



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 5/22/2019 12:40:18 PM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7434

Proposal: T2743

Project: 428455-1-52-01

Letting Date: 5/22/2019

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: JACKSONVILLE NATIONAL CEMETERY ACCESS RD

---

Question: 25752: Please provide the DTM CAD file for the existing ground with all the points and triangles. The one listed in the survey folder of the project download only shows the perimeter of the DTM model. Posted: 4/23/2019 6:08:53 PM

---

Answer: This project is not a 3D modeled project. Bid per the information provided in the contract plans, which are a part of the contract documents for this project. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2019 10:28:11 AM

---

Question: 25803: In the CAD File attached, there is an issue with a couple layers (XSGrdLine\_px, Sidewalk\_px, and ShldrPaved\_px). The surfaces the are under these layers have both Sub-grade and Top of Pavement Grades attached to the corresponding layers. The Sub-grade and Top of Pavement Grades import into agtek right on top of each other, creating a really rough surface. Can you resend the AMGMRD01.dgn file with the subgrade not surfaced or in a different layer than the top of pavement? For all three layer Posted: 4/30/2019 8:40:01 AM

---

Answer: This project is not a 3D modeled project. Bid per the information provided in the contract plans, which are a part of the contract documents for this project. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2019 10:48:23 AM

---

Question: 25828: Can we get a XML file of the finish grade surface? Posted: 5/1/2019 1:21:50 PM

---

Answer: This project is not a 3D modeled project. Bid per the information provided in the contract plans, which are a part of the contract documents for this project. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2019 10:48:46 AM

---

Question: 25862: Can the Department provide a detail for the Rip-Rap ? Posted: 5/2/2019 2:42:31 PM

---

Answer: Please see applicable FDOT Standard Plans and Specifications. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/13/2019 10:46:16 AM

---

Question: 25876: Plans sht 63 has a callout to remove buried debris from sta. 368+20 to 368+85 approx. 15' to 115' left. What is/are the depth(s) and thickness of this debris mat, what does this mat consist of, and is the avg. width reasonably 65'? We have not found any detailed info about this work in the plans/specs. Posted: 5/3/2019 3:02:12 PM

---

Answer: Details regarding the buried debris from sta. 368+20 to 368+85 approx. 15' to 115' left are located in the Limited Level 2 Soil Assessment performed for this project. The report was added to the online ordering site. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/6/2019 4:13:14 PM

---

Question: 25892: Can you provide all Geotech reports from CSI Geo for the ponds and roadway? Posted: 5/6/2019 11:29:44 AM

---

Answer: The design team produced two Geotech reports, Roadway, and Bridge. These reports have been added to the online ordering site. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/6/2019 4:15:01 PM

---

Question: 25939: As a follow up to question 25862. Can the Department provide a typical section (thickness, fabric requirement) for the Rubble Rip-Rap being placed at the SMF Pond Areas. Posted: 5/8/2019 11:06:21 AM

---

Answer: Please see Spec 530-2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/10/2019 11:05:42 AM

---

Question: 25944: As a follow up to question 25876. How will the Debris Removal be Measured and Paid ? Posted: 5/8/2019 11:30:41 AM

---

Answer: The debris removal is to be included in the lump sum Clearing and Grubbing pay item. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED  
Posted: 5/8/2019 4:35:40 PM

---

Question: 25947: If the FDOT requires a specific type of Sod (i.e., Argentine Bahia, Bermuda, St. Augustine, etc.) for this project please advise which Sod type. Posted: 5/8/2019 3:08:55 PM

Answer:	The sod must satisfy sod types noted in the Specifications.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/10/2019 11:12:05 AM
Question:	25951: Re Bid item 0110-7-1, Mailbox, Sngl @ 3ea: Is it the intent for this bid item to be the cost to replace the 1ea. Brick Mailbox unit, containing 3EA. MAILBOXES, that is called out to be removed at station 390+90 rt.? Or, is this bid item for providing 3ea. standard post-mounted mail boxes, ref SQ-7, west of the exist Brick Mailbox unit?	Posted:	5/9/2019 9:38:51 AM
Answer:	The 3 standard post-mounted mail boxes proposed on the LT (SQ-7) are replacing the existing brick mailbox to be removed 390+90 RT.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/10/2019 9:01:04 AM
Question:	25961: Plan sht SQ-8, Summary of Earthwork includes Berm Excavation (below the Pond Excavations) quantity @ 5,313.9cy. Does this quantity represent the excavation of the existing Berms in the Roadway, or for the excavation of the existing Berms located in 2ea.(SMF 4 & 5) of the new Pond areas? Or, is 5,313.9cy the estimated quantity for both the roadway & ponds? If the quantity is only for one of them(rdway or ponds) then can the Dept. provide the Berm quantity excavation for the other?	Posted:	5/10/2019 8:39:34 AM
Answer:	5313.9 CY is for Roadway Berm. The pond berm is included in the pond quantities listed for SMF 1 - 7, the pond berm quantity was not separated.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 2:58:52 PM
Question:	25979: Please revise the bid item quantity for 36" Storm Drain. Bid Item is 614 LF. Take-Off and Plan Quantity is 716 LF	Posted:	5/10/2019 1:50:29 PM
Answer:	Please bid plans as shown.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 3:28:52 PM
Question:	25985: Please refer to the removal of the existing bridge scope of work. To access the bridge, we will be required to clear a 12' wide path from the west to the existing bridge on the old alignment and then and additional 50'x50' working area next to the creek to demolish the bridge.  Is there enough ROW to perform that work?	Posted:	5/10/2019 4:36:24 PM
Answer:	No plan revisions or additional ROW are proposed for the existing bridge removal.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 7:27:41 AM

