EVALUATION 0F

GRADED AGGREGATE

As A BASE MATERIAL

PROJECT NOS, 60040-3536 & 60040-3527

PAVEMENT EVALUATION STUDY NUMBER 92-2

STATE ROAD 83 (331)

WALTON COUNTY

By

R.L. MCNAMARA

AND

B. MILEY

AUGUST 1992

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Florida Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard specifications, or regulation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>

Metric Conversion Tableii
List of Tablesiii
Introduction1
Embankment2
Subgrade4
Base5
Surface7
Conclusions
Appendix A15

٥Ŀ			NOTE: VO	y.d.,	gai	fi oz			Ч Ib	20			ac	yd?	ft?	2			m ya	ft	5			Symbol
Fahrenheit 5 temperature		TEMF	NOTE: Volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m ³	cubic yards	galions cubic feet	fluid ounces			pounds 0.454 short tons (2000 lb) 0.907	ounces	7		acres	square yards	square feet				yards	feet	inches			APPROXIMATE When You Know
5/9 (after subtracting 32)		TEMPERATURE (exact)	1000 L shall be	0.0765	0.0328	29.57	VOLUME		0.454 Ib) 0.907	28.35	MASS (weight)		0.395	0.836	0.0929	R45 0	AREA		0.914	0.3048	2.54	LENGIN	IENGTH	Multiply By
Celsius temperature	lovaci	(exact)	shown in m³.	metres cubed	metres cubed	minilitres			kilograms megagrams	grams	yht)		hectares	metres squared	metres squared	millimetres sourced			kilometres	metres	millimetres			APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS When You Know Multiply By To Find
ć	5			Ð	ə, r	ิ กป			Mg	O			ha	m²	m²	mm²			km m	в	mm			Symbol
		1 2		4					9 10			13		15	16	1,1,1,		11	20	21	22	23		
				4	5							13	14	15	16	17	18	11	20	1				
These factors confo		1 2 of		°C Celsius		6		mL millilitres		11 Mg	12 12 Kg	2		ha	km²	Ш,	mm²	19	km .	21	222 mm			APPROX Symbol When You
conform to the	cm.		temperature	Celsius	51	1 6		mL	9:10+	11 Mg	12 12 Kg		M		km²	Ш,		19		21	221 mm			When You Know
			temperature add 32)	Celsius 9/5 (then	51	6	m ² m ² metres cubed	mL		11	12 12 Kg		M	ha	km ² kilometres squared	m² metres squared	mm²	AREA	km .	n metres	222 mm	23	LENGTH	When You Know
requirer			temperature add 32) temperature	Celsius	TEMPERATURE (exact)		m ² m ² metres cubed 35.315	mL milhiltres	9:10+	11 Mg	9 grams 12 kg kilograms	0.000		ha hectores (10 000 m²)	km ² kilometres squared 0.39	m² metres squared 10.764	mm ² millimetres squared		km kilometres	n metres	22 mm millimetres	23		When You Know

LIST OF TABLES

<u>T/</u>	<u>ABLE</u> PAGE	•
1	Project Number 60040-3536, Limerock Section,	
	Section 19	
2	Project Number 60040-3536, Graded Aggregate	
	Section, Section 210)
3	Base Material, Project Number 60040-5361	I
4	Pavement Condition and Serviceability Surveys,	
	History File12	2
5	Dynamic Deflection Data, test Section Number 1,	
	Project Number 60040-353613	;
6	Dynamic Deflection Data, Test Section Number 2,	
	Project Number 60040-3536 14	1

INTRODUCTION

As part of the Department's policy for approving new materials and or material sources two test sites were constructed on SR 83 (US 331) South of Freeport, Florida.

The two test sections were constructed as a portion of Project Number 60040-3536 and 60040-3527. The test sections were located on the South (Section 1, Limerock) and North (Section 2 Grated Aggregate) side of Choctawhatchee Bay Bridge. Test section Number 1 has an eight- inch limerock base constructed over a twelve inch stabilized subgrade between stations 103+50 and 108+50. Test Section Number 2 has an eight inch graded limestone aggregate base constructed over a twelve inch stabilized subgrade between stations 187+50 and 192+50. The graded limestone aggregate came from Trinity Quarry in Alabama.

