



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 9/26/2018 8:45:34 AM

District Address: District 5 Construction Office, located at 719 South Woodland Blvd, Deland, FL 32720

District Phone: (386) 943-5350

Proposal: T5641

Project: 440557-7-52-01

Letting Date: 9/26/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR A1A

Question: 23261: Please clarify Note 5 on sheet W-03 of the structure plans under Existing Conditions. The note appears to be redundant and therefore confusing to understand the scale and scope. It first indicates there are timber walkovers in the corridor, then it calls for the removal and reconstruction and finally requests the repair of any damage to the walkovers damaged during construction. It appears to indicate that all of the walkovers need to be removed and replaced but then indicates that some can be repaired. This note alone without the confusion is lacking proper information to bid this work. Please quantify the structures that will need to be reconstructed and provide locations. Please provide material and construction specifications, details and specific plans for the construction of these walkways. Additionally, please advise how this work will be paid for or where to include the pricing for this work.

Posted: 9/5/2018 10:09:52 AM

Answer: See Plan Revision 1. See General Note 5 on the 440557-7-52-01 Roadway Plan Sheet 11.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 1:18:13 PM

Question: 23263: There does not appear to be a bid item for the modified type D curb.

Posted: 9/5/2018 11:22:57 AM

Answer: See Note 5 on Sheet 112 of the Roadway Plans for 440557-6-52-01. The quantities are tabulated on Sheet SQ-14.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/13/2018 9:09:01 AM

Question: 23271: Does the Department have a Gantt chart schedule or file that provides details of how the allowable project time, 350 days, was determined? If so it is available?

Posted: 9/6/2018 8:56:12 AM

Answer: See the attached document, as requested.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/13/2018 11:28:41 AM

440557-5,-6,-7 A1A Flagler Beach Project FDOT Contract Time calculation

Question:	<p>23308: In reviewing the drawings and the secant portion of the project, we have a concern over the allotted time for the construction of these walls in Phase II of the portion of the job. Due to Holidays, special events, machinery and qualified personnel we feel the allotted time of 190 CD is not enough time to do the said work.</p> <p>Would the FDOT consider increasing the time for the construction of the secant wall portion of the job?</p>	Posted:	9/11/2018 3:49:36 PM
Answer:	<p>The 190 days shown on Sheet SQ-2 in Phase II of FPID 440557-7-52-01 is for temporary traffic control device quantities only. The contractor will bid the contract not to exceed 350 calendar days.</p>	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 1:19:34 PM
Question:	<p>23311: #440557-7-52-01; The MOT plans to construct the secant wall do not allow sufficient room that will be required for the equipment, material staging (reinforcement cages, etc.), spoils, and other items that will be required to construct this wall and auger shafts. The MOT plans only depict daily lane closures that are to be opened to traffic each day, this does not allow the equipment and materials required to set up in the area to construct this proposed work and to remove the required equipment from the work area at the end of each shift. The equipment required will not be able to mobilize from the work area daily as would be required to meet clear zone requirements and there is no TCP/MOT plan allowing for the construction of temporary pavement and a temporary traffic shift to allow temporary barrier wall to be placed to accommodate the work zone area that will be required for this work. Please review this issue and consider a temp traffic shift to allow this work to be constructed.</p>	Posted:	9/11/2018 4:16:14 PM
Answer:	<p>It is the responsibility of the bidder to determine the means and methods of wall construction. The contractor also has the option to utilize Section 102-4 of the FDOT Standard Specifications.</p>	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/18/2018 11:25:32 AM
Question:	<p>23312: #440557-7-52-01: The TCP/MOT plans do not allow a sufficient work area to construct the secant wall. Will construction of temporary pavement to the west, placement of temporary barrier wall along the wall work zone, and temporary traffic shift to the west be permitted to allow this work (secant wall) be permitted? Can this issue be reviewed and a revised TCP/MOT plan be issued? If a revised plan cannot be issued will a Contractor revised TCP/MOT plan be considered after award or is the proposed TCP/MOT plan only to be considered and utilized?</p>	Posted:	9/11/2018 4:24:42 PM
Answer:	<p>See response to Question ID 23311.</p>	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/18/2018 11:27:12 AM

