



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

1/31/2018 8:32:05 AM

District Address: District 4 Construction Office, located at 3400 West Commercial Blvd. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

District Phone: (954) 777-4130

Proposal: T4472

Project: 419345-2-52-01

Letting Date: 1/31/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 80 (US 98/US 441/SOUTHERN BLVD)

Question: 20499: There is a discrepancy between the test pile location and quantity given on plan sheet BQ1-1 and those in the pile data table on plan sheet B1-6. Posted: 12/13/2017 9:12:42 AM

Answer: A plans revision prior to letting will be provided to rectify this issue. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 12/18/2017 8:16:26 AM

Question: 20500: It appears the expedite file does not contain values for the DRB Hearing and Meeting pay items. Are these to be left blank or will there be an amendment with values for these items. Posted: 12/13/2017 9:17:41 AM

Answer: An amendment will be done to correct the values. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 12/14/2017 9:54:41 AM

Question: 20519: Can you release the geotech reports and core data on existing roadways? Posted: 12/13/2017 3:49:16 PM

Answer: Per a vendor's request the geotech reports and core data on existing roadways have been posted on the Central Office Bid Document Site for T4472 and can be downloaded Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 12/18/2017 8:24:43 AM

Question: 20541: Sheet 26 of the utility plans, Detail A, has a note to restrain the existing 36" WM south from the tee. The line south from the Tee is labeled to be a 12" line. Please clarify. Is this a 36" WM please add a pay item for 36" Restraints and denote a distance required. Posted: 12/15/2017 10:47:46 AM

| | | | |
|-----------|--|---------|------------------------|
| Answer: | Disregarded per comment 20542 | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 12/18/2017 8:17:42 AM |
| Question: | 20542: Disregard question 20541 distance was mistaken for size. | Posted: | 12/15/2017 10:48:53 AM |
| Answer: | Thank you | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 12/18/2017 8:16:52 AM |
| Question: | 20543: Can you please provide the "QTDSRD..." Cadd files with the Area ID's. | Posted: | 12/15/2017 12:16:09 PM |
| Answer: | The plans contain adequate information to detail the quantities provided. If there is a specific area that this is not the case, please let us know. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 12/18/2017 8:17:23 AM |
| Question: | 20607: The SFWMD Permit states that the work performed must be completed prior to October 31, 2017. Is there a permit modification extending the time frame for this work and will that extension coincide with the project's 1094 duration? | Posted: | 12/21/2017 12:24:36 PM |
| Answer: | The SFWMD permit expires in February 2022. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 12/21/2017 3:06:50 PM |
| Question: | 20608: The SFWMD Permit reference in question 20607 also has a note that this work can not proceed until the USACOE has issued section 408 approval. Is this permit obtained now and available for download? | Posted: | 12/21/2017 12:27:29 PM |
| Answer: | Yes the ASACOE permit has been obtained and I will request it be made available. | Status: | ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: | 12/21/2017 3:05:49 PM |
| Question: | 20609: The SFWMD Dewatering Permit requires a site specific plan. Does the Department already have one specifically in place for this project? If not, was the approval time for included in the 150 days required for the Palms West Pond construction milestone? | Posted: | 12/21/2017 12:49:15 PM |

