



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 3/27/2019 8:32:38 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7424
Project: 257298-5-52-01
Letting Date: 3/27/2019
Location: CENTRAL OFFICE
Description: CR 578 (CO LINE RD)

Question: 24611: The wage rate number for this project is listed as FL211 Highway. There is no FL211 for Hernando County. FL211 comes up for Lee County, Building Construction. Is this correct? Posted: 1/30/2019 11:42:52 AM

Answer: The wage table will be corrected in Revision 1. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/8/2019 9:42:00 AM

Question: 24642: Please provide the spreadsheet used to calculate the Damage Recovery amounts for this project. Posted: 2/1/2019 10:38:51 AM

Answer: Attached please find the Damage Recovery Calculation sheets. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/7/2019 2:24:52 PM

Document: 8245107: 257298-5 DamageRecovery.pdf

257298-5 DamageRecovery

Question: 24703: Plan Sheet U-33: Sta 734+55 has a 4" Force Main that ends at this station without indicating any connection or termination. Please define the work required at this location. Posted: 2/6/2019 10:55:33 AM

Answer: A 4" cap is to be installed on the 4" gate valve at STA 80+86, 101 LT. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/15/2019 5:41:50 PM

Question: 24719: Regarding UWHC: The gate and butterfly valves are accounted for, however it appears the tapping valves were not included in the pay item totals. Please modify existing quantities or create new pay items for this work. Posted: 2/7/2019 10:28:27 AM

Answer: The tapping gate valves are included in the Tapping Saddles and Sleeves item description included in the Technical Special Provisions. A separate pay item or additional valves are not needed because It is included in the pay item for the tapping sleeve. It is one complete Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/15/2019 5:42:48 PM

Question: 24720: Regarding UWHC: For 1080-29-104 thru 1080-29-116 please provide a breakdown identifying the quantity of pipe bell restraints, and the quantity of fitting restraints, associated with each pay item. Posted: 2/7/2019 10:35:29 AM

Answer: See attached Restrained joint specification chart. The quantity shown is an estimate. The actual number installed will be based on the total number of bells and joints that fall within the required restraint lengths. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/15/2019 5:45:20 PM

Document: 8279784: Pipeline Joints Restraint Specification Detail.pdf

Pipeline Joints Restraint Specification Detail

Question: 24746: There is no pay items 102-104 or 102-107-1 for temporary signalization items. Will work related to them be required for the existing signal intersections? Posted: 2/8/2019 11:53:21 AM

Answer: Pay items for temporary signalization was added to the project to account for the work needed to connect interconnect and pedestrian signals to the existing cabinet. Please refer to Revision 2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 3/18/2019 10:21:24 AM

Answer: There is no requirement for temporary signalization on this project, as the intersection at Trillium Blvd. is a new intersection and the proposed intersection at US 41 is currently unsignalized. Once constructed the permanent signals can be put into operation. Status: ANSWER VOIDED
Posted: 2/15/2019 5:46:40 PM

Question: 24804: The Pond Detail sheets include the note "**DRY POND. SEE GENERAL NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION". Posted: 2/13/2019 2:17:28 PM

There does not appear to be any notes regarding dry pond construction in the General Notes on sheet 61.

Please clarify.

