

DISTRICT 2 CONSTRUCTION QC/QA PLAN QUALITY ASSESSMENT GUIDE

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 17, 1998
Revised as of 12/21/10

CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL (ADMINISTRATIVE/SPECIFICATIONS)

Number 24

		CRITERIA USED
1.	Was the Contractor Quality Control Plan submitted within the 21 calendar days after the Award of the Contract? If not was a DL issued?	Spec 105-7
2.	Were pre-operation meetings held prior to the contractor performing major construction activities or operations for the first time?	CPAM 3.2.7.3
3.	Do the inspectors have the latest version of the Statewide guidelists in their truck?	CPAM 3.2.8.1
4.	Has the inspector recorded any significant lapses of the contractor ' s QC on the Daily Report of Construction?	CPAM 3.2.8.3
5.	Has the Project Administrator received the monthly Certification of Compliance with each Progress Estimate?	Spec. 105-4
6.	Has the Project Administrator verified all exceptions on the monthly Spec. 105-4 certification?	
7.	Has the Project Administrator verified all QC tests are input into LIMS/ CQR database on a daily basis?	LIMS Spec 105-5.2 DELETED
8.	Has the Project Administrator suspended any or all of the QC Plan?	Spec 105-3.1
9.	Has the Project Administrator documented all QC Plan suspensions and forwarded the strikes against the QC Manager to Yvonne Collins in Tallahassee?	Proc. 700-000-001 Chapter 7.5.3
10.	Has the Contractor set station identification stakes at each right-of-way line at 100 foot intervals and at all locations where a change in right-of-way width occurs with painted numerals of a size readable from the roadway, corresponding to the project station at which it is located? Or for resurfacing and resurfacing/widening projects, set station identification stakes at 200 foot intervals.	Spec. 5-7.4
11.	Have all the sections of the approved project Quality Control Plan been enforced by the CEI staff?	Spec 105
12.	Has the CEI monitored the QC Inspection reports required by contract, and if so, how was it documented?	Spec. 105-1.2.2