Question: 23322: Contract Time (350 Days Max); The maximum time of 350 calendar days to construct all areas of the project does not appear to be sufficient, or possible to construct utilizing a standard 5 day work week and nigh time work restrictions. Will 6-7 day work weeks be allowed for this project, and if so will this be at no additional cost to the Contractor in effort to complete the project in the specified 350 maximum time frame? If 6-7 day work weeks will be allowed and if there is any additional cost that will be imposed to the Contractor for this please specify what these charges and amounts will be so that they can be included in the Contractors cost estimate accordingly.

Posted: 9/12/2018 9:26:57 AM

Answer: See Special Provision 8-7.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:15:23 PM

Question: 23324: Structures plan sheet W-03, note L-5 states that all existing timber walkovers are to be removed and reconstructed. Will any local permits (City, County, etc..) be required for this work? Are there are any local specifications or requirements that govern this work? If any local building permits or other permits will be required please provide the costs and any local specifications or requirements that may be required to construct these walkovers.

Posted: 9/12/2018 9:44:53 AM

Answer: See Plan Revision 1 regarding Note L.5 of Sheet W-03 (440557-7-52-01). See General Note 5 on Roadway Plan Sheet 11 (440557-7-52-01) for work within the FDOT right-of-way.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:18:32 PM

Question: 23325: Plan notes regarding the embankment material to be utilized in the seaward and dune areas state that it is to be "Beach quality sand suitable for sea turtle nesting" and is to be from FDEP approved sources. Are there any currently approved sources for this material? Can the department provide a list of these approved sources for this material?

Posted: 9/12/2018 10:11:20 AM

Answer: See the Contract Documents for material requirements.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:20:18 PM

Question: 23326: Additional information is requested regarding the concrete and boulders buried in the alignment of the secant wall. The structure plans appear to indicate there are several areas where this situation exists. Based on current field conditions it seems plausible there would need to be a 10'+ cut to remove this material. Slope shoring this cut will impact the existing roadway. Installing walled shoring will significantly impact the schedule to begin work on the secant wall. Will a temporary road realignment thru this zone be allowed?

Posted: 9/12/2018 3:26:34 PM

Answer: See response to Question ID 23311. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 8:28:28 AM

Question: 23340: Utility plans for project 440557-06-52-02, sheet 41 includes details for "Poly Pig Launching Vault". None of these are shown to be constructed within the plan sheets. Will any of these vaults be required to be installed/constructed in this project? If so please provide plan sheets with locations they are intended to be constructed and provide a bid/pay item for this work or indicate where the costs for this work is to be included if a bid/pay item is not provided. Posted: 9/13/2018 8:58:00 AM

Answer: Poly Pig Launching Vaults are not required to be installed or constructed. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:21:14 PM

Question: 23341: Existing Sanitary Manholes; Several existing sanitary manholes are shown within the limits of the 8" sanitary sewer main that is to be plugged and placed out of service. Will these sanitary manhole structures remain in place and also grout filled or are they to be removed? How will this work be paid for (removal or grout filling)? Please clarify this issue. Posted: 9/13/2018 9:00:32 AM

Answer: Sanitary sewer manholes shall be placed out of service and paid for under 1050-18-004. It is the contractor's option to remove them, or fill them with flowable fill and place out of service. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:25:07 PM

Question: 23342: Utility plans for project 440557-06-52-02, General Notes sheet 8, note 32 states that all sewer systems shall be pressure tested at 100 PSI static pressure for a period of 2 hours. Is this applicable to the proposed 8" GRAVITY sewer main system also or is this applicable to pressure force mains only? Please clarify this issue. Posted: 9/13/2018 9:04:12 AM

Answer: This note is applicable only to pressurized sanitary sewer force main systems. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:25:39 PM

