



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time:

4/25/2018 1:55:58 PM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2701

Project: 209365-2-52-01

Letting Date: 4/25/2018

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 9 (I-95)

Question: 21709: The quantity for "Removal of Concrete" bid item does not match the total shown on plan sheet SQ-15 of 3,157 sy. Does the bid quantity include curb and gutter removal? Posted: 4/9/2018 11:17:13 AM

Answer: See Amendment 2. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/19/2018 11:14:26 AM

Question: 21712: There is very little information regarding the type and thickness of the existing asphalt to be removed. More information is necessary in order to quantify. Please provide details or advise on assumptions to be made. Posted: 4/9/2018 3:43:05 PM

Answer: The requested information has been loaded into CPP Online Ordering. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/19/2018 1:06:06 PM

Question: 21723: Can the GDTMRD file of the existing ground be provided in a .dgn format? The file provided is only 70kb and does not show the detailed information of the existing ground. Posted: 4/10/2018 9:32:50 AM

Answer: The requested file has been loaded into CPP Online Ordering. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED
Posted: 4/12/2018 2:59:41 PM

Question: 21746: Should a JEA Table A have been provided for the 1000-5 Utility Work Sewer and 1000-6 Utility Work Water items? The current downloads only have the JEA Table A for the 1000-7 Utility Work Power item. Posted: 4/12/2018 11:21:55 AM

Answer:	See Revision #1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/13/2018 9:17:56 AM
Question:	21793: On the JEA Water/Sewer Relocation plans, there are existing AC Water Main and AC Force Main that have to be removed. Due to the nature of the AC material, can these lines be grout filled and abandoned, or crushed in place and abandoned?	Posted:	4/13/2018 11:17:38 AM
Answer:	No, the Department requires that Asbestos Cement lines be removed from state right-of-way.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2018 3:53:42 PM
Question:	21801: Plan Sheets U-1 through U-6: For Clarification, please confirm that "Exist. AC Lines" is an abbreviation for "Existing Asbestos Cement Lines". If this is correct, please confirm the handling and disposal will be performed by the FDOT CAR Contractor.	Posted:	4/13/2018 1:15:31 PM
Answer:	AC does stand for Asbestos Cement. The Contractor needs to refer to Special Provision Section 110 requirements.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:12:45 AM
Question:	21802: Sheet U-3 indicates DIP sleeves on the AC WM - Please confirm that Romac Compression style couplings are acceptable.	Posted:	4/13/2018 1:20:19 PM
Answer:	No, the Department requires that Asbestos Cement lines be removed from state right-of-way. Romac compression style fittings are acceptable. Refer to the JEA Water & Wastewater Standards Manual.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/23/2018 7:41:43 AM
Answer:	No, the Department requires that Asbestos Cement lines be removed from state right-of-way. Romac compression style fittings are not acceptable. Refer to the JEA Water & Wastewater Standards Manual.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:14:03 AM
Question:	21803: Regarding the JEA WM and FM inside the casing, can DR 18 PVC be used for the FM and DIP used for the WM ? There does not appear to be any benefit to fusible PVC. Should we assume the existing casing spacers can be reused, or will new casing spacers be required?	Posted:	4/13/2018 1:25:01 PM
Answer:	Fusible PVC is needed due to the casing size. No spacers are proposed due to the casing size.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 7:20:45 AM

Question:	21804: Please provide locations for existing WM and FM valves. This information is not provided on the drawings.	Posted:	4/13/2018 1:28:40 PM
Answer:	Existing valve locations were not surveyed and will not be provided.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:15:26 AM
Question:	21863: As a supplement to Question 21709, the total of "Removal of Existing Concrete" from Sheet BQ-1, SQ-15 and SQ-16 = 4376.54 SY, while the Bid Item qty = 3,777 SY. The SQ sheets do not indicate any curb and gutter removal, which would further increase the qty. Please issue revised Bid Item Qty, revised SQ sheets, and confirm curb and gutter, traffic separator, and all other concrete removal will be paid under this item.	Posted:	4/17/2018 3:54:29 PM
Answer:	Addendum 2 contains a revised summary of removal items which includes curb and gutter.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 3:53:29 PM
Question:	21868: The Bid Item of "0425-1-891 Inlets, Barrier Wall <10" appears to be for S-49, however this inlet is a "Median Barrier Wall Inlet Type 4". Please issue revisions to reflect this.	Posted:	4/17/2018 5:05:40 PM
Answer:	Bid the quantities in the plans.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:17:14 AM
Question:	21874: The plans show Ramp B-1 to be constructed under separate contract. Will this be completed prior to the excavation of WDA 2? Will there be coordination between two contractors?	Posted:	4/18/2018 9:59:58 AM
Answer:	Ramp B-1 is being constructed under FPID 213323-1 (Contract E2U46) with an interim pavement marking configuration and is anticipated to be substantially complete by May 1. No adjustment to plans or quantities is required.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:16:32 AM
Question:	21875: The plans show milling and resurfacing Ramp B-1 which does not exist. Please advise if this is a mistake and adjust the bid quantity accordingly.	Posted:	4/18/2018 10:01:00 AM
Answer:	Ramp B-1 is being constructed under FPID 213323-1 (Contract E2U46) with an interim pavement marking configuration and is anticipated to be substantially complete by May 1. No adjustment to plans or quantities is required.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 8:16:14 AM

Question:	21910: There is a hotel sign at STA 106 that is showing removal on the Signing and Pavement Marking Plans. Please provide detail information for the sign and foundation and advise where we should put the cost for the removal.	Posted:	4/18/2018 5:22:58 PM
Answer:	No detailed information is available. Cost shall be included under 0110-1-1, Clearing & Grubbing.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/20/2018 2:44:31 PM
Question:	21914: There is a bid item for maintenance of flow under the Table A schedule of values for sewer. More detail and/or information is required in order to determine what will be necessary to accomplish this. Please advise.	Posted:	4/19/2018 8:12:13 AM
Answer:	The requested information is provided in the associated Technical Special Provision.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 3:52:25 PM
Question:	21917: The JEA work at Sheet U-3 STA 63+90 would need several days of Airport Rd interruption to perform the F.M. replacement (and same for the potable water) across Airport Rd and would require a by-pass across Airport Rd. Would the FDOT allow a directional bore with fusible C900 from connection point to connection point and then remove the existing pipe and abandon the steel casing with grout fill?	Posted:	4/19/2018 8:47:27 AM
Answer:	A directional bore will not be acceptable. The required bypass pumping is included as a bid item. The required MOT should be accounted for as a requirement and included within the cost of the existing bid items for utility work.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 3:51:25 PM