



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

4/29/2020 8:27:42 AM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1751

Project: 410666-3-52-01

Letting Date: 4/29/2020

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 542 (DUNDEE RD)

Question:	29107: Please provide the utilities work schedule for this project	Posted:	3/9/2020 4:12:18 PM
Answer:	The utility work schedules can be found at the following URL address: <a href="https://ftp.fdot.gov/public/folder/HkSWIK59G0qRNsAJUh3xXg/permitsandorutilityworkschedu">https://ftp.fdot.gov/public/folder/HkSWIK59G0qRNsAJUh3xXg/permitsandorutilityworkschedu</a>	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/10/2020 8:16:14 AM
Question:	29206: What is the total asphalt overbuild quantity for this project?	Posted:	3/18/2020 2:12:52 PM
Answer:	The asphalt overbuild quantity is estimated at 2,400 TN".	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/23/2020 10:39:42 AM
Question:	29257: We would like to confirm that either poured in place and/or precast box culverts/headwalls will be allowed for pay item 0400 2 1 (Concrete Class II Culverts)?	Posted:	3/19/2020 4:01:38 PM
Answer:	Yes, either cast-in-place or precast culverts/headwalls are acceptable. As noted on Roadway plan sheet 238, shop drawings are required if the precast alternate is selected.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/23/2020 1:24:04 PM
Question:	29278: The utilities summary of quantities sheets U2/U3 does not appear to have all of the quantities that require installation or removal? (e.g. sht U-7, has 6" pvc demo (240 lf) and there aren't any quantities listed, the same condition exists for other items as well (10" fm, 8" wm, continues on sheet U-8 as well). Please advise.	Posted:	3/23/2020 4:09:50 PM

Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/6/2020 3:49:51 PM
Question:	29280: Plan sheet U-7 identifies 12" pvc wm to be installed (535 lf), however the summary of quantities identifies 490 lf of 12" pvc and 45 lf of 12" hdpe. Where can the location of the 45 lf of 12" hdpe be identified on the plans?	Posted: 3/23/2020 4:16:35 PM
Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/6/2020 3:39:18 PM
Question:	29287: E.g., sht U-7, the 12" water main re-alignment, I can't seem to locate where gate valves will be installed to isolate the re-alignment to allow us to pressure test and keep the existing mains in service? (same for 8" water main and 10" fm)	Posted: 3/24/2020 1:25:02 PM
Answer:	The placement, installation, and testing of new pipes while maintaining service is the contractor's responsibility by method and means.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/6/2020 3:13:38 PM
Question:	29288: Temporary pavement is marked between Sta 1387 and 1389 on drawing sheet 667, and the temporary pavement covers the width of current road way. It is also noted to be constructed prior to phase I. Please provide the intent of this temporary pavement section.	Posted: 3/24/2020 2:25:27 PM
Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/6/2020 3:51:01 PM
Question:	29297: Going through the phasing for the temporary walls, we found a wall that has not been quantified or specified in the structure plans. It comes before the permanent sheet pile wall 1 from 1320+85.15 to 1322+50 along the ROW line. It is only labeled (TSPW) and does not have a number. Its is found on Temporary TCP Phase II (701).	Posted: 3/25/2020 12:52:03 PM
Answer:	: Per Traffic Control General Note #11 on sheet 623, "Walls shown in the traffic control plans as 'TSPW' (without a # designation) are non-critical temporary walls in the depicted locations and do not require structural design. Cost of these walls is incidental to the earthwork pay items." The # designation only appears on walls that require a structural design.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/27/2020 7:13:17 AM

---

Question: 29298: There is a second missing Steel Pile Wall between the two Permanent Steel Pile Walls from Station 1325+50 to 1327+50 along the Proposed ROW that is not specified in the structure plans. It is labeled as (TSPW) and found on Temporary TCP Phase II page22 or page 702 of the roadway plans

Posted: 3/25/2020 12:53:41 PM

There is a third missing Steel Pile wall located after the second permanent steel pile wall starting at 1328+30 and going to 1330+95 along the Proposed ROW line. This can be found in Temporary TCP Phase II sheet 22-23 or page 702-703 in the roadway plans.

---

Answer: Per Traffic Control General Note #11 on sheet 623, "Walls shown in the traffic control plans as 'TSPW' (without a # designation) are non-critical temporary walls in the depicted locations and do not require structural design. Cost of these walls is incidental to the earthwork pay items." The # designation only appears on walls that require a structural design.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/27/2020 7:10:10 AM

---

Question: 29304: Please provide the detailed computations that were utilized to generate the asphalt overbuild quantities, including but not limited to the locations, lengths, widths and thicknesses, etc.

Posted: 3/26/2020 9:52:08 AM

---

Answer: Please see attached computations that were utilized to generate the asphalt overbuild quantities. This document can be downloaded as attachment labeled "A".

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/27/2020 10:32:19 AM

---

Document: 9898919: 29304.pdf

Attachment "A"

---

Question: 29328: E.g. sht U-11, the installation of the 2" pe hdd water svc lineal footage on the plan sheet is 160 lf; the scaled footage is 124 lf. is there an additional lengths of the 2" hdd we're missing? (this condition has occurred on previous sheets as well)

Posted: 3/26/2020 3:05:22 PM

---

Answer: On U-10 and U-11, the 2" PE (3 in total) crossing the road for water services is 124 feet instead of 160 feet. This would result in a quantity being revised for Pay Item 1050 41202. Breakdown is below:  
□ Sheet U-2, Column U10 changes from 320 to 248  
□ Sheet U-2, Column U11 changes from 160 to 124  
□ Sheet U-2, Column Totals changes from 820 to 712.  
This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/21/2020 11:39:08 AM

Question:	29329: On sheet U-11, item #14, should the 10"x4" tapping sleeve & valve be 10"x4"tee and a 4" gate valve? Also, if a tapping sleeve & valve is used, how will the flow of the 4" line be terminated? (Not sure how you want the connection to be made?)	Posted:	3/26/2020 3:11:23 PM
Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/6/2020 3:51:40 PM
Question:	29330: We would like to confirm the 12" rwm, the 12" wm, and the two (2) 2" fiber optic conduit are to be installed in the same trench (albeit vertically separated)? (the condition manifests from sheets U-9 through U-12+)	Posted:	3/26/2020 3:21:38 PM
Answer:	The intent is to have reclaimed water main and fiber optics installed in the same trench. The water main will be installed in a separate trench. The reclaimed water will need to be deeper than the potable water main due to the required separation issues.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/27/2020 10:34:45 AM
Question:	29332: Confirming that pig launching assemblies are to be included in unit prices of the pipe (water/forcemain/reclaim)? (no separate payment as they are not identified as separate fittings in the plans).	Posted:	3/26/2020 4:23:25 PM
Answer:	Pig launching assemblies are included in the unit costs of pipe for all potable water mains, reclaimed water mains, and force mains. Per Technical Special Provision (TSP) for FPID 410666-3-56-01 and 410666-3-56-03, Section 7 (Measurement and Basis of Payment), all the pay items for PVC pipe, there is a paragraph that includes pressures testing, bacteriological testing, and disinfection, all of which require the pig launching assemblies, included in the cost of the pipe.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/27/2020 2:06:20 PM
Question:	29336: On sheet U-12, both the water and reclaim mains are identified on the plan sheets as 10" however the tabulation of quantities identifies and the profile view(s) indicates 12". (scribers error)	Posted:	3/27/2020 7:28:19 AM
Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/6/2020 3:53:35 PM
Question:	29337: The water service detail (sheet U-99) identifies two (2) curb stops per single service (more if double, etc.)(industry typical as a corporation and curb stop). The tabulation of quantities does not provide for either an additional (curb) stop (at the meter), nor do the pay items address the connection to the meter. How will this be paid?	Posted:	3/27/2020 7:46:17 AM

Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/6/2020 3:55:15 PM
Question:	29346: Permanent Walls 1 and 2 Located on structure sheets BW 26 and BW-27 does not specify what type of sheet pile material must be used to meet the corrosive requirements needed to be a permanent wall.	Posted: 3/30/2020 9:16:13 AM
Answer:	See sheet BW-26 PERMANENT SHEET PILE WALL DATA TABLE: The minimum section modulus for PSPW1 and PSPW2 indicates either A328 or A572 steel and goes with the ** note for sacrificial thickness of a Moderately Aggressive substructure environment per Structures Design Guideline, Article 3.1.F.1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/1/2020 6:59:11 AM
Question:	29362: In reviewing the Permanent Steel Pile Wall 1 Quantities, our numbers are not matching the quantities provided in the pay item list. We have tried multiple ways to measure pay area and cannot get it to match the quantity of 5,691. Please confirm the pay quantity for PSPW 1	Posted: 3/31/2020 5:59:49 PM
Answer:	The answer to this question can be found within Revision #1, Addendum #1. Revision #1 was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/6/2020 as Addendum #1.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/6/2020 3:56:03 PM
Question:	29379: We found conflicting information in the plans as it relates to pay item 715-4-33 (Light Pole Complete, Utility Conflict Pole, Index 17515 715-002 Foundation, 40' MH). Please confirm the foundation for pay item 715-4-33 is per Index 715-002, which is a standard 30" x 7' precast concrete foundation, based on a 140MPH Wind Speed (Polk County), a 40ft MH, and an A2-P1 pole with an arm length of 15ft.	Posted: 4/1/2020 4:06:22 PM
Answer:	There is no conflict on the lighting plans. Pay Item Note No. 6 on Sheet L-8 requires the Contractor to design, fabricate and install the utility conflict pole, including the foundation. This cost is incidental to Pay item Nos. 715-4-33 and 715-4-43. The utility conflict pole is considered non-standard light pole and the standard pole foundation is not applicable. Also, the arm length is not 15 feet as stated in the question. Also, refer to Note Nos. 1 and 2 on Sheet L-45.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/21/2020 2:48:57 PM
Question:	29380: Electrical Power Service requires a Service pole. Pay item 641-2-12 was not provided in the plans. Will the pay item be provided as part of an Addendum or should we not quote the Service pole?	Posted: 4/1/2020 4:11:49 PM

Answer:	The concrete riser pole for the lighting electrical power service is required. Pay Item Note No. 4 on Sheet L-8 clearly indicates that the concrete riser pole and/or pedestal pole is incidental to Pay Item No. 715-7-11.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/6/2020 3:05:57 PM
Question:	29407: Plan Callouts for Barrier Wall is "Conc Barrier Wall (Rigid)(C & G). Whereas Payitem is "Shldr Conc Barrier 38" or 44" Please provide the correct Payitem for Barrier Wall.	Posted: 4/4/2020 10:53:19 AM
Answer:	This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/21/2020 11:29:23 AM
Question:	29408: It was specified in earlier Q&A that Precast Box Culverts would be acceptable. With the Differential settlement being over 1/2" Cast In Place Link slabs are required. Will the Cast in place link slabs need to be constructed as per the Box Culvert Construction Sequence provided on plan sheet 238??	Posted: 4/4/2020 10:56:10 AM
Answer:	The need for link slabs, detailing and other culvert design requirements shall be addressed in shop drawings for the precast culvert as required by Specification 5-1.4.2. Per Specification 5-1.4.5, the shop drawings and applicable calculations must be signed and sealed by a Specialty Engineer registered in the State of Florida. The shop drawings details shall address/consider settlement (differential, short-term, long-term), Link Slabs, reinforcing, construction phasing, structural detailing, maintaining water flow requirements, etcetera. The precast submittal, supplied by the Contractor's Specialty Engineer, shall comply with Specification Section 410 and Standard Plans Instructions for Index 400-291 (including addressing differential settlement). All prospective bidders shall prepare their bids based on the box culvert's and details provided in the contract plans. Any proposals for alternatives will be evaluated based on the contract as appropriate.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/9/2020 9:38:59 AM
Question:	29409: If Cast In Place Box Culverts are selected as designed how are we to handle the Interior Walls "T"?? we need further details showing designed planned construction joint locations.	Posted: 4/4/2020 10:58:27 AM
Answer:	The intent for CD-11 is that the western wall of Stage 1 is cast against the existing interior wall. Roadway plan sheet 238 with SPLICE/LAP DETAIL on sheet 140 and DETAIL AT JUNCTION OF STAGES on sheet 246, which shows the solid line called out as "CONST. JOINT AND FACE OF FORMWORK". Contractor may elect to support the top slab pour with temporary shoring brackets mounted to the adjacent interior wall.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/8/2020 4:51:09 PM