Question: 23343: Utility plans for project 440557-06-52-02, sheet 8 note 35, and sheet 9 note 32, states that all pipes permanently placed out of service are to be grout filled. The bid/pay items for this work are "Plug & Place Out Of Service". Please confirm that all plug/placed out of service is to include grout filling of the existing pipes indicated to be plugged and placed out of service, or removed at the contractors option. Posted: 9/13/2018 9:10:28 AM

Answer: See Note 35 on Sheet 8 and Note 32 on Sheet 9 for confirmation. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:28:50 PM

Question: 23344: Utility plans for project 440557-06-52-02, sheet 14 indicates a 6"x6" wet tap is to be installed at app. Sta. 1654+76. There is no bid/pay item for this work. Will a bid/pay item be added for this? If no bid item will be added and this work is to be incidental to some other item where is the cost for this work to be included? Please clarify this issue. Posted: 9/13/2018 9:14:44 AM

Answer: The 6" tapping sleeve and valve shall be paid for under 1050-31-206. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:30:23 PM

Question: 23345: French Drain Aggregates; In regards to the french drain aggregates (#4 coarse aggregate), can lime stone aggregate be used? Can recycled concrete products be utilized? Or will the aggregate be required to be non-calcareous?(Granite or other). Please clarify these issues. Posted: 9/13/2018 9:23:12 AM

Answer: See Plan Revision 1. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:32:12 PM

Question: 23346: Sanitary Sewer Laterals; Plan notes and plans include 8+ new sanitary sewer service laterals are to be constructed on the proposed new mainline. Will the Contractor be required for the connections of these new service laterals to the existing residence outlet connections or will this be done by others? Will new clean out assemblies as shown on utility sheet 38, detail 4 be required to be installed/included at every new service connection? Please clarify these issues so costs can be included accordingly. Posted: 9/13/2018 10:33:23 AM

Answer: See Note 34 on Sheet 8 of the 440557-6-52-02 Plans. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:35:48 PM

Question: 23347: Water Service Connections; Plan notes and plans include 22+ new water service connections are to be constructed on the proposed new water main. Will the Contractor be required for the connections of these new water service connections to the existing residence water/meter connections or will this be done by others? Please clarify this issue so costs can be included or excluded accordingly. Posted: 9/13/2018 10:36:14 AM

Answer:	See Note 8 on Sheet 9 of the 440557-6-52-02 Plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:37:43 PM
Question:	23348: Please provide list with all FDEP-approved mines for sand beach allowed on this job.	Posted:	9/13/2018 11:54:15 AM
Answer:	See response for Question ID 23325.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:39:29 PM
Question:	23350: Water Main Connections: Connections to existing water mains on side streets are not shown or identified, most side street water mains terminate with a stub and plug. will connections to any existing side street water mains be constructed by the Contractor, or by others? If to be by the Contractor, are there any connections details required and where is the cost for this work to be included? Please clarify this issue or provide details so costs for this work can be included accordingly.	Posted:	9/13/2018 12:57:52 PM
Answer:	Connections to existing water mains on side streets are shown and identified in the Contract Documents. Pay items and quantities for these connections are included in the Contract Documents.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:40:31 PM
Question:	23352: 440557-6-52-01 - pay item note 104-1 states material excavated below 2 feet is to be stockpiled at Flagler Beach Wastewater. Please provide further clarification. Do they take ownership? Is this a temporary stockpile location until the material can be incorporated into projects 440557-5-52-01 or 44055707052-01? If the material cannot be incorporated into the other projects why does the contractor not retain ownership?	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:08:57 PM
Answer:	Material to be stockpiled at the wastewater plant belongs to FDOT/City of Flagler Beach.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:42:09 PM
Question:	23353: 440557-6-52-01 Sheet # 15 - note # 6 - please provide further details as to what is expected with regards to reconstruction and or reconditioning of the existing docks. If high tide or weather event damages the docks during construction, is the Contractor going to be held responsible for repairs? Who is determining the equal to or better condition? This note is extremely open ended and places unknown, and unnecessary liabilities on the contractor.	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:09:38 PM