Special tests were done in addition to job control tests to assure the uniformity of the construction layers. The data summarized in this report is for the special tests only and should not be construed as job acceptance tests. Appendix A contains a listing of the special tests which were conducted (Project Evaluation Plan).

EMBANKMENT

Special tests were conducted on the embankment material through access holes in the subgrade. Results of the specialized field and laboratory testing accomplished on the embankment material are summarized on Tables 1 and 2 for the limerock and graded aggregate sections respectively.

Comparing average results of the twelve inch plate bear "E" tests, the embankment in Section 2 appears to be slightly stronger (22,014 psi) than Section 1 (20,241 psi).

Field density values for the embankment in Section 1 ranged from 98.7 pcf to 108.9 pcf with an average of 103.1 pcf. Section 2 field density values were somewhat higher ranging from 107.1 pcf to 118.8 pcf with a 111.8 pcf average.

Field moistures for the embankment (oven dried) in Section 1 were consistent ranging from 2.8 percent to 3.7 percent with a 3.4 percent average. Section 2 embankment moistures (oven dried) varied from a low of 3.9 percent to a high of 10.0 percent.

Laboratory maximum density values AASHTO T-99 (Florida Method of Test FM 5-525) for Section 1 embankment ranged from 100.0 pcf to 103.0 pcf with an average of 101.3 pcf. The embankment density in Section 2 was higher ranging from 111.0 pcf to II7.0 pcf with an average of II3.3 pcf.

Optimum moisture values (AASHTO T-99) for the embankment material in Section 1 ranged from 13.0 to 16.0 percent. Section 2 was slightly lower ranging from 11.0 to 13.0 percent. Percent of laboratory maximum density values on the embankment in Section 1 averaged 101.7 while Section 2 averaged 98.6. The difference should not be detrimental to the performance of the pavement.

Embankment limerock bearing ratio (LBR) values averaged lower for Section 1 (37) than Section 2 (55).

SUBGRADE

The subgrade material was in place and compacted prior specialized testing being conducted.

Twelve inch plate bearing "E" values, Tables 1 and 2, show the composite embankment plus subgrade values to be very similar in both sections. Section 1 ranged from 16,177 psi to 22,035 psi with an overall average of 19,114 psi while Section 2 ranged from 18,529 psi to 21,409 psi with an average of 19,489 psi.

Field density values for the subgrade in Section 1 averaged 115.9 pcf while the subgrade in Section 2 averaged 115.5 pcf.

Field moisture (oven dried) values for the subgrade in Section 1 averaged 8.0 percent while Section 2 subgrade average 8.8 percent.

Laboratory maximum density values for the subgrade were very uniform averaging 123.0 pcf for both sections Maximum density for the subgrade was established using Method D of AASHTO T-180 (Florida Method of Test FM 5-521) as modified by the Department's Research Bulletin 22-B.

Optimum moisture values for the subgrade averaged 9.0 percent for both sections.

Percent of laboratory maximum density values showed the sections to be very similar averaging 94.2 for Section 1 and 94.0 for Section 2.

Limerock Bearing Ratio values were also close averaging 120 for Section 1 and 122 for Section 2.

Actual thickness for the subgrade in Section 1 averaged 12.5 inches. Section 2 averaged 12.1 inches.

<u>BASE</u>

The base materials, limerock in Section 1 and graded aggregate in Section 2, were placed and compacted after specialized testing was completed on the subgrade and embankment. Tables 1 and 2 summarize results of the specialized field and laboratory testing conducted on the base materials.

Twelve- inch plate bearing "E" values performed on top of the base material indicate a composite strength of the embankment, subgrade and base. Section 1 had an average plate bearing "E" value of 19,239 psi while Section 2 averaged slightly higher at 23,392 psi.

Field density values for the base materials showed Section 2 to be higher averaging 144.0 pcf with Section 1 averaging 110.4 pcf.