Question:	29410: If Cast In Place or Precast Box Culverts are selected by the contractor using independent interior walls the plan qty provided is incorrect. Data Tables provided on plan sheet 239 assumes a common or shared interior wall "Ti" which is not feasible with the Box Culvert Construction Sequence provided. Please review and advise.	Posted:	4/4/2020 11:03:20 AM
Answer:	See response to Question #29409. Use of independent interior walls would be considered a Contractor modification that shall be submitted for review. Any modification shall be engineered by the Contractor's Specialty Engineer and be signed and sealed by a PE registered in the state of Florida in accordance with Specifications 5-1.2 through 5-1.4.5.3. All prospective bidders shall prepare their bids based on the box culvert's and details provided in the contract plans. Any proposals for alternatives will be evaluated based on the contract as appropriate.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/9/2020 9:32:35 AM
Question:	29411: Would an alternate Box Culvert Construction Sequence Plan using cofferdams and bypass pumps/pumping be acceptable??	Posted:	4/4/2020 11:05:02 AM
Answer:	Per Specifications, the contractor is permitted to modify the proposed design and details; however, corresponding signed and sealed plans with calculations shall be provided. Plan notes 2 and 6 of Roadway sheet 238, requires a Drainage Conveyance Plan that comply with the flow rate requirements shown in the REMARKS area of Bridge Hydraulic Recommendations (sheets 236 and 237).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/8/2020 4:50:03 PM
Question:	29449: Will the department please provide the column size and length for all of the multi post signs in the signing plans?	Posted:	4/9/2020 2:25:17 PM
Answer:	Column sizes for all multi post signs are provided on sheets S-48 through S-54 of the Signing and Pavement Marking Plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/13/2020 11:06:07 AM
Question:	29454: Directional Bore Legend on sheet L-10 states that "2 runs of conduit are required, one active and one spare". Most runs of 630-2-12 conduit in the plans show the 2 runs; however, plan sheets L-23, L-37 and L-38 show some directional bore locations with only 1 run of conduit. Please confirm if we need to provide only 1 run in those locations.	Posted:	4/10/2020 4:02:12 PM
Answer:	Two conduit runs are required on directional bored conduit installations on Sheets L-23, L-37 and L-38. Quantities are not affected since there is no additional payment for multiple conduits within a trench or bore. The plans were revised depicting two conduit runs. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/21/2020 11:24:55 AM

---

Question: 29455: Clarifications are needed concerning the requirement to use Special Foundations or Spread Footers for the light poles on this project. In the Tabulation of Quantities, Pay items 715-4-23 and 715-4-43 include the designation "special foundation". The Legend on Plan Sheet L-10 states "Spread footer foundation shall be used when indicated in plans." Neither the pole data sheets (L-10 and L-11) nor the specific plan sheets with pay items 715-4-23 & 715-4-43 mention to specifically use spread footers. Also, in the Pole data table, only pole #152 is marked as " \*Use Spread Footing Foundation " and this pole is to be paid for under a different pay item 715-512-140.

Question #1 - Is pole #152 the only light pole that requires the spread footer shown on Sheets L-46 and L-47?

Question #2 - What "special" foundation should we use for poles under pay items 715-4-23 and 715-4-43?

---

Answer: Question #1 Response - Poles identified in the Pole Data schedules with Pay Item No. 715-4-23 require the spread footer foundation, and not only Pole No. 152.

- Question #2 Response - FDOT uses the word "special foundation" and not "spread footer foundation" for the light poles that require a special foundation. Poles identified in the Pole Data schedules with Pay Item No. 715-4-23 require the spread footer foundation as shown on Sheets L-46 and L-47. Poles identified in the Pole Data schedules with Pay Item No. 715-4-43 require a spread footer foundation. See pay item notes 6, 8, and 9 on sheet L-8 Revision 2 for additional requirements for Pay Item No. 715-4-43. Additional information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/21/2020 2:46:50 PM

---

Question: 29465: Please provide the existing pavement core report for this project?

Posted: 4/14/2020 12:43:54 PM

---

Answer: The Department does not guarantee the details or computations contained within the pavement core report for FPID. 410666-3-52-01. The pavement core report is provided for informational purposes only and is not part of the contract documents. The bidder's submission of a proposal is prima facie evidence that the bidder has not relied on the pavement core report. No claim for compensation may be based on an inaccuracy contained within the pavement core report or the information given within this answer. The pavement core may be downloaded as labeled "Attachment "A"".

