



Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 4/25/2018 1:53:32 PM

District Address: District 1 Construction Office, located at 801 N Broadway, Bartow, FL 33830

District Phone: (863) 519-2222

Proposal: T1712

Project: 433550-2-52-01

Letting Date: 4/25/2018

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 45 (US 41)

Question: 21556: There seems to be a duplication on Items 0515-2-312 and 0515-2-311. There is also a discrepancy. Posted: 3/27/2018 11:58:34 AM

Sheets B1-1 and B1-31 show pedestrian railing between STA 1484+62.00 and 1485+62.00. According to the bid item, this is a Type 2 infill.

Sheet 30 of the Roadway plans show pedestrian railing between STA 1484+48.24 and 1485+71.01, duplicating the railing shown on the Structures plans. According to the bid items, this is a Type 1 infill.

Please clarify.
Thanks

Answer: Please Revision #1, Addendum #1 dated 4/10/2018 for the answer to this question. This was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/10/2018. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/10/2018 4:36:59 PM

Question: 21631: On the signalization plans there seems to be a directional bore error on the pay item sheet page T-7 shows only 121' of directional bore however there seems to be 292' on page T-7. Posted: 4/2/2018 4:13:41 PM

Answer: Please revision #1, addendum #1 dated 4/10/2018 for the revised quantity. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/10/2018 4:45:24 PM

Question: 21632: On page T-7 of the signalization I can't seem to find the power service items for the new fire station signal. Posted: 4/2/2018 5:00:45 PM

Answer: Please refer to revision #1, addendum #1 dated 4/10/2018 to include a power service for the emergency signal at the fire station. Status: ANSWER PUBLISHED

Posted: 4/11/2018 7:58:58 AM

Question:	21661: Bridge deck reinforcing steel clearance is not sufficient to allow planing and grooving. Will pay item 400-9 be removed and replaced with 400-7 or will the deck thickness be increased to 1'2-1/2"?	Posted:	4/4/2018 3:33:26 PM
Answer:	Please Revision #1, Addendum #1 for the answer to this question. This was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/10/2018.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/10/2018 4:35:24 PM
Question:	21784: On signal sheet T-6 the n/w corner shows a new pole, new camera, and a new sign are we to relocate the existing signal heads.	Posted:	4/13/2018 9:01:26 AM
Answer:	Please refer to Question # 21785 that states" Please disregard my previous question Thanks". Therefore, no answer will be supplied.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/13/2018 9:19:58 AM
Question:	21785: Please disregard my previous question Thanks	Posted:	4/13/2018 9:13:19 AM
Answer:	Please refer to Answer to Question #21784. No answer will be supplied.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/13/2018 9:21:50 AM
Question:	21789: Please clarify what size conduit is required for the fiber optic interconnect cable. On sheet IT-2 the description calls for 3" HDPE. On plan sheet IT-5 thru IT-8 pay items 630-2-11 and 630-2-12 call for 2" HDPE.	Posted:	4/13/2018 9:46:39 AM
Answer:	Please see revision #2, addendum #2 for the answer to this question. This information was sent out to all prospective bidders on 4/17/2018.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/17/2018 4:13:15 PM
Question:	21799: Please direct me to the information on the requirements for the temporary lighting it doesn't seem to be where note 6 on lighting sheet L-4 says it should be, in the Roadway plans traffic control general notes.	Posted:	4/13/2018 12:11:12 PM
Answer:	As note 6 on sheet L-4 states, the existing illumination levels (or better) are to be maintained throughout the construction and shall be located outside of the lateral offset requirements of 24-ft for thru-lanes or 14-ft for auxiliary lanes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	4/16/2018 5:23:45 PM