

Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

1/29/2020 9:55:39 AM

District Address:

District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake

City, FL 32025

District Phone:

(386) 961-7434

Proposal:

Project:

T2756

434619-1-52-01

Letting Date:

1/29/2020

Localtion:

CENTRAL OFFICE

Description:

SR 9 (I-95)

Question:

28392: On the Contract Proposal Processing Online Ordering System

the plans that are listed are numbered 01,02,03,05 - there is not an 04,

should there be and 04 set of plans?

Posted: 1/2/2020 1:08:38 PM

Answer:

There should not be an 04 component set of plans.

Status:

ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted:

1/2/2020 2:20:19 PM

Question:

28440: The SR-5(US-1) widening and the new construction on Ramps A & C are shown on sheets #114 & 115. But, there appears to be no crosssections provided for these work locs. Same regarding the 4ea. Ramp widenings at SR-206, as shown on sht #118. Request the CADD file & plan sht x-sections be provided for these locations.

Posted: 1/9/2020 1:21:28 PM

Answer:

Details for construction are included on the plan views and typical sections, and cross sections are not available for these small widening

For US 1, elevations are provided on the plan view on sheets 114 and 115, with the pavement design for US 1 ramp widening shown on sheet

12.

For SR 206, the widening areas shown on plan sheet 118 shall adhere to the typical section details for widening travel lanes shown on sheet 10, matching the existing adjacent slope.

Status:

ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 1/14/2020 1:13:25 PM

Question:

28445: Re SR-206 Ramp B cross-section plan sht #177, station 615+00: This location receives Widening, but, the Regular excav area and volume is shown as 0(zero). This is representative of many other cross-sections that under represent the actual excavation volume. Request these locs, and others, be re-evaluated to include these volumes for a revision to the Regular Excavation bid quantity & Earthwork Summary(sht SQ-25).

Posted: 1/9/2020 4:05:35 PM

| Answer: | For SR 206 Ramp B, cross sections from Sta. 613+00 to Sta. 615+00 do not have any regular excavation. For these sections, the proposed shoulder pavement work is within the voided area created by the existing pavement removal, which is paid for under clearing and grubbing. Similarly, for Sta. 616+00 to Sta. 618+00, nearly all of the shoulder is constructed in the area voided by pavement removal, but there are some very small areas of regular excavation that are less than a 1/10 of a SY and therefore show as 0.0 in the area column. However, volumes are shown between these sections to capture this little bit of excavation. | Status: Posted: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/14/2020 1:15:31 PM |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| Question: | 28460: Re bid item 0430-175-136,PIPE CULV, OPTNL MATL, RND, 36" S/CD: There are no Storm drain cross-sections to define this work location. Plan sht 92, sta 461+95.76 left does not show any removal of the existing CD end treatment & does not confirm the exist pipe size. Is the existing headwall to be removed and the new 36" collared to an exist 36" CD? Pls confirm the entire work required at this loc. | Posted: | 1/13/2020 4:44:12 PM |
| Answer: | The 36" S/CD pipe work at 461+95.76, as quantified on the Summary of Drainage Structures, sheet 35, is along the SR 206 baseline as noted, not the SR 9/I-95 baseline. The work to be performed is depicted and described on sheet 118. | Status: Posted: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/14/2020 1:11:31 PM |
| Question: | 28515: Bid item 0430-600-125 calls to replace the Grates on 5ea. exist 18" U-Endwalls, 1:4 Slope(Index 430-010). Plan shts #59 & 60 refer to the exist U-Endwalls(S1, S4 & S7) as having 1:6 slopes. And sht #35 refs Index 430-011 instead of 430-010. Pls confirm that all the replacement Grates should be based on 1:4 slope & Index 430-010. | Posted: | 1/18/2020 10:50:45 AM |
| Answer: | The 5 Type U-endwall treatments that have been called out in the plans to have their grates replaced are on a 1:6 slope and should follow index 430-011 as indicated on the Summary of Drainage Structures, sheet 35, and the individual structure labels throughout the plans. For pay item 0430-600-125, bids should be based only on replacing the grate for these structures. | Status: Posted: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/21/2020 2:27:55 PM |
| Question: | 28559: Re Q/A #28440, part of the rfi was intended to request Cross-sections be provided for the Reconstruction of SR-5(US-1) Ramps A & C (shts 115 & 114). It appeared the response addressed only the small widening locations. Can x-sections be provided for the Reconst. areas of US-1 Ramps A & C? Sht SQ-25 does include the estimated excav & embank quantities for these Ramp A & C Reconstruction locs. | Posted: | 1/22/2020 9:32:20 AM |
| Answer: | Our intent to state that the elevations shown on the plan combined with the pavement design shown on the typical sections was adequate to bid and construct the improvements, and that cross sections were not necessary. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/23/2020 8:59:07 AM |