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/16/2020 10:07:57 AM

---

Document: 9992700: Pavement Design 41066635201.pdf

Attachment "A"



Question:	<p>29466: The quantities for pay items 102-104 &amp; 102-107-1 have been revised by addendum #1 from 2,633 ED to 2,734 ED. However, there 6 intersections within the construction limits at 875 calendar days there should be 5,250 ED for each pay item number. The TCPs show temporary signals at four of the six intersections within the construction limits.</p> <p>"With 6 total intersections within construction limits. Is it the departments intent to only require maintenance at 3 of the intersections?"</p>	Posted: 4/14/2020 2:04:12 PM
Answer:	<p>Quantities for these items are based on the number of signalized intersections impacted by construction. Plans currently show four existing signalized intersections impacted by construction which would require maintenance. Quantities for both items will be revised to 3,500-ED based on 4 intersections for the entire contract duration of 875 days. It is assumed that the two additional intersections in question are those shown in the Signals Interconnect sheets and this work does not require the use of the 102-104 &amp; 102-107-1 pay items. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.</p>	<p>Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED</p> <p>Posted: 4/21/2020 11:19:48 AM</p>
Question:	<p>29482: On sheet U-10 off the 12x8 MJ Tee the plans call out for 10"HDPE and all fittings on that line are 8" and connecting to an 8" Wet Tap. Please confirm if this line needs to be 8" HDPE and not 10" HDPE as the plans call out...And then add a payline item for 8" HDPE. Or if this should be 8" PVC, please correct the QTY of that line item.</p>	Posted: 4/15/2020 12:11:45 PM
Answer:	<p>The pipe in question on U-10 should be 10" HDPE. The 12x8 tee will be revised to be a 12X10 Tee. The 8" Bend 45's will be revised to 10" Bend 45's. A 10"x8" reducer will be added on the south side. Drawings U-2 and U-10 will be updated accordingly. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.</p>	<p>Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED</p> <p>Posted: 4/21/2020 11:10:13 AM</p>
Question:	<p>29483: On Sheet U-10 the plans call out for 10" HDPE FM, should this actually be PVC since the Bid form calls out for PVC and not HDPE. Also why do the plans call for 12x1 service saddles with 2" poly running out of them . Should they be changed to 12x2.</p>	Posted: 4/15/2020 12:27:18 PM
Answer:	<p>The 700LF of 10" HDPE in the callout near station 1212+80 is in correct and should read 700LF of 10" PVC on Sheet U-10. It is correctly called out in the bid form in Drawing U-2.Regarding the service saddles, the callouts for item 31 and 32 read 12"x1" Service Saddle + 2" Curb Stop will be revised. They should read 12"x2" Service Saddle + 2" Curb Stop. There are no changed to the bid form in Drawing U-2.This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/2020.</p>	<p>Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED</p> <p>Posted: 4/21/2020 11:15:13 AM</p>

Question:	29484: On Sheet U-11 Revised, are there suppose to be 2 Fire Hydrants off of those (2) 12x6 Tees? I see two are drawn on plans but only one on the revised bid form. Please clarify	Posted:	4/15/2020 1:39:22 PM
Answer:	Correct, there are two new 12x6 tees and one new fire hydrant assembly in the bid form. One of the 12x6 tees is for an existing fire hydrant at Station 1217+72.92. The other 12x6 tee is for a new fire hydrant at Station 1219+35.80.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/16/2020 9:40:36 AM
Question:	29487: Some of the utilities are being installed outside of the mass de-mucking areas. How does the department intend to pay for removal and replacement of the unsuitable material? Will type "A" bedding be required?	Posted:	4/16/2020 6:23:29 AM
Answer:	Please refer to TSP Section 3.3.5 and Section 3.3.6 and to the FDOT Standard Specification Sections 120 and 125 for requirements related to excavation, backfill, compaction, and disposal of unsuitable materials.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2020 8:05:18 AM
Question:	29497: we request clarification of the type of concrete required( Specification ) for the following items  0522- 1- CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS,4" THICK 0522- 2- CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS,6" THICK	Posted:	4/16/2020 1:32:41 PM
Answer:	: Per the January 2020 Edition of the Florida Department of Transportation Standard Specifications, use concrete meeting the requirements of Standard Specification Section 347.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/16/2020 3:18:12 PM
Question:	29512: Are the plugs/caps and joint restrains at varies locations and sizes, required for the removal of the existing potable water, reclaimed water and the force mains, to be considered as incidental to the proposed removal of the existing mains. Both items have pay items but their quantities have not been accounted for. Please advise.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:29:11 PM
Answer:	Yes, they are considered incidental.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2020 8:00:32 AM
Question:	29513: Are the line stops at varies locations and sizes, required to maintain the potable water, reclaimed water and the force mains in service, to be considered as incidental to the proposed removal of the existing mains. Please advise.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:31:29 PM

