

Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

5/20/2020 9:18:22 AM

District Address:

District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City,

FL 32025

District Phone:

(386) 961-7434

Proposal:

Project:

T2757

437611-1-52-01

Letting Date:

5/20/2020

Localtion:

CENTRAL OFFICE

Description:

SR 113

Question:

29556: Please provide mounting height of High Mast Poles 1 through 39

to be retrofitted with new LED Luminaires

Posted: 4/22/2020 6:03:31 AM

Answer:

The average high mast fixture mounting height is approximately 120'

above grade.

However, all of the high mast poles are equipped with internal lowering devices (with the use of an electric drill) at the base of the poles. The lowering devices will allow the contractor to perform the lighting retrofit

work near ground level.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/23/2020 7:16:18 AM

Question:

29671: Ref shts 16 & 71, the existing structure 37A Inlet top is to be removed and then construct an 8" top slab w/ Ty 1 adjustable frame &

cover. What Bid Item is to be used for this work?

Posted:

4/28/2020 5:01:45 PM

Answer:

Please see Revision.

Status:

ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/12/2020 7:19:14 AM

Question:

29679: Pls confirm that there's an existing Curb Inlet at sta 2109+60 lt & it's to be removed & replaced w/ S-446A; and that the exist 18" CPP is to be removed, and replaced with 18" RCP, from S-443 to S-446A. No

callouts were seen in the plans for these removals.

Posted: 4/29/2020 9:14:27 AM

Answer:

Confirmed, S-446 and pipe is to be removed for construction of the pier

protection barrier paid for under clearing and grubbing.

Status:

ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/14/2020 9:30:14 AM

Question:	29680: Re S-443 on sht 16, the Remarks state to "REBUILD exist. P-5 Curb Inlet". Ref Drainage structure sht 69, S-443, it's called out to REMOVE the existing inlet & Const. a P-5 Inlet(Bid item 0425-1-352). Request confirm that this exist P-5 curb inlet is to be removed/replaced & not rebuilt.	Posted:	4/29/2020 9:23:40 AM
Answer:	Please see Revision.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/12/2020 7:19:39 AM
Question:	29717: Ref plan shts 50 & 51 callouts, existing concrete ditch pavt is to be removed from a portion of the area receiving new Rubble RipRap Ditch Lining & Bedding stone. This concrete ditch pavt removal area is approx 900sy & does not appear to be included in bid item 110-4-10, Remvl of Exist Concrete. Should the 110-4-10 pay item quantity include this removal area & be paid in item 110-4-10, or is this remvl cost to be included in the 1 L/S Clear & Grub bid item 110-1-1?	Posted:	5/1/2020 11:09:24 AM
Answer:	Please see Revision.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/12/2020 7:20:00 AM
Question:	29718: In using the provided CADD files to confirm the needed excav & fill for the project, our resulting quantities were overly different from those provided in the Summary of Earthwork on SQ-38: 16% less total Fill & 39% more total Excav than the SQ-38 quantities. Can plan sheet(pdf) Cross-sections be made available, as are typically provided, for our work confirmation & breakdowns? Thank you.	Posted:	5/1/2020 11:33:52 AM
Answer:	Cross sections were not created.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/7/2020 10:04:11 AM
Question:	29734: Ref plan shts 59 & 70, 2ea. 18" Storm-drain runs & Inlets are to be removed and replaced along the outside lanes of Regency Sq. Blvd No. This storm-drain work is immed. adjacent to exist SR-113 bridge columns/foundations.  Our understanding is that the location of these brdg supports were considered, in the design, to not be compromised by the adjacent storm-drain constructed per FDOT specs/stnds.  Pls confirm that the storm work is designed to not negatively impact these brdg supports.	Posted:	5/4/2020 10:25:19 AM

Answer:	The bridge piers are depicted in the plans, and VVH information has been provided on the pier foundations. The Contractor can use this information to determine what means and methods he/she is comfortable with for the trenching and backfill operations as required to avoid damage to the bridge structure and/or supports.	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 5/5/2020 7:05:55 AM
Question:	29794: Re SQ-38, the Borrow Excavation bid quantity is not reduced by any inclusion, or expected use, of any of the Regular Excav matl. The Embankment bid item 120-6 is not being used in this proposal. Has the Dept. determined to not have any of the regular excav matl used in the fill locations; that all fill matl is to be suitable Borrow matl?	Posted:	5/7/2020 3:25:15 PM
Answer:	The 120-2-2 (Borrow Excavation, Truck Measure) and 120-71 (Regular Excavation [ 3-R Projects Only]) pay items used in the plans are the	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	correct pay items for a RRR project with limited or no cross sections. 120-6 is to be used on projects with cross sections, which are not provided on this RRR project with only spot locations of cut and fill. Limited geotechnical information has been provided in the contract. Refer to the Specifications for reuse of excavated material as backfill.	Posted:	5/12/2020 7:24:31 AM
Question:	29795: Are any Permits issued/available that can be provided for this project?	Posted:	5/7/2020 4:28:34 PM
Answer:	No permits were required.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/12/2020 7:41:53 AM
Question:	29855: Re Genl note #8 on sht 26, flowable fill (Excavatable) shall be used as backfill to the bttm of the proposed base if excavating w/in existing FDOT travel lanes, etc. Since Regency Square Blvd. No. is not an FDOT roadway, pls confirm that this portion of note #8 would not apply for the travel lanes of this particular roadway. And, if the clause " or as directed by the engineer" is applied, pls specify where flowfill will be directed to be used pre-bid due to the extra expense; or pls add a unit price bid item & est'd quantity for any use of Flowable fill on the project.	Posted:	5/12/2020 9:03:07 AM
Answer:	General Note No. 8 is not intended to apply to Regency Square Blvd. N. The Contractor shall meet compaction requirements in the specifications.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/14/2020 7:54:43 AM
Question:	29876: On sheet SQ 1 the 2nd pay item note 520-1 references asphalt base curb pad. There is no curb pad or asphalt curb pad shown in the details. would it be correct that there is no asphalt curb pad?	Posted:	5/12/2020 4:43:43 PM

Answer:	See Shoulder Gutter Detail on Sheet 15.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/14/2020 7:25:27 AM
Question:	29924: The addendum file T2757.001x shows a quantity change for Line Item 0695, yet the new quantity matches the original quantity. The addendum documentation does not reference any changes to pay item 0711 16131. Please advise.	Posted:	5/15/2020 8:55:14 AM
Answer:	The change was a Central Office Estimates change to the description of the pay item. There was no change to the quantity.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/15/2020 2:24:12 PM