



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 2/28/2018 1:01:21 PM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1700

Project: 430886-1-52-01

Letting Date: 2/28/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 865 (SIX MILE CYPRESS PKWY)

Question:	20982: On page T-12 of signalization plan They show Pivot Hanger assembly is this a mistake.	Posted:	1/30/2018 4:09:19 PM
Answer:	Revision #1 was completed to remove the Pivot Hanger assembly that was shown in the contract plans. This revision was sent out to all prospective bidders on 2/1/18 as Addendum #1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/6/2018 10:22:13 AM
Question:	21014: What item of work is addendum 1 referring to?	Posted:	2/2/2018 8:40:23 AM
Answer:	A revision was completed to remove the "PIVOTAL HANGER" call-out. Please see question # 20982.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/6/2018 10:17:54 AM
Question:	21167: A review of the typical section for proposed widening shows an OBG 10, 2" TLC, 2" TL PG76-22 and 3/4" FC 5 PG76-22. The adjacent roadway to the widening will be milled 1.75" with 1" TLC PG76-22 and 3/4" FC5 PG76-22. Is the intent to only have the FC5 cover the joint between the widening and the existing roadway or should the TLC PG76-22 also bridge the joint area (2 lifts of 1" SP9.5 in lieu of 1 lift of 2" SP12.5).	Posted:	2/19/2018 7:39:45 AM
Answer:	The design intent is to have the FC5 cover the joint between the widening and the existing roadway. The intent is to place the structural lifts for the widening section as 2 inch lifts.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	2/20/2018 2:29:00 PM
Question:	21168: Please confirm that the skimmer detail shown on sheet 35 is to be paid as Item 400 0 13 Concrete Class NS - Steps for 1.70 CY.	Posted:	2/19/2018 8:16:03 AM

Answer:	Per sheet 35, under note 1, the cost of the of the skimmer shall be included in the Pay Item 400-0-13.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/20/2018 11:28:37 AM
Question:	21169: Typical sections show 12" Stabilization under the proposed curb. But in those areas of new curb NOT adjacent to proposed widening, is the contractor to place an asphalt curb pad (see Sta 362+89.45, 132.39 RT to 365+28.62, 46.41 RT). Will this be paid as 12" stabilization?	Posted: 2/19/2018 8:20:12 AM
Answer:	The area you are referencing, Sta. 362+89.45, 132.39 RT to Sta. 365+28.62, 46.41 RT is currently stabilized based on the coring report for the existing pavement in this area. No additional stabilization will be necessary.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/21/2018 2:23:21 PM
Question:	21171: Pay item 102 60 for business signs appears to be quantified as Each Day (ED) in lieu of Each (EA) per Jan 2018 specifications. Please confirm or correct this quantity for business signs.	Posted: 2/19/2018 9:34:11 AM
Answer:	Revision #1, Addendum Two was completed to revise the quantity of Business Signs from 300 Each Day to 2 Each. This revision was sent out to all prospective bidders on 2/23/2018.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/24/2018 12:19:05 PM
Question:	21188: On the Bid tabulation sheet page # 4, there is a bid item regarding 715-500-2 Pole Cable Distribution F&I High Mast total of (3) I see no reference in the Plan Sheets Regarding any type, height or where they might go? Please explain	Posted: 2/20/2018 1:35:51 PM
Answer:	Revision #1, Addendum Two was completed to provide the answer to this question. This revision was sent out to all prospective bidders on 2/23/2018.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/24/2018 12:21:06 PM
Question:	21203: Is it the intent of the TCP Phase 2 to close off the designated areas until all of Phase 2 is complete or will the contractor have to remove and reset the closure each day?	Posted: 2/21/2018 1:52:11 PM
Answer:	The intent is to have the barrier wall and pedestrian detours in place for the full duration of phase II operation.	Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted: 2/22/2018 3:45:00 PM
Question:	21204: Are there any lane closure restrictions for Phase 2 and 3?	Posted: 2/21/2018 1:55:36 PM

Answer: Revision #1, Addendum Two was completed to provide the answer to this question. This revision was sent out to all prospective bidders on 2/23/2018. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/24/2018 12:21:56 PM

Question: 21205: How is the contractor supposed to control the pedestrian crossings during Phase 2 after the pedestrian signals and traffic islands are removed? Posted: 2/21/2018 2:07:08 PM

Answer: The intent of the phase II traffic control plans is to provide a temporary crossing area with LCD's with temporary pedestrian pole detection and crosswalks. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 2/22/2018 3:50:48 PM