Answer:	See the Contract Documents for unforeseen events.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:43:02 PM
Question:	23354: Play item note 443-70 indicates to include all incidentals, such as excavation and its support (ie. Shoring). Due to the depth of cut and the proximity to the temporary travel lanes, has the Department evaluated the inclusion of the temporary critical sheet pile pay item to be used in association with the installation of the storm drainage?	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:09:59 PM
Answer:	Yes. It was considered.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:44:17 PM
Question:	23355: Pay item note 120-6 states that embankment is to be paid for by the CY and measured by truck. The project does not include "borrow excavation" pay item. Please clarify how the import fill will be paid. Truck measure or plan quantity? Embankment or borrow truck measure?	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:10:33 PM
Answer:	Embankment is to be paid for by cubic yard and measured by truck load.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:45:27 PM
Question:	23356: If tidal events wash away embankment, and the embankment needs to be replenished, how will the Department compensate for that material since the current pay item is plan quantity?	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:11:13 PM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 23353.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:46:45 PM
Question:	23357: Structure plans W-03 G. Materials note #1. Please clarify if steel reinforcing is allowed for the auger cast pile cages.	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:11:47 PM
Answer:	Steel reinforcement is not allowed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:48:07 PM
Question:	23358: Equipment used during the wall construction will need to remain in place after each shift. This will result in a clear zone violation. Please provide MOT plan that will allow for the equipment to remain in the work area after daily shifts	Posted:	9/13/2018 2:12:13 PM

Answer: See response to Question ID 23311. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:49:06 PM

Question: 23361: Financial Project ID 440557-6-52-01 is missing a pay item for temporary critical wall in lieu to place the median ditch bottom and french drain pipe. The slope of the excavation will encroach the temp. pavement. Please advise if a new pay item will be added to the contract or is incidental to pay item: 0425-1-524 Posted: 9/13/2018 5:01:13 PM

Answer: See response to Question ID 23354. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:50:55 PM

Question: 23365: Does the Department will grant additional contract time for stopping construction originated by turtle nest disruption. Posted: 9/14/2018 2:53:31 PM

Answer: No. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:51:25 PM

Question: 23366: As it relates to the dune crossovers, the City of Flagler Beach has had these out for bid and has contracted for their construction in the past. In order to properly bid the reconstruction or repair, a full set of engineered plans needs to be made available. Can an engineered set of plans be made available and a quantity count of the dune crossovers that need to be fully removed, partially repaired and fully reconstructed? Posted: 9/14/2018 3:05:56 PM

Answer: See response to Question ID 23324. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:53:08 PM

Question: 23388: Some of the plant varieties in the landscaping package require watering to establish themselves beyond the posted contract days. Since there is no irrigation being installed for the newly planted native plants, what expectation of warranty is the Department anticipating for the landscaping package? Posted: 9/15/2018 2:30:29 PM

Answer: None. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 5:54:50 PM

Question:	23390: Can you please provide or make available a profile of the proposed water main?	Posted:	9/17/2018 9:01:37 AM
Answer:	See the 440557-6-52-02 and 440557-7-52-01 plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:56:17 PM
Question:	23391: In reference to project id 440557-6-52-01-In lieu of hauling material to City of Flagler Beach Wastewater facility, can this material be utilized within the project limits of 440557-7-52-01 as backfill material for the secant wall?	Posted:	9/17/2018 9:26:06 AM
Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:56:55 PM
Question:	23392: Plan sheet SQ-1 note regarding pay item 120-1 states that all sand excavated from depths of 2' or greater are to be stockpiled at City of Flagler beach Wastewater Treatment Plant located at 2000 Avenue A. It appears the excavation of the proposed trench drain will produce app. 15,000-16,000 CY of spoils/excavated material that will all be at a depth of greater than 2'. Is this material to also be transported and stockpiled at the City of Flagler Beach location as stated? Does all stockpiled material become the property of City of Flagler Beach at that time?	Posted:	9/17/2018 9:33:01 AM
Answer:	Yes, the material must be stockpiled at the City of Flagler Beach wastewater plant. This material becomes the property of FDOT/City of Flagler Beach.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 5:59:20 PM
Question:	23393: In reference to permit FI-404 AR, (SPECIAL PERMIT CONDITIONS:) item 2--Please confirm the intent is to utilize the material generated by the auger cast piles as backfill material/dune embankment which in turn would reduce the amount of import material.	Posted:	9/17/2018 9:38:33 AM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 23325.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2018 6:00:48 PM
Question:	23394: Who owns the existing low profile barrier at the completion of construction?	Posted:	9/17/2018 9:39:45 AM

Answer:	It will be the contractor's responsibility to remove and dispose of the barrier in accordance with Section 110 of the FDOT Standard Specifications.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/19/2018 6:01:59 PM
Question:	23395: Please provide public commitments/restrictions that we would need to know in order to submit and alternate traffic control plan for 440557-7-52-01.	Posted: 9/17/2018 9:44:14 AM
Answer:	See FDOT Standard Specifications Section 102-4.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/19/2018 6:02:54 PM
Question:	23396: Will Section 455 E of the FDOT Specifications govern the secant wall construction? Specifically, 455-44 Note 14 states that "the steel cage shall be installed into the grout by its own weight or manually. Do not use mechanical equipment or tool to impact the steel cage or to force it into the grout." With the bar not being steel but FRP the cage will float without force. Will this specification still apply?	Posted: 9/17/2018 9:50:18 AM
Answer:	Yes.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/19/2018 6:04:31 PM
Question:	23397: In reference to Permit FL-406, it appears the material generated by project 440557-6-52-01 shall be utilized on 440557-7-52-01. Please confirm this is correct or if the plan note on sheet SQ-1 for pay Item 120-1 is correct.(hauling to City of Flagler Beach Wastewater Treatment Plant)	Posted: 9/17/2018 9:54:52 AM
Answer:	The note on 440557-6-52-01 Roadway Plan Sheet SQ-1 for pay item 120-1 is correct.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/19/2018 6:09:32 PM
Question:	23423: Per addendum # 1 note #5 on the structure plans was removed, however, a similar note on the roadway plans remains (sheet # 11 note #5) . Please clarify what we are to do with the existing walk overs.	Posted: 9/18/2018 3:25:49 PM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 23324.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 9/20/2018 5:58:45 PM

Question: 23425: In response to bid question #23271 the Department supplied a schedule as to how the contract days were established. This schedule does not incorporate utility coordination or utility activities that are to take place during construction. Please reevaluate the contract days with the Utility Work Schedule taken into account.

Answer: The utility work schedule has been taken into account.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/21/2018 11:36:01 AM

Question: 23427: When will the remaining questions be answered?

Answer: See Special Provision Section 2-4. "For all questions posted before the deadline, the Department will provide and post responses at the same website before 8:00 A.M. (EST) on the second calendar day prior to bid opening."

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/19/2018 6:18:12 PM

Question: 23436: As today is the 7th calendar day prior to the bid due date for this project and the cut off date for questions, due to the magnitude of issues requiring responses and details, and the significant amount of information, responses, details, revisions, and bid item revisions that are required to complete an accurate proposal for this project, will the Department consider a postponement of the bid due date for this project? If a postponement is to be issued can this please be issued ASAP so that other current FDOT letting projects can continue to be properly addressed? Please respond on this request.

Answer: The bid due date will not be postponed.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/20/2018 11:49:24 AM

Question: 23447: Federal Funding requires Buy America requirements. Is there any Clean Water or Drinking Water State Revolving Funding included on this project that would also require adherence to the American Iron and Steel act???

Answer: No.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 9/20/2018 5:26:00 PM

Question:	23448: It is very apparent that Pay Items 108 -1 Monitor Existing Structures - Inspection and Settlement Monitoring - lump sum. Item No. 108- 2 Monitor Existing Structures - Vibration Monitoring - lump sum. Item No. 108- 3 Monitor Existing Structures - Groundwater Monitoring - lump sum. are missing. Where are these costs to be covered?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:42:37 PM
Answer:	Any costs are to be included in the proposal.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2018 1:43:43 PM
Question:	23449: As it pertains to FIN No: 440557-5-52-01, is it necessary to clear and grub the existing vegetation if we are going to build a dune over it and plant new vegetation? Can we leave the existing vegetation in place and build the new dune over the existing plants?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:43:01 PM
Answer:	Clearing and grubbing shall be performed as shown in the Contract Documents.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2018 5:29:26 PM
Question:	23450: Does the Department have any expectations for Vibration Monitoring and/or Settlement Monitoring on this project?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:44:36 PM
Answer:	See FDOT Standard Specifications Section 108.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2018 1:44:49 PM
Question:	23451: According to the MOT plans for Fin ID 440557-6-52-01, the existing low profile barrier wall is to be relocated. This barrier wall has been n place for some time and the pin connections look rusted and possibly damaged. Will the Department pay for new pin connections if the existing ones need to be cut off in order to relocate the barrier wall?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:47:20 PM
Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2018 1:45:29 PM
Question:	23452: Per Addendum 1, the dune crossover restoration in the secant wall section have been removed from the scope. Who is responsible for the removal/demolition of the affected dune crossovers?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:49:36 PM

Answer:	See response to Question ID 23324.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2018 5:34:54 PM
Question:	23453: Who is to take ownership of the existing low profile barrier wall?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:50:55 PM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 23394.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2018 5:36:48 PM
Question:	23454: As it pertains to FIN No: 440557-7-52-01, is it necessary to clear and grub the existing vegetation if we are going to build a dune over it and plant new vegetation? Can we leave the existing vegetation in place and build the new dune over the existing plants?	Posted:	9/19/2018 4:54:10 PM
Answer:	See response to Question ID 23449.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2018 5:42:15 PM
Question:	23487: In the response to Q#23322 the Department directs the contractor to section 8-7. Section 8-7 2nd paragraph specifically states that the Department takes utility relocations into account when establishing contract time. In your response to Q#23271, the Department supplied the schedule information used to generate the 350 contract days, that information does not have a time allocation for utility work. Based on the information provided, the Department did not appear to take utility work into account when establishing the maximum contract days. Has the utility work as outlined in the URS been taken into account with the maximum 350 contract days?	Posted:	9/20/2018 2:37:18 PM
Answer:	Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2018 11:36:51 AM
Question:	23489: In Response to Q#23355 - the correct pay item is not incorporated into the project for the Department to make payment by truck measure. Please add the correct pay item or provide a revised basis of estimate that clarifies how payment will be made by truck measure under the embankment pay item	Posted:	9/20/2018 2:38:20 PM
Answer:	Refer to the pay item note regarding 120-6 on Sheet SQ-1 of the 440557-5-52-01 Roadway Plans and Sheet SQ-1 of the 440557-7-52-01 Roadway Plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2018 2:36:39 PM

Question:	23490: 440557-7-52-01 phase 2 MOT plans are void of details on pedestrian access thru the work zone east of the secant wall. Specifically, the plans do not address beach activities and separation of beach users from construction activities during phase 2. Please provide details on separation of beach movements and construction activities.	Posted:	9/20/2018 2:39:05 PM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/24/2018 3:25:05 AM
Question:	23506: In response to Q/A 23396 it must be noted that the current design and material characteristics will make construction of the secant wall impossible per the current specifications if the spec is applied the same for FRP bar as steel bar. Additionally, it should be noted, we have been informed that the taper at the end of the shaft cage along with the spiral at the bottom will make placement of the bar harder and more expensive. It has been recommended that the taper and bottom spiral should be deleted in favor of a continuous cylindrical cage.	Posted:	9/21/2018 10:15:09 AM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/24/2018 3:25:05 AM
Question:	23515: Please confirm the pay item qty for 455-112-6 Pile Auger Grouted - 36" Diameter of 51,724 LF, take off of wall length, rebar and table on sheet W-26 indicates the pay item length is off by approximately 1,800 lf +/-.	Posted:	9/24/2018 8:47:41 AM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2018 3:25:05 AM