STE OF FLORID	Bid Questions and An	swers Report	Date & Tim	e:	1/31/2018 8:34:08 AM
	District Address:	District 5 Construction FL 32720	on Office, located at 71	9 South W	/oodland Blvd, Deland,
OF TRANS	District Phone:	(386) 943-5350			
Proposal:	T5606				
Project:	438038-1-52-01				
Letting Date:	1/31/2018				
Localtion:	CENTRAL OFFICE				
Description:	SR 400 (I-4)				
Question:	20670: For the pier protection s will the contractor be required cap between the columns?			Posted:	1/5/2018 4:45:06 PM
Answer:	No			Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
				Posted:	1/11/2018 2:57:42 PM
Question:	20678: While making a comparison of the summary of quantity plan sheets to the provided excel sheets in the calculations folder for "summary_of_pavement.xlsx", it appears that the plans differ form the excel spreadsheet. Examples of variations are 1) 2 1/4" milling item, the excel sheet includes area ID # 387205 - 6,525.2 sy, this is not shown on the plans 2) area ID # 387066 differs between the excel file (6,145.6 sy) and the plan sheet (5,939.1 sy) 3)Milling 3 1/4" in the plans includes 2 area ID's totaling 6,576.3 sy while the excel file only includes 1 area ID totaling 4,841.3 sy. 4) the 7 1/2" milling item area ID # 458338 is show on the plans as 1,542.2 sy while the excel sheet has a different ID # and only totals 865.40 sy. Please provide clarification as to which is correct, the plans or the excel sheets.				1/5/2018 5:51:51 PM
Answer:	The quantities found in the pla "Summary_of_Pavment.xlsx" s			Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
				Posted:	1/16/2018 8:32:53 AM
Question:	20706: Will any portion of the e cleaning? If so, please specify	0 0	e system require	Posted:	1/9/2018 8:08:22 AM
Answer:	No.			Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
				Posted:	1/11/2018 2:56:49 PM

Question:	20719: Due to the large amount of pavement markings on SR400, it will be difficult for the prime contractor to meet the 40% work requirement in specification section 8-1. Previous FDOT specifications (article 8-1.2 Specialty Work) would exclude specialty work from the work percentage calculation. Since a pavement marking contractor typically doesn't bid roadway construction projects, can the prime contractor do less than 40% of the work?	Posted:	1/10/2018 10:02:07 AM
Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/11/2018 11:30:44 AM
Question:	20830: Plan Sheet No. 7 through Plan Sheet No. 12 and Plan Sheet No. 17 through Plan Sheet No. 20 shows Type SP Asphalt Overbuild on the roadways and shoulders. Plan Sheet SQ-9 shows the Type SP Asphalt Overbuild quantities for each proposed location. Please provide the detailed computations of how these quantities were determined.	Posted:	1/17/2018 3:34:34 PM
Answer:	Please refer to Overbuild Tables in plans on Sheet Numbers 10 through 12 for computations.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/23/2018 4:22:10 PM
Question:	20892: Can you show the eagles nest buffer on the Saxon Blvd Plans/Fin 441070-1-52-01 sheets 15 & 16, Similarly shown on Main Plans Fin 438038-1-52-01 sheet 40.	Posted:	1/23/2018 9:40:05 AM
	Or confirmation that all Saxon Blvd shoulder and scope of work is outside of the 330' eagle nest primary protection zone.		
Answer:	Part of the roadway work on FPID 441070-1 falls within the 330-ft bald	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	eagle nest buffer. Please reference the supplemental specification 7- 1.4.1 Additional Requirements for Bald Eagles included in the specification package for nest location and requirements.	Posted:	1/24/2018 7:56:32 AM
Question:	20893: Would like to confirm that there is a typo on Main Plans Fin 438038-1-52-01 sheet 27. The left match line that sheet 27 to Roadway plans (5) should read station 344+19 (NOT 349+19).	Posted:	1/23/2018 9:42:21 AM
	Otherwise please provide plans sheets for missing information from station 344+19 to 349+19		
Answer:	On Sheet 27 of the Roadway Plans on FM 438038-1-52-01, the left match line is labeled incorrectly. The correct match line is 344+19.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	match line is labeled incorrectly. The correct match line is 344+19.	Posted:	1/23/2018 4:30:38 PM

Please refer to Overbuild Tables in plans on Sheet Numbers 10 through 12 for computations.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
	Posted:	1/23/2018 2:05:02 PM
20908: Where new ramp c construction, Saxon Blvd FIN 441070-1-52- 01 Sheet 5 typical section states 4" of SP TLC High Polymer.	Posted:	1/23/2018 1:18:57 PM
Can we used standard SP TLC (non Poly) for the first 2" lift?		
Yes	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	Posted:	1/24/2018 5:18:20 PM
20909: Milling and resurfacing depths and mix types of adjacent shoulders of overbuild areas are not clearly specified in the typical sections.	Posted:	1/23/2018 1:27:00 PM
Verifying that those would be milled at a depth of 1.50" and overbuild/resurfaced with TL D high polymer as well?		
The shoulders adjacent to the overbuild areas are not to be milled beyond	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
the 8 inch overlap noted on Plan Sheet # 4. They are to be overbuild/resurfaced with TLD polymer to meet the requirements of the typical section and over build table provided.	Posted:	1/24/2018 5:19:53 PM
20910: Confirming that the dark shaded "Shoulder Milling" areas in the SR400 roadway plans are only intended to RESURFACE the FC-5?	Posted:	1/23/2018 1:34:27 PM
The detail does not specify a "Shoulder Resurfacing" (mix type or depth)		
The milling and resurfacing depths of the shoulders on the ramps is called out on Roadway Plans Sheet # 5 as well as the dark shaded areas	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
on Plan Sheets 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and Plan Sheets 34, 35, 36, 37. The shoulder ramps noted are being milled 1.5 inches and resurfaced with 1.5 inches Type SP (Traffic D) (High Polymer). The FC-5 is placed on the ramp lane only with the 8" overlap onto the shoulder, not the gore area.	Posted:	1/24/2018 5:19:31 PM
20913: In response to the question concerning the difference in the excel files and the plan SQ sheet. There appears to missing items in the SQ sheet. As an example, typical section (2), Saxon Ramp B1 station	Posted:	1/23/2018 5:06:50 PM
	<ul> <li>12 for computations.</li> <li>20908: Where new ramp c construction, Saxon Blvd FIN 441070-1-52- 01 Sheet 5 typical section states 4" of SP TLC High Polymer.</li> <li>Can we used standard SP TLC (non Poly) for the first 2" lift?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>20909: Milling and resurfacing depths and mix types of adjacent shoulders of overbuild areas are not clearly specified in the typical sections.</li> <li>Verifying that those would be milled at a depth of 1.50" and overbuild/resurfaced with TL D high polymer as well?</li> <li>The shoulders adjacent to the overbuild areas are not to be milled beyond the 8 inch overlap noted on Plan Sheet # 4. They are to be overbuild/resurfaced with TL D polymer to meet the requirements of the typical section and over build table provided.</li> <li>20910: Confirming that the dark shaded "Shoulder Milling" areas in the SR400 roadway plans are only intended to RESURFACE the FC-5?