_		Bid Questions and A	nswers Report	Date & Tin	ne:	1/29/2020 9:56:41 AM
FDO	Ĩ	District Address:	District 3 Construction (32428	Office, located at 10)74 Highwa	ay 90 East, Chipley, FL
		District Phone:	(850) 415-9713			
Proposal:		T3701				
Project:		220495-7-52-01				
Letting Date:		1/29/2020				
Localtion:		CENTRAL OFFICE				
Description:		SR 369 (US 319)				
Question:	implem the opti as an a not pro adequa	nented for all diameters ional materials tabulati acceptable material for vided with profiles for	Nene is listed as an accept of storm drain as well as on. Despite this, polyprop 24" and 18" side drains. these side drain sections f andards, can polypropylen e cover conditions?	30" side drain in ylene is not listed Although we are to confirm	Posted:	1/3/2020 8:40:01 AM
Answer:	Pipe or plans.	otions for 18" and 24" s	side drains should be bid a	as reflected in the	Status: Posted:	ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/17/2020 8:12:26 AM
Question:	7 HDD equal to specific Wakull and FD Bene	sections of the projec o 12" DR 11 HDPE pip cations and has been o a County. FPVC has DOT ROW. efits of Fusible PVC®	specifies 12" High Densit t. Can 10" DR 18 FPVC® be? FPVC® Detail is liste utilized on past force main been used extensively und pipe include:	pipe be approved d in the project by der FDOT roads	Posted:	1/9/2020 9:08:17 AM
	fittings b)Recc c)Mate d)Supe	onnection and long terr rial consistency to dire erior workability, pull sti	n maintenance with stand	ard PVC fittings uckling pressures,		
Answer:	The 10	" FPVC is an acceptal	ble alternative to the 12" H	DPE.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 1/17/2020 8:09:41 AM

Question:	28435: Section 0005 Utilities specifies 4" High Density Polyethylene for 3 HDD sections of the project. Can 4" DR 18 FPVC® pipe be approved equal to 4" DR 11 HDPE pipe?	Posted:	1/9/2020 9:11:35 AM
Answer:	The 4" FPVC will not work as a substitution due to pipe velocities based on the I.D. of the pipe.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/17/2020 8:10:12 AM
Question:	28501: Pay item 0400- 0-11 (Conc CL-NS, Gravity Wall) shows a plan quantity of 269 CY. Is this wall to be constructed as a Scheme 1 or Scheme 2? The calculated volume of concrete does not match the plan quantity at either configuration.	Posted:	1/16/2020 12:35:37 PM
Answer:	The wall is to be constructed as Scheme 1. The gravity wall varies in height on the west end. We used an average height of 3 feet in this	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	transition area to calculate the concrete volume. The quantity shown in the plans is correct.	Posted:	1/17/2020 7:50:46 AM
Question:	28519: For item 0145- 2- Geosynthetic Reinforced Foundation Over Soft Soils. The plans call for this item to be a Woven Geosynthetic that meets R-2 however there are no Woven Geotextiles listed on the APL that meet R-2. Should a Woven Coated uni-axial Geogrid be used for this application instead?	Posted:	1/20/2020 11:19:14 AM
Answer:	An R-2 Coated Uniaxial Geogrid meeting the strength requirements shown in the Plans is acceptable for this application.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/24/2020 4:28:22 PM
Question:	28557: The project special provisions call for DR25 on the forcemain & plan notes call for DR-18. What material needs to be supplied? Also are mechanical joint fittings to be domestic or import & cement lined or epoxy lined?	Posted:	1/21/2020 6:30:20 PM
Answer:	The forcemain material shall be DR18. The fittings are to be epoxy lined	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	and domestically produced.		