

Addendum No. 7 Memorandum

DATE: February 21, 2017
 TO: District Contracts / Final Plans
 FROM: Vanita Saini, Project Manager
 COPIES: File
 SUBJECT: **Addendum Number 7** - Letting (mo./yr.) 4/2017
 Financial Project ID 433108-6-52-01 (Lead number only)
 Proposal/Contract ID E4R96
 Federal Funds: No Yes Federal Aid No. 0951-691-1
 County: Broward State Road No. 9

Concurred by: [Signature] Date: 2-21-2017
 Signature of Alternate Contracting Coordinator (John Olson (primary) / Robert Bostian (alternate)) or Designee.

Legal Approval Date: 2/21/17
 (Dawn Raduano)

Central Office Approval Date: 3/2/17
 (State Construction Office – Larry Ritchie)

FHWA Concurrence Date: 3-2-17
 (FHWA Florida Division Office – Mark Clasgens)

CONTRACT TIME REVISED: No Yes (If yes, _____ Calendar Days)

<u>Page No(s).</u>	<u>Rev. Date</u>	<u>Description</u>
RFP, pgs.v&vi	2/21/17	Reference Documents listing has been revised as follows: 1. <u>Concept Design</u> Corridor Traffic Analysis Report (CTAR) • Technical Memo Addendum (3B) (Pending) 4. <u>Miscellaneous</u> Pavement Cores <u>SW 10th Street, Hillsboro Boulevard, and Palmetto Park Road</u> <u>Adjacent Project Plans</u> Project Management Plan 6. <u>Right-of-Way / Survey</u> <u>Noise Wall No. 6 Temporary Construction Easement</u> <u>Instruments</u> Project Survey Control

RFP, pg. 9 2/21/17 Section II – Schedule of Events has been revised as follows:

March 15, 2017 March 17, 2017	Question and Answer Session in 3rd Floor Executive 2 nd Floor Conference Room, District Four Headquarters, 3400 West Commercial Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309. Times will be assigned during the pre-proposal meeting. One hour will be allotted for questions and responses.
<u>March 20, 2017</u>	Deadline for submittal of Written Clarification letter following Question and Answer Session 12:00 pm local time
<u>March 24, 2017</u>	Deadline for submittal of questions, for which a response is assured, prior to the submission of the Price Proposal. All questions shall be submitted to the Pre-Bid Q&A website by 5:00 pm local time.
<u>March 29, 2017</u>	<u>Deadline for Design-Build Firm to submit of a written statement affirming their intention to comply fully with the requirements provided for in the Contract Documents as described in Section III.F of the RFP, by 5:00 pm local time.</u>
<u>March 31, 2017</u>	Deadline for the Department to post responses to the Pre-Bid Q&A website for questions submitted by the Design-Build Firms prior to the submittal of the Price Proposal by 5:00 pm local time.
<u>April 5, 2017</u>	Price Proposals due in District Four Office FDOT Broward Operations Auditorium, 5548 NW 9 th Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309 by 2:00 pm 11:00 am local time.
<u>April 5, 2017</u>	Public announcing of Technical Scores and opening of Price Proposals at 2:00 pm local time in FDOT Broward Operations Auditorium, 5548 NW 9 th Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

RFP, pg. 12 2/21/17 Section III.F – Question and Answer Session has been revised as follows:

“One (1) week prior to the Price Proposal due date the Design-Build Firm shall submit to the Department a written statement as follows: “[insert name of the Design-Build Firm] confirms that, despite any provision in the Design-Build Firm’s Technical Proposal or any Q&A written clarification letter that may be inconsistent with the other requirements of the Contract Documents, [insert name of the Design-Build Firm] intends to comply fully with the requirements otherwise provided for in the Contract Documents, except for, pursuant to Section I – Order of Precedence – of the RFP, any [insert name of Design-Build Firm]’s statements, terms, concepts or designs that can reasonably be interrupted as offers provide higher quality items than otherwise required by the other Contract Documents or to perform services or meet standards in addition to or better than those otherwise required which such statements, terms, concepts and designs are the obligations of [insert name of the Design-Build Firm].” In case of the failure of the Design-Build Firm to timely provide such a written statement, the Department may determine the design-Build Firm to be deemed non-responsive.