Answer:	Dry pond notes have been revised, please see revision 2 for details.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 10:32:55 AM
Answer:	Please refer to Revision 1 Sheet 238 for the Dry Pond Notes.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:01:40 PM
Question:	24805: Reference Plan Sheet 281 . This plan sheet (typical for all plan sheets for SMF 3 and FPC 3) indicates subsoil excavation, but does not provide area and volume quantities by station for subsoil excavation. Please provide area and volume for all subsoil excavation.	Posted:	2/13/2019 2:24:11 PM
Answer:	The subsoil excavation volume for SMF 3 is included in the Summary of Quantities SQ-17. The area and volume quantities will be added to the SMF 3 and FPC 3 Cross Sections. The quantities have been revised per question 24844. Please refer to Revision 1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:04:07 PM
Question:	24844: The plan quantity for Subsoil Excavation appears to have been calculated in error. The plan quantity is calculated from the Existing ground line to the Subsoil Excavation limits. This is incorrect as the Subsoil Excavation quantity should be calculated from the final grading template to the Subsoil Excavation limits.	Posted:	2/18/2019 10:43:05 AM
Answer:	These quantities were revised to quantify from the final grading template to the Subsoil Excavation Limits. Please refer to Revision 1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:07:06 PM
Question:	24845: The damage recovery calculation appears to be in error. The ADT is applied to the existing roadway which is a 4 lane typical section. The Damage Recovery Calculation only contemplates a two lane typical section with one lane closed. The calculation in the form previously attached effectively fails to account for more than 1/2 of the current roadways capacity and thereby artificially inflates the amount of damage recovery.	Posted:	2/18/2019 10:46:54 AM
Answer:	The Damage recovery Spec was removed from the project specifications package since Per TTCP General Note 1: US 41 - SINGLE LANE CLOSURE (OPEN ROAD): NORTHBOUND AND SOUTHBOUND (NO RESTRICTIONS).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:20:26 PM

Question:	24847: Regarding question 24720: The restraint schedule provided as a response to this question does not answer the question originally asked. Please provide a quantity of pipe bell harness restraints (used to restrain pipe to pipe connections), and a quantity of mechanical joint fitting or valve restraint (used to restrain fittings or valves to pipe) for each pipe size.	Posted:	2/18/2019 10:56:20 AM
Answer:	<p>Please see quantities below:</p> <p>4" MECH JOINT RESTRAINT/ EA - 2 // 4" MECH BELL RESTRAINT/EA -2 // 8" MECH JOINT RESTRAINT/EA-4 //12" MECH JOINT RESTRAINT/EA - 32 //12" MECH BELL RESTRAINT/ EA -34 //16" MECH JOINT RESTRAINT/EA- 188 //16" MECH BELL RESTRAINT/EA -177</p> <p>Please Note that quantity installed may need to be adjusted in order to meet minimum County and FDEP requirements as defined in the "Pipeline Joints Restraint Specification Detail" previously provided.</p>	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:25:52 PM
Question:	24848: Please indicate which pay item(s) should include the mechanical joint restraints for valves and fittings.	Posted:	2/18/2019 11:01:06 AM
Answer:	Please use Pay Item 1080-29-10X for the corresponding size restraints.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:27:18 PM
Question:	24849: Plan sheets U-33 and U-57: Profiles on Sheet U-57 show the new 16" WM in conflict with the existing 16" WM. Please confirm the the existing 16" WM can be removed prior to installing the new 16" WM.	Posted:	2/18/2019 11:38:25 AM
Answer:	The 8" watermain that crosses US-41 will need to be kept in operation. A temporary line or another method of providing service will be required to keep this line operational while the 16" along US-41 is removed and the new 16" installed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 3:28:22 PM
Question:	24850: Plan sheets U-33 and U-57: The Profile and Connection Detail on Sheet U-57 have different elevations for the 16" WM. Please define which elevation is correct.	Posted:	2/18/2019 11:41:41 AM
Answer:	The profile elevations are correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 11:14:00 AM

Question:	24854: Please advise which sheet "Selective Clearing and Grubbing Detail" may be found.	Posted:	2/18/2019 4:30:06 PM
Answer:	Details for selective clearing and grubbing can be found on sheets 31, 67, 68, and 95.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 2:42:01 PM
Question:	24862: On sheet 63, S-1002 to S-1003 appears to show an open cut of 18" pipe across the EB-NB On Ramp, however MOT Sheet 541 calls out this crossing as a Jack and Bore. Please define how this crossing should be made, along with any Pay Item changes that may result.	Posted:	2/19/2019 3:24:35 PM
Answer:	A traffic control officer will be provided in order to direct eastbound drivers to make a u-turn at the first median break east of the Suncoast Parkway; this will be restricted for the drainage work impacting the northbound on-ramp for the Suncoast Parkway. Pipe can be constructed via open cut via phased construction as approved by the "Engineer". Please disregard the note for Jack and bore shown on the MOT sheet 541.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/22/2019 3:49:13 PM
Answer:	Pipe can be constructed via open cut via phased construction as approved by the "Engineer". Contractor to develop means and methods and corresponding MOT scheme. Please disregard the note for Jack and bore shown on the MOT sheet 541.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 2:48:46 PM
Question:	24870: The majority of subsoil excavation is shown to be within SMF-3. The Contamination report identifies SMF 3 as a contamination site. General note 5 on plan sheet 61 specifies that contaminated soils are to be evaluated for possible removal and this work will be done by the CAR. It also states that the material is to be staged by the contractor on polyethylene plastic sheeting for evaluation. Without quantities associated with this unknown work it is impossible to calculate how much of this subsoil excavation will have to be hauled off by the contractor vs. the CAR. It is impossible to calculate how much subsoil excavation will need to be replaced with import material. Can this work be defined in order for calculations to be made?	Posted:	2/20/2019 10:07:08 AM

