



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

3/25/2020 8:05:36 AM

District Address: District 5 Construction Office, located at 719 South Woodland Blvd, Deland, FL 32720

District Phone: (386) 943-5350

Proposal: T5706

Project: 429556-1-52-01

Letting Date: 3/25/2020

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 44

Question: 28691: Can you please consider making the qualification required for this project intermediate bridge? This bridge is not 1000' over open water. Thanks for your consideration. Posted: 2/4/2020 4:57:48 PM

Answer: The Department will not modify the prequalification work class requirements. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/21/2020 1:32:57 PM

Question: 28706: Will the Department make available the DGN file to assist Bidders with the estimate of the cost proposal? Posted: 2/5/2020 12:20:19 PM

Answer: The CADD files will be available to download within 24 hours after the mandatory pre-bid meeting per the bid solicitation notice. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/24/2020 4:02:08 PM

Question: 28725: The specifications T465A-1.3 & T465A-3 require the contractor to assume maintenance and repair costs of the existing bridge to be paid for as a Lump Sum. Please provide the Maintenance Procedures referenced in the specifications so that the maintenance effort can be properly priced. As to the repair costs, should this be addressed as Extra Work on an as needed basis in lieu of burdening the proposals with cost that we have no way of accurately quantifying? Posted: 2/5/2020 3:56:22 PM

Answer: The requested maintenance documents have been uploaded to the Contract Proposal Processing (CPP) Online Ordering System. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

□

Posted: 3/9/2020 4:23:56 PM

Question: 28726: Will the Department provide the a KMZ file for the project? Posted: 2/5/2020 3:58:06 PM

Answer: The KMZ file has been added to the CPP (Contract Proposal Processing) Online Ordering System. The KMZ file is for informational purposes only.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/24/2020 3:56:05 PM

Question: 28727: Per specification T120, the settlement period for Surcharges A & B could be up to 9 months and Surcharge C up to 6 months, should the settlement periods extend beyond the times indicated, will the contract time be extended accordingly? How will suspension of fill operations due to excessive settlement be addressed in relation to contract time, will time be granted accordingly to match suspension of operations?

Posted: 2/5/2020 4:04:57 PM

Answer: Refer to Section 8 of the Standard Specifications.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/21/2020 1:37:07 PM

Question: 28768: The Summary of Quantities shows Special Detour 2 and Special Detour 3 in Phase I. The Temporary Traffic Control Plan does not distinguish temporary asphalt belonging to Special Detour 2 vs Special Detour 3. Please advise.

Posted: 2/10/2020 1:43:52 PM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/9/2020 11:23:26 AM

Question: 28780: Plan Sheet 279 shows Pavement Overbuild. The portion to the west of station 79+00 is permanent, with details being provided in the Typical Section Details. The section to the east of STA 79+00 is temporary, however the Department did not provide any specific details for this overbuild other than the profile at center-line. Please provide additional details so that Contractor can reasonably calculate the volume of asphalt overbuild.

Posted: 2/11/2020 9:44:55 AM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/9/2020 11:25:11 AM

Question: 28783: On Plan Sheet 279 the specific call outs do not match the stationing. Please advise.

Posted: 2/11/2020 9:49:03 AM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/9/2020 11:28:25 AM

Question: 28784: 1) Plan Sheet 18, Typical Section 15, Park Access, is the only Typical Section on this page with shoulder pavement. Should this shoulder pavement OBG-1 be (B-12.5 only), similar to the new construction OBG-3 (B-12.5 only) ? Posted: 2/11/2020 9:52:25 AM

Answer: In reference to Typical Section 15, Park Access, Optional Base Group 1 shown under Shoulder Pavement does not require the B-12.5 option. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/9/2020 12:19:55 PM

Question: 28802: Plan Sheet 279 shows Pavement Overbuild. The portion to the west of station 79+00 is permanent, to be paid under permanent items. The section to the east of STA 79+00 is temporary, however Phase 0A does not have a Special Detour per Summary of Quantities. Please advise where this temporary overbuild is to be paid. Posted: 2/11/2020 3:40:41 PM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/9/2020 11:29:34 AM