Answer:	Yes, they are considered incidental.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2020 8:03:32 AM
Question:	29514: Sheet U-7: At +/- Sta. 1193+80 the proposed 8" PVC Water Main (WM) ends with no indication if it is to be connected to the existing 8" WM, sheet U-2 does not account for a tapping sleeve and valve.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:35:06 PM
Answer:	Yes, the proposed water main connects to the existing water main at +/- Station 1193+80. There is an existing gate valve and cap that was installed as part of the -2 project. No tapping sleeve and valve necessary.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2020 7:51:24 AM
Question:	29515: Sheet U-7: 1050-31208 Proposed 225/lf of 8-in WM not accounted for on Tabulation of Quantities sheet U-2. Sheet U-7: 1050-31210 Proposed 240/lf of 10-in FM not accounted for on Tabulation of Quantities sheet U-2. Sheet U-7: 1055-51210 Proposed 10" x 4" Tee on FM not accounted for on Tabulation of Quantities sheet U-2.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:38:08 PM
Answer:	The items listed in this question are shown on U-3 as these are installed in an easement, which falls under FPID 410666-3-56-03. A revision to Sheet U-3 was included in Revision 1, Addendum 1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2020 7:53:45 AM
Question:	29516: Sheet U-7: 1055-51412 The Tabulation of Quantities sheet U-2 show a quantity of 2 for this item, only found 1 on drawing set U-7, please advise where the second connection is intended.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:39:50 PM
Answer:	Sheet U-2 was revised to show one (1) item for pay item 1055 51412 in reference to column U-7. The total number of items for Pay Item 1055 51412 was revised accordingly as well. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/21/2020 11:05:22 AM
Question:	29517: Sheet U-10: On sheet U-10 at +/- Sta 1216+56 (LT) the removal and relocation of an existing water meter and BFP is indicated, no reference to size is made, are we to understand that this work is related to item 30 of the Proposed Fittings Table proposed 2" water service, therefore, the relocation of the existing is to be considered to be a 2" service, please advise. Is this criteria applicable for all relocation of meters.	Posted:	4/17/2020 1:44:39 PM

Answer:	Yes, the work is related to Item 30 for the meter and backflow preventor at Station 1216+56. The size for other relocated water meter corresponds with the service saddle and curb stop on the fittings table.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/20/2020 7:55:21 AM
Question:	29518: Sheet U-11: 1055-51212 The Proposed Fittings Table calls for 3/ea. 12" x 6" Tee (items 1-2-13) the location of item 13 is not shown on U-11, please advise.	Posted: 4/17/2020 1:45:48 PM
Answer:	Correct. There are only two (2) 12"x6" Tees on U-11. Item 13 was removed, and the fittings table was updated. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/21/2020 11:03:42 AM
Question:	29519: Sheet U-16: Sheet U-16 indicates the construction of 700/lf of 12" PVC RWM, the corresponding profile sheet U-42 shows from +/- Sta. 1252+20 to +/- Sta. 1253 to be HDPE pipe. If it is HDPE is it also HDD or open cut, please advise.	Posted: 4/17/2020 1:48:12 PM
Answer:	The HDPE pipe shown in the profile from +/- Sta. 1252+20 to +/- Sta. 1253 on Sheet U-42 has been revised. This section is PVC pipe. Sheet U-42 was revised to remove the references to the HDPE pipe. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/21/2020 11:01:36 AM
Question:	29520: Sheet U-18: Sheet U-18 indicates the construction of 700/lf of 12" PVC RWM, the corresponding profile sheet U-44 shows from +/- Sta. 1268+80 to +/- Sta. 1269+60 to be HDPE pipe. If it is HDPE is it also HDD or open cut, please advise.	Posted: 4/17/2020 1:49:11 PM
Answer:	The HDPE pipe shown in the profile from +/- Sta. 1268+80 to +/- Sta. 1269+60 on Sheet U-44 shown incorrectly. This section is PVC pipe. Sheet U-44 was revised to remove the references to the HDPE pipe. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/21/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 4/21/2020 10:59:40 AM
Question:	29521: Sheet U-15: Sheet U-15 indicates the construction of 710/lf of 12" PVC WM, the corresponding profile sheet U-69 shows from +/- Sta. 1249+80 to +/- Sta. 1250+60 to be HDPE pipe. If it is HDPE is it also HDD or open cut, please advise.	Posted: 4/17/2020 1:50:03 PM

