



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

6/13/2018 9:19:21 AM

District Address: District 7 Construction Office, located at 11201 N McKinley, Tampa, FL 33612

District Phone: (813) 975-6285

Proposal: T7402

Project: 257862-3-52-01

Letting Date: 6/13/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: CR 580 (SAM ALLEN RD)

Question:	22073: There is no bid item for mowing and litter removal, is it intended for the Department's maintenance contractor to perform this work during the contract?	Posted:	5/4/2018 8:39:01 AM
Answer:	Hillsborough County will be the responsible party for items defined by Section 107 - LITTER REMOVAL AND MOWING per the January 2018 Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. However, per the project SWPPP, the contractor will still be responsible for disposing of waste material as defined in Section III (1) (a) on page 361.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/14/2018 5:07:23 PM
Question:	22091: Note 3 on plan sheet 362 states that access points cannot be restricted for the listed schools. Provided that none of the listed schools are within the project limits or immediate vicinity, how would project lane closures restrict access points to the schools?	Posted:	5/4/2018 4:07:00 PM
Answer:	Note 2 Page 362 references the schools in the area that operate bus routes that have scheduled drop-offs/pickups within the project limits. This is required for communication purposes to adequately communicate construction impediments to scheduled routes and/or stops. As far as the language regarding access points to the school, the intention is that access points are inclusive of these drop-off/pickup locations.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/11/2018 7:11:46 PM
Question:	22270: Railroad insurance requires the following information: 1. How many Passenger trains per day? 2. How many Freight trains per day?	Posted:	5/16/2018 9:57:55 AM
Answer:	0 passenger trains and 12 freight trains per day.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	5/16/2018 11:39:44 AM
Question:	22394: Can the flow rates for the box culverts that are getting extended be provided and are there any restrictions to closing off one barrel at a time in order to construct the CIP box culvert extensions?	Posted:	5/25/2018 11:02:37 AM

Answer: Flow rates for the box culverts were provided in the construction plans on Plan Sheet No. 13 in the Flood Data Box. If the intent is to close one barrel or restrict the current box culvert opening, the design must meet the requirements established in Section 4.3.2 Temporary Facilities in the January 2018 FDOT Drainage Manual.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 5/31/2018 7:22:48 AM

Question: 22422: Can the FDOT supply information on the thickness of the existing asphalt and base on the roadway

Posted: 5/29/2018 9:08:41 AM

Answer: Please see attached Pavement Evaluation Report, Resilient Modulus Report and Existing Typical within the project limits.
(22422_22437_22462_T7402_PavementEvaluationReport)
(22422_22437_22462_T7402_ResilientModulusReport)
(22422_22437_22462_T7402_ExistingTypicals)

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/5/2018 2:43:49 PM

Document: 7374615: 22422_22437_22462_T7402_PavementEvaluationReport.pdf

22422_22437_22462_T7402_PavementEvaluationReport

Document: 7374620: 22422_22437_22462_T7402_ResilientModulusReport.pdf

22422_22437_22462_T7402_ResilientModulusReport

Document: 7374623: 22422_22437_22462_T7402_ExistingTypicals.pdf

22422_22437_22462_T7402_ExistingTypicals

Answer: The average thicknesses of the existing asphalt and base are as follows:
CR 580 (Sam Allen Rd.): FC 12.5 (Traffic C) (Rubber)(1.5"), Type SP (Traffic C)(2.5"), OBG 10 (11"), Type B Stabilization (LBR 40)(12").
SR 39 (Paul Buchman Hwy.): FC 9.5 (Traffic C)(PG76-22)(ARB) (1"), Type SP (Traffic C)(1.5"), Type I (1.75"), Type III (1.35"), OBG 8 (9.5"), Type B Stabilization (LBR 40)(12").
Park Rd.: FC-5 (PG76-22)ARB (0.75"), Type SP (Traffic C)(1"), Type S (0.3"), Type I (2.88"), OBG 7 (8.25"), Type B Stabilization (LBR 40) (12").