Question: 28811: Will the Department please provide any available Asphalt and Concrete core data for the existing pavement? Posted: 2/12/2020 2:49:24 PM

Answer: As requested, see attached the Pavement Core Data Report. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/24/2020 4:35:41 PM

Document: 9749441: 429556-1 Memorandum to KEnot.pdf

Pavement Core Data

Question: 28845: Where is the asphalt overbuild required for the junction slab (Index 521-610) to be paid? The standard index does not depict a shoulder with Friction Course. Posted: 2/17/2020 1:59:50 PM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/9/2020 11:30:50 AM

Question: 28847: Will the Department please provide the full Geotechnical Report for the project? Posted: 2/18/2020 10:17:52 AM

Answer: As requested, see the attached documents for reference. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 4:06:56 PM

Document: 9792276: 429556-1_Revised Final Report of RSS SR 44_9-9-19.pdf

Final Report of Roadway Soil Survey

Document: 9792278: 429556-1_SR 44 Over St Johns_Revised Final Geo Foundations Report_9-9-19.pdf

Final Geotechnical Engineering Investigation for Structures

Answer: As requested, see the required documents attached. Status: ANSWER VOIDED
Posted: 2/28/2020 12:47:48 PM

Document: 9771032: 429556-1 Revised Final Report of RSS SR 44_9-9-19.pdf

Final Report of Roadway Soil Survey

Document: 9771081: 429556-1 SR 44 Over St Johns_Revised Final Geo Foundations Report_9-9-19.pdf

Final Geotechnical Engineering Investigation for Structures

Question: 28930: Will preforming be required for pile installation in the river for temporary works, such as trestle, templates or falsework? Posted: 2/21/2020 12:30:30 PM

Answer: Refer to Standard Specifications Section 455-5.12.3. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 10:28:40 AM

Question: 28964: 1. The Surcharge TSP page 5 of 6 (paragraph "H") provides an estimated settlement during surcharge of 11" to 21". It also states that the actual quantity may vary significantly across the surcharge areas depending on multiple factors, including the thickness and composition of the muck. We can estimate the additional fill material required to compensate for the 11" to 21" settlement but cannot estimate if the actual quantity may significantly vary as stated in the same paragraph. How will the FDOT pay for a significant variance? How will FDOT address significant settlement during construction that cannot be quantified until the final surcharge height is reached? Posted: 2/26/2020 1:21:18 PM

Answer: See TSP Section T120-4 and Standard Specifications Section 120-13. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 10:30:25 AM

Question: 28965: Is the 6" FM crossings of SR44 near Station 109+70 and at Station 119+10 to be installed via jack & bore as the line at 109+70 runs through the surcharge area? Utility work schedule is showing 20 days for each activity. Posted: 2/26/2020 1:22:08 PM

Answer: See Plans Revision Number 02 that include the City of DeLand's updated UWS with the new location of the 6-inch force main line. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/18/2020 9:11:33 AM

Question: 28966: AT&T Utility Work Schedule shows 90 day prior to Construction for design, permitting, and material procurement; have these activities started? If so when? If not, what is the intended start date? What is the status of the permit for this work? Posted: 2/26/2020 1:23:00 PM

Answer: AT&T has stated that they are on schedule. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 10:32:14 AM

Question: 28967: SECO Utility Work Schedule shows 60 days from start of construction to schedule work, receive materials, etc. and approved FDOT permit prior to starting work. What is the status of the permit for this work? Posted: 2/26/2020 1:24:47 PM

Answer: SECO has stated that they are on schedule. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 10:37:04 AM

Question: 28968: Sheet B1-20, Note 11 states "All end bent piles shall be driven after excavation to the bottom of the proposed MSE wall has been completed and prior to construction the retaining walls." The TSP for Surcharge Construction states on page 3 of 6, T120-3 Surcharge Construction/Monitoring, "A. 1. Install the permanent sheet pile wall and bridge end bent piles in the surcharge areas in accordance with the Structure Plans." Please confirm end bent piles should be driven after de-mucking and backfill to the bottom of the wick drain soil cover is completed at the end bent locations. Posted: 2/26/2020 1:24:11 PM

Answer: End bent piles may be driven after de-mucking and wick drain installation and prior to retaining wall construction. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/4/2020 10:39:31 AM

Question: 28969: Sheet BW-24 provides the specifications to utilize a specialty contractor to perform vibro-flotation of the backfill inside the temporary cofferdam after demolition of the existing east bascule pier. A leading specialty contractor that performs vibro-flotation believes this method and stringent testing requirements will be very expensive as it requires two to three days of consolidation time to pass after vibro-flotation and prior to testing. The specialty equipment will have to remain on site until acceptance of the SPT or cone penetrometer testing. Will an alternative method typically utilized in this situation be considered that would require the acceptance criteria as detailed on Sheet BW-24 to be relaxed, such as a vibratory hammer and H-pile to achieve an acceptable level of compaction prior to cofferdam removal?

Posted: 2/26/2020 1:26:06 PM

Answer: Alternative methods will not be considered.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/4/2020 10:40:51 AM

Question: 28970: Sheet BW-24 provides the specifications to utilize a specialty contractor to perform vibro-flotation of the backfill inside the temporary cofferdam after demolition of the existing east bascule pier. A leading specialty contractor that performs vibro-flotation believes this method and stringent testing requirements will be very expensive as it requires two to three days of consolidation time to pass after vibro-flotation and prior to testing. The specialty equipment will have to remain on site until acceptance of the SPT or cone penetrometer testing. Will an alternative method typically utilized in this situation be considered that would require the acceptance criteria as detailed on Sheet BW-24 to be relaxed, such as a vibratory hammer and H-pile to achieve an acceptable level of compaction prior to cofferdam removal?

Posted: 2/26/2020 1:26:44 PM

Answer: See response to Bid Question ID 28969.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/4/2020 10:45:28 AM

Question: 28971: Note 8 on Sheet BW-22 states "Due to potential for artesian conditions, existing bascule pier steel H-piles shall remain." Removal of the existing bascule pier seal concrete from in-between the existing HP14x73 steel piles to EL -31.10 without extracting the piles will be extremely costly and inefficient due to these piles approximate 5' spacing as shown on reference Sheet 6 of 15 of the existing bridge plans. In addition, the HP14x73 pile that are in direct conflict with the new fender system will need to be extracted. Please consider revising note 8 on Sheet BW-22 to allow existing H-pile to be extracted.

Posted: 2/26/2020 1:28:21 PM

Answer: H-Piles will not be extracted due to artesian conditions. Refer to Demolition Note 1 on Sheet No. B-7, Note 8 on Sheet No. BW-22 and Note 12 on Sheet No. B1-84.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/4/2020 10:48:12 AM

Question:	28972: Will department consider allowing the closure of Old New York Ave. under the proposed bridge with an approved detour? There does not appear to be sufficient land space adjacent to Pier 6 to stage the large crane and materials necessary to construct the pier and maintain traffic on Old New York Ave.	Posted: 2/26/2020 1:30:22 PM
Answer:	Old New York Avenue will not be closed for staging of crane and materials. A temporary work structure may be utilized in this area as indicated in the plans.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/19/2020 8:07:30 AM
Answer:	Old New York Avenue will not be closed. A temporary work structure may be utilized in this area as indicated in the plans.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED Posted: 3/4/2020 10:50:00 AM
Question:	29013: Please provide current existing bridge plans, including the fender system. The plans provided do not reflect the existing bridge conditions.	Posted: 3/2/2020 12:03:05 PM
Answer:	Refer to existing conditions note on Sheet No. B-6 and Special Provisions Section 2-4.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/4/2020 10:52:39 AM
Question:	29028: Will the Department allow the temporary work platform to extend beyond Pier 4 to Pier 5 if bent lines of the work platform match the 36'-0" bent line spacing of the existing structure?	Posted: 3/2/2020 3:19:23 PM
Answer:	The Department will consider expansion of the work platform limits, such that any expansion does not violate the Contract, right-of-way limits, or any other jurisdictional limits or restrictions. Any deviation from the Contract Documents will require the Contractor to obtain a permit modification.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 3/9/2020 12:50:21 PM
Answer:	No.	Status: APPLICABLE ANSWER Posted: 3/4/2020 10:53:57 AM

Question:	29070: As a follow up to the response to questions 29028, Will the Department reconsider or please expand on why the work plat form may not extend beyond pier 4, as our review of the permit does not provide any limitations on the work platform length as long as "all work shall be so conducted that the free navigation of the waterway is not unreasonable interfered with". With an extension of the work platform, the existing channel will be maintained and a guide boat is to be provided when working in or over the channel. Due the size of equipment and barges necessary to construct the work, maintaining free navigation will be significantly more difficult. This restriction could likely be significantly more costly to the Department than use of work platforms to construct the work.	Posted:	3/4/2020 1:47:54 PM
Answer:	See revised response to Question ID 29028.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/9/2020 12:55:47 PM
Question:	29089: In review of the bridge footing locations against the Phase 2 Traffic Control Plan, it appears every bridge footing will require significant sheeting to keep SR 44 active. Due to the sheeting being so close to SR 44, should the design match Temporary-Critical Index? Keep in mind it will be impossible to meet the required distance from the edge of existing traffic lanes to the back face of the wall in a few areas especially with the shoulder widening. Confirm this variance will be allowed given the use bolted barrier wall?	Posted:	3/6/2020 9:01:30 AM
Answer:	Critical walls are not required.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 9:48:39 AM
Question:	29090: Reference drawing sheet 109. Please confirm if the wick drain spacing is equilateral or isosceles triangular spacing.	Posted:	3/6/2020 9:55:30 AM
Answer:	Wick drain spacing is an isosceles triangular spacing as detailed on Sheet No. 109.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/12/2020 9:44:49 AM
Question:	29091: Can a temporary pavement section be provided for the special detours?	Posted:	3/6/2020 9:57:39 AM
Answer:	See Plans Revision Number 01, Sheet 279 for the typical section. Refer to the Standard Specifications Section 102.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 10:01:29 AM

Question:	29092: Can a drawing be provided that shows the location and limits of special detours 1, 2 and 3?	Posted:	3/6/2020 10:01:56 AM
Answer:	See Plans Revision Number 01.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/12/2020 5:11:44 PM
Question:	29108: Please confirm the large houseboat and any boat in the 2nd slip including the existing finger piers at the Pier Forty Four Marina on the west side of the river, will be moved out of the way during the construction of Pier 4 and the associated beam setting. Will FDOT be responsible for the monthly rental fee associated with these 2 slips to the marina?	Posted:	3/9/2020 4:21:58 PM
Answer:	The Department will ensure the existing right of way is clear. The Department will not be impacting the usage of the slips and will not be responsible for rental fees.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 10:03:15 AM
Question:	29118: A question has been asked where the response was Old New York Avenue cannot be closed. The drainage plans detail a 24" line to be placed under Old New York Avenue from Structure S-200 to S-201. The location under Old New York Avenue along with the depth and proximity of this line to St. Johns River is such that Old New York Avenue must be closed in order to construct it. Please confirm this variance will be allowed and for what duration.	Posted:	3/11/2020 8:01:30 AM
Answer:	During Phase 1 the Department will consider a temporary closure to install the pipe using an alternate MOT Plan per Standard Specifications Section 102-4.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 10:05:16 AM
Question:	29122: The horizontal wick drains appear to be in conflict with the drainage structures & pipe. How should we proceed when in conflict?	Posted:	3/11/2020 1:17:19 PM
Answer:	Where horizontal wick drains conflict with drainage structures/pipes, the wick drain drainage layer and horizontal wick drain alignment may be modified to allow free flow of water out of the embankment.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 10:06:46 AM
Question:	29125: Please provide Index for CONC BARR, W/ JUNC SLAB, 38" SNG SLOPE based on the latest addenda no.1 change.	Posted:	3/11/2020 2:34:43 PM