</li> <li>The detail does not specify a "Shoulder Resurfacing" (mix type or depth)</li> <li>The milling and resurfacing depths of the shoulders on the ramps is called out on Roadway Plans Sheet # 5 as well as the dark shaded areas on Plan Sheets 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and Plan Sheets 34, 35, 36, 37. The shoulder ramps noted are being milled 1.5 inches and resurfaced with 1.5 inches Type SP (Traffic D) (High Polymer). The FC-5 is placed on the ramp lane only with the 8" overlap onto the shoulder, not the gore area.</li> <li>20913: In response to the question concerning the difference in the excel files and the plan SQ sheet. There appears to missing items in the SQ</li> </ul>	12 for computations.       Posted:         20908: Where new ramp c construction, Saxon Blvd FIN 441070-1-52- 01 Sheet 5 typical section states 4" of SP TLC High Polymer.       Posted:         Can we used standard SP TLC (non Poly) for the first 2" lift?       Posted:         Yes       Status:         Posted:       Posted:         20909: Milling and resurfacing depths and mix types of adjacent shoulders of overbuild areas are not clearly specified in the typical sections.       Posted:         Verifying that those would be milled at a depth of 1.50" and overbuild/resurfaced with TLD D high polymer as well?       Status:         The shoulders adjacent to the overbuild areas are not to be milled beyond the 8 inch overlap noted on Plan Sheet # 4. They are to be overbuild/resurfaced with TLD D high polymer to meet the requirements of the typical section and over build table provided.       Posted:         20910: Confirming that the dark shaded "Shoulder Milling" areas in the SR400 roadway plans are only intended to RESURFACE the FC-5?       Posted:         The milling and resurfacing depths of the shoulders on the ramps is called out on Roadway Plans Sheet # 5 as well as the dark shaded areas on Plan Sheets 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and Plan Sheets 34, 36, 37. The shoulder ramps noted are being milled 1.5 inches and resurfaced with 1.5 inches Type SP (Trafic D) (High Polymer). The FC-5 is placed on the ramp lane only with the 8" overlap onto the shoulder, not the gore area.       Posted:         20913: In response to the question concerning the difference in the excel files and the plan SQ sheet. There appears to missing items in the SQ

Answer:	Milling and resurfacing of Saxon Ramp B1 from station 7320+07.68 to 7322.24+51 is quantified on the SQ sheets under Area IDs 375805, 404556, and 405354. The summary of quantity sheets are correct.		ANSWER PUBLISHED
			1/24/2018 5:19:09 PM
Question:	20916: In many areas of the SR 400 roadway plans there are shoulders that are shown light grey for milling and resurfacing.	Posted:	1/24/2018 7:38:13 AM
	Typical section 1 only shows "shoulder milling" of 1" but those areas in the roadway plans are the dark grey areas.		
	Please clarify if those light grey shoulders are intended to be resurfaced the same 2.25" as the mainline?		
Answer:	Yes	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/24/2018 5:18:46 PM
Question:	20946: On the CD road the left shoulder on the plan sheets 23-26 shows a light grey shade for 2.25" mill, but in the summary of quantities sheet SQ-7 it shows 1.5" mill (300+23-344+18). Please advise.	Posted:	1/25/2018 10:27:43 AM
Answer:	SQ-7 is correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/26/2018 4:01:50 PM
Question:	20947: Eastbound shoulder (479+47-489+07 and 502+72-506+63)and Westbound shoulder (498+78-506+66)do not show any shading but in the summary of quantities (SQ-7) it shows 1.5" milling. Please advise.	Posted:	1/25/2018 10:50:06 AM
Answer:	SQ-7 is correct.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	1/26/2018 4:04:08 PM
Question:	20949: Roadway plans FPN #438038-1-52-01 show microwave detection at various sites utilizing the 660-3-11 & 660-3-12 pay items but there are no related specifications nor any notes in the plans regarding the microwave detection. Are there any specifications or requirements for the microwaves? If so, can they be added? Please advise.	Posted:	1/25/2018 11:16:59 AM
Answer:	Questions posted to this site before 5:00 P.M. (EST) on the seventh	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
	calendar day prior to the bid opening, or tenth day prior to the December bid opening will be responded to by the Department. For Questions posted after these times, an answer cannot be assured.	Posted:	1/29/2018 3:25:04 AM