Status: ANSWER VOIDED

Posted: 5/31/2018 7:26:44 AM

Question: 22435: Ref plan sht #429, Park Street is shown to continue to the south. The shown matchline is station 201+00. What plan sheet number shows the remaining traffic control requirements, to include temp pavement, south of station 201+00?

Posted: 5/29/2018 2:23:09 PM

Answer: Ref Plan Sheet #428 shows the remaining traffic control requirements south of Park Rd. Station 201+00.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/1/2018 12:03:18 PM

Question:	22437: Can the existing pavement reports for any areas impacted within the project limits be provided?	Posted:	5/29/2018 3:46:20 PM
Answer:	Please see response and attachments to Question ID #22422.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 2:52:14 PM
Question:	22461: Pursuant to Frontier FL LLC's Utility Work Schedule (UWS), 290 days are shown during construction. However, 195 days of the 290 days are shown as "Estimated FTR Construction activities Prior to May 2018". If this work is being completed in the timeframe indicated that would only leave 95 days during construction. Please clarify.	Posted:	5/30/2018 4:01:03 PM
Answer:	195 days of the 290 days are for during construction only; "Estimated FTR Construction activities Prior to May 2018" are only applicable to 42 days as shown in the "prior to const." column.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 4:09:43 PM
Question:	22462: If the pavement reports cannot be provided, can the typical of the existing roadway within the limits of the project be provided?	Posted:	5/30/2018 4:02:53 PM
Answer:	Please see response and attachments to Question ID #22422.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 2:52:36 PM
Question:	22490: There are no typical sections or indications on the plans sheets for the 3.00" & 3.50" resurfacing. Please review the typical section and plans sheets and provide an update.	Posted:	5/31/2018 2:36:27 PM
Answer:	The 3.00" and 3.50" Milling depths in the Summary of Pavement accounts for the 1ft adjacent to widening for CR 580 and SR 39 respectively as shown in the Pavement Joint Detail on Sheet 21, Typical Section Details (1). No updates to the plans are needed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/4/2018 10:49:28 AM
Question:	22505: Please reference utility sheet U-9. Please clarify the type of connection for the 18" non-potable water. Will a wet tap be required, or is there an existing tee and valve at the tie-in location.	Posted:	6/1/2018 10:49:03 AM

Answer:	There is an existing tee and valve at the proposed tie-in location.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 2:56:16 PM
Question:	22506: Regarding the utility pay item for Tees (8-19.9), please verify that it is the intent to pay for crosses in this bid item. Also, based on the quantities, it seems that it is the intent to pay 2 EA tees for each cross. If not, please check quantities for sheets U-30 and U-36.	Posted:	6/1/2018 10:48:44 AM
Answer:	That is the correct intent. Crossings should be paid under Pay Item # 1055-11424 as 2 tees for each crossing.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 2:58:03 PM
Question:	22510: Please verify the count of 18" valves on sheet U-37. Quantity table shows 1; plan shows 2 EA water (1483+90) and 2 EA NPW (1483+40). Please consider revising the bid item quantity.	Posted:	6/1/2018 11:33:31 AM
Answer:	The correct quantity for 18" valve assembly on Sheet U-37 is 4 EA and will be updated by Revision 2 in the Tabulation of Quantity sheet (U-5).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 2:58:40 PM
Question:	22511: Please check the quantity for 12" valve on sheet U-5. Grand total shows 5; quantity should be 4. Please verify and consider revising the bid item quantity.	Posted:	6/1/2018 11:34:46 AM
Answer:	The correct grand total for 12" valve is 4 EA and will be updated by Revision 2 in the Tabulation of Quantities sheet (U-5).	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 3:00:00 PM
Question:	22512: Please clarify the intent of the 24" tie in location at STA 124+36 (U-9). Will this contract leave a capped end for others to tie into? Or will there be a pipe for this contract to tie into?	Posted:	6/1/2018 11:35:10 AM
Answer:	The intent is for the south project WM to be installed and capped prior to this contract so that there is an existing pipe to be tied into.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/5/2018 3:01:09 PM
Question:	22514: Please verify the quantity of air release valves on sheet U-24. We count 5 EA: 2 water (STA 1445+20 - not shown on profile; STA 1449+00); 2 NPW (STA 1446+35; 1449+00); 1 EA FM (STA 1447+40). Please consider revising the bid item quantity as necessary.	Posted:	6/1/2018 11:36:32 AM