| | | |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| Answer: | The Department does not have a site specific plan in place. Yes the approval time is included in the 150 days to reach the milestone. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 12/21/2017 3:07:12 PM |
| Question: | 20610: For the dewatering permit will the discharge points to surface waters shown in the SWPPP be acceptable to include in the site specific plan as long as water quality is maintained? | Posted: 12/21/2017 12:51:09 PM |
| Answer: | Yes as long as water quality is maintained. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 12/21/2017 3:07:28 PM |
| Question: | 20611: The plans make use of a large amount of existing storm drain on the project. Will these pipes have to be desilted? If so will the appropriate pay items be added to compensate for this work? | Posted: 12/21/2017 12:52:26 PM |
| Answer: | The pipes were evaluated and do not need to be desilted. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/11/2018 8:08:43 AM |
| Question: | 20650: Can a detail be provided or thickness for 0522 4, Bus Shelter Pad | Posted: 1/3/2018 11:28:31 AM |
| Answer: | According to District 4 Transit Facilities Guideline, standard thickness for Bus Shelter Pad (Pay item 522-4) is 8 inch. Bus Shelter Dimensions and quantities are shown in the plans. Additional detail is not required. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/5/2018 7:13:45 AM |
| Question: | 20709: The Signing and Marking plan Tabulations show a quantity of 12 EA 700-3-102, which seems to be for an overlay per the FDOT Basis of Estimates Manual. Where is the detail for the overlay and where in the Signalization Plans are the signs located? | Posted: 1/9/2018 9:09:37 AM |
| Answer: | Pay item 700-3-102, 12 EA shown on Signing and Pavement Marking Tabulation sheets, are for the signs attached to the advance warning flashers. Locations and sign details are shown on the signalization plan sheets T-5, T-6 and T-8. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/11/2018 8:08:19 AM |
| Question: | 20726: Typical sections call for 2.5" of SP D for first lift of structural asphalt on widening areas. There is only a pay item for SP D PG 76-22. Will a pay item be added for the D non polymer asphalt? | Posted: 1/10/2018 4:00:44 PM |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|
| Answer: | A revision will be prepared before letting that will add a pay item for SP D (without polymer). | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/11/2018 8:15:53 AM |
| Question: | 20769: In reference to question 20519: "Can you release the geotech reports and core data on existing roadways?" We have received the soil survey, but there appears to be no existing pavement core data included in it. Can you release pavement core data as well? | Posted: 1/15/2018 10:23:21 AM |
| Answer: | The existing pavement cores are included in the pavement design report. This and all of the geotechnical reports have been posted to the Permits and Utility Work Schedule, etc., website found at: https://ftp.fdot.gov/file/d/FTP/FDOT%20LTS/permitsandorutilityworkschedules/district4/ | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/16/2018 9:46:03 AM |
| Question: | 20808: In reference to question 20500 the two amendment files released thus far still have not assigned values to the DRB items. | Posted: 1/16/2018 6:36:02 PM |
| Answer: | money will be assigned prior to letting with Revision 3. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/17/2018 8:47:56 AM |
| Question: | 20836: Detail for Driveway/Turnout pavement that are to be milled and resurfaced (Sheet 34) call for 1" SP TL A PG 76-22. Since there is no pay item for PG A, nor does one exist in the Master Pay Item List, are we to assume the note for PG 76-22 for this is incorrect? | Posted: 1/18/2018 11:22:20 AM |
| Answer: | Binder (PG 76-22) is not needed for SP (TRAFFIC A). | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/18/2018 4:22:22 PM |
| Question: | 20875: Is the intent of the note on sheet 387, Phase 1 TTCP, to construct permanent roadway & shoulder pavement utilizing single lane closures for both the left and right roadway? | Posted: 1/19/2018 3:21:45 PM |
| Answer: | The intent of the note on sheet 387, phase 1 TTCP, is to construct the temporary pavement (special detours) by temporarily closing a single lane, if needed, during non-peak hours for both the left and the right roadway. | Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED |
| | | Posted: 1/23/2018 7:45:44 AM |

Question: 20878: Is the need for F&I and relocate Temp Glare Screen necessary? Posted: 1/20/2018 8:28:54 AM
This is a not a limited access facility, wall is being used to protect work areas, not separated opposing traffic. Use of the glare screens will create a sight distance issue for traffic entering the project from side streets and left turns at non signalized intersections.

Answer: The temporary glare screens were proposed to eliminate potential glares from oncoming traffic, due to high volume of truck traffic, to reduce rubbernecking, and the traveling speed of vehicles during temporary traffic control. It's a safety precaution measure during construction. In areas where clear sight distance is an issue, glare screens are to be excluded. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 1/23/2018 8:11:57 AM

Question: 20912: For the preformed message and arrows do they need to have the green background since the patterned pavement green bike lane is going to be installed on this job? Posted: 1/23/2018 3:47:41 PM

Answer: The preformed bike messages themselves are white. The green areas are the bike lane pavement that are called out and are represented in a grey color on the plans. For more information please see Roadway Design Bulletin RDB 12-01. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 1/23/2018 4:09:27 PM