Field moistures (oven dried) showed Section 1 to be wetter averaging 12.9 percent than Section 2 which averaged 3.7 percent. A reason for the difference in moisture content between materials was not readily apparent.

Laboratory maximum density values (AASHTO T-I80) (Florida Method of Test FM 5-521) show Section 2 to be somewhat higher averaging I42.7 pcf while Section 1 averaged 116.0 pcf.

Optimum moisture values show that Section 1 averaged 13.0 percent and Section 2 averaged 5.0 percent.

Percent of laboratory maximum density values indicate Section 1 averaged 95.1 while Section 2 averaged 100.9. The percent of laboratory maximum density values listed were not used for project acceptance. Limerock bearing ratio values show that Section 1 averaged 127 while Section 2 averaged 167.

Table 3 summarized results of gradation and carbonates test on the base materials. The base materials for both sections appear similar, however, the limerock in Section 1 has a greater percent of fines.

Actual thickness for the limerock in Section 1 averaged 8.8 inches. The graded aggregate in Section 2 averaged 8.1 inches.

SURFACE

Table 4 summarizes the initial condition data (7/01/91) acquired on the completed wearing surface, along with the six month (1/21/92) condition survey. Initially Section 1 had less rutting with 0.04 inch compared to Section 2 with 0.07 inch. Section 1 continued to have less rutting with the I/21/91 survey, reporting a 0.09 inch compared to 0.12 inch for Section 2.

Ride values were initially smoother for Section 1 with a 4.29 Present Serviceability Index (PSI_{sv}) value while Section 2 had a 4.16 Present Serviceability Index (PSI_{sv}) value.

Tables 5 & 6 summarized the initial deflection testing conducted on the completed wearing surface using a Dynaflect. Testing was done on fifty-foot intervals. Results indicate materials are very similar in strength.

The asphalt layer consisted of 1¹/₄ inch of S-1 structure mix, 3/4 inch of S-3 structural mix and 1 inch of FC-1 (Friction Course).

CONCLUSIONS

Testing conducted during and immediately after construction leads to the following conclusions:

- Plate bearing composite data subgrade plus embankment indicates the overall strength of both sections is equivalent. Therefore performance should relate to the base layer.
- Plate bearing data on the base layer also indicate that the graded aggregate is slightly stronger.
- Deflection data obtained with the Dynaflect shows the test sections to be very comparable in strength.

The two base materials should perform in a similar manner based on preliminary testing. However, the true indicator of performance will be how the sections perform over time. The materials should be observed for five years or until terminal serviceability has been achieved.

	+ T-99	AVERAGE	BASE 107+11 105+83 104+70	AVERAGE	SUBGRADE 107+11 105+83 104+70	AVERAGE	104+70	105+83	EMBANKMENT 107+11	MATERIAL A		
		-							r,	MATERIAL AND STATION		
		19,239	20,407 21,409 15,900	19,114	18,529 22,035 16,777	20,241	22,035	21,284	17,403	PLATE "E"		
•		2,717	2,882 3,024 2,246	2,674	2,617 3,035 2,370	2,859	3,112	3,006	2,458	BEARING "K"		
		110.4	111.8 111.6 107.7	115.9	116.9 117.1 113.7	103.1	108.9	101.6	98.7	FIELD DENSITY NUCLEAR (PCF)	PRO	
		17.4	16.7 16.0 19.6	13.2	12.9 12.9 13.9	5.8	4.6	5.5	7.3	FIELD MOIST. HUCLEAR (%)	TABLE 1 JECT NUNBER 60040- LIMEROCK SECTION SECTION 1	
		12.9	10.5 13.1 15.0	8.0	8.0	3.4	2.8	3.6	3.7	FIELD HOIST. OVEN (%)	TABLE 1 PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536 LIMERCCK SECTION SECTION 1	
		116.0	116.0 116.0 116.0	123.0	123.0 123.0 123.0 123.0	101.3+	100.0+ 104.0 103.0+	101.0+	100.0	LAB MAX. DENSITY (PCF)	б. 	
		13.0	14.0 13.0	9.0	0.0 0.0	14.7+	16.0+ 13.0 13.0+	15.0+	12.0	OPT. MOISTURE (%)		
		95.1	96.2 92.8	94.2	95.0 95.2 92.4	101.7+	101.6+ 105.7+	97.7+		* LAB NAX DENSITY		
		127	131 120 130	120	123 118 118	37	40	35	36	LBR		

