



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/13/2018 9:21:20 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7412

Project: 430573-1-52-01

Letting Date: 6/13/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 56 (I-75) INTERCHANGE

Question:	22121: Within the downloaded documents for T7412 there is no lighting plans. Can these please be provided?	Posted:	5/8/2018 3:45:15 PM
Answer:	The lighting plans will be submitted with the upcoming Rev1 Supp2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/23/2018 1:50:39 PM
Question:	22136: Sheet W-04 of the structure plans states that MSE Walls No. 1-7 are to be FDOT Type 2D AND 2F, however there is no clarification provided as to which walls are to be which type. Can you please designate which walls are to be Type 2D and which are to be Type 2F?	Posted:	5/9/2018 9:56:53 AM
Answer:	The allowable FDOT Wall Type for Walls No. 1 thru 7 is FDOT Wall Type 2A. Sheet W-04 will be revised accordingly. The revised sheet will be included with the upcoming Rev1 Supp2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/23/2018 3:13:03 PM
Question:	22393: Is Pay Item #639-2-1 #6 Awg XHHW as required by Standard Specifications 639?	Posted:	5/25/2018 10:56:04 AM
Answer:	Use of pay item to meet requirements of FDOT Standard Specifications Section 639.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/31/2018 1:55:57 PM
Question:	22396: Is the Signal Cable, Pay Item #632-7-1, for the East Interchange, required to be #12 due to the distant from Traffic Signal Cabinet?	Posted:	5/25/2018 11:09:59 AM
Answer:	The use of this pay item to meet the requirements of FDOT Standard Specifications Section 632 as well as achieving no less than 5 percent voltage drop.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/31/2018 1:56:36 PM

Question:	22417: WILL THERE BE LIGHTING PLANS MADE AVAILABLE	Posted:	5/25/2018 4:10:36 PM
Answer:	Yes, the lighting plans were included as part of Addendum 2, which was posted on Friday, June 1, 2018.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 11:04:06 AM
Question:	22433: Is the 282lf of conduit at the top of plan sheet T-8, power source to ramp D-1, to be trenched or bored; Pay Item is described as bore but it appears to be trenched?	Posted:	5/29/2018 12:16:28 PM
Answer:	The 282 LF of conduit should be open trenched. The pay item number is a typo, however, the line style and quantity shown on sheet T-8 are correct. Quantities for pay items 630-2-11 and 630-2-12 shown on the Tabulation of Quantities sheets are correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/31/2018 1:58:36 PM
Question:	22439: How is the East Interchange Signal Cable to be run back to the Traffic Signal Cabinet, located @ the West Interchange, since the conduit crossings at Ramp D and Ramp C are only included for the Interconnect?	Posted:	5/29/2018 4:27:36 PM
Answer:	The signal conduit to connect both sides of the interchange is run through the median barrier wall. Per text note on Signalization Plan (1), sheet T-8, " Tie runs from east side signal out of concrete barrier wall junction boxes to run back to controller." Then conduit crosses from median, north across SR 56, to traffic signal cabinet.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/31/2018 1:57:14 PM
Question:	22524: Regarding the Answer to Question ID: 22439...the conduit required, Trenched and Bored, for Ramps C and D are not shown on the Signal plans and are not incidental to the Barrier Wall. How will the Signal Cable be installed, to the Controller Cabinet, after it exits the Barrier Wall Conduit and Junction Boxes on the East and West side...please see plan sheet T-8 through T-10.	Posted:	6/1/2018 2:23:45 PM
Answer:	Conduit in the median is incidental to the barrier wall and noted in the signal plans on sheet T-9, note 5. At around station 113+80, there are two junction boxes shown at the barrier wall. The signal cable from all devices enters these junction boxes from the two ground pull boxes in the median near the signal pole. The signal cable runs west across the bridge in the median barrier wall conduit. At around station 106+40, there are two junction boxes shown at the barrier wall. The signal cable exits these junction boxes and goes to the two ground pull boxes in the median near the signal pole. The signal cable travels north from the directional bore located at these pull boxes to the controller cabinet. Please see plan notes and plan annotation on sheets T-8 and T-10.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 4:32:22 PM

Question:	22525: Will the Lighting Plans be made available to all plan holders and in time for contractor to solicit pricing for Vendors and bid project on June 13?	Posted:	6/1/2018 2:31:24 PM
Answer:	Yes, the lighting plans were included as part of Addendum 2, which was posted on Friday, June 1, 2018.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 11:05:17 AM
Question:	22526: What is the Selective Clearing item shown between stations 98+21 to 100+69 to cover?	Posted:	6/1/2018 3:45:00 PM
Answer:	Pay item 110-2-2, Selective Clearing and Grubbing (Areas with Trees to Remain), is intended for use in preserving existing trees to remain as shown on the Selective Clearing and Grubbing Plan (1) and (2) and should cover the requirements of FDOT Standard Specifications Section 110 and FDOT Design Standards Index 542.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 4:34:08 PM
Question:	22527: There is a significant amount of existing fence to be removed under this contract but there is no pay item for it. Can a fence removal pay item be added?	Posted:	6/1/2018 3:45:39 PM
Answer:	There is no dedicated FDOT pay item for removal of existing fencing. The work associated with the existing fence removal is to be included in the cost of pay item 110-1-1, Clearing & Grubbing.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 4:37:12 PM
Question:	22551: Today is the last day to submit questions for this project, but there is still no lighting plans issued. Will the bid date be extended, so there is time to review the plans once they are published?	Posted:	6/4/2018 8:16:34 AM
Answer:	No, the lighting plans were included as part of Addendum 2, which was posted on Friday, June 1, 2018.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 11:06:19 AM
Question:	22608: Typical Section Detail Sheet (1) calls for a shoulder pavement resurfacing of 1" SP Traffic D PG 76-22. It is our understanding that the FDOT does not allow for SP 9.5 Traffic D anymore and this thickness does not allow for a 12.5 to be used. Please clarify	Posted:	6/5/2018 7:58:58 AM
Answer:	For (1) SR 93 (I-75) Milling & Resurfacing Detail on Typical Section Details (1), the shoulder pavement resurfacing description of 1" SP Traffic D PG 76-22 is incorrect; it should be Traffic C.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/7/2018 1:37:39 PM

Question: 22621: Is there a temporary lighting plan, with quantities, for this project? Posted: 6/5/2018 1:20:35 PM

Answer: There are no detailed temporary lighting plans or quantities for this project. The Temporary Traffic Control Plans identify the limits where temporary lighting is required. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/7/2018 9:45:29 AM

Question: 22627: In the striping plans Sheets S-30 and S-31 are the exact same sheet. Please revise. Posted: 6/5/2018 2:57:35 PM

Answer: Sheets S-30 and S-31 of the Signing and Pavement Marking Plans cover the same project limits. However, sheet S-30 provides information for the signing layout and sheet S-31 provides information for the pavement markings for this area. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/7/2018 9:46:07 AM

Question: 22699: Can an estimate for temporary embankment associated with the special detours be provided to all bidders? There appears to be a substantial amount of temporary embankment required to construct the temporary asphalt but no details have been provided. Posted: 6/7/2018 1:21:56 PM

Answer: Per the BOE, the plans are to "show only the LS pay item with no phase quantities- only the 1 LS total." Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 6/8/2018 8:51:18 AM