



District Address: District 6 Construction Office, located at 1000 NW 111 Ave, Miami, FL 33172

District Phone: (305) 640-7448

Proposal: T6460

Project: 430817-4-52-01

Letting Date: 4/29/2020

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 7 (US 441) (NW 7 AVE)

Question:	29496: Roadway plans for the 3-FIN #, Sheet No. 4, notes for details B & C state the cost for milling and SP asphalt under the traffic separator are to be included in the costs of the traffic separator. Roadway plans for the 4-FIN #, Sheet No. 15, is another detail for the traffic separator that depicts type B stab, OBG 9, and 2 lifts of asphalt under the traffic separator but no reference about these quantities having to be included in the cost of the traffic separator. Please confirm quantities for these activities will be paid separately through contract pay items. Note 2, same detail "contractor has the option of either the option I or option II" seems to be contradicting the detail. Please confirm that the note supersedes the detail and Option I is allowed where no asphalt under the traffic separator is required. Lastly, the traffic separator (type IV) (Option II) legend has a (3) subscript and OBG 9 legend has a (4) subscript. There's no notes 3 or 4 on the sheet. Please clarify.	Posted: 4/16/2020 12:12:37 PM
-----------	--	-------------------------------

Answer:	Per spec 520-12.1, cost of asphalt and base to be included in cost of separator. Refer to attached 4' (type IV) (option II) revised detail.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/16/2020 4:14:12 PM
---------	--	--

Document: 9997600: 4 (type IV) (option II) detail.pdf

Revised 4'-0" Traffic Separator & Widening Detail

Question:	29508: The answer for the question ID: 29496 doesn't completely address all the concerns about the traffic separator at the -4- FIN #. The detail for type IV option II shows 2.5" of asphalt and note 2 gives the contractor the possibility to build option I, WHICH DOESN'T REQUIRE ASPHALT AT ALL. PLEASE CLEARLY CONFIRM THAT OPTION I CAN BE USED, PLEASE DON'T REFER TO ANY SPEC. Also, the section 520-4 states "I and compact the foundation material upon which these items are to be placed as specified in 120-9". The spec 520-12.1 cited in your answer only states "asphalt pavement and base" however, no specific requirement exists for OBG 9 to be built under the traffic separator. We understand the goal should be for all the prospective bidders to be able to bid under the same scope, with the same criteria. PLEASE BE CLEAR ENOUGH ABOUT HOW DO YOU WANT ALL THE CONTRACTORS TO BUILD THE TRAFFIC SEPARATOR.	Posted: 4/17/2020 10:57:15 AM
-----------	--	-------------------------------

Answer: In -4 Project, Option I or II can be used. The detail depicts Option II to show required pavement design under separator. If Option I is used, cost of excavation and stabilized subgrade required per standard plan is to be included in cost of traffic separator. If option II is used, pavement design shown in detail (2 lifts of SP and OBG 9) must be used and cost to be included in cost of traffic separator.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/17/2020 2:39:51 PM

Question: 29558: In response to FDOT's reply to both question ID 29508 and ID 29496, we have performed an internal take off based upon the Summary Quantities provided on SQ-49 and SQ-50 and the quantity of Optional Base Group includes the area under the traffic separator. Please advise if FDOT will be providing a revised Bid Tabulation and Summary of Quantity sheet

Posted: 4/22/2020 7:02:37 AM

Answer: The Summary Quantities provided on SQ-49 thru SQ-51 and the pay item quantities of Optional Base and Structural Asphalt will be revised with an Amendment.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/22/2020 3:11:46 PM