•				01							
	+ Station outside of an + T-99	AVERAGE	BASE 186+63* 189+52 191+84	SUBGRADE 186+63* 189+52 191+84 AVERAGE	AVERAGE	191+84	189+52	EMBANKMENT 186+63*	MATERIAL AND STATION		
	of area to be monitored	23,392	29,735 21,159 19,281	18,529 18,529 21,409 19,489	22,014	24,539	19,719	21,785	PLATE "E"		
	onitored	3,304	4,200 2,989 2,723	2,626 2,617 3,024 2,756	3,109	3,466	2,785	3,077	BEARING "K"	•	
		144.0	144.8 141.6 145.5	114.9 116.5 115.1 115.5	111.8	109.5	107.1	118.8	FIELD DENSITY NUCLEAR (PCF)	PROJECT GRADED	
		J.5	2.8 3.7 4.0	13.1 10.9 12.7 12.2	9.2	10.8	6.3	10.4	FIELD MOIST. NUCLEAR (%)	TABLE 2 IECT NUMBER 60 DED AGGREGATE SECTION 2	
		3.7	3.1 3.8 4.2	9.0 8.8 8.7	7.0	7.1	3.9	10.0	FIELD MOIST. OVEN (%)	TABLE 2 PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536 GRADED AGGREGATE SECTION SECTION 2	
		142.7	144.0 142.0 142.0	122.0 124.0 123.0 123.0	113.3+	1112 04	110.0	114.0	LAB MAX. DENSITY (PCF)	. 01	
		5.0	5.00	8.0 9.0 9.0	12.0+	11.0	13.0	11.0	OPT. MOISTURE (%)		
		100.9	100.6 99.7 102.5	94 - 2 94 - 2 94 - 2	98.6+	97 8+	96 5+	101 5+	% LAB MAX. DENSITY		
		167	175 180 145	118 133 115 122	55	57	48	60	LBR		

	BASE 186+63 189+52 191+84	104+70 105+83 107+11 GRADED AGGREG <i>I</i>	LIME		
	550 420 4	104+70 105+83 107+11 GRADED AGGREGATE	LIMEROCK BASE		
	100 100	100 100 100	3 1/2"		
			/2"		
-	100 100 100		2=		
			1 1/2"		
	100 100 100		/2"		
	95 97 97	•	1		
	88 68 06		3/4		
			GRADA	R	
	78 70 72		FION - 1/2	OJECT	
			SIE	BAS	
	71 60 63		GRADATION - SIEVE SIZE - 3/4" 1/2" 3/8"	TABLE E MAT	
			*	3 3 140-35	
	440 555	64 62	PASSING	TABLE 3 BASE MATERIAL PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536 & 3537	
			ING	3537	
	ພ ພ ພ ພ ຫ ພ		10		
	25 23 23	•	#40		
	20 19 18		# 60		
	15 13	40 28 37	#200		
	10.10.10		PERCENTAGE OF CARBONATES		
	91 92 92	90 92 91	VTAGE F IATES		

TABLE 4 FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS OFFICE

PAVEMENT CONDITION AND SERVICEABILITY SURVEYS

PROJECT NUMBER	60040-3536
STATE ROAD NO.	331
SECTION NUMBER	1 & 2
LOCATION	WALTON COUNTY
	HISTORY FILE

TYPE SURFACE TYPE BASE TYPE SUBGRADE DIRECTION/LANE SBTL

DATE	S	ND TRAFFIC I ECTION 1 EROCK BASE	LANE	SEC) TRAFFIC LAN CTION 2 GREGATE BASE	
	RUTTING (IN)	CR&PT/1000 SQ. FT.	RIDE IRI/PSI	RUTTING (IN)	CR&PT/1000 SQ. FT.	RIDE IRI/PSI
07/01/91 01/21/92	0.04 0.09	000.0	89/4.29 75/4.40	0.07 0.12	000.0	106/4.16 91/4.28