The Department will provide some (not necessarily all) proposed questions to each Design-Build Firm as it relates to their Technical Proposal approximately twenty-four (24) hours before the scheduled Q&A session.”

RFP, pg. 19 2/21/17 Section V.A – Governing Regulations has been revised as follows:
”49. Florida Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering and Operations Bulletins and Update Memos

<http://www.fdot.gov/traffic/TrafficOps-Bulletins.shtm>”

RFP, pg. 35	2/21/17	Section V.F – Railroad Coordination, Subsection Construction and Railroad Flagging Services has been revised as follows: “Ms. Brandy Creed, P.E. Interim Director of Engineering and Construction South Florida Regional Transportation Authority”
RFP, pg. 40	2/21/17	Section V.I – Submittals, Subsection 1. Component Submittals has been revised as follows: “Further dividing the foundation, substructure, or superstructure into <u>individual elements (i.e. Pier 2, Abutment 1, Span 4, etc.)</u> will not be accepted.”
RFP, pg. 42	2/21/17	Section V.I – Submittals, Subsection 2. Phase Submittals, Final Submittal has been revised as follows: “3 CD’s containing the above information in .pdf format <u>ITS “Released for Construction” set in .dgn format</u> ”
RFP, pg. 44	2/21/17	Section V.K – Project Schedule has been revised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Shop Drawings Submittals • <u>Other Contractor-Initiated Submittals including RFI’s, RFM’s, RFC’s, and NCR’s</u> • Design Survey”
RFP, pg. 52	2/21/17	Section V.Y – Adjoining Construction Projects has been revised as follows:” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ “Palmetto Park Road from Military Trail to I-95 (Palm Beach County Project No. <u>20165062003510B</u>) ▪ <u>Palmetto Park Road – I-95 Interchange Improvements (Palm Beach County Project No. 2016506)</u>”
RFP, pg. 54	2/21/17	Section V.Y – Adjoining Construction Projects has been revised as follows:” “Palmetto Park Road from Military Trail to I-95 Project The Design-Build Firm shall be responsible for coordinating design and construction activities with the Palmetto Park Road from Military Trail to I-95 (Palm Beach County Project No. <u>20165062003510B</u>) extending from Military Trail to I-95 to ensure design, maintenance of traffic, construction phasing, incident management, and maintenance responsibility compatibility. <u>Palmetto Park Road – I-95 Interchange Improvements Project</u> <u>The Design-Build Firm shall be responsible for coordinating design and construction activities with the Palmetto Park Road - I-95 Interchange Improvements Project (Palm Beach County Project No. 2016506) to ensure design, maintenance of traffic, construction phasing, incident management, and maintenance responsibility compatibility.</u> ”

RFP, pg. 56 2/21/17 Section V.DD – Routine Maintenance Responsibilities has been revised as follows:
 “The Design-Build Firm shall be responsible for a weekly inspection and replacement of all damaged or missing (that meets the criteria below) express lane markers (existing and Design-Build Firm installed) within the Project limits once active work in the Express Lanes commences through Final Acceptance. The last weekly inspection and replacement cycle prior to Final Acceptance shall replace all missing and damaged express lane markers.”

RFP, pg. 58 2/21/17 Section V.DD – Routine Maintenance Responsibilities has been revised as follows:
 “All staging areas, disturbed areas and stockpiles will receive the same level of routine maintenance as outlined in Section V.DD of this RFP.