| Question: | 28560: On some FDOT bids/plans the Temp Sod/Grass quantity is included in the pay quantity of the Perf Turf item. Pls confirm if the cost for temp grass for the Special Detours 1 & 3 is to be included in those 1 L/S bid items; or will it be paid #/sy thru one of the Perf Turf bid items 570-1-1 -> 570-1-2? | Posted: | 1/22/2020 9:39:33 AM |
|-----------|--|---------|-----------------------|
| Answer: | The plans do not specify the use of any temporary sod/grassing. If temporary sod or grassing is to be used for maintaining the special detays and Section 103 11 2 of Standard Specifications for payment. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | detours, see Section 102-11.3 of Standard Specifications for payment. | Posted: | 1/23/2020 8:57:27 AM |
| Question: | 28570: Plans call for wrong way detection to be added on exit ramps.plans don't call for any fiber terminations or patch panels. where does the fiber terminate and what is included in pay item 660-70-11? | Posted: | 1/22/2020 11:41:13 AM |
| Answer: | The intent of the plans is for the fiber to terminate at the controllers for the Wrong Way Vehicle Detection Systems. Pay item 660-7-11 will include all costs to terminate the fiber at the Wrong Way Detection controllers and allow communication between the detection system and FDOT's existing fiber trunk line, which includes but is not limited to terminations, patch panels, splice trays, splices, and ethernet switches. Pay item 660-7-11 also includes all equipment necessary for wireless communication with the wrong way vehicle detection system at the I-95 northbound off-ramp to US 1, Ramp A. | | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | | 1/24/2020 7:28:17 AM |
| Question: | 28572: Is there a Typical Section for the SR-5(US-1) full Reconstruction of Ramps A & C? If not, can one be provided prior to bid? | Posted: | 1/22/2020 12:48:45 PM |
| Answer: | Typical Section No. 6 on sheet 12 includes the reconstruction of US 1 Ramps A & C. The plan sheets, sheets 114 & 115, provide additional | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | details. | Posted: | 1/23/2020 9:02:22 AM |
| Question: | 28573: If there are any Corings of the existing pavements(for both the asphaltic conc. & for the base course) for this project, that would show both the thickness & type of material, can it be provided? | Posted: | 1/22/2020 1:34:08 PM |
| Answer: | Project coring is posted to online ordering, and included in the Pavement Design Package. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 1/24/2020 10:21:19 AM |
| Question: | 28579: Plan sht 78 calls out to Construct a Stabilized Driveway at 265+30 lt. There is a large swale at this location. Is it intended for a sidedrain be constructed under the embankment shown on spec detail sht #131 at this location? Pls confirm that this new embankment & stabilized | Posted: | 1/22/2020 2:09:09 PM |

| Answer: | There is an existing driveway and side drain at Sta. 268+30 LT. The intent is to construct a stabilized and uniform driveway surface following the detail on sheet 131 and maintain the existing side drain. Payment for stabilization and any additional embankment are to be included in pay items 160-4 and 120-6, respectively. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/23/2020 9:12:57 AM |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| | | Posted. | 1/23/2020 9.12.37 AW |
| Question: | 28591: Design note for Detour # 1 states work includes NB SR 9 lane shift. Sheet 217-219 show the this lane shift for the NB Aux lane widening. MOT plan sheet # 205, Phase 11 note # 1 states SB to mirror NB operations. No additional information is provided in the MOT plans for the SB Aux lane widening. What is the intent for MOT / Detour associated with the SB Aux lane widening? Please provide clarification as to where this cost is be included, if this cost is to be included and if the design note needs to be changed to clearly state that Detour # 1 includes SB lane shift. | Posted: | 1/23/2020 2:44:34 PM |
| Answer: | Sheets 217 - 219 are included in the plans to shift traffic during subsoil removal operations only. The auxiliary lane widening in both directions will be constructed during normal lane closures with channelizing devices utilizing the Indices detailed in the phase notes. The Contractor is required to mitigate drop-offs that may exist at the end of each work period by placing slopes as detailed in the Standard Plans Index 102- | Status: Posted: | ANSWER PUBLISHED 1/24/2020 9:42:34 AM |
| | 600, Sheet 9 of 12. | | |