Answer:	Drawings U-69 and U-72 in REV01 show small sections of profiles with HDPE pipe and HDPE to PVC adapters. These are incorrect. All references to HDPE pipe and fittings should be removed as it's all PVC pipe. The quantities do not change as the HDPE pipe and fittings were not included on U-2.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/23/2020 2:00:13 PM
Question:	29522: Sheet UT-06: Sheet UT-06 at about Sta. 1383 south side of the road, the removal of the existing 12" WM does not show/identify if the existing 12" WM dead ends or if it continues to the west, the information is required to determine if a line stop will be require and if a cap is to be installed to the remaining line .	Posted: 4/17/2020 1:50:58 PM
Answer:	For clarification, on Sheet UT-06 the 12" water main on the south side of the road that is being replaced dead ends at approximate Station 1383+00. It does not continue to the west.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/20/2020 7:57:43 AM
Question:	29529: In the typical sections it shows the friction course being paved is FC-5 for the mainline but there are no pay items for open grade thermoplastic. Can you clarify if these will be added?	Posted: 4/20/2020 10:30:40 AM
Answer:	This information was revised and sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/23/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/23/2020 1:52:04 PM
Question:	29532: Sheet UT-06 / Item 1080 21102 Relocate Water Meter: please identify the size of the existing water meter to be relocated. The PROPOSED FITTINGS TABLE on the "U" drawings identify the size of the proposed service/water meter to be relocated, please refer to your response to question 29517.	Posted: 4/20/2020 10:59:30 AM
Answer:	The drawings have been revised containing Sheet 1, UT-01, UT-03, UT-04 and UT-06 which delineate the meters being relocated and adding the meter sizes. The Item No. 1080-21-102 has been revised to 1080-21-400 for Utility Fixtures, Valve/Meter Box, Relocate. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders as Revision #2, Addendum #2 on 4/23/20.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 4/23/2020 1:49:53 PM
Question:	29584: Regarding the Departments answer to Question ID: 29379; why are Contractors required to provide a Design to the Department on a Bid Build Project. Designing is a function of Engineering which is the EOR's responsibility. Contractors should not have to bear the risk of Design on a Structural Foundation on a Bid Build project.	Posted: 4/22/2020 3:24:31 PM

---

Answer:	<p>Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED	Posted: 4/27/2020 3:25:06 AM
---------	---	--------------------------	------------------------------

---

Question:	<p>29586: Rev02 for the Lighting Plans (Plan Sheet L-8) added a note for Pay Item 715-512-140 with options on a non-standard foundation; why not have all contractors bid one foundation type; Median Barrier Wall Pedestal (which requires a squash base pole) or Spread Footer. Additionally; please have the Departments EOR provide a design for one or both.</p>	Posted: 4/22/2020 3:30:45 PM
-----------	---	------------------------------

---

Answer:	<p>Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED	Posted: 4/27/2020 3:25:06 AM
---------	---	--------------------------	------------------------------

---

Question:	<p>29594: Is any of the pipe to be disposed asbestos?</p>	Posted: 4/22/2020 5:10:09 PM
-----------	---	------------------------------

---

Answer:	Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening,or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times,an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the samewebsite before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/27/2020 3:25:06 AM
	<p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening,will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions postedbefore the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>		

---

Question:	29607: Will you please consider pushing this projects bid date out as there are still a number of unanswered questions that we need answered and we are running out of time. Given the size of the job and how close to bid date, I think an extension makes sense. Please Respond, Thanks.	Posted:	4/23/2020 8:46:35 AM
-----------	---	---------	----------------------

---

Answer:	Reminder: For 30 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening,or tenth calendar day prior to the December bid opening, will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after these times,an answer cannot be assured. For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the samewebsite before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/27/2020 3:25:06 AM
	<p>For 60 day advertisements: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the tenth calendar day prior to the bid opening,will be responded to by the Department. For questions posted after this deadline, an answer cannot be assured. For all questions postedbefore the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening.</p> <p>Take responsibility to review and be familiar with all questions and responses posted to this website and to make any necessary adjustments in the proposal accordingly</p>		