All clear zone obstructions shall be attended to immediately by the Design-Build Firm once reported or discovered and shall be subject to the following deductions:

<u>Clear Zone Obstructions</u>		
<u>Deficiency Identification</u>	<u>Time Allowed/Criteria</u>	<u>Deduction</u>
<u>Failure to temporarily secure reported or discovered clear zone obstructions</u>	<u>Must respond within 1 hour and secure with proper MOT before leaving the site</u>	<u>Response - \$100 per hour per location</u> <u>Secure - \$1,000 per day per location</u>
<u>Failure to remove or correct clear zone obstructions</u>	<u>Within 7 days</u>	<u>\$1,000 per day per location</u>

The Design-Build Firm shall identify trespassers from the Department’s right of way and provide notice to the Department Engineer within 24 hours. At further direction from the Department Engineer, the Design-Build Firm shall remove any debris that has been left by the trespassers. Failure to follow this requirement shall be subject to the following deductions:

<u>Trespassing on FDOT Right of Way</u>		
<u>Deficiency Identification</u>	<u>Time Allowed/Criteria</u>	<u>Deduction</u>
<u>Failure to dispose of all debris</u>	<u>24 hours after receiving notification from the Department Engineer</u>	<u>\$500 per day per location</u>

The local agencies will continue maintenance within the interchanges as outlined in the referenced local agency maintenance agreements.”

RFP, pg. 65

2/21/17

Section VI.D – Utility Coordination has been revised as follows:

<u>UA/O</u>	UA/O's Eligible for Reimbursement from Design-Build Firm
AT&T Distribution (Broward County)	Y
AT&T Distribution (Palm Beach County)	Y
American Traffic Solutions	Y
Broward County Traffic Engineering	Y
Broward County Water & Wastewater	Y
City of Boca Raton Traffic	Y
City of Boca Raton Water Network	Y
City of Deerfield Beach	Y
Comcast Cable (Broward County)	Y
Comcast Cable (Palm Beach County)	Y
<u>Florida Gas Transmission</u>	<u>Y</u>
FP&L Distribution (Broward County)	Y
FP&L Distribution (Palm Beach County)	Y
FP&L Fibernet	Y
FP&L Transmission	Y
Florida Public Utilities	Y
Hotwire Communications	Y
Level 3 Communications	Y
MCI Telecommunications	Y
Palm Beach County Traffic Operations	Y
TECO Peoples Gas	Y

RFP, pg. 71

2/21/17

Section VI.F – Geometric Design, Subsection General has been revised as follows:

“The existing green panel glare screens, assemblies, attachments, etc. shall be removed and disposed of, and the barrier wall shall be repaired as necessary to retrofit the new Opaque Visual Barrier.

The existing openings in the median barrier wall where existing light poles will be removed shall be eliminated by constructing new continuous concrete median barrier wall, including Opaque Visual Barrier, that closes all existing light pole openings.”

RFP, pg. 72

2/21/17

Section VI.F – Geometric Design, Subsection Typical Section Package, Design Variations, and Design Exceptions has been

revised as follows:

“For any proposed fill slopes steeper than 1:3 (V:H), the Design-Build Firm shall provide turf reinforcement mats. ~~a solution that addresses long term erosion control and lessens maintenance cost concerns. The solution shall address the following items: continual maintenance after completion of construction; erosion protection measures; repair/re-grading procedures; and, turf management procedures.~~ Slopes steeper than 1:2 (V:H) are not allowed unless approved by the Department.”

RFP, pg. 85

2/21/17

Section VI.H – Structure Plans, Subsection 4 Noise Walls has been revised as follows:

Noise Wall Table						
Noise Wall Number	From Station	To Station	Approx. Length	Side	Adjacent Community	Type of Noise Wall
1	2755+10	3021+85	4,305'	Right	Tivoli Park and Natura	22' Tall Ground Mounted
2	3059+85 <u>3059+00</u>	3066+00 <u>3067+20</u>	645' <u>820'</u>	Right	Palm Beach Farms	8' Tall Shoulder Mounted Replacement
3	3078+60	3091+95	1,335'	Left	Mizner Forest	20' Tall Ground Mounted
4	3115+52	~ 3137+63	~2,222'	Right	Boca Raton Square, Raintree, and Palmetto Park West	8' Tall Shoulder Mounted Replacement
5	3136+48	3149+36	1,294'	Right	Palmetto Park West	8' Tall Shoulder Mounted Replacement
6	3173+38	3184+96	1,230'	Left	Fairfield Gardens	22' Tall Ground Mounted
7	3169+50	3173+50	400'	Right	Country Club Village	14' Tall Shoulder Mounted

RFP, pg. 86

2/21/17

Section VI.H – Structure Plans, Subsection 4 Noise Walls has been revised as follows:

“Refer to the Noise Wall Number 6 Construction Access exhibit included in Reference Document 1, the Noise Wall Number 6 Temporary Construction Easement exhibit in Reference Document 4, the Noise Wall No. 6 Temporary Construction Easement Instruments in Reference Document 6, and also the South Florida Operation and Management Agreement (for SFRC) included in Reference Document 4 for additional information. For the Turnaround Area illustrated in the Temporary Construction Easement exhibit, the Design-Build Firm shall fully restore the area to its original condition prior to the expiration date of the Temporary Construction Easement. For the construction access road crossing the existing Florida Gas Transmission and Florida Public Utilities lines that run lateral through the Temporary Construction Easement (refer to the Reference Documents), the Design-Build Firm shall construct a Mat Air Bridge to elevate directly over both gas lines to

remain. The final configuration shall be developed and coordinated by the Design-Build Firm for approval by the noted utility owners.”

- | | | |
|------------------|---------|--|
| RFP, pg. 90 | 2/21/17 | <p>Section VI.K – Sequence of Construction has been revised as follows:</p> <p>6. Adjacent projects include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ I-95 Express Lanes – Phase 3A-2 Project (FPID No. 433108-5-52-01) ▪ I-95 Express Lanes – Phase 3B-2 Project (FPID No. 433109-5-52-01) ▪ I-95 Auxiliary Lane Design-Build Project (FPID No. 430932-1-52-01) ▪ I-95 at Glades Rd. Interchange Improvements Project (FPID No. 412420-4-52-01) ▪ I-95 Interchange at Spanish River Blvd. D-B Project (FPID No. 412420-3-52-01) ▪ SR-810/Hillsboro Boulevard Project (FPID No. 430602-1-52-01) ▪ I-95 from south of SW 10th St. to north of Hillsboro Blvd. (FPID No. 436964-1-52-01) ▪ <u>Palmetto Park Rd. from Military Tr. to I-95 (Palm Beach Co. Project No. 2003510B)</u> ▪ <u>Palmetto Park Rd.–I-95 Int. Improvements (Palm Beach Co. Project No. 2016506)</u> |
| RFP, pg. 105 | 2/21/17 | <p>Section VI.O – Signing and Pavement Marking Plans has been revised as follows:</p> <p>“All signing and pavement marking designs along I-95 and ramps shall meet the Department’s Design Standards and PPM. Merge pavement messages and arrows as shown in the details titled “TYPICAL MERGE PAVEMENT MARKING FOR INGRESS LOCATION” and “TYPICAL MERGE PAVEMENT MARKING FOR EGRESS LOCATION” located on the Signing Master Plan shall be provided at all applicable express lanes ingress or egress locations. <u>Chevron pavement markings shall be provided for Express Lane buffer widths greater than 4 feet.”</u></p> |
| RFP, pgs.107-109 | 2/21/17 | <p>Section VI.Q – Lighting Plans has been revised as follows:</p> <p>“The Design-Build Firm shall provide LED source lighting for the I-95 Express Lanes, General Use Lanes, and Auxiliary Lanes within the <u>widening, milling, resurfacing, and overbuild portion of the Project limits, from just north of Hillsboro Boulevard to the northern Project limits south of Glades Road.</u>”</p> <p>“For the SW 18th Street bridge that spans I-95, LED underdeck lighting shall be provided. For cross street bridges that span I-95 that currently have underdeck conventional light fixtures, <u>At this location,</u> LED source lighting shall be provided by replacing the existing light fixtures, and supplementing with additional lighting as necessary <u>for the widened I-95 roadway below SW 18th Street.</u></p> <p>Cross streets (Camino Real and Palmetto Park Road) beneath</p> |

I-95 with existing underdeck lighting shall be analyzed based on proposed conditions, and modified or supplemented with LED source lighting to satisfy current Department illumination standards, for the widened I-95 roadway over the cross street.

The Design-Build Firm shall ~~re-lamp the~~ remove all existing median barrier-mounted aluminum light poles ~~with LED type luminaires, foundations, junction boxes, conductors, and any other existing components or features required to be removed as part of the existing median lighting system.~~ The existing openings in the median barrier wall where existing light poles will be removed shall be eliminated by construction of new concrete median barrier wall segments at each of the existing openings. The limits of new median barrier wall constructed for these gaps shall be a minimum of 5 feet in length and shall include steel reinforcement and dowel bars per the applicable Standard Index. Opaque Visual Barrier shall also be installed on the barrier wall to match the proposed Opaque Visual Barrier being installed on top of all existing median barrier wall to remain.

~~As required to meet PPM lighting criteria, new standard roadway aluminum light poles with LED type luminaires shall be provided along the outside to supplement the existing median barrier-mounted light poles. The existing lighting system shall be replaced with an entirely new lighting system of standard aluminum light poles and foundations with LED type luminaires. New conduit, conductors, pull boxes, junction boxes, and load centers shall be provided for the new light poles.~~

~~For locations with existing light poles located on the outside of I-95, LED type luminaires shall be provided along the outside with the use of new standard aluminum light poles. Existing, recently-installed light poles may be relocated.~~

LED source lighting shall meet all PPM requirements for illumination level, uniformity, and veiling luminance. New high mast lighting systems will not be permitted.

~~Existing median barrier wall-mounted light poles that are in conflict with the proposed improvements and/or impacted by modifications to the median barrier shall be replaced with new light poles meeting the current 150 mph design wind speed structural requirements and with the luminaire arm length and rise to run ratio consistent with the adjacent existing poles and arms to remain.~~

~~Openings in the median barrier wall to mount any new or relocate any existing light poles are prohibited.~~ For proposed light poles not covered by the Department's Design Standards, the Design-Build Firm shall submit for Department approval a special pole design to meet the requirements of this RFP.

The Design-Build Firm shall submit a Lighting Master Plan for review and concurrence in writing from the Department prior to any 90% design submittal for review.

Conventional lighting (with LED luminaires) with an Aluminum Light Pole system shall be designed in accordance with applicable plans preparation manual/handbook criteria and with the following:

- A special spread footing foundation may be used whenever there is conflict with an underground utility and the standard concrete foundation.
- ~~To maintain compliance with the 100 mph design wind speed for the existing median barrier mounted light poles, new LED luminaires used for re-lamping shall feature a maximum effective projected area (EPA) of 1.55 square feet and having a maximum weight of 75 pounds.~~
- Existing underground and median barrier conduits ~~may~~shall not be re-used ~~as desired~~ by the Design-Build Firm.
- All new conduit crossing the existing roadways shall be installed by directional bore or jack and bore methods unless otherwise approved by the Department.
- ~~New lighting placed along the outside roadway shall be on separate circuits from the existing median barrier mounted light poles.~~
- The Design-Build Firm will be responsible to coordinate with FP&L for both existing service point upgrades and/or proposed service points. Location of load centers shall be approved by and accessible to maintenance personnel. The Design-Build Firm shall include all associated costs in their Bid Price Proposal.
- The Design-Build Firm shall coordinate with the adjacent projects to ensure that the proposed pole spacing will provide appropriate lighting levels at the interface locations.
- All pull boxes shall have non-metallic covers. Pull boxes installed in the shoulder shall be Tier 22 load rated and shall include a conductor anti-theft system approved by the Department's Maintenance Office.
- Screw type foundations for light poles will not be permitted.
- One photoelectric cell shall be installed for each load center and shall be located adjacent to the load center panel.
- Allowable voltage drop for a circuit shall be no more than 6%.
- The lighting design shall address the potential for light spillover trespass beyond the right-of-way onto adjacent residential, hotel, and motel properties that are directly adjacent to the Project. ~~and~~ The Design-Build Firm shall take the necessary measures to mitigate/eliminate this condition with the use of shields, skirts or other similar fixture attachments.

The Design-Build Firm shall maintain existing lighting levels for the travel lanes throughout all phases of construction. Additional roadway lighting complying with PPM criteria shall be provided for

the widened roadway and along temporary lanes used to carry traffic during all phases of construction. This may be accomplished by maintaining the existing lighting, providing temporary lighting, and/or activating the proposed lighting system.

The Design-Build Firm shall develop and submit for approval, a Load Center/Circuit/Pole Number identification plan that is compatible with the adjacent lighting systems maintenance identification scheme.

~~Where existing roadway lighting circuit sources (services, load centers, etc.) are being removed, the Design-Build Firm shall either:~~

- ~~1. Provide a new load center per current codes and all applicable criteria.~~
- ~~2. Identify an existing load center capable of feeding the proposed lighting while meeting all current codes and all applicable criteria.~~

~~All modified load centers shall comply with all applicable criteria and shall be in like new condition.~~

All existing lighting load centers shall be replaced with new lighting load centers per all current codes and criteria to coincide with the complete new lighting system for the Project limits as noted above.

Existing light poles, luminaire arms, luminaires, and load centers identified for removal shall be coordinated with the Department as to whether these features will become the property of Design-Build Firm or salvaged, transported, and delivered to the Department or other agencies for future use.

The Design-Build Firm shall perform detailed field reviews for the portions of existing lighting on the ramps and/or cross streets to remain. Review and document all lighting (poles/luminaires, sign luminaires, etc.), circuiting, load centers, service points, utility transformers, etc., within the scope of work.”

RFP, pg. 111 2/21/17

Section VI.R – Intelligent Transportation System Plans has been revised as follows:

“The Department will verify that the RTVM is consistent with the ConOps and PSEMP. The ConOps and PSEMP are provided as Reference Documents.

The Design-Build Firm ITS EOR shall coordinate with Landscape Architect for any underground and aboveground conflict with existing/proposed landscaping. The intended view of CCTV shall be clear of any landscaping obstruction for any existing or relocated trees and vegetation.”

RFP, pgs.114-117 2/21/17

Section VI.T – Landscape Opportunity Plans has been revised as follows:

“If conflicts cannot be resolved by the Design-Build Firm and the DBLA, they shall be discussed with the Department’s Project Manager and District Landscape Architect (DLA) for coordination and resolution. The Design-Build Firm Landscape Architect shall coordinate with ITS EOR for any potential CCTV view blockage. The intended view of CCTV shall be clear of any landscaping obstruction for any existing or relocated trees and vegetation.”

“Desirable trees shall include any tree that is determined to be in good condition that is not a Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council (FLEPPC) Category I or II invasive ~~exotiespecies~~ during the site visits with the Department.”

“The Department has performed preliminary coordination with municipalities along the Project limits to determine whether opportunities exist and there is an interest by the municipalities to accept surplus trees, (desirable trees not utilized within the project limits), from the corridor within their municipalities. No additional surplus trees were available at the time of the conceptual Tree Relocation Plans and Landscape Opportunity Plans development. Any additional surplus trees that are suitable for relocation shall be offered to the local municipalities for transplanting by the local municipality.”

“2. Any desirable trees not utilized on the Department’s right-of-way that are within existing Maintenance Memorandum of Agreement (MMA) areas, shall be offered to the respective maintaining agency. The Design-Build Firm shall ~~coordinate with these municipalities and~~ include sufficient time in the project schedule for noticing, root pruning, and transplanting by the municipalities, no less than 10 weeks prior to scheduled impacts.

3. Trees undesired by both the maintaining agency and the Department shall be offered to the municipality with jurisdiction of where the trees are located. All efforts related to relocating these trees will be completed by the municipality. The Design-Build Firm shall ~~coordinate with these municipalities and~~ include sufficient time in the project schedule for noticing, root pruning, and transplanting by the municipalities, no less than 10 weeks prior to scheduled impacts. The Design-Build Firm will go through the DLA for this coordination with the local municipalities. The DLA will ensure a timely response is received from the local municipality and a maximum 2-week period will be provided to receive this response.

4. Trees undesired by the maintaining agency, the Department, and the municipality with jurisdiction of where the trees are located shall be offered to any other municipality within the Project limits, and that municipality will be responsible for relocating these trees. The Design-Build Firm shall ~~coordinate with these municipalities~~

~~and~~ include sufficient time in the project schedule for noticing, root pruning, and transplanting by the municipalities, no less than 10 weeks prior to scheduled impacts. The Design-Build Firm will go through the DLA for this coordination with the local municipalities. The DLA will ensure a timely response is received from the local municipality and a maximum 2-week period will be provided to receive this response.

5. All remaining impacted trees will be the property of the Design-Build Firm and shall be removed as a part of the clearing and grubbing work.”

“Existing trees to be removed shall be limited to all FLEPPC Category I Invasive ExoticsSpecies, impacted Category II Invasive Species, and any other impacted trees that are determined to be available for relocation as shown on the Tree Inventory Plan’s evaluation chart, but undesired by both the Department and local municipalities. As a specific requirement of this Project, the following Category II invasive species shall be removed from the project limits regardless of whether they are impacted by construction or shown on the tree inventory: Hibiscus tiliaceus (Mahoe), Leucaena leucocephala (Lead tree) and Washintonia robusta (Mexican Fan Palm).”

“5. All existing plant material identified to remain within the limits of construction shall be protected. The Design-Build Firm shall include details in the Tree Relocation Plans to ensure protection of the Critical Protection Zone (refer to FDOT Standard Index No. 544542, Tree Protection Barricadeand Preservation) for all trees to remain or to be relocated. Such measures shall indicate the mechanism to protect the trees and palms per FDOT Standard Index No. 544542 or better, be coordinated with and approved by DLA, and be installed prior to commencement of clearing and grubbing activities.

6. No trees shall be relocated to areas within the 500' view zones of legally permitted outdoor advertising (ODA) signs. Any existing trees located within the 500' view zones shall be removed or relocated as directed by the DLA.”

RFP, pgs.120&121 2/21/17

Section VII.B – Submittal Requirements has been revised as follows:

“Bookmarks which provide directlinks to information not included within the content of the Technical Proposal shall not be utilized.”

RFP, pg. 127 2/21/17

Section VII.D – Final Selection Formula has been revised as follows:

“The Design-Build Firm selected will be the Design-Build Firm whose adjusted score is lowest.”

The Department reserves the right to consider any proposal as non-responsive if any part of the Technical Proposal does not meet established codes and criteria.

RFP, pg. 128	2/21/17	Section VIII.A – Bid Price Proposal has been revised as follows: “The package shall indicate clearly that it is the Bid Price Proposal and shall identify clearly the Proposer’s name, <u>contract number</u> , <u>project number</u> and Project description.”
Attachment L	2/21/17	Revised Design-Build Functional Requirements for ITS Deployment
Ref Doc. 1	2/21/17	Revised CADD files and Phase 3B Summary of Scope
Ref Doc. 1	2/21/17	Added CTAR Addendum 3B-1
Ref Doc. 4	2/21/17	Added SW 10 th Street, Hillsboro Boulevard, and Palmetto Park Road Adjacent Project Plans
Ref Doc. 4	2/21/17	Revised Rail Coordination Memorandum
Ref Doc. 6	2/21/17	Added Noise Wall No. 6 Temporary Construction Easement Instruments
Ref Doc. 7	2/21/17	Added Test Holes for Gas Lines near Noise Wall No. 6 Temporary Construction Easement Added February 15, 2017 Meeting Notes with Florida Gas Transmission