STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROPOSAL TABULATION

375-040-2B
PROCUREMENT
03/17

PROPOSAL NUMBER: E4X48

PROPOSAL TITLE:

Regional Transportation Management Center (RTMC),

Operations Contract

FAILURE TO FILE A PROTEST WITHIN THE TIME PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 120.57(3), FLORIDA STATUTES, OR FAILURE TO POST THE BOND OR OTHER SECURITY REQUIRED BY LAW WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A BOND SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER CHAPTER 120, FLORIDA STATUTES.

OPENING DATE: 8/19/2024 TIME: 10:30AM

OPENED BY: Maria Velarde

WITNESSED BY: Margaret Simpkins

POSTING TIME/DATE FROM: 9/03/2024 @ 10:30pm UNTIL: 9/06/2024 @ 10:30am PAGE: 1 of 1 PAGES(S)

OFFERORS	PRICE	ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS								X Indicates Award
		Criteria 1	Criteria 2	Criteria 3	Criteria 4	Criteria 5	Criteria 6	Criteria 7	TOTAL SCORE	
AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.	$ 42,413,158.50	90	85	98					91.00	
CHA CONSULTING, INC.	$ 44,408,728.95	91	83	89					87.67	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	$ 42,019,830.00	84	87	88					86.33	
METRIC ENGINEERING, INC.	$ 39,782,732.65	83	89	94					88.67	X

CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	DESCRIPTION
1	25	Management Plan
2	70	Technical Plan
3	5	Innovation and Technology Ideas
4		
5		
6		
7		
TOTAL	100	

AWARD

Award is made to the responsive and responsible offer or whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State taking into consideration price and other criteria.

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Alexandra Lopezt

Ryan Drendel

Yamilet Diaz

X Indicates apparent awarded vendor, but does not constitute an acceptance of any offer created by vendor's proposal. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as a Purchase Order or written acceptance by the department has been issued. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the vendor's proposal or if the vendor fails to submit required Bonds and Insurance, or otherwise fails to comply with the request for proposal requirements, the Department has the Right to Award to the vendor that is second low, reject all proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.

☐ Receipt of No Competitive Sealed Proposals – JUSTIFICATION: