DATE: April 5, 2004
TO: Basis of Estimate Handbook Users
FROM: David Duncan
E-COPY: Freddie Simmons, Bill Albaugh, Robert Greer, Phillip “Greg” Davis, William Nickas, Duane Brautigam, Brian Blanchard, Sharon Holmes, Ananth Prasad, Bruce Dietrich, District Design Engineers, District Project Management Engineers, District Structures Design Engineers, District Construction Engineers, District Pavement Design Engineers, and District Specifications Engineers
SUBJECT: Mid Year Basis of Estimate Handbook Electronic Edition - Summary of Major Changes

The Coordination Team made recommendations for the implementation of changes to pay items and specifications that have been developed within the last six months. The implementation plan for each issue has been approved by the appropriate office and concurred to by the Directors of both the Office of Design, and Highway Operations. A detailed description of each of these changes and their implementation date is attached. The following is a summary of issues addressed in this update:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Minor Changes/Updates/Corrections</th>
<th>Effective Letting</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>As soon as practical</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMO</td>
<td>Landscape Complete</td>
<td>As soon as practical</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>July 2004</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Issue Description</th>
<th>Effective Letting</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Design</td>
<td>Pavement Thickness</td>
<td>January 2005</td>
<td>4 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures</td>
<td>Steel Sheet Piling</td>
<td>January 2005</td>
<td>6 - 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Notices

Issue: Mowing

The BOE detail for mowing has been updated to better estimate the area to be mowed. Please update plan quantities based on the information below:

This item is included for routine maintenance of existing, permanent, and temporary grassing until the project is completed. Use total grassed area, plus any other undisturbed areas within the project limits that need to be mowed during the life of the contract. Obtain the mowing cycle from the local Maintenance Office and multiply the area by the number of mowing cycles to be performed during the life of the contract. Convert units to acres (hectares), as necessary. Detail calculations in the comp book.

Issue: Documentation for Landscape Complete

When using item 580-1-A Landscape Complete- LS, the Designer is required to tabulate all of the plants and related work in the plans, according to the instructions in the Plans Preparation Manual, Volume 2, Chapter 26. The computation book will use Form 700-050-05 Lump Sum Quantities. On the form, refer to the plans tabulation sheet for calculations; do not repeat tabulation sheet information on the form.
**Effective July 2004 letting**

*Updated Structure Only*

**Issue: Fencing**

Corrected structure to the October 1, 2003 BOE cover letter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>550-10-ABC</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>2550-10-ABC</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a = Fence Type**

1 (Type A)  
2 (Type B)  
3 (Type R – Full Enclosure)  
4 (Type R – Partial Enclosure)  
9 (Special)

**b = Fabric/Material Height**

1 (0.0 - 5.0’ Height) Note: Type A Standard  
2 (5.1 - 6.0’ Height) Note: Type B Standard  
3 (6.1 - 7.0’ Height)  
4 (7.1 - 8.0’ Height)  
5 (8.1 - 10.0’ Height)  
1 (0.0 - 1.5m Height) Note: Type A Standard  
2 (1.6 - 1.8m Height) Note: Type B Standard  
3 (1.9 - 2.1m Height)  
4 (2.2 - 2.4m Height)  
5 (2.5 - 3.1m Height)

**c = Details/Features**

0 (Standard)  
1 (w/Barbed Wire Atmt)(Type B Only)  
2 (w/Vinyl Coating)(Type B Only)  
3 (Full Enclosure)(Type R Only)  
4 (Partial Enclosure)(Type R Only)  
5 (Vertical)(Type R Only)  
8 (Reset Existing)  
9 (Special)
Effective January 2005 letting

**Issue: Asphalt Pavement**

**History:**

1. The Department has decided to show asphalt pavement layers in inches rather than by spread rate in the plans, but will continue to pay for the asphalt by the ton. For estimating quantities, use:
   
   a. Type SP Structural Courses 110 lb/yd2 per 1 in. (24 kg/m2) per 10 mm
      - FC-5 (Rubber) 80 lb/yd2 (44 kg/m2)
      - FC-5 (PG 76-22) 80 lb/yd2 (44 kg/m2)
      - FC-12.5 Rubber) 160 lb/yd2 (88 kg/m2)
      - FC-9.5 (Rubber) 110 lb/yd2 (60 kg/m2)
      - FC-12.5 (PG 76-22) 160 lb/yd2 (88 kg/m2)
      - FC-9.5 (PG 76-22) 110 lb/yd2 (60 kg/m2)
   
   b. The actual spread rate will be based on the contractors mix design per specifications.

   The reason for this change is because thickness based on spread rate varies with the weight of the aggregate used. When a spread rate is called for in the plans and asphalt mix contains a heavy aggregate, a thinner than intended layer of asphalt is placed in the field. The Department wants to require the asphalt pavement layers be placed at a specified thickness, regardless of the aggregate weight used in the mix design.

2. When Level D or E structural mix is used, call for (PG 76-22) in friction course rather than rubber.

**Implementation Plan:**

**Central Office Design:**

Establish the following new pay item April 2004:

337-7-Xaa Asphaltic Concrete Friction Course (Inc Bit) TN
   aa = 22 (FC-5) (PG 76-22)

The PPM exhibits will be updated to show pavement layers thickness in inches. Example:

“OPTIONAL BASE GROUP 9 WITH TYPE SP STRUCTURAL COURSE (TRAFFIC D) (2”), TYPE SP STRUCTURAL COURSE (TRAFFIC D) (1 ½”) (PG 76-22) AND FRICITION COURSE (FC-5) (3/4”) (PG 76-22).”

**District Design:** On applicable projects:

   Update plans to show asphalt pavement layers thickness in inches.
Verify the quantities shown in TRNS*PORT and the computation book are based on estimated quantities shown above.

**Specifications:** Specifications will be available for the January 2005 letting.

**Contact Person:** Emmanuel Uwaibi 850-414-4372 SC 994-4791

**Approved:** Brian Blanchard  
State Roadway Design Engineer

**Approved:** Ananth Prasad  
Director, Office of Construction

**Approved:** Phillip “Greg” Davis  
State Estimates Engineer

**Approved:** Duane F. Brautigam  
State Specifications Engineer
Effective with the January 2005 letting

**Issue:** Steel Sheet Piling

**History:** Critical temporary steel sheet piling is required to be fully detailed in the plans. A critical temporary sheet pile wall is one that is necessary to maintain the safety of the traveling public or structural integrity of nearby structures, roadway and utilities for the duration of the construction contract.

The specifications will be revised to define critical temporary sheet pile walls. Critical temporary walls as detailed in the plans are to be paid for separately under the new pay item. All non-critical walls are to be incidental to the cost of related items. A pay item name change is required for the contractor to differentiate between critical walls and non-critical walls for payment purposes.

**Implementation Plan:**

**Central Office Design:**

Establish the following new pay items July, 2004

- 455-133-xxa Steel Sheet Piling SF
- 2455-133-xxa Steel Sheet Piling M2

  2 (Temporary-Critical)
  3 (Permanent)

Permanently block the following pay items on December 31, 2004

- 455-133-xxa Steel Sheet Piling SF
- 2455-133-xxa Steel Sheet Piling M2

  Blank (Furnish & Install)
  1 (Temporary)

**District Design:** Update plans and Summary of Pay Items to indicate the use of the new pay items beginning with the January 2005 letting.

**Specifications:** Specifications are currently being modified to incorporate the above revisions and will be available for the January 2005 letting

**Contact Person:** Tom Andres 850-414-4269 SC 994-4269
Approved:  William N. Nickas  ___________________________Date _________
State Structures Design Engineer

Approved:  Phillip “Greg” Davis  ___________________________Date _________
State Estimates Engineer

Approved:  Ananth Prasad  ___________________________Date _________
Director, Office of Construction

Approved:  Sharon Holmes  ___________________________Date _________
Director, Office of Maintenance

Approved:  Duane F. Brautigam  ___________________________Date _________
State Specifications Engineer