of critical walls is not required for this project based on offset distance between needed shoring limits and the travel lanes. Minimal shoring is anticipated between Stations 601+00 and 603+50 and the cost of this shoring should be included in the unit price of Maintenance of Traffic 0102-1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 5/13/2020 3:10:57 PM

Question: 29896: The engineer's budget estimate seems significantly low. Are there any max bid budget constraints that would prevent the project from being awarded? Posted: 5/13/2020 1:29:01 PM

Answer: There is not a Maximum Budget specification on this project Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/13/2020 3:18:55 PM

Question: 29904: Per MOT Phase 1 Stage 1 plan starting at station no. 606+00 through 614+00, a temporary paved ditch is called out. What material is this to be constructed with? Posted: 5/13/2020 4:22:24 PM

Answer: The contractor has the option of utilizing either 3-inches of concrete or 2-inches of miscellaneous asphalt for the temporary ditch between station 606+00 and 614+00 (RT) during Phase I, Stage 1 of MOT. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 5/15/2020 3:08:38 PM