Can you use Opensite Designer with FDOT connect? Or do you require the full version for this (Openroads)?	FDOT has not tested Opensite at all to my knowledge. There are some resources (cells, textstyles, etc) required from the delivered FDOT resources. We will look into this an followup with questions after the session.
Are there example excel input (for GDM) files available?	Example xlsx files are located in the FDOTConnect\Workspaces\FDOT\WorkSets\0_WORKSET_TEMPLATE\Geotech nical folder, when new worksets are created they should also automatically appear in your new workset.
sheets in Microstation as normal. And then provide the input XML Excel files for Openroads?	The delivery is pretty much like in the Select Series world, what ever you provided for Select Series you perform in Connect, just replace the delivery of the brh & mtl file with the xml file.
What is this extra window in the Reference Attach window where he can Add references to a "batch" to be added? We are we seeing 10.10 stuff here?	Todd is showing only 10.09 functionallity in his demo, FDOT has not moved to 10.10 at this time. We are researching the effort/implications of moving to 10.10.
What is this extra window in the Reference Attach window where he can Add references to a "batch" to be added?	
Are there 'data set' available to download for training and practicing?	The training section is working on adding this new tool to our training courses, that course will include example datasets. If needed before that time we can work with you, just contact cadd.support@dot.state.fl.us
Thank you.	You are very welcome.
WOW!! Lots of good information and updates. Great presentations! Definitely not boring. Thank you.	
Where can we access this recording?	The recording will be avialibe on the website where you registered, https://transportationsymposium.fdot.gov/Attendee/UpcomingWebinars2021
How can we reference a FDOT workset?	The FDOT Workset is delivered with the FDOT Connect software. The workset template is delivered in the FDOTConnect\Workspaces\FDOT\WorkSets folder. When the FDOT software is installed a copy is also placed by default in the c:\worksets\fdot folder.
GEOTECH TOOLS"? If not, please provide the correct name of the software. In addition, would I download this software from FDOT website for free or would I have to purchase it ?	The tool is part of the FDOTConnect software, it is free and can be downloaded from here: https://www.fdot.gov/cadd/downloads/software/fdotconnectcaddsoftware.shtm (Note: we currently support ORD 10.09 & MicroStation 10.14)
Am I right in hearing that boreholes are no longer projected to the nearest roadway cross sections?	yes, boreholes are no longer being projected to a cross section. If you need the borehole information a cross section must be made at the location of the borehole.
Great Presentation!! Thanks Much	
Is the report of boring sheet meant to replace the need to display borehole information in cross sections? In my limited experience with this tool, I've haven't seen a way to label boreholes in a cross section.	With NextGen plans roadway cross sections are no longer created, but our Geotechnical advisory committe would still like to see the bore hole information in x-section. Todd will cover x-sections shortly. We are investigating labeling options at this time. The next version of ORD 10.10 has some labeling enhancements that may allow for automated labeling, if that does not cover our needs FDOT will create a tool for labeling Geotech cross sections.

Do you suggest making changes to the formatting of the borings (width/scaling/etc.) after importing from the excel templates?	Our Geotech group mainly said the size of the borehole symbol in plan view or the diameter of the borehole in model may need to be modified before placement, those can be set on the tools interface. Vertical scale for sections would be built into the section generator in ORD. If you find other scaling\layout issues please let us know and we will see if we can address those concerns,
Is anything similar to the GDM going to be made available in AutoCAD C3D software Kit?	Tools for placing boreholes in plan & model I believe are already present in FDOT C3D, the patterning for SPT Report of Core Boring currently does not exist due to the limitations of AutoCAD programming and patterning.
The FDOT Connect Spftware is compatible with plain Microstation then?	Yes, our current support software for FDOTConnect is ORD 10.09 & MicroStation 10.14. Note, the plan & model functionallity is only available in ORD since there is Civil data dependencies. The Report of CoreBoring tools works in plain MicroStation.
Are you going to do a Webinar geared for the geotechs and what they need to do and provide to the designers?	We are currently finalizing the procedure for what is required for NextGen plans and what needs to be shown\delivered, that training will be provided once that section is complete.
Is there a manual/handbook for geotechs detailing any of this and how to do it?	What is required to shown is documented in the Soils & Foundation Handbook, we are currently working on adding this tool to our training documents and YouTube channel.
Is FDOT going to provide a standard GInt template to use, so data is correct for importing?	We do not provide a gInt template specifically because Bentley removed the xlsx import feature for ORD 10.09. Much like gInt could export custom reports to create the brh & mtl files for Geopak Borehole Navigator, a new export could be created to match the provided xlsx templates for import.
If you have a project that covers 2 counties, do you need 2 sets of files one for each county?	As long as the project uses the same state plane coordinate systems there is no need to split the data unless for some reason a project manager requires it.
Are geotechs going to need the Openroads software?	For Report of CoreBoring drawings no, plain MicroStation will work. For the Plan & Model functionallity, yes, just like Geopak was required for that functionallity in the SS4/10 world using Borehole Navigator.
How is the soil survey sheet handled?	We'll followup with you, I'm not sure myself.