12

C 1				
	07	DATE		
	/01 /21	TE	PI	
	07/01/91 01/21/92		ROJ	
			ECT	
			NU	
	00	D	MBE	
	0.90	D(1)	R 6	
		_	004	
		TRA	0-	
	0.63	TRAFFIC D(2)	PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536	т
	υΓ	- C I	01	LOF
		ANE	· _	(ID ²
	00	LANE (OWP) D(3)	TES	DYN
	0.54 0.44	(3)	TS	3PAF MAJ AMI
			TEST SECTION NUMBER	TABLE 5 FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS OFFICE DYNAMIC DEFLECTION DATA
	0.0	-	ION	TABLE 5 MENT OF RIALS OF DEFLECT
	0.42	D(4)	NU	E 5 ECT
	0110		MBE	TRU
			R	ANS CE DA
	0.35	D(5)	ч	POR
	305	5)		TAT
		н		ION
		EMP A	SOL	
	88 88	IPERA AIR	JTHI	
		TEMPERATURE AIR	BOUI	
			ND	
	94 78	PAVT	SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC	
	••	T	FFI	
				•
			LANE	

~ ~	н			
07/01/91 01/21/92	DATE	PF		
/91 /92		ROJEC		
		I NUM		
0.75	D(1)	BER 6		
	TR	PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536		
0.61	AFFIC D(2)	-3536	FI	
	TRAFFIC LANE (OWP) D(2) D(3)		ORID	
0.49	D(3)	TEST	DEPI MI DYNAM	
	5	SECTI	TA ARTMEN ATERIA IC DE	
0.40 0.34	D(4)	TEST SECTION NUMBER	TABLE 6 FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS OFFICE DYNAMIC DEFLECTION DATA	
# 0		MBER	TRAN FFICE ION L	
0.33	D(5)	N	SPORT)ATA	
ωω			ATION	
88 88	TEMPERATURE AIR	SOUTI		
	ATURE	BOUN		
94 78	PAVT	SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC LANE		
~ -	Π.	FFIC		
		LANE		

APPENDIX A

PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536

AND PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3527

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

STRENGTH EQUIVALENCY STUDY

LIMEROCK VERSUS GRADED AGGREGATE

AS A BASE MATERIAL

STATE PROJECT NUMBER 60040-3536 & 60040-3527

STATE ROAD 83

PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

INTENT AND SCOPE

Project Number 60040-3536 will be constructed in compliance with the Department's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction 1986 edition, the special provisions to the contract, and the supplemental agreements to the contract.

Included in the project are two experimental sections each about 500 feet in length, to be constructed in the Southbound Roadway. In these sections, the work includes the construction of a limerock base section and a crushed stone base section. The limerock base section will be constructed between stations 103+50 and 108+50. The graded aggregate base section will be constructed between stations 187+50 and 192+50.

Testing of the materials and measurements of the quality of all phases of construction in the test sections will be in compliance with the Department's Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction 1986 edition. Exceptions are noted to the attached specifications for the purpose of this evaluation.

In addition to the acceptance testing done by project personnel, additional testing will be done by project personnel and personnel from the Materials Office. The contractor shall coordinate his construction operations with the District Office as they pertain to the sequence of operations and planned times of completion of the various phases of construction in these sections.

After the embankment and subgrade have been accepted, special testing will be conducted on each of these layers within the test sections. Resultsof these tests <u>will</u> <u>not</u> be used for accepting or rejecting the construction work, but will be completed on the embankment and subgrade prior to commencing work on the base material.

Special testing will include density tests, plate bearing tests, and material sampling (the contractor will be responsible for replacing the sample material).

After the base materials have been accepted, special testing will be conducted within the test sections. Again, results of these tests <u>will not</u> be used for accepting or rejecting the base layer, but will be completed prior to commencing work on the surface layer. The contractor will be responsible for replacing sampled materials.