Answer:	See Sheet 14 in Plans Revision Number 01, and Sheet BW-7 in the Structures Plans.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:09:50 AM
Question:	29130: Please clarify will the FDOT allows temporary bridge closures of the existing SR 44 bridge for offloading of materials.	Posted: 3/11/2020 4:00:12 PM
Answer:	Temporary bridge closures for the purpose of off-loading materials will not be allowed.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:12:24 AM
Question:	29133: In reference to the documents posted in response to question 28725, Could you review and mark up the applicable clauses of "Asset Maintenance Scope Services Summary Options"?	Posted: 3/12/2020 9:05:14 AM
Answer:	All clauses under the summary option apply unless it is noted as "(Not Included)".	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:13:24 AM
Question:	29135: Is the Contractor required to comply with the Movable Bridge Maintenance Schedule per the excel file "Long Week" for Inspection and Maintenance?	Posted: 3/12/2020 9:21:03 AM
Answer:	The "Long Week" document was created by the current maintenance contractor to meet the Department requirements. The Department expects the contractor for this current advertised contract to meet the requirements as stated in Technical Special Provision T465A.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 3:21:21 PM
Question:	29156: Please confirm that the existing bridge cannot be used for beam and pile delivery.	Posted: 3/13/2020 1:30:20 PM
Answer:	The existing bridge structure has no load restrictions and can support all legal loads. Contractors will be able to deliver materials and equipment across the bridge but will not be allowed to stop on the bridge (or roadway) to unload beams and/or piles. For delivery of any non-legal loads, Contractors will need obtain FDOT approval through the normal oversized load permitting process.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:15:32 AM
Question:	29174: Will the department be postponing the bid letting date due to the recent virus concerns?	Posted: 3/16/2020 1:01:23 PM

Answer:	The letting date will not be postponed.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:16:46 AM
Question:	29182: Please confirm that the budget of \$37,010,635.00 for this project is correct ? This budgeted value seems awfully low for the work involved.	Posted: 3/17/2020 10:43:13 AM
Answer:	The proposal budget estimate shown in the Bid Solicitation Notice will remain.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:19:02 AM
Question:	29183: Is temporary sod paid for under pay item 0570- 1- 2 ?	Posted: 3/17/2020 10:46:24 AM
Answer:	Yes.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/19/2020 4:39:40 PM
Question:	29184: Is burning of the cleared vegetation allowed ?	Posted: 3/17/2020 10:49:37 AM
Answer:	See Sections 7-1 and 110-9.2 of the Standard Specifications.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2020 10:24:15 AM
Question:	29185: Under which pay item will the contractor be paid to temporarily cover the slopes for erosion during the traffic control phases ?	Posted: 3/17/2020 10:54:53 AM
Answer:	See response to Bid Question ID 29183.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/19/2020 4:41:47 PM
Question:	29194: Is this a buy American project?	Posted: 3/17/2020 2:40:23 PM
Answer:	See the Contract Documents, including the Standard Specifications Section 6.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/19/2020 4:43:17 PM

Question:	29200: Please confirm that FDOT's Contract language regarding reimbursing the Contractor for damages related to Acts of God extends to global pandemics such as the current COVID-19 situation.	Posted:	3/17/2020 8:15:06 PM
Answer:	The Department will continue to consider documented claims for additional time and additional compensation filed pursuant to 5-12 of the Standard Specifications. An Act of God is an act uninfluenced by the power of man. In the current situation, man's response to the situation may have the actual or greater impact. On some projects, decreased travel may permit extended lane or ramp closures, result in less traffic accidents and less damage to completed work by third parties. Therefore, the current situation could have both positive and adverse consequences to a Department project.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2020 4:16:31 PM
Question:	29201: Under what pay item does the crash cushion at 310+31, 12 RT get paid?	Posted:	3/18/2020 9:23:30 AM
Answer:	Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening. For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening. Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/23/2020 3:25:05 AM
Question:	29250: The majority of Pay Item (522 2, 6" Sidewalk & Driveways) requires steel reinforcement. Please update this pay item description accordingly.	Posted:	3/19/2020 3:06:30 PM

Answer:	<p>Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED	Posted: 3/23/2020 3:25:05 AM
---------	---	--------------------------	------------------------------

Question:	29251: Please confirm the contractor will only be required to backfill the MSE walls with aggregate in areas designated as strap zones?	Posted: 3/19/2020 3:22:16 PM
-----------	---	------------------------------

Answer:	<p>Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED	Posted: 3/23/2020 3:25:05 AM
---------	---	--------------------------	------------------------------