Answer:	The correct quantity is 5 EA on sheet U-25 and will be updated by Revision 2 in the Tabulation of Quantities sheet (U-4).	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/5/2018 3:01:59 PM
Question:	22516: Sheet U-17 shows 1 EA 8" plug valve at STA 1426+38 that may have been counted as a 12". Please verify and consider revising the bid item quantity as necessary.	Posted: 6/1/2018 11:38:10 AM
Answer:	Tabulation of Quantities sheet (U-3) will be updated by Revision 2 for sheet U-17 to show 1 EA 8" Plug Valve and no 12" Plug Valves.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/5/2018 3:03:22 PM
Question:	22554: Please provide the temporary traffic control plans for Phase III and IV.	Posted: 6/4/2018 10:00:57 AM
Answer:	There are no Temporary Traffic Control Plan Sheets for Phase III and IV. These phases are to be constructed per the Phasing Notes (1) on Sheet 363 and Typical Section (4) Sheet 367.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/4/2018 11:15:59 AM
Question:	22611: Sht SQ-29 addresses 37,242sy for "Temp." Sod for Erosion Control. Is this the Temp Sod that will be needed adjacent to the temp pavement locations shown in the Traf Control Plans? Or, if this 37,242sy is intended for another purpose, will the Temp Sod needed adjacent to the temp. pavt still be paid for under bid item 0570-1-2? Sometimes this bid item's used to pay for the temp sod and in other cases the temp sod costs are to be included in the Special Detour or Maintenance of Traffic bid item(s). Also, the 81,713.8 Subtotal for the 0570-1-2 summary column on sht SQ-29 seems too low for the quantities shown above it; pls confirm.	Posted: 6/5/2018 9:49:25 AM
Answer:	Please refer to Standard Specification Section "2-3 Interpretation of Estimated Quantities, 2-3.2 Contracts other than Lump Sum" and use the quantities shown in the Plans and in the Proposal Form as the basis of the bid.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 6/8/2018 4:37:53 PM

Question:	22617: The Util. Work Schedule(UWS) for Frontier FL, LLC shows 42 Consecutive Cal Days of work prior to May 2018. From that point the UWS shows 30 days for permits, 45 days for work order prep/apprvl & 33 days for matl procurement. This yields the 150 Consecutive Cal Days indicated on the UWS. As permits, work orders & matls are all required prior to being able to begin the 195days of work during const then it can be assumed that the total time required by Frontier is actually 303 days. The Dept's anticipated duration of Ph 1 Stg 1 is 231days as shown in the Summary of Quans. 1. Can it be assumed that the 103days required for permits, work orders & matl acquisition will be performed between May 2018 and Contract Award/NTP? 2. If the answer to part 1 is "No", then the contractor must assume that Frontier will require 303days to complete their work in Ph 1 Stg 1. Will the Dept. consider revising the Summary of Quans & the total contract duration to accommodate Frontier FL LLC's schedule?	Posted:	6/5/2018 12:29:49 PM
Answer:	Per the UWS, the permits, work orders and material acquisition are currently underway.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/8/2018 3:31:38 PM
Question:	22626: Will the noise/sound wall require class V coating and/or anti-graffiti coating? If so, please specify limits.	Posted:	6/5/2018 2:49:48 PM
Answer:	Class V finish is required for the entire limits of the noise walls.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/7/2018 5:20:48 PM
Question:	22645: The note added to sheet 34 in addendum 1 states that all material excavated from SMF A/B1 and FPC A/B-1 shall be considered unsuitable and be disposed of outside of the right of way. Why can this material not be used in accordance with index 505?	Posted:	6/6/2018 8:49:22 AM
Answer:	Per General Note no. 7 on Sht. 34 of the revision, please bid accordingly.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/8/2018 3:29:44 PM
Question:	22646: Can the contractor use standard dewatering methods to dewater SMF A/B1 and FPC A/B-1?	Posted:	6/6/2018 8:50:55 AM
Answer:	It is the Contractor's responsibility to determine the means and method to perform the work.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	6/8/2018 3:28:32 PM

