	Bid Questions and Answers Report	Date & Time:	4/25/2018 1:54:47 PM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2700

Project: 415250-1-52-01

Letting Date: 4/25/2018

Localtion: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: HOWELL DR

Question: 21690: HDPE Class II is approved as an optional drainage material for 100 year DSL applications. However, HDPE class II is not listed in the optional materials tabulation in the current plans. We have reviewed and confirmed that HDPE Class II will meet min/max cover requirements per FDOT specifications index 205. Since HDPE Class II is fully approved and meets index 205, can it be utilized as an optional material?

Posted: 4/5/2018 4:40:43 PM

Answer: HDPE Class II Pipe can be evaluated as an optional pipe material for locations specified in the Drainage Manual. If the pipe meets the max/min cover and other specification requirements, it can be used as an optional pipe material.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/6/2018 10:46:52 AM

Question: 21722: Can the GDTMRD03 file be provided in a .dgn format rather than a .tin? We are trying to get the tri-mesh file of the existing ground.

Posted: 4/10/2018 9:28:35 AM

Answer: The requested file has been loaded into CPP Online Ordering.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/12/2018 10:44:22 AM

Question: 21765: Could you provide drawings of the existing bridge?

Posted: 4/12/2018 4:15:11 PM

Answer: We do not have plans on the existing bridge.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/13/2018 8:18:18 AM

Question: 21810: Due to the complexity and phasing of the project, the time allowed appears extremely aggressive. Would the Department consider extending the contract days?

Posted: 4/13/2018 4:10:25 PM

Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2018 7:56:20 AM

Question:	21811: When reviewing the TTC Plan Phasing 1A/1B, III, IV, V there appears to be numerous locations of Installation and Relocation of Non-Critical Temporary Sheet Pile. There is a pay item for Sheet Piling Temporary Critical. Where does the Contractor get compensated for the Non-Critical Temporary Sheet Pile and are they required to be installed?	Posted:	4/13/2018 4:17:58 PM

Answer:	See FDOT Specification 455-12.6.2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2018 8:35:56 AM

Question:	21839: Sheet 10 suggest use of OBG09 (Type B-12.5) at the intersection of Sceneic Drive is optional. However, the TCP plans provided do not address this area. Is this intersection bound by the same closure restrictions?	Posted:	4/17/2018 11:17:12 AM

Answer:	Type B-12.5 was included to use as overbuild (to whatever thickness is required) to mitigate the elevation difference between the proposed mainline construction and the existing Ribault Scenic Drive intersection. See Sheet 74 Phase III Note 9.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 9:58:42 AM

Question:	21841: Who is the CEI chosen for the project?	Posted:	4/17/2018 11:32:21 AM

Answer:	No contract for CCEI Services has been executed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2018 11:47:47 AM

Question:	21867: Please provide a typical section for the existing roadway that includes asphalt and base thicknesses.	Posted:	4/17/2018 5:02:58 PM

Answer:	There are no typical section for the existing roadway.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/19/2018 9:58:59 AM

Question:	21869: The TCP Plans for Phase IV (Sheet 83) show traffic turning in and out of Ribault Scenic Drive using the median. The TCP plans do not include any details on how to address the difference in elevation at this crossing between the new roadway and the existing. Please provide additional information on how this will be addressed.	Posted:	4/17/2018 6:10:54 PM

Answer: Type B-12.5 was included to use as overbuild (to whatever thickness is required) to mitigate the elevation difference between the proposed mainline construction and the existing Ribault Scenic Drive intersection. See Sheet 74 Phase III Note 9.

Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/19/2018 9:57:11 AM