It is not anticipated that tests made during construction of the sections will delay the contractor's operations, but any costs and/or delays to the contractor due to coordination shall not be paid for separately, but shall be included in the applicable pay item under the contract.

Once constructed, the test sections will be monitored performance for a period of five years.

ACCEPTANCE AND TESTING

The following acceptance testing, conducted by Project personnel, will be necessary to assure the uniformity of the material within the test sections.

EMBANKMENT - Field Tests

 In-place density – 5 per section randomly selected within each 100' lot. Top lift acceptance requirement will be 100 to 105 percent of maximum laboratory density (T-99).

SUBGRADE -

- In-place density 5 per lift per section randomly selected within each 100' lot. Acceptance requirement will be 98 to 103 percent of maximum laboratory density (T-180).
- 2) Thickness Measurement Measured on 50' intervals. Acceptance requirement will be $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ inch of design.
- LBR's 5 per section randomly selected within each 100' lot. Acceptance requirement will be 40 <u>+</u> 5.

BASE -

- In-place density 5 per lift per section randomly selected within each 100' lot. Acceptance requirement will be 98 to 103 percent of maximum laboratory density (T-180).
- 2) Thickness Measurement Measured on 50' intervals. Acceptance requirement will be $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ inch of design.

Note: All other acceptance criteria will be normal project specifications.

SPECIALIZED TESTING

The following testing will be conducted in addition to acceptance testing during or immediately after construction. These tests will be conducted by the Materials Office.

EMBANKMENT – Field Tests

- 1) 12" Plate Bearing Tests 3 per section randomly selected.
- 2) In-place Density Test 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Material Samples 4 bags of material per test site/or 12 samples per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Material Samples (Oven Moisture) 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.

EMBANKMENT – Laboratory Test

- 1) Laboratory Maximum Density 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 2) Oven Moistures 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 3) Material Gradations 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 4) LBR's 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.

SUBGRADE - Field Tests

- 1) 12" Plate Bearing Tests 3 per section randomly selected.
- 2) In-Place Density Test 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Material Samples 4 bags of material per test site /or 12 samples per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Material Samples (Oven Moisture) 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.

SUBGRADE – Laboratory Tests

- 1) Laboratory Maximum Density 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 2) Oven Moistures 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 3) Material Gradations 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 4) LBR's 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.

BASE – Field Tests

- 1) 12" Plate Bearing Tests 3 per section randomly selected.
- 2) In-place Density Test 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Materials Samples 4 bags of material per test site/or 12 samples per section @ plate bearing test sites.
- Material Samples (Oven Moisture) 3 per section @ plate bearing test sites.

BASE – Laboratory Test

- 1) Laboratory Maximum Density 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 2) Oven Moistures 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 3) Material Gradations 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.
- 4) LBR's 3 per section from plate bearing test sites.

POST CONSTRUCTION TESTING

The following testing will be conducted, after construction, by personnel from the Materials Office in order to evaluate the performance of the Base Materials. Evaluation testing will be confined to the Westbound traffic lane.

Immediately following Construction

- 1) Dynamic Deflections (Dynaflect) 50 foot intervals.
- 2) Rut Depth 50 foot intervals
- 3) Cracking and Patching square feet/1000 square foot surface.
- Roughness (Mays Ride Meter or Equivalent). <u>Six Months after</u> <u>Construction</u>

Six Months after Construction

- 1) Dynamic Deflections (Dynaflect) 50 foot interval.
- 2) Rut Depth 50 foot intervals.
- 3) Cracking and Patching square feet/1000 square feet of surface.
- 4) Roughness (Mays Ride Meter or Equivalent).

One Year After Construction and Annually

- 1) Dynamic Deflections (Dynaflect) 50 foot interval
- 2) Rut Depth 50 foot intervals
- 3) Cracking and Patching square feet/1000 square feet of surface.
- 4) Roughness (Mays Ride Meter or Equivalent).

Project will be monitored until conclusive evaluation of the base materials

performance can be made or for a five year period.

Documentation of test results will be in the form of a letter report.