Answer: SMF3 location shown on the bid plans is in a different location than the "SMF3" location referenced in the 2012 Contamination Report. The SMF 3 location included in the current plans for bid is not contaminated (this area was given a "NO" rating with respect to contamination in the WRS Level I screening). Therefore the contractor shall not anticipate the need for contamination testing for the subsoil removal in SMF 3. For STA 263+00 - 273+00 bidders shall anticipate removal and hauling of all unsuitable material following testing per plan notes and specifications (Refer to General Notes No. 5) . Please note that this testing only applies to material intended to leave the construction project, and testing will be completed by the CAR contractor once material is placed on polyethylene sheeting.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/22/2019 4:33:49 PM

For the purpose of the bidding on this contract bidders shall anticipate replacement of all unsuitable material with quantities of suitable fill material as indicated by the plans.

Question: 24871: Plan sheet 61 General Notes: Archaeological Monitoring Notes. Should it be anticipated that the monitoring will affect earthwork productions and if so then to what degree and for how long?

Posted: 2/20/2019 10:19:01 AM

Answer: There is no anticipated impact to earthwork production due to Archaeological Monitoring.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 3/18/2019 11:25:53 AM

Answer: Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.

Status: ANSWER VOIDED

Posted: 2/25/2019 3:25:04 AM

Question: 24932: Addendum # 1 has been issued. We have received it on 2/21/2019 at 4:26 PM. It contains 72 new or revised plan sheets, 57 new or revised pay items. With respect to the revised earthwork, we will have to take a completely new approach to the project and have to re-figure the calculations from scratch. In regards to the added electrical/signal work, we will have to reach out to our subcontracts and their vendors to obtain revised pricing. Many of them have already completed and submitted their quotes to us. With an addendum this size it is virtually impossible to achieve this task in the short amount of time if the existing bid date is maintained. We are requesting that the bid date be moved to the March letting.

Posted: 2/22/2019 9:41:17 AM

Answer: The letting date for this project will be moved to March 2019.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 2/22/2019 4:38:53 PM

Question:	24941: While it is understood the posted deadline for questions has passed, Addendum 1 received today resulted in further questioning: A typical note was added to pond detail sheets (ex Sheet 237) requiring "...A-3 soils with less than 4% passing the No. 100 sieve and less than 5% passing the No. 200 sieve...". The No. 200 sieve is finer than the No. 100 sieve, making this material not achievable by any means. Please revise this specification prior to bid to provide clear and unambiguous direction that can be met with local material.	Posted:	2/22/2019 4:33:05 PM
Answer:	Dry pond notes have been revised, Please see Revision 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 11:42:03 AM
Answer:	The letting date for this project will be moved to March 2019. Department will review the question, and address your concerns by early March.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	2/22/2019 6:01:13 PM
Question:	24943: The Pond Detail Sheets provided in Revision 1 appear to contain an error. Note 2, added in the revision, requires material to be used in the pond with less than 4% passing the 100 sieve and 5% passing the 200 sieve. It is impossible for the 200 sieve to pass more material than is allowed to pass the 100 sieve. This requirement appears to be in error and it is unclear what is being required.	Posted:	2/23/2019 2:37:27 PM
Answer:	Dry pond notes have been revised, Please see Revision 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 11:45:17 AM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	2/25/2019 3:25:04 AM
Question:	24955: Regarding the answer to Question ID: 24746: Why is the Suncoast Parkway Interchange (SB Off/NB On Ramps) not included in the Summary of Quantities for Pay Items 102-104 and/or 102-107-1. Will the Contractor be required to Maintain the Interchange during the contract duration; there is Pedestrian work to be completed at the interchange.	Posted:	2/25/2019 2:01:18 PM
Answer:	Pay Items 102-104 and/or 102-107-1 were added to the plans. Please refer to revision 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 11:48:18 AM

Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status: ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted: 2/26/2019 3:25:04 AM
Question:	25020: Previous response to Question 24805 stated that "I .area and volume quantities will be added to the SMF 3 and FPC-3 Cross Sections. Please refer to Addendum 1." Addendum 1 did not have area and volumes quantities on the cross sections provided. Please provided revised cross sections detailing the area and volumes.	Posted: 3/1/2019 1:25:24 PM
Answer:	These values are included on the cross section sheets, please see "limits of subsoil excavation" values to the left of the scale on each cross section.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2019 11:50:27 AM
Question:	25021: Response to question 24682 requires Contractor to develop means and methods and corresponding MOT scheme to construct open cut for new storm pipe as approved by the Engineer. Developing MOT schemes is the responsibility of the EOR not the contractor. Further this ramp entrance is controlled by the Turnpike and is tolled. If contractor bids to close the ramp, the Turnpike could deny this proposed "means and methods". Again, it is not the responsibility of the contractor to develop MOT plans. We request that the EOR produce traffic control plans to facilitate this work.	Posted: 3/1/2019 1:30:28 PM
Answer:	A traffic control officer will be provided in order to direct eastbound drivers to make a u-turn at the first median break east of the Suncoast Parkway; this will be restricted for the drainage work impacting the northbound on-ramp for the Suncoast Parkway.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/22/2019 3:45:50 PM
Question:	25116: The FDOT indicated that outstanding questions would be answered in early March. When will the questions be answered and when/if addenda or amendments be sent?	Posted: 3/11/2019 12:32:03 PM
Answer:	Revision 2 was posted on 3/15/19 To address the responses to the bid questions which required plan changes.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 3/18/2019 2:21:17 PM
Question:	25182: Please provide an answer for Question ID 24871 Thank you.	Posted: 3/14/2019 11:03:52 AM

Answer:	The answer to question 24871 is posted.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/18/2019 11:53:26 AM
Question:	25230: There appears to be a problem with Amendment File T7424.003 in which contains multiple similar pay items with different quantities. Please confirm.	Posted:	3/19/2019 10:04:02 AM
Answer:	We verified that Amendment File T7424.003 contains two separate pay items (102-104 Temporary Signalization and Maintenance, Intersection - ED 90 and 102-107-1 Temporary Detection and Maintenance, Intersection - ED 90). The quantities are 90 ED for each. The pay items and quantities are consistent with the Plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/22/2019 4:48:10 PM
Question:	25263: According to Hernando County Utility Specification 3.9.2.7, "All DIP and Fittings shall be encased in polyethylene in accordance with ANSI/AWWA C105/A21.5 when installed in hostile soil conditions." Hostile soil conditions are not defined and the result of having to use a wrap increases the length of joint restraints. Should it be anticipated that this project will require installation of the DIP within hostile soil conditions and if so then how will it be paid for?	Posted:	3/20/2019 2:36:23 PM
Answer:	Hernando County's Consulting Engineer confirmed that hostile soils is not anticipated within the limits of this project, therefore it is not anticipated to wrap the fittings.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/22/2019 3:23:17 PM
Question:	25300: We have just discovered a plan error that requires correction and a new pay item. The Plans call for SP Structural Course, Type B throughout, but the pay item provided is for Polymer mix. Pay item 334 1 52 should be corrected to reflect the correct pay item. Please provide clear direction on the type of mix the Department is requesting for use on this project and provide the appropriate pay item for it.	Posted:	3/25/2019 3:21:51 PM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	3/26/2019 3:25:13 AM