Question: 22668: Frontier FL, LLC has existing buried telephone lines that lie within the Phase 1 Stage 1 muck removal area between Stations 3396 and 1401. The proposed lines are located just inside the north right-of-way. This location lies within the Phase 1 Stage 3 muck removal area. It appears that the new lines would need to be installed at a significantly lower elevation than the existing lines or they will be impacted during Phase 1 Stage 3 muck removal. Please confirm the elevation of the proposed lines in this area.

Posted: 6/6/2018 1:35:50 PM

Answer: Based on Frontier's utility markups of the proposed line in the area of muck removal, the line will be installed below the limits of muck removal. An exact elevation of the proposed line cannot be provided at this time, however, once the line has been installed, asbuilts will be provided to the contractor.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2018 5:13:31 PM

Question: 22673: The Frontier FL, LLC Utility Work Schedule shows 290 days of work during construction in Phase 1: 90 days for installation of new facilities, 95 days for splicing and 105 days for removal of old lines. A significant amount of Phase 1 stage 1 work cannot be performed until after cutover to the new facilities at 185 days. Work impacted includes demucking, drainage and roadway construction. Could the Department provide justification for a Phase 1 (all stages) duration of 231 days while accommodating Frontier's schedule?

Posted: 6/6/2018 3:08:15 PM

Answer: Phase 1, Stage 1 duration shown on sheet no. SQ-1 of the roadway plans shows a total of 231 days; Phase 1 duration (all stages) is 427 days. Per section B of Frontier's UWS, coordination and cooperation is to take place for Phase 1 of the project.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2018 3:19:24 PM

Question: 22689: Plan Sheet #21 shows the "Widening Pavement Joint Detail," which depicts a one foot overlap of the top structural layer, into the existing pavement. Is the tonnage for this one foot overlap included in the SP Traffic C Bid Item?

Posted: 6/6/2018 6:40:10 PM

Answer: Yes the tonnage for this one foot overlap is accounted for in the SP Traffic C items in the Summary of Pavement.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2018 3:44:28 PM

Question: 22692: Since this is a Federally Funded project, are there requirements to "Buy American" ?

Posted: 6/7/2018 10:38:27 AM

Answer: This project is federally funded and the Contractor shall meet the Buy America provisions.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/7/2018 5:10:16 PM

Question: 22694: Since this project is Federally Funded, do the products supplied have to meet "AIS" - American Iron and Steel requirements?

Posted: 6/7/2018 10:41:31 AM

Answer: Since this project is Federally Funded, the contractor shall meet all of the Buy America requirements.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/7/2018 5:05:48 PM

Question: 22710: Plan sheet 489 for the Sheet Pile Wall TCW-1 has a note that states "Sheet Pile TCW-1 to be buried, cut off min. 2' below grade." Is it intended for these sheet piles to be left in place and not removed?

Posted: 6/8/2018 10:11:50 AM

Answer: Yes Sheet Pile Wall TCW-1 is to be left in place after construction per note on Sheet 489. Pay Item 455-133-2 Sheet Piling Steel, Temporary-Critical is the pay item that TCW-1 is being paid under. It is shown in the summary of walls on SQ-17.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 6/8/2018 3:18:21 PM