Question:	25986: Please refer to the removal of the existing bridge scope of work. To access the bridge, we will be required to clear a 12' wide path from the west to the existing bridge on the old alignment and then and additional 50'x50' working area next to the creek to demolish the bridge.  Is there any cost to the Contractor to modify the existing permits to perform this work?	Posted: 5/10/2019 4:38:21 PM
Answer:	No plan revisions are proposed for the existing bridge removal. Any modifications to the plans and permits due to the contractor's means and methods to remove the existing bridge would be the contractor's responsibility.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/16/2019 7:26:56 AM
Question:	25987: Please refer to the removal of the existing bridge scope of work. To access the bridge, we will be required to clear a 12' wide path from the west to the existing bridge on the old alignment and then and additional 50'x50' working area next to the creek to demolish the bridge.  Can the department amend the erosion control quantities protect the surrounding environment from the removal of the existing bridge scope of work and the associated clearing?	Posted: 5/10/2019 4:40:45 PM
Answer:	Please bid plans as shown.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/16/2019 7:23:29 AM
Question:	25996: The Traf Control Plans(sht #273, Ph 1 note #3) only address the use of Index 102-603 re maintaining access to all property owners w/in the project. Request the Dept. engineer provide more specific information & details regarding the means/methods (i.e., temp pavements, available detours to/from their property, etc.) to safely provide property access during construction for the affected property owners.	Posted: 5/13/2019 10:30:28 AM
Answer:	Refer to specification 102 for additional information. No additional plans or details will be provided as the specific details to maintain access are dependent on the contractor's means and methods of constructing the roadway.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/16/2019 7:20:56 AM
Question:	26004: The Pay item notes on sht SQ-1 include the removal of certain existing Brick fences, Screen walls & Foundations at given stations. Pls confirm if these brick fences, screen walls & foundations are either (1)FROM sta. 367+50 to 391+15.24 lt/rt (2,365' * x 2 sides = 4,730' length); or are they (2)AT sta. 367+50 & 391+15.24 lt/rt. These existing structures have not yet been located at the job site.	Posted: 5/13/2019 11:08:14 AM
Answer:	The pay item note is intended to include removal of the items described within the station limits given that are encroaching on FDOT R/W, it is the contractor's responsibility to field verify existing conditions at the time of the bid.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED Posted: 5/16/2019 7:19:58 AM

Question:	26071: Will burning be allowed for clearing operations?	Posted:	5/15/2019 4:31:31 PM
Answer:	The contractor can apply for a burn permit from the appropriate permitting agency.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 3:43:22 PM
Question:	26072: What firm is selected to be the project CEI	Posted:	5/15/2019 4:31:56 PM
Answer:	Parsons	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 3:37:31 PM
Question:	26073: There is no temporary pipe identified at the surcharge location, please specify if any temp conveyance will be required at the proposed cross drain location	Posted:	5/15/2019 4:32:23 PM
Answer:	*****CORRECTION**** CD-3 not CD-6**** Three (3) 30" pipes, each 88' in length should be used for temporary conveyance during surcharge operations at the CD-3 proposed cross drain location. Optional pipe materials allowed will be the same as the permanent pipes for CD-3, with additional materials HDPE Class I, HDPE Class II, and Polypropylene as acceptable. The cost for these temporary pipes will be included in lump sum cost for Maintenance of Traffic.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/17/2019 7:08:20 AM
Answer:	Three (3) 30" pipes, each 88' in length should be used for temporary conveyance during surcharge operations at the CD-6 proposed cross drain location. Optional pipe materials allowed will be the same as the permanent pipes for CD-6, with additional materials HDPE Class I, HDPE Class II, and Polypropylene as acceptable. The cost for these temporary pipes will be included in lump sum cost for Maintenance of Traffic.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 3:45:00 PM
Question:	26074: Is the 4" ditch pavement, identified on the "outfall control structure details", to be included in the cost of the structure?	Posted:	5/15/2019 4:32:42 PM
Answer:	The 4" ditch pavement associated with the control structures is to be included in the cost of the structure.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2019 3:51:30 PM
Question:	26075: Will Rip Rap filter fabric be required under proposed rip rap at SMF slopes?	Posted:	5/15/2019 4:33:07 PM

---

Answer: The applicable FDOT Standard Plans and Specifications should be referenced for rip rap requirements, please refer to Section 530 Revetment Systems for all rip-rap requirements, and 530-3.1 for geotextile fabric requirements.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/16/2019 3:49:32 PM

---

Question: 26076: Will it be possible to use the existing bridge on Arnold Road for project access during construction?

Posted: 5/15/2019 4:33:40 PM

---

Answer: The existing bridge is intended only for residential use to access properties in the area. The contractor should not use the existing bridge for construction access. Additionally, the temporary construction easement (TCE) includes the trail road west of the bridge and encompasses the existing bridge for its removal, but the TCE does not include the trail road east of the bridge. The trail road east of the existing bridge is on private property.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/16/2019 3:50:28 PM

---

Question: 26077: Can the existing bridge be removed prior to the construction of the new bridge.

Posted: 5/15/2019 4:34:29 PM

---

Answer: No, please refer to Traffic Control Plan General Note No. 3.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/16